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To better understand how each component in our proposed DDT contributes the final result, two examples of the predicted pancreatic duct in the PDAC segmentation dataset [1] are shown in Fig. 1. These qualitative examples show that our distance loss term and geometry-aware refinement (i.e., $\lambda = 1$, w/ GAR) learn more accurate scales, and can better capture the shape characteristics of the tubular structure.

Figure 1. Illustration of duct segmentation results obtained by the ablation experiments (c.f., Table 2 in our paper) for two selected example cases. Two rows show two selected cases, respectively. The first column shows the ground-truth. The rest four columns depict the combined results for $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ and with or without geometry-aware refinement. $\lambda = 1$, w/ GAR leads to better segmentation results (indicated by green arrows). Numbers on the bottom right show segmentation DSCs. It’s better to use viewer zoom functionality to see fine details.
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