

Appendix

Experiment Details

Data Preparation. We synthesized 500k images with StyleGAN2 [4] and scored 6 attributes (gender, smile, eyeglasses, age, lipstick, beard) with CelebA attribute classifiers [3]. In **Figure 2a**, for each attribute, we compute the average of 1000 images in which the corresponding classifier predicts the attribute class with the highest confidence. After applying our method to [1] and editing such sets of images to achieve the opposite attribute class, we invert them back to the \mathbf{W} space and re-generate the self-corrected samples for **Figure 3b**. Similarly, for **Figure 3c**, we sample 10k latent codes corresponding to images with the highest classifier confidence for predicting eyeglasses and gender.

Latent Interpolation Methods. For **Figure 4**, we train both [5] and [1] on the same set of 1000 latent code samples with the highest classifier confidence for each attribute. For [2] and [6], we use the original directions as presented in the original paper, and we use the channel for “grey hair” as the Age+ channel for [6].

Attribute Dependency. First, we sample 3000 test images with all attributes of interest (gender, smile, eyeglasses, age, lipstick, beard) lying around the attribute classifiers’ decision boundaries. We split the images into 5 test sets AD calculation. We present the full procedure to calculate AD on each attribute a as follows:

- For each set of images with target attribute $a \in A$, where A stands for all attributes, we interpolate the original latent codes following [5] and [1] for $d = 6$ in 9 steps.
 - For each interpolation result at step s , we compute $x = \frac{\Delta l_s^a}{\sigma l^a}$, which stands for the absolute change in the target attribute logit, normalized by the population standard deviation and obtain the x -values for plotting AD.
 - For each interpolation result at step s , we also compute $y = \frac{1}{|A|-1} \sum_{i \in A \setminus a} \frac{\Delta l_s^i}{\sigma l^i}$, which stands for the mean of the absolute change in the other attribute logits, normalized by each population standard deviation, and obtain the y -values for plotting AD.
- We then group the (x,y) pairs with their x values into buckets of $(0, 0.25]$, $(0.25, 0.5]$, \dots , $(1.75, 2]$, and plot the midpoint for each bucket as the final x -value, mean of y values within each bucket as the final y -value.

References

- [1] Zikun Chen, Ruowei Jiang, Brendan Duke, Han Zhao, and Parham Aarabi. Exploring gradient-based multi-directional controls in gans. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2022: 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23–27, 2022, Proceedings, Part XXIII*, pages 104–119. Springer, 2022. 1
- [2] Erik Härkönen, Aaron Hertzmann, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Sylvain Paris. Ganspace: Discovering interpretable gan controls. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:9841–9850, 2020. 1
- [3] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4401–4410, 2019. 1
- [4] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, Miika Aittala, Janne Hellsten, Jaakko Lehtinen, and Timo Aila. Analyzing and improving the image quality of stylegan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 8110–8119, 2020. 1
- [5] Yujun Shen, Ceyuan Yang, Xiaoou Tang, and Bolei Zhou. Interfacegan: Interpreting the disentangled face representation learned by gans. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2020. 1
- [6] Zongze Wu, Dani Lischinski, and Eli Shechtman. Stylespace analysis: Disentangled controls for stylegan image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 12863–12872, 2021. 1