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Figure 1: RevealNet takes an RGB-D scan as input and learns to “see behind objects”: from the scan’s color images and geometry (encoded as a TSDF), objects in the observed scene are detected (as 3D bounding boxes and class labels) and for each object, the complete geometry of that object is predicted as per-instance masks (in both seen and unseen regions).

Abstract

During 3D reconstruction, it is often the case that people cannot scan each individual object from all views, resulting in missing geometry in the captured scan. This missing geometry can be fundamentally limiting for many applications, e.g., a robot needs to know the unseen geometry to perform a precise grasp on an object. Thus, we introduce the task of semantic instance completion: from an incomplete RGB-D scan of a scene, we aim to detect the individual object instances and infer their complete object geometry. This will open up new possibilities for interactions with objects in a scene, for instance for virtual or robotic agents. We tackle this problem by introducing RevealNet, a new data-driven approach that jointly detects object instances and predicts their complete geometry. This enables a semantically meaningful decomposition of a scanned scene into individual, complete 3D objects, including hidden and unobserved object parts. RevealNet is an end-to-end 3D neural network architecture that leverages joint color and geometry feature learning. The fully-convolutional nature of our 3D network enables efficient inference of semantic instance completion for 3D scans at scale of large indoor environments in a single forward pass. We show that predicting complete object geometry improves both 3D detection and instance segmentation performance. We evaluate on both real and synthetic scan benchmark data for the new task, where we outperform state-of-the-art approaches by over 15 in mAP@0.5 on ScanNet, and over 18 in mAP@0.5 on SUNCG.

1. Introduction

Understanding 3D environments is fundamental to many tasks spanning computer vision, graphics, and robotics. In particular, in order to effectively navigate, and moreover interact with an environment, an understanding of the geometry of a scene and the objects it comprises of is essential. This is in contrast to the partial nature of reconstructed RGB-D scans; e.g., due to sensor occlusions. For instance, for a robot exploring an environment, it needs to infer where objects are as well as what lies behind the objects it sees in order to efficiently navigate or perform tasks like grasping. That is, it needs not only instance-level knowledge of objects in the scene, but to also estimate the missing geometry of these objects. Additionally, for content creation or mixed reality applications, captured scenes must be decomposable
into their complete object components, in order to enable applications such as scene editing or virtual-real object interactions; i.e., it is often insufficient to segment object instances only for observed regions.

Thus, we aim to address this task of “seeing behind objects,” which we refer to as **semantic instance completion**: predicting object detection as well as instance-level completion for an input partial 3D scan of a scene. Previous approaches have addressed these tasks independently: 3D instance segmentation segments object instances from the visible surface of a partial scan [43, 14, 46, 45, 18, 26, 23, 8], and 3D scan completion approaches predict the full scene geometry [39, 7], but lack the notion of individual objects. In contrast, our approach focuses on the instance level, as knowledge of instances is essential towards enabling interaction with the objects in an environment.

In addition, the task of semantic instance completion is not only important towards enabling object-level understanding and interaction with 3D environments, but we also show that the prediction of complete object geometry informs the task of semantic instance segmentation. Thus, in order to address the task of semantic instance completion, we propose to consider instance detection and object completion in an end-to-end, fully differentiable fashion.

From an input RGB-D scan of a scene, our RevealNet model sees behind objects to predict each object’s complete geometry. First, object bounding boxes are detected and regressed, followed by object classification and then a prediction of complete object geometry. Our approach leverages a unified backbone from which instance detection and object completion are predicted, enabling information to flow from completion to detection. We incorporate features from both color image and 3D geometry of a scanned scene, as well as a fully-convolutional design in order to effectively predict the complete object decomposition of varying-sized scenes. To address the task of semantic instance completion for real-world scans, where ground truth complete geometry is not readily available, we further introduce a new semantic instance completion benchmark for ScanNet [4], leveraging the Scan2CAD [1] annotations to evaluate semantic instance completion (and semantic instance completion).

In summary, we present a fully-convolutional, end-to-end 3D CNN formulation to predict 3D instance completion that outperforms state-of-the-art, decoupled approaches to semantic instance completion by 15.8 in mAP@0.5 on real-world scan data, and 18.5 in mAP@0.5 on synthetic data:

- We introduce the task of **semantic instance completion** for 3D scans;
- we propose a novel, end-to-end 3D convolutional network which predicts 3D semantic instance completion as object bounding boxes, class labels, and complete object geometry,
- and we show that semantic instance completion task can benefit semantic instance segmentation and detection performance.

2. Related Work

**Object Detection and Instance Segmentation** Recent advances in convolutional neural networks have now begun to drive impressive progress in object detection and instance segmentation for 2D images [9, 33, 23, 32, 20, 13, 21]. Combined with the increasing availability of synthetic and real-world 3D data [4, 39, 2], we are now seeing more advances in object detection [37, 38, 31, 30] for 3D. Sliding Shapes [37] predicted 3D object bounding boxes from a depth image, designing handcrafted features to detect objects in a sliding window fashion. Deep Sliding Shapes [38] then extended this approach to leverage learned features for object detection in a single RGB-D frame. Frustum PointNet [31] tackles the problem of object detection for an RGB-D frame by first detecting object in the 2D image before projecting the detected boxes into 3D to produce final refined box predictions. VoteNet [30] propose a reformulation of Hough voting in the context of deep learning through an end-to-end differentiable architecture for 3D detection purpose.

Recently, several approaches have been introduced to perform 3D instance segmentation, applicable to single or multi-frame RGB-D input. Wang et al. [43] introduced SGPN to operate on point clouds by clustering semantic segmentation predictions. Li et al. [46] leverages an object proposal-based approach to predict instance segmentation for a point cloud. Simultaneously, Hou et al. [14] presented an approach leveraging joint color-geometry feature learning for detection and instance segmentation on volumetric data. Lahoud et al. [18] proposes to use multi-task losses to predict instance segmentation. Yang et al. [45] and Liu et al. [22] both use bottom-up methods to predict instance segmentation for a point cloud. Our approach also leverages an anchor-based object proposal mechanism for detection, but we leverage object completion to predict instance completion, as well as show that completing object-level geometry can improve detection and instance segmentation performance on volumetric data.

**3D Scan Completion** Scan completion of 3D shapes has been a long-studied problem in geometry processing, particularly for cleaning up broken mesh models. In this context, traditional methods have largely focused on filling small holes by locally fitting geometric primitives, or through continuous energy minimization [40, 27, 47]. Surface reconstruction approaches on point cloud inputs [15, 16] can also be applied in this fashion to locally optimize for missing surfaces. Other shape completion approaches leverage priors such as symmetry and structural priors [42, 24, 29].
Recently, methods leveraging generative deep learning have been developed to predict the complete geometry of 3D shapes [44, 6, 11, 12]. Song et al. [39] extended beyond shapes to predicting the voxel occupancy for a single depth frame leveraging the geometric occupancy prediction to achieve improved 3D semantic segmentation. Recently, Dai et al. [7] presented a first approach for data-driven scan completion of full 3D scenes, leveraging a fully-convolutional, autoregressive approach to predict complete geometry along with 3D semantic segmentation. Both Song et al. [39] and Dai et al. [7] show that inferring the complete scan geometry can improve 3D semantic segmentation. With our approach for 3D semantic instance completion, this task not only enables new applications requiring instance-based knowledge of a scene (e.g., virtual or robotic interactions with objects in a scene), but we also show that instance segmentation can benefit from instance completion.

3. Method Overview

Our network takes as input an RGB-D scan, and learns to join together features from both the color images as well as the 3D geometry to inform the semantic instance completion. The architecture is shown in Fig. 2.

The input 3D scan is encoded as a truncated signed distance field (TSDF) in a volumetric grid. To combine this with color information from the RGB images, we first extract 2D features using 2D convolutional layers on the RGB images, which are then back-projected into a 3D volumetric grid, and subsequently merged with geometric features extracted from the geometry. The joint features are then fed into an encoder-decoder backbone, which leverages a series of 3D residual blocks to learn the representation for the task of semantic instance completion. Objects are detected through anchor proposal and bounding box regression; these predicted object boxes are then used to crop and extract features from the backbone encoder to predict the object class label as well as the complete object geometry for each detected object as per-voxel occupancies.

We adopt in total five losses to supervise the learning process illustrated in Fig. 2. Detection contains three losses: (1) objectness using binary cross entropy to indicate that there is an object, (2) box location using a Huber loss to regress the 3D bounding box locations, and (3) classification of the class label loss using cross entropy. Following detection, the completion head contains two losses: per-instance completion loss using binary cross entropy to predict per-voxel occupancies, and a proxy completion loss using binary cross entropy to classify the surface voxels belonging to all objects in the scene.

Our method operates on a unified backbone for detection followed by instance completion, enabling object completion to inform the object detection process; this results in effective 3D detection as well as instance completion. Its fully-convolutional nature enables us to train on cropped chunks of 3D scans but test on a whole scene in a single forward pass, resulting in an efficient decomposition of a scan into a set of complete objects.

4. Network Architecture

From an RGB-D scan input, our network operates on the scan’s reconstructed geometry, encoded as a TSDF in a volumetric grid, as well as the color images. To jointly learn from both color and geometry, color features are first extracted in 2D with a 2D semantic segmentation network [28], and then back-projected into 3D to be combined with the TSDF features, similar to [5, 14]. This enables complementary semantic features to be learned from both data modalities. These features are then input to the backbone of our network, which is structured in an encoder-decoder style.

The encoder-decoder backbone is composed of a series of five 3D residual blocks, which generates five volumetric feature maps $F = \{ f_i | i = 1 \ldots 5 \}$. The encoder results in a reduction of spatial dimension by a factor of 4, and symmetric decoder results in an expansion of spatial dimension by a factor of 4. Skip connections link spatially-corresponding encoder and decoder features. For a more detailed description of the network architecture, we refer to the appendix.

4.1. Color Back-Projection

As raw color data is often of much higher resolution than 3D geometry, to effectively learn from both color and geometry features, we leverage color information by back-projecting 2D CNN features learned from RGB images to 3D, similar to [5, 14]. For each voxel location $v_i = (x, y, z)$ in the 3D volumetric grid, we find its pixel location $p_i = (x, y)$ in 2D views by camera intrinsic and extrinsic matrices. We assign the voxel feature at location $v_i$ with the learned 2D CNN feature vector at $p_i$. To handle multiple image observations of the same voxel $v_i$, we apply element-wise view pooling; this also allows our approach to handle a varying number of input images. Note that this back-projection is differentiable, allowing our model to be trained end-to-end and benefit from both RGB and geometric signal.

4.2. Object Detection

For object detection, we predict the bounding box of each detected object as well as the class label. To inform the detection, features are extracted from feature maps $F_2$ and $F_3$ of the backbone encoder. We define two set of anchors on these two features maps, $A_s = \{ a_i | i = 1 \ldots N_s \}$ and
Figure 2: Our RevealNet network architecture takes an RGB-D scan as input. Color images are processed with 2D convolutions to spatially compress the information before back-projecting into 3D, to be merged with the 3D geometry features of the scan (following [5, 14]). These joint features are used for object detection (as 3D bounding boxes and class labels) followed by per-instance geometric completion, for the task of semantic instance completion. In contrast to [14], which leverages separate backbones for detection and instance segmentation, our network maintains one unified backbone for both detection and completion head, allowing the completion task to directly inform the detection parameters.

\[ A_b = \{a_i | i = 1 \ldots N_b\} \] representing ‘small’ and ‘large’ anchors for the earlier \( F_2 \) and later \( F_3 \), respectively, so that the larger anchors are associated with the feature map of larger receptive field. These anchors \( A_s \cup A_b \) are selected through a k-means clustering of the ground truth 3D bounding boxes. For our experiments, we use \( N_s + N_b = 9 \). From these \( N_s + N_b \) clusters, \( A_b \) are those with any axis > 1.125m, and the rest are in \( A_s \).

The two features maps \( F_2 \) and \( F_3 \) are then processed by a 3D region proposal to regress the 3D object bounding boxes. The 3D region proposal first employs a \( 1 \times 1 \times 1 \) convolution layer to output objectness scores for each potential anchor, producing an objectness feature map with \( 2(N_s + N_b) \) channels for the positive and negative objectness probabilities. Another \( 1 \times 1 \times 1 \) convolution layer is used to predict the 3D bounding box locations as 6-dimensional offsets from the anchors; we then apply a non-maximum suppression based on the objectness scores. We use a Huber loss on the log ratios of the offsets to the anchor sizes to regress the final bounding box predictions:

\[
\Delta_x = \frac{\mu - \mu_{\text{anchor}}}{\phi_{\text{anchor}}} \quad \Delta_w = \ln\left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_{\text{anchor}}}\right)
\]

where \( \mu \) is the box center point and \( \phi \) is the box width. The final bounding box loss is then:

\[
L_\Delta = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} \Delta^2, & \text{if } |\Delta| \leq 2 \\
|\Delta|, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Using these predicted object bounding boxes, we then predict the object class labels using features cropped from the bounding box locations from \( F_2 \) and \( F_3 \). We use a 3D region of interest pooling layer to unify the sizes of the cropped feature maps to a spatial dimension of \( 4 \times 4 \times 4 \) to be input to an object classification MLP.

4.3. Instance Completion

For each object, we infer its complete geometry by predicting per-voxel occupancies. Here, we crop features from feature map \( F_5 \) of the backbone, which has a feature map resolution matching the input spatial resolution, using the predicted object bounding box. These features are processed through a series of five 3D convolutions which maintain the spatial resolution of their input. The complete geometry is then predicted as voxel occupancy using a binary cross entropy loss.

We predict \( N_{\text{classes}} \) potential object completions for each class category, and select the final prediction based on the predicted object class. We define ground truth bounding boxes \( b_i \) and masks \( m_i \) as \( \gamma = \{(b_i, m_i) | i = 1 \ldots N_b\} \). Further, we define predicted bounding boxes \( \hat{b}_i \) along with predicted masks \( \hat{m}_i \) as \( \hat{\gamma} = \{\hat{b}_i, \hat{m}_i | i = 1 \ldots N_b\} \). During training, we only train on predicted bounding boxes that overlap with the ground truth bounding boxes:

\[
\Omega = \{(\hat{b}_i, \hat{m}_i, b_i, m_i) | \text{IoU}(\hat{b}_i, b_i) \geq 0.5, \forall (\hat{b}_i, \hat{m}_i) \in \hat{\gamma}, \forall (b_i, m_i) \in \gamma\}
\]

We can then define the instance completion loss for each
Table 1: 3D Semantic Instance Completion on ScanNet [4] scans with Scan2CAD [1] targets at mAP@0.5. Our end-to-end formulation achieves significantly better performance than alternative, decoupled approaches that first use state-of-the-art scan completion [7] and then instance segmentation [14] method or first instance segmentation [14] and then shape completion [6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>display</th>
<th>table</th>
<th>bathtub</th>
<th>trashbin</th>
<th>sofa</th>
<th>chair</th>
<th>cabinet</th>
<th>bookshelf</th>
<th>avg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scene Completion + Instance Segmentation</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instance Segmentation + Shape Completion</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>14.86</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours – RevealNet (no color)</td>
<td>13.16</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>13.64</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>24.79</td>
<td>29.41</td>
<td>23.64</td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours – RevealNet</td>
<td>26.86</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>22.31</td>
<td>28.93</td>
<td>24.79</td>
<td>15.87</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Optimization

We train our model jointly, end-to-end from scratch. We use an SGD optimizer with batch size 64 for object proposals and 16 for object classification, and all positive bounding box predictions (> 0.5 IoU with ground truth box) for object completion. We use a learning rate of 0.005, which is decreased by a factor of 0.1 every 100k steps. We train our model for 200k steps (∼ 60 hours) to convergence, on a single Nvidia GTX 1080Ti. Additionally, we augment the data for training the object completion using ground truth bounding boxes and classification in addition to predicted object detection.

6. Results

We evaluate our approach on semantic instance completion performance on synthetic scans of SUNCG [39] scenes as well as on real-world ScanNet [4] scans, where we obtain ground truth object locations and geometry from CAD models aligned to ScanNet provided by Scan2CAD [1]. To evaluate semantic instance completion, we use a mean average precision metric on the complete masks (at IoU 0.5). Qualitative results are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Comparison to state-of-the-art approaches for semantic instance completion. Tables 1 and 2 evaluate our method against state of the art for the task of semantic instance completion on our real and synthetic scans, respectively. Qualitative comparisons on ScanNet scans [4] with Scan2CAD [1] targets (which provide ground truth for complete object geometry) are shown in Fig. 3. We compare to state-of-the-art 3D instance segmentation and scan completion approaches used sequentially; that is, first applying a 3D instance segmentation approach followed by a shape completion method on the predicted instance segmentation, as well as first applying a scene completion approach to the input partial scan, followed by a 3D instance segmentation method. For 3D instance segmentation, we evaluate 3D-SIS [14], which achieves state-of-the-art performance on a dense volumetric grid representation (the representation we use), and for scan completion we evaluate the 3D-
Figure 3: Qualitative results on real-world ScanNet [4] scenes with Scan2CAD [1] targets. Close-ups are shown on the right. Note that different colors denote distinct object instances in the visualization. Our approach effectively predicts complete individual object geometry, including missing structural components (e.g., missing chair legs), across varying degrees of partialness in input scan observations.

EPN [6] shape completion approach and ScanComplete [7] scene completion approach. Our end-to-end approach for semantic instance completion results in significantly improved performance due to information flow from instance completion to object detection. For instance, this allows our instance completion to more easily adapt to some inaccuracies in detection, which strongly hinders a decoupled approach. Note that the ScanComplete model applied on ScanNet data is trained on synthetic data, due to the lack of complete ground truth scene data (Scan2CAD provides only object ground truth) for real-world scans.

*Does instance completion help instance detection and segmentation?* We can also evaluate our semantic instance completion predictions on the task of semantic instance segmentation by taking the intersection between the predicted complete mask and the input partial scan geom-
Table 2: 3D Semantic Instance Completion on synthetic SUNCG [39] scans at mAP@0.5. Our semantic instance completion approach achieves significantly better performance than alternative approaches with decoupled state-of-the-art scan completion (SC) [7] followed by instance segmentation (IS) [14], as well as instance segmentation followed by shape completion [6]. We additionally evaluate our approach without color input (no color) and without a completion proxy loss on the network backbone (no proxy).

|          | cab | bed | chair | sofa | tabl | door | wind | bkshf | cntr | desk | shlf | curt | drsr | mirr | tv | nigh | toil | sink | lamp | bath | ostr | ofurn | oprop | avg |
|----------|-----|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SC + IS  | 3.0 | 0.6 | 19.5  | 0.8  | 18.1 | 15.9 | 0.00 | 0.0  | 2.3  | 3.0  | 0.0  | 0.5  | 0.0  | 9.2  | 10.4 | 23.9 | 3.4 | 9.1  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 9.1  | 5.5  |
| IS + SC  | 0.3 | 0.0 | 7.4   | 0.4  | 3.0  | 9.1  | 0.0  | 0.2  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 2.3  | 0.0  | 3.0  | 0.0  | 2.6  | 0.0  | 1.8  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 4.6  | 1.5  |
| no color | 19.05| 41.8| 38.2  | 11.9 | 23.9 | 9.1  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 2.5  | 9.1  | 0.0  | 12.6 | 4.6  | 49.4 | 33.8 | 63.4 | 36.9 | 38.8 | 14.7 | 15.9 | 0.0  | 23.8 | 20.5 |
| no proxy | 12.9 | 46.1| 39.4  | 26.8 | 30.3 | 1.0  | 0.0  | 0.0  | 9.1  | 18.2 | 3.4  | 0.0  | 1.1  | 0.0  | 43.6 | 34.0 | 69.1 | 32.4 | 29.6 | 31.1 | 14.6 | 0.0  | 23.3 | 20.9 |
| Ours     | 14.7 | 58.3| 38.2  | 28.8 | 29.5 | 0.0  | 15.9 | 54.6 | 9.1  | 12.1 | 9.1  | 0.0  | 6.2  | 0.0  | 49.4 | 33.5 | 61.2 | 34.5 | 29.5 | 27.1 | 16.4 | 0.0  | 23.5 | 24.0 |

Figure 4: Qualitative results on SUNCG dataset [39] (left: full scans, right: close-ups). We sample RGB-D images to reconstruct incomplete 3D scans from random camera trajectories inside SUNCG scenes. Note that different colors denote distinct object instances in the visualization.
Table 3: 3D Detection and Instance Segmentation on ScanNet [4] scans with Scan2CAD [1] annotations at mAP@0.5. We evaluate our instance completion approach on the task of instance segmentation and detection to justify our contribution that instance completion task helps instance segmentation and detection. We evaluate our approach without completion (no compl), without color input (no color), and without a completion proxy loss on the network backbone (no proxy). Predicting instance completion notably increases performance of predicting both instance segmentation and detection (Ours vs. no compl). We additionally compare against 3D-SIS [14], a state-of-the-art approach for both 3D detection and instance segmentation on 3D dense volumetric data (the representation we use).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>3D Detection</th>
<th>Instance Segmentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no compl)</td>
<td>31.93</td>
<td>24.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no color)</td>
<td>29.29</td>
<td>23.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no proxy)</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>25.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td><strong>36.39</strong></td>
<td><strong>30.52</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: 3D Detection and Instance Segmentation on synthetic SUNCG [39] scans at mAP@0.5. To demonstrate the benefits of instance completion task for instance segmentation and 3D detection, we evaluate our semantic instance completion approach on the task of instance segmentation and 3D detection. Predicting instance completion notably benefits 3D detection and instance segmentation (Ours vs. no compl).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>3D Detection</th>
<th>Instance Segmentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no compl)</td>
<td>29.80</td>
<td>23.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no color)</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>31.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (no proxy)</td>
<td>34.05</td>
<td>32.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td><strong>37.81</strong></td>
<td><strong>36.28</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What is the effect of a global completion proxy? In Tables 3 and 4, we can see that it also improves 3D detection and semantic instance segmentation performance.

Can color input help? Our approach takes as input the 3D scan geometry as a TSDF as well as the corresponding color images. We evaluate our approach with and without the color input stream; on both real and synthetic scans, the color input notably improves semantic instance completion performance, as shown in Tables 1 and 2.

7. Limitations

Our approach shows significant potential in the task of semantic instance completion, but several important limitations still remain. First, we output a binary mask for the complete object geometry, which can limit the amount of detail represented by the completion; other 3D representations such as distance fields or sparse 3D representations [10] could potentially resolve greater geometric detail. Our approach also uses axis-aligned bounding boxes for object detection; it would be helpful to additionally predict the object orientation. We also do not consider object movement over time, which contains significant opportunities for semantic instance completion in the context of dynamic environments.

8. Conclusion

In this paper, we tackle the problem of “seeing behind objects” by predicting the missing geometry of individual objects in RGB-D scans. This opens up many possibilities for complex interactions with objects in 3D, for instance for efficient navigation or robotic grasping. To this end, we introduced the new task of semantic instance completion along with RevealNet, a new 3D CNN-based approach to jointly detect objects and predict their complete geometry. Our proposed 3D CNN learns from both color and geometry features to detect and classify objects, then predicts the voxel occupancy for the complete geometry of the object in an end-to-end fashion, which can be run on a full 3D scan in a single forward pass. On both real and synthetic scan data, we significantly outperform state-of-the-art approaches for semantic instance completion. We believe that our approach makes an important step towards higher-level scene understanding and helps to enable object-based interactions and understanding of scenes, which we hope will open up new research avenues.
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