RL-GAN-Net: A Reinforcement Learning Agent Controlled GAN Network for Real-Time Point Cloud Shape Completion
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Abstract

We present RL-GAN-Net, where a reinforcement learning (RL) agent provides fast and robust control of a generative adversarial network (GAN). Our framework is applied to point cloud shape completion that converts noisy, partial point cloud data into a high-fidelity completed shape by controlling the GAN. While a GAN is unstable and hard to train, we circumvent the problem by (1) training the GAN on the latent space representation whose dimension is reduced compared to the raw point cloud input and (2) using an RL agent to find the correct input to the GAN to generate the latent space representation of the shape that best fits the current input of incomplete point cloud. The suggested pipeline robustly completes point cloud with large missing regions. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to train an RL agent to control the GAN, which effectively learns the highly nonlinear mapping from the input noise of the GAN to the latent space of point cloud. The RL agent replaces the need for complex optimization and consequently makes our technique real time. Additionally, we demonstrate that our pipelines can be used to enhance the classification accuracy of point cloud with missing data.

1. Introduction

Acquisition of 3D data, either from laser scanners, stereo reconstruction, or RGB-D cameras, is in the form of the point cloud, which is a list of Cartesian coordinates. The raw output usually suffers from large missing region due to limited viewing angles, occlusions, sensor resolution, or unstable measurement in the texture-less region (stereo reconstruction) or specular materials. To utilize the measurements, further post-processing is essential which includes registration, denoising, resampling, semantic understanding and eventually reconstructing the 3D mesh model.

In this work, we focus on filling the missing regions within the 3D data by a data-driven method. The primary form of acquired measurements is the 3D point cloud which is unstructured and unordered. Therefore, it is not possible to directly apply conventional convolutional neural networks (CNN) approaches which work nicely for structured data e.g. for 2D grids of pixels [20, 21, 5]. The extensions of CNN in 3D have been shown to work well with 3D voxel grid [37, 7, 8]. However, the computing cost grows dras-
Figure 2: The forward pass of our shape completion network. By observing an encoded partial point cloud, our RL-GAN-Net selects an appropriate input for the latent GAN and generates a cleaned encoding for the shape. The synthesized latent representation is decoded to get the completed point cloud in real time. In our hybrid version, the discriminator finally selects the best shape.

In this paper, we propose our pipeline RL-GAN-Net as shown in Fig. 2. It is a reinforcement learning agent controlled GAN (generative adversarial network) based network which can predict complete point cloud from incomplete data. As a pre-processing step, we train an autoencoder (AE) to get the latent space representation of the point cloud and we further use this representation to train a GAN [1]. Our agent is then trained to take an 'action' by selecting an appropriate $z$ vector for the generator of the pre-trained GAN to synthesize the latent space representation of the complete point cloud. Unlike the previous approaches which use back-propagation to find the correct $z$ vector of the GAN [15, 40], our approach based on an RL agent is real time and also robust to large missing regions. However, for data with small missing regions, a simple AE can reliably recover the original shape. Therefore, we use the help of a pre-trained discriminator of GAN to decide the winner between the decoded output of the GAN and the output of the AE. The final choice of completed shape preserves the global structure of the shape and is consistent with the partial observation. A few results with 70% missing data are shown in Fig. 1.

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to introduce this unique combination of RL and GAN for solving the point cloud shape completion problem. We believe that the concept of using an RL agent to control the GAN’s output opens up new possibilities to overcome underlying instabilities of current deep architectures. This can also lead to employing similar concept for problems that share the same fundamentals of shape completion e.g. image in-painting [40].

Our key contributions are the following:

- We present a shape completion framework that is robust to low-availability of point cloud data without any prior knowledge about visibility or noise characteristics.
- We suggest a real-time control of GAN to quickly generate desired output without optimization. Because of the real-time nature, we demonstrate that our pipeline can pre-process the input for other point cloud processing pipelines, such as classification.
- We demonstrate the first attempt to use deep RL framework for the shape completion problem. In doing so, we demonstrate a unique RL problem formulation.

2. Related Works

Shape Completion and Deep Learning. 3D shape completion is a fundamental problem which is faced when processing 3D measurements of the real world. Regardless of the modality of the sensors (multi-view stereo, the structure of light sensors, RGB-D cameras, lidars, etc.), the output point cloud exhibits large holes due to complex occlusions, limited field of view and unreliable measurements (because of material properties or texture-less regions). Early works use symmetry [38] or example shapes [32] to fill the missing regions. More recently databases of shapes has been used to retrieve the shape that is the closest to the current measurement [19, 22].

Recently, deep learning has revolutionized the field of computer vision due to the enhanced computational power, the availability of large datasets, and the introduction of efficient architectures, such as the CNN [5]. Deep learning has demonstrated superior performance on many traditional computer vision tasks such as classification [20, 21, 16] and segmentation [24, 29]. Our 3D shape completion adapts the successful techniques from the field of deep learning and uses data-driven methods to complete the missing parts.

3D deep learning architecture largely depends on the choice of the 3D data representation, namely volumetric...
voxel grid, mesh, or point cloud. The extension of CNN in 3D works best with 3D voxel grids, which can be generated from point measurements with additional processing. Dai et al. [7] introduced a voxel-based shape completion framework which consists of a data-driven network and an analytic 3D shape synthesis technique. However, voxel-based techniques are limited in resolution because the network complexity and required computations increase drastically with the resolution. Recently, Dai et al. [8] extended this work to perform scene completion and semantic segmentation using coarse-to-fine strategy and using sub-volumes. There are also manifold-based deep learning approaches [28] to analyze various characteristics of complete shapes, but these lines of work depend on the topological structure of the mesh. Such techniques are not compatible with point cloud.

Point cloud is the raw output of many acquisition techniques. It is more efficient compared to the voxel-based representation, which is required to fully cover the entire volume including large empty spaces. However, most of the successful deep learning architectures can not be deployed on point cloud data. Stutz et al. [37] introduced a network which consumes incomplete point cloud but they use a pre-trained decoder to get a voxelized representation of the complete shape. Direct point cloud processing has been made possible recently due to the emergence of new architecture such as PointNet [33] and others [34, 9, 17].

Achlioptas et al. [1] explored learning shape representation with auto-encoder. They also investigated the generation of 3D point clouds and their latent representation with GANs. Even though their work performs a certain level of shape completion, their architecture is not designed for shape completion tasks and suffers from considerable degradation as the number of missing points at the input are increased. Gurumurthy et al. [15] have suggested shape completion architecture which utilizes latent GAN and auto-encoder. However, they use a time-consuming optimization step for each batch of input to select the best seed for the GAN. While we also use latent GAN, our approach is different because we use a trained agent to find the GAN’s input seed. In doing so, we complete shapes in a matter of milliseconds.

**GAN and RL.** Recently, Goodfellow et al. [13] suggested generative adversarial networks (GANs) which use a neural network (a discriminator) to train another neural network (a generator). The generator tries to fool the discriminator by synthesizing fake examples that resembles real data, whereas the discriminator tries to discriminate between the real and the fake data. The two networks compete with each other and eventually the generator learns the distribution of the real data.

While GAN suggests a way to overcome the limitation of data-driven methods, at the same time, it is very hard to train and is susceptible to a local optimum. Many improvements have been suggested which range from changes in the architecture of generator and discriminator to modifications in the loss function and adoption of good training practices [2, 41, 42, 14]. There are also practices to control GAN by observing the condition as an additional input [26] or using back-propagation to minimize the loss between the desired output and the generated output [40, 15].

Our pipeline utilizes deep reinforcement learning (RL) to control the complex latent space of GAN. RL is a framework where a decision-making network, also called an agent, interacts with the environment by taking available actions and collects rewards. RL agents in discrete action spaces have been used to provide useful guides to computer vision problems such as to propose bounding box locations [3, 4] or seed points for segmentation [36] with deep Q-network (DQN) [27]. On the other hand, we train an actor-critic based network [23] learning the policy in continuous action space to control GAN for shape completion. In our setup, the environment is the shape completion framework composed of various blocks such as AE and GAN, and the action is the input to the generator. The unknown behavior of the complex network can be controlled with the deep RL agent and we can generate completed shapes from highly occluded point cloud data.

### 3. Methods

Our shape completion pipeline is composed of three fundamental building blocks, which are namely an autoencoder (AE), a latent-space generative adversarial network (l-GAN) and a reinforcement learning (RL) agent. Each of the components is a deep neural network that has to be trained separately. We first train an AE and use the encoded data to train l-GAN. The RL agent is trained in combination with a pre-trained AE and GAN.

The forward pass of our method can be seen in Fig. 2. The encoder of the trained AE encodes the noisy and incomplete point cloud to a noisy global feature vector (GFV). Given this noisy GFV, our trained RL agent selects the correct seed for the l-GAN’s generator. The generator produces the clean GFV which is finally passed through the decoder of AE to get the completed point cloud representation of the clean GFV. A discriminator observes the GFV of the generated shape and the one processed by AE and selects the more plausible shape. In the following subsections, we explain the three fundamental building blocks of our approach, then describe the combined architecture.

#### 3.1. Autoencoder (AE)

An AE creates a low-dimensional encoding of input data by training a network that reproduces the input. An AE is composed of an encoder and a decoder. The encoder con-
verts a complex input into an encoded representation, and the decoder reverts the encoded version back to the original dimension. We refer to the efficient intermediate representation as the GFV, which is obtained upon training an AE. The training of AE is performed with back-propagation reducing the distance between input and output point cloud, either with the Earth Movers distance (EMD) [35] or the Chamfer distance [10, 1]. We use the Chamfer distance over EMD due to its efficiency which can be defined as follows:

$$d_{CH}(P_1, P_2) = \sum_{a \in P_1} \min_{b \in P_2} \|a - b\|^2_2 + \sum_{b \in P_2} \min_{a \in P_1} \|a - b\|^2_2,$$

where in Eq. (1) the $P_1$ and $P_2$ are the input and output point cloud respectively.

We first train a network similar to the one reported by Achlioptas et al. [1] on the ShapeNet point cloud dataset [39, 6]. Achlioptas et al. [1] also demonstrated that a trained AE can be used for shape completion. The trained decoder maps GFV into a complete point cloud even when the input GFV has been produced from an incomplete point cloud. But the performance degrades drastically as the percentage of the missing data in the input is increased (Fig. 1).

### 3.2. $l$-GAN

GAN generates new yet realistic data by jointly training a pair of generator and discriminator [13]. While GAN demonstrated its success in image generation tasks [41, 14, 2], in practice, training a GAN tends to be unstable and suffer from mode collapse [25]. Achlioptas et al. [1] showed that training a GAN on GFV, or latent representation, leads to more stable training results compared to training on raw point clouds. Similarly, we also train a GAN on GFV, which has been converted from complete point cloud data using the encoder of trained AE, Sec. 3.1. The generator synthesizes a new GFV from a noise seed $z$, which can then be converted into a complete 3D point cloud using the decoder of AE. We refer to the network as $l$-GAN or latent-GAN.

Gurumurthy et al. [15] similarly utilized $l$-GAN for point cloud shape completion. They formulated an optimization framework to find the best input $z$ to the generator to create GFV that best explains the incomplete point cloud at the input. However, as the mapping between the raw points and the GFV is highly non-linear, the optimization could not be written as a simple back-propagation. Rather, the energy term is a combination of three loss terms. We list the losses below, where $P_{in}$ is the incomplete point cloud input, $E$ and $E^{-1}$ are the encoder and the decoder of AE, and $G$ and $D$ represent the generator and the discriminator of the $l$-GAN respectively.

- Chamfer loss: the Chamfer distance between the input partial pointcloud $P_{in}$ and the generated, decoded pointcloud $E^{-1}(G(z))$

$$L_{CH} = d_{CH}(P_{in}, E^{-1}(G(z)))$$

- GFV loss: $l_2$ distance between the generated GFV $G(z)$ and the GFV of the input pointcloud $E(P_{in})$

$$L_{GFV} = \|G(z) - E(P_{in})\|_2^2$$

- Discriminator loss: the output of the discriminator

$$L_D = -D(G(z))$$

Gurumurthy et al. [15] optimized the energy function defined as a weighted sum of the losses, and the weights gradually evolve with every iteration. However, we propose a more robust control of GAN using an RL framework, where an RL agent quickly finds the $z$-input to the GAN by observing the combination of losses.

### 3.3. Reinforcement Learning (RL)

In a typical RL-based framework, an agent acts in an environment. Given an observation $x_t$ at each time step $t$, the agent performs an action $a_t$ and receives a reward $r_t$. The agent network learns a policy $\pi$ which maps states to the action with some probability. The environment can be modeled as a Markov decision process, i.e., the current state and action only depend on the previous state and action. The reward at any given state is the discounted future reward $R_t = \sum_{i=t}^{T} \gamma^{(i-t)} r(s_i, a_i)$. The final objective is to find a policy which provides the maximum reward.

We formulate the shape completion task in an RL framework as shown in Fig. 3. For our problem, the environment is the combination of AE and $l$-GAN, and resulting losses that are calculated as intermediate results of various networks in addition to the discrepancy between the input and the predicted shape. The observed state $s_t$ is the initial noisy GFV encoded from the incomplete input point cloud. We assume that the environment is Markov and fully observed; i.e., the recent most observation $x_t$ is enough to define the state $s_t$. The agent takes an action $a_t$ to pick the correct seed for the $z$-space input of the generator. The synthesized GFV is then passed through the decoder to obtain the completed point cloud shape.

One of the major tasks in training an RL agent is the correct formulation of the reward function. Depending on the quality of the action, the environment gives a reward $r$ back to the agent. In RL-GAN-Net, the right decision equates to the correct seed selection for the generator. We use the combination of negated loss functions as a reward for shape completion task [15] (Sec. 3.2) that represent losses in all of Cartesian coordinate ($r_{CH} = -L_{CH}$), latent space ($r_{GFV} = -L_{GFV}$), and in the view of the discriminator ($r_D = -L_D$). The final reward term is given as follows:

$$r = w_{CH} \cdot r_{CH} + w_{GFV} \cdot r_{GFV} + w_D \cdot r_D,$$
Figure 3: Training RL-GAN-Net for shape completion. Our RL framework utilizes AE (shown in green) and l-GAN (shown in blue). The RL agent and the environment are shaded in gray, and the embedded reward, states, and action spaces are highlighted in red. The output is decoded and completed as shown at the bottom. Note that the decoder and decoded point cloud in the upper right corner is added for a comparison, and does not affect the training. By employing an RL agent, our pipeline is capable of real-time shape completion.

Where \( w_{CH}, w_{GFV}, \) and \( w_D \) are the corresponding weights assigned to each loss function. We explain the selection of weights in the supplementary material.

Since the action space is continuous, we adopt deep deterministic policy gradient (DDPG) by Lillicrap et al. [23]. In DDPG algorithm, a parameterized actor network \( \mu(s \mid \theta^\mu) \) learns a particular policy and maps states to particular actions in a deterministic manner. The critic network \( Q(s, a) \) uses the Bellman equation and provides a measure of the quality of action and the state. The actor network is trained by finding the expected return of the gradient to the cost \( J w.r.t \) the actor-network parameters, which is also known as the policy gradient. It can be defined as below:

\[
\nabla \theta^\mu J(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{s_t \sim \rho^\text{tr}} \left[ \nabla_\theta Q(s, a \mid \theta^Q) \bigg|_{s=s_t, a=\mu(s_t)} \nabla_\theta \mu(s \mid \theta^\mu) \bigg|_{s=s_t} \right] \quad (6)
\]

Before training the agent, we make sure that AE and GAN are adequately pre-trained as they constitute the environment. The agent relies on them to select the correct action. The algorithm of the detailed training process is summarized in Algorithm 1.

### 3.4. Hybrid RL-GAN-Net

With the vanilla implementation described above, the generated details of completed point cloud can sometimes have limited semantic variations. When the portion of missing data is relatively small, the AE can often complete the shape that agrees better with the input point cloud. On the other hand, the performance of AE degrades significantly as more data is missing, and our RL-agent can nonetheless find the correct semantic shape. Based on this observation, we suggest a hybrid approach by using a discriminator as a switch that selects the best results out of the vanilla RL-GAN-Net and AE. The final pipeline we used for the result is shown in Fig. 2. Our hybrid approach can robustly complete the semantic shape in real time and at the same time preserve local details.

### 4. Experiments

We used PyTorch [31] and open source codes [12, 18, 30, 11] for our implementation. All networks were trained on a single Nvidia GTX Titan Xp graphics card. The details...
Figure 4: Qualitative results of point cloud shape completion missing 20% of its original points. With relatively small missing data, AE sometimes performs better in completing shapes. Therefore, our hybrid RL-GAN-Net reliably selects the best output shape among the AE and the vanilla RL-GAN-Net.

of network architectures are provided in the supplementary materials. For the experiments, we used the four categories with the most number of shapes among ShapeNetCore [6, 1] dataset, namely cars, airplanes, chairs, and desks. The total number of shapes sums to 26,829 for the four classes. All shapes are translated to be centered at the origin and scaled such that the diagonals of bounding boxes are of unit length. The ground-truth point cloud data is generated by uniformly sampling 2048 points on each shape. The points are used to train the AE and generate clean GFV to train the l-GAN. The incomplete point cloud is generated by selecting a random seed from the complete point cloud and removing points within a certain radius. The radius is controlled for each shape to obtain the desired amount of missing data. We generated incomplete point cloud missing 20, 30, 40, 50 and 70% of the original data for test, and trained our RL agent on the complete dataset.

4.1. Shape Completion Results

We present the results using the two variations of our algorithm, the vanilla version and the hybrid approach as mentioned in Sec. 3. Since the area is relatively new, there are not many previous works available performing shape completion in point cloud space. We compare our result against the method using AE only [1].

Fig. 5a shows the Chamfer distances of the completed shape compared against the ground-truth point cloud. With point cloud input with 70% of its original points missing, the Chamfer distance compared to ground truth increase up to 16% of the diagonal of the shape, but the reconstructed shapes of AE, vanilla and hybrid RL-GAN-Net all show less than 9% of the distances.

While the Chamfer distance is a widely used metric to compare shapes, we noticed that it might not be the absolute measure of the performance. From Fig. 5a, we noticed that the input point cloud \( P_{in} \) was the best in terms of Chamfer distance for 20% missing data. However, from our visual inspection in Fig. 4, the completed shapes, while they might not be exactly aligned in every detail, are semantically reasonable and does not exhibit any large holes that are clearly visible in the input. For the examples with data missing 70% of its original points in Fig. 1, it is obvious that our approach is superior to AE, whose visual quality for completed shape severely degrades as the ratio of missing data increases. However, the Chamfer distance is almost the same for AE and RL-GAN-Net. The observation can be interpreted as the fact that 1) AE is specifically trained to reduce the Chamfer loss, thus performs better in terms of the particular loss, while RL-GAN-Net jointly considers Chamfer loss, latent space and discriminator losses, and 2) \( P_{in} \) has points that are exactly aligned with the GT, which, when averaged, compensates errors from missing regions.

Nonetheless our hybrid approach correctly predicts the category of shapes and fills the missing points even with a large amount of missing data. In addition, the RL-controlled forward pass takes only around a millisecond to complete, which is a huge advantage over previous work [15] that requires back-propagation over a complex network. They claim the running time of 324 seconds for a batch of 50 shapes. On the other hand, our approach is real-time and easily used as a preprocessing step for various tasks, even at the scanning stage.

Comparison with Dai et al. [7] While there is not much prior work in point cloud space, we include the completion results of Dai et al [7] which works in a different domain (voxel grid). To briefly describe, their approach used an encoder-decoder network in \( 32^3 \) voxel space followed by an analytic patch-based completion in \( 128^3 \) resolution. Their results of both resolutions are available as distance function format. We converted the distance function into a surface

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ratio (%)</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time (ms)</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>1.293</td>
<td>1.295</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>1.032</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The average action time for the RL agent to produce clean GFV from observation of noisy GFV. Our approach can create the appropriate z-vector approximately in one millisecond.
representation using the MATLAB function *isosurface* as they described, and uniformly sampled 2048 points to compare with our results. We present the qualitative visual comparison in Fig. 6. The results of encoder-decoder based network (referred as Voxel $32^3$ in the figure) are smoother than point clouds processed by AE as the volume accumulation compensates for random noise. However, the approach is limited in resolution and washes out the local details. Even after the patch-based synthesis in $128^3$ resolution, the details they could recover are limited. On the other hand, our approach robustly preserves semantic symmetries and completes local details in challenging scenarios. It should be noted that we used only scanned point data but did not incorporate the additional mask information, which they utilized. More results are included in the supplementary material due to the limitation of space.

### 4.2. Application into Classification

As an alternative measure to test the performances of the semantic shape completion, we compared the classification accuracy of $P_{in}$ and the shapes completed by AE and RL-GAN-Net. This scenario also agrees with the main applications that we intended. That is, RL-GAN-Net can be used as a quick preprocessing of the captured real data before performing other tasks as the raw output of 3D measurements are often partial, noisy data to be used as a direct input to point cloud processing framework. We took the incomplete input and first processed through our shape completion pipeline. Then we analyzed the classification accuracy of PointNet [33] with the completed point cloud input and compared against the results with incomplete input. Fig. 5b shows the improvement of classification accuracy. Clearly, our suggested pipeline reduces possible performance losses of existing networks by completing the defects in the input.

We also would like to add a note about the performance of the vanilla RL-GAN-Net and the hybrid approach. We noticed that the main achievement of our RL agent is often limited to finding the correct semantic categories in the latent space. The hybrid approach overcomes the limitation by selecting the results of AE when the shape is more reasonable according to the trained discriminator. This agrees with the fact that the hybrid approach is clearly better in terms of Chamfer distance in Fig. 5a, but is comparable with the vanilla approach in classification in Fig. 5b, where the task is finding the correct category. Fig. 7 shows some examples of failure cases, where the suggested internal category does not exactly align with the observed shape.

### 4.3. Reward Function Analysis

We demonstrate the effects of the three different loss terms we used. Fig. 5c shows the change of loss values of generated pointcloud with different amount of missing data.
Both Chamfer loss $L_{CH}$ and GFV loss $L_{GFV}$ increase for a large amount of missing data. This is reasonable considering that we need to fill more information and make a larger change from the incomplete input as the ratio of missing data grows larger. The $L_{D}$ is almost constant as the pre-trained generator synthesizes according to the learned distribution given the $z$ input.

We also tested different combinations of loss functions for reward. Fig. 8 shows the sample results with a shape per category. While the Chamfer distance is the widely used metric to compare two shapes, the Chamfer loss was not very efficient when used alone. This can be interpreted as the curse of dimensionality. While we need to semantically complete the 3D positions of 2048 points, the single number of Chamfer loss is not enough to inform the agent to find the correct control of the $l$-GAN. On the other hand, the performance of GFV loss is impressive. While details are often mismatched, the GFV loss alone enables the controller to find the correct semantic shape category from partial data. This result agrees with the discussion in [1], where the latent space representation reduces the dimension and boost the performance of GAN. However, the completed shape aligned better with the desired shape when combined with the Chamfer loss, which only shows its power when combined with GFV. The discriminator loss is essential to create a realistic shape. When discriminator loss is used alone, the RL agent creates a reasonable but unrelated shape, which is an expected behaviour considering the reward is simply encouraging a realistic shape. From the results, we conclude that all of the three loss terms are necessary for the RL agent to deduce the correct control of GAN.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we presented a robust and real time point cloud shape completion framework using the RL agent to control the generator. Our primary motivation was to remove the costly and complex optimization process that is not real time and takes a minimum of 324 seconds to process a batch of inputs [15]. Instead of optimizing the various combinations of loss functions, we have converted these loss functions into rewards. In doing so, our RL agent can complete the shape in approximately one millisecond. In addition, we present shape completion results with data with up to 70% missing points. We show the superiority of our technique by demonstrating qualitative results.

We have also presented a use case of our network for the classification problem. Being real time, RL-GAN-Net can be used to improve the performance of other point cloud processing networks. We demonstrated that our trained network raises the classification accuracy of PointNet from 50% to 83% for the data with 70% missing points. With this work, we have demonstrated a hidden potential in RL-based techniques to effectively control the complex space of GAN. An immediate extension is to apply the approach into closely related tasks such as image in-painting [40].
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