A. Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove a lemma of the gradient estimation quality which samples from the entire subspace:

Lemma 1. For a boundary point x, suppose that S(x) has L-Lipschitz gradients in a neighborhood of x, and that $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_B$ are sampled from the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^m and orthogonal to each other. Then the expected cosine similarity between $\widetilde{\nabla S}$ and ∇S can be bounded by:

$$\left(2\left(1-\left(\frac{L\delta}{2||\nabla S||_2}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1\right)c_m\sqrt{\frac{B}{m}}$$
(14)

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\big[\cos(\nabla S, \nabla S)\big] \tag{15}$$

$$\leq c_m \sqrt{\frac{B}{m}} \tag{16}$$

where c_m is a constant related with m and can be bounded by $c_m \in (2/\pi, 1)$. In particular, we have:

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathbb{E} \big[\cos(\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S) \big] = c_m \sqrt{\frac{B}{m}}.$$
 (17)

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_B$ be the random orthonormal vectors sampled from \mathbb{R}^m . We expand the vectors to an orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^m : $\mathbf{q}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_B = \mathbf{u}_B, \mathbf{q}_{B+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_m$. Hence, the gradient direction can be written as:

$$\frac{\nabla S}{||\nabla S||_2} = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \mathbf{q}_i \tag{18}$$

where $a_i = \langle \frac{\nabla S}{||\nabla S||_2}, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle$ and its distribution is equivalent to the distribution of one coordinate of an (m-1)-sphere. Then each a_i follows the probability distribution function:

$$p_a(x) = \frac{(1-x^2)^{\frac{m-3}{2}}}{\mathcal{B}(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \ x \in (-1, 1)$$
(19)

where \mathcal{B} is the beta function. According to the conclusion in the proof of Theorem 1 in [9], if we let $w = \frac{L\delta}{2||\nabla S||_2}$, then it always holds true that $\phi(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u_i}) = 1$ when $a_i > w$, -1 when $a_i < -w$ regardless of u_i and the decision boundary shape. Hence, we can rewrite ϕ_i in term of a_i :

$$\phi_i = \phi(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{u_i}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_i \in [w, 1) \\ -1, & \text{if } a_i \in (-1, -w] \\ \text{undetermined}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(20)

Therefore, the estimated gradient can be rewritten as:

$$\widetilde{\nabla S} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \phi_i \mathbf{u}_i \tag{21}$$

Combining Eqn. 18 and 21, we can calculate the cosine similarity:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{a_1, \dots, a_B} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^B a_i \phi_i}{\sqrt{B}}$$
(22)

$$= \sqrt{B} \cdot \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{a_1} \left[a_1 \phi_1 \right] \tag{23}$$

In the best case, ϕ_1 has the same sign with a_1 everywhere on (-1, 1); in the worst case, ϕ_1 has different sign with a_1 on (-w, w). In addition, $p_a(x)$ is symmetric on (-1, 1). Therefore, the expectation is bounded by:

$$2\int_{w}^{1} p_a(x) \cdot x dx - 2\int_{0}^{w} p_a(x) \cdot x dx \qquad (24)$$

$$\leq \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{a_1} \left[a_1 \phi_1 \right] \tag{25}$$

$$\leq 2\int_0^1 p_a(x) \cdot x dx \tag{26}$$

By calculating the integration, we have:

$$\left(2\left(1-w^2\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1\right)\cdot\frac{2\sqrt{B}}{\mathcal{B}(\frac{m-1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\cdot(m-1)}$$
(27)

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\nabla S, \nabla S)\right] \tag{28}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\sqrt{B}}{\mathcal{B}(\frac{m-1}{2},\frac{1}{2})\cdot(m-1)}$$
(29)

The only problem is to calculate $\mathcal{B}(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cdot (m-1)$. It is easy to prove by scaling that $\mathcal{B}(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \cdot (m-1) \in (2\sqrt{m}, \pi\sqrt{m})$. Hence we can get the conclusion in the theorem.

Having Lemma 1, Theorem 1 follows by noticing that $\mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)\right] = \rho \mathbb{E}\left[\cos(\widetilde{\nabla S}, \operatorname{proj}_{\operatorname{span}(W)}(\nabla S))\right].$