## A. Proof of Theorem 1

We first prove a lemma of the gradient estimation quality which samples from the entire subspace:

Lemma 1. For a boundary point $x$, suppose that $S(x)$ has L-Lipschitz gradients in a neighborhood of $x$, and that $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{B}$ are sampled from the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and orthogonal to each other. Then the expected cosine similarity between $\widetilde{\nabla S}$ and $\nabla S$ can be bounded by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2\left(1-\left(\frac{L \delta}{2\|\nabla S\|_{2}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1\right) c_{m} \sqrt{\frac{B}{m}}  \tag{14}\\
\leq & \mathbb{E}[\cos (\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)]  \tag{15}\\
\leq & c_{m} \sqrt{\frac{B}{m}} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{m}$ is a constant related with $m$ and can be bounded by $c_{m} \in(2 / \pi, 1)$. In particular, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\cos (\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)]=c_{m} \sqrt{\frac{B}{m}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_{B}$ be the random orthonormal vectors sampled from $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. We expand the vectors to an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{m}: \mathbf{q}_{1}=\mathbf{u}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{B}=\mathbf{u}_{B}, \mathbf{q}_{B+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_{m}$. Hence, the gradient direction can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nabla S}{\|\nabla S\|_{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} \mathbf{q}_{i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}=\left\langle\frac{\nabla S}{\|\nabla S\|_{2}}, \mathbf{q}_{i}\right\rangle$ and its distribution is equivalent to the distribution of one coordinate of an $(m-1)$-sphere. Then each $a_{i}$ follows the probability distribution function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{a}(x)=\frac{\left(1-x^{2}\right)^{\frac{m-3}{2}}}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}, x \in(-1,1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the beta function. According to the conclusion in the proof of Theorem 1 in [9], if we let $w=\frac{L \delta}{2\|\nabla S\|_{2}}$, then it always holds true that $\phi\left(\mathbf{x}+\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=1$ when $a_{i}>w,-1$ when $a_{i}<-w$ regardless of $u_{i}$ and the decision boundary shape. Hence, we can rewrite $\phi_{i}$ in term of $a_{i}$ :

$$
\phi_{i}=\phi\left(\mathbf{x}+\delta \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } a_{i} \in[w, 1)  \tag{20}\\ -1, & \text { if } a_{i} \in(-1,-w] \\ \text { undetermined, }, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, the estimated gradient can be rewritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nabla S}=\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \phi_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Eqn. 18 and 21, we can calculate the cosine similarity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}[\cos (\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)] & =\underset{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{B}}{\mathbb{E}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{B} a_{i} \phi_{i}}{\sqrt{B}}  \tag{22}\\
& =\sqrt{B} \cdot \underset{a_{1}}{\mathbb{E}}\left[a_{1} \phi_{1}\right] \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In the best case, $\phi_{1}$ has the same sign with $a_{1}$ everywhere on $(-1,1)$; in the worst case, $\phi_{1}$ has different sign with $a_{1}$ on $(-w, w)$. In addition, $p_{a}(x)$ is symmetric on $(-1,1)$. Therefore, the expectation is bounded by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int_{w}^{1} p_{a}(x) \cdot x d x-2 \int_{0}^{w} p_{a}(x) \cdot x d x  \tag{24}\\
\leq & \underset{a_{1}}{\mathbb{E}}\left[a_{1} \phi_{1}\right]  \tag{25}\\
\leq & 2 \int_{0}^{1} p_{a}(x) \cdot x d x \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

By calculating the integration, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(2\left(1-w^{2}\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1\right) \cdot \frac{2 \sqrt{B}}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot(m-1)}  \tag{27}\\
\leq & \mathbb{E}[\cos (\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)]  \tag{28}\\
\leq & \frac{2 \sqrt{B}}{\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot(m-1)} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

The only problem is to calculate $\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot(m-1)$. It is easy to prove by scaling that $\mathcal{B}\left(\frac{m-1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot(m-1) \in$ $(2 \sqrt{m}, \pi \sqrt{m})$. Hence we can get the conclusion in the theorem.

Having Lemma 1, Theorem 1 follows by noticing that $\mathbb{E}[\cos (\widetilde{\nabla S}, \nabla S)]=\rho \mathbb{E}\left[\cos \left(\widetilde{\nabla S}, \operatorname{proj}_{\operatorname{span}(W)}(\nabla S)\right)\right]$.

