

This ECCV 2018 paper, provided here by the Computer Vision Foundation, is the author-created version. The content of this paper is identical to the content of the officially published ECCV 2018 LNCS version of the paper as available on SpringerLink: https://link.springer.com/conference/eccv

StereoNet: Guided Hierarchical Refinement for Real-Time Edge-Aware Depth Prediction

Sameh Khamis, Sean Fanello, Christoph Rhemann, Adarsh Kowdle, Julien Valentin, Shahram Izadi

Google Inc.

Abstract. This paper presents StereoNet, the first end-to-end deep architecture for real-time stereo matching that runs at 60 fps on an NVidia Titan X, producing high-quality, edge-preserved, quantization-free disparity maps. A key insight of this paper is that the network achieves a sub-pixel matching precision than is a magnitude higher than those of traditional stereo matching approaches. This allows us to achieve realtime performance by using a very low resolution cost volume that encodes all the information needed to achieve high disparity precision. Spatial precision is achieved by employing a learned edge-aware upsampling function. Our model uses a Siamese network to extract features from the left and right image. A first estimate of the disparity is computed in a very low resolution cost volume, then hierarchically the model reintroduces high-frequency details through a learned upsampling function that uses compact pixel-to-pixel refinement networks. Leveraging color input as a guide, this function is capable of producing high-quality edgeaware output. We achieve compelling results on multiple benchmarks, showing how the proposed method offers extreme flexibility at an acceptable computational budget.

Keywords: Stereo matching, Depth estimation, Edge-aware refinement, Cost volume filtering, Deep learning

1 Introduction

Stereo matching is a classical computer vision problem that is concerned with estimating depth from two slightly displaced images. Depth estimation has recently been projected to the center stage with the rising interest in virtual and augmented reality [41]. It is at the heart of many tasks from 3D reconstruction to localization and tracking [28]. Its applications span otherwise disparate research and product areas including indoor mapping and architecture, autonomous cars, and human body and face tracking.

Active depth sensors like the Microsoft Kinect provide high quality depthmaps and have not only revolutionized computer vision research [12, 11, 41, 16, 55], but also play an important role in consumer level applications. These active depth sensors have become very popular over the recent years with the release of many other consumer devices, such as the Intel RealSense series, the structured

light sensor on iPhone X, as well as time-of-flight cameras such as Kinect V2. With the rise of Augmented Reality (AR) applications on mobile devices, there is a growing need of algorithms capable of predicting precise depth under tight computational budget. With the exception of the iPhone X, all smartphones on the market can only rely on single or dual RGB streams. The release of sparse tracking and mapping tools like ARKit and ARCore impressively demonstrate coarse and sparse geometry estimation on mobile devices. However, they lack dense depth estimation and therefore cannot enable exciting AR applications such as occlusion handling or precise interaction of virtual objects with the real world. Depth estimation using a single moving camera, akin to [46], or dual cameras naturally became a requirement from the industry to scale AR to millions of users.

The state of the art in passive depth relies on stereo triangulation between two (rectified) RGB images. This has historically been dominated by CRF-based approaches. These techniques obtain very good results but are computationally slow. Inference in these models amounts to solving a generally NP-hard problem, forcing practitioners in many cases to use solvers whose runtime is in the ranges of seconds [33] or resort to approximated solutions [14, 15, 56, 54]. Additionally, these techniques typically suffer in the presence of textureless regions, occlusions, repetitive patterns, thin-structures, and reflective surfaces. The field is slowly transitioning and since [61], it started to use deep features, mostly as unary potentials, to further advance the state of the art.

Recently, deep-architectures demonstrated a high level of accuracy at predicting depth from passive stereo data [37, 26, 29, 42]. Despite these significant advances, the proposed methods require vast amounts of processing power and memory. For instance, [29] have 3.5 million parameters in their network and reach a throughput of about 0.95 image per second on 960×540 images, and [42] takes 0.5 sec to produce a single disparity on a high end GPU.

In this paper we present StereoNet, a novel deep architecture that generated state of the art 720p depth maps at 60Hz on high end GPUs. Based on our insight that deep architectures are very good to infer matches at extremely high subpixel precision we demonstrate that a very low resolution cost volume is sufficient to achieve a depth precision that is comparable to a traditional stereo matching system that operates at full resolution. To achieve spatial precision we apply edge-aware filtering stages in a multi-scale manner to deliver a high quality output. In summary the main contributions of this work are the following:

- 1. We show that the subpixel matching precision of a deep architecture is an order of magnitude higher than those of "traditional" stereo approaches.
- 2. We demonstrate that the high subpixel precision of the network allows to achieve the depth precision of traditional stereo matching with a very low resolution cost volume resulting in an extremely efficient algorithm.
- 3. We show that previous work that introduced cost-volume in deep architectures was over-parameterized for the task and how this significantly help reducing the run-time and memory footprint of the system at little cost in accuracy.

- 4. A new hierarchical depth-refinement layer that is capable of performing highquality up-sampling that preserves edges.
- 5. Finally, we demonstrate that the proposed system reaches compelling results on several benchmarks while being real-time on high end GPU architectures.

2 Related Work

Depth from stereo has been studied for a long time and we refer the interested reader to [49, 22] for a survey. Correspondence search for stereo is a challenging problem and has been traditionally divided into global and local approaches. Global approaches formulate a cost function over the image that is traditionally optimized using approaches such as Belief Propagation or Graph Cuts [3, 17, 30, 31]. Instead, local stereo matching methods (e.g. [4]) center a support window on a pixel in the reference frame and then displace this window in the second image until the point of highest correlation is found. A major challenge for local stereo matching is to define the optimal size for the support window. On the one hand the window needs to be large to capture a sufficient amount of texture but needs to be small at the same time to avoid aggregating wrong disparity values that can lead to the well-known edge fattening effect at disparity discontinuities. To avoid this trade-off, adaptive support approaches weigh the influence of each pixel inside the support region based on e.g. its color similarity to the central pixel.

Interestingly adaptive support weight approaches were cast as cost volume filtering in [25]: a three-dimensional cost volume is constructed by computing the per-pixel matching costs at all possible disparity levels. This cost volume is then filtered with a weighted average filter. This filtering propagates local information in the spatial and depth domains producing a depth map that preserves edges across object discontinuities.

For triangulation based stereo matching system the accuracy of depth is directly linked to the precision to which the corresponding pixel in the other image can be located. Therefore, previous work strives to do matching with sub-pixel precision. The complexity of most algorithms scale linearly with the number of disparities evaluated so while one approach is to build a large cost volume with very fine grained disparity steps this is computationally in-feasible. Many algorithms therefore start with discrete matching and then refine these matches by fitting a local curve such as a parabolic fit to the cost function between the discrete disparity candidates (see e.g. [59, 39]). Other works are based on continuous optimization strategies [47] or on phase correlation [48]. It was shown in [45] that under realistic conditions the bound for subpixel precision is 1/10th of a pixel while the theoretical limit under noise free conditions was found to be 10 times lower [10]. We demonstrate that this traditional wisdom does not hold true for learning-based approaches and we can achieve a subpixel precision of 1/30th of a pixel.

Recent work has progressed to using end-to-end learning for stereo matching. Various approaches combined a learned patch embedding or matching cost

with global optimization approaches like semiglobal matching (SGM) for refinement [60]. [9] learn a multi-scale embedding model followed by an MRF. [62, 61] learn to match image patches followed by SGM. [35] learn to match patches using a Siamese feature network and optimize globally with SGM as well. [52] uses a multi-stage approach where a highway network architecture is first used to compute the matching costs and then another network is used in postprocessing to aggregate and pool costs.

Other works attempted to solve the stereo matching problem end-to-end without postprocessing. [37, 26] train end-to-end an encoder-decoder network for disparity and flow estimation achieving state-of-the-art results on existing and new benchmarks. Other end-to-end approaches used multiple refinement stages that converge to the right disparity hypotheses. [21] proposed a generic architecture for labeling problems, including depth estimation, that is trained end-to-end to predict and refine the output. [42] proposed a cascaded approach to refine predicted depth iteratively. Iterative refinement approaches, while showing good performance on various benchmarks, tend to require a considerable amount of computational resources.

More closely related to our work is [29] who used the concept of cost volume filtering but trained both the features and the filters end-to-end achieving impressive results. DeepStereo [18] used a plane-sweep volume to synthesize novel views from multi-view stereo input. Contrary to prior work, we are interested in an end-to-end learning stereo pipeline that can run in real-time, therefore we start from a very low resolution cost volume, which is then upsampled with learned, edge aware filters.

3 StereoNet algorithm

3.1 Preliminaries

Given pairs of input images we aim to train an end-to-end disparity prediction pipeline. One approach to train such pipeline is to leverage a generic encoderdecoder network. An encoder distills the input through a series of contracting layers to a bottleneck that captures the details most relevant to the task in training, and the decoder reconstructs the output from the representation captured in the bottleneck layer through a series of expanding layers. While this approach is widely successful across various problems, including depth prediction[37, 26, 42], they lack several qualities we care about in stereo algorithm.

First of all, this approach does not capture any geometric intuition about the stereo matching problem. Stereo prediction is first-and-foremost a correspondence matching problem, so we aimed to design an algorithm that can be adapted without retraining to different stereo cameras with varying resolutions and baselines. Secondly, we note that similar approaches are evidently overparameterized for problems where the prediction is a pixel-to-pixel mapping that does not involve any warping of the input, and thus likely to overfit.

Our approach to stereo matching incorporates a design that leverages the problem structure and classical approaches to tackle it, akin to [29], while pro-



Fig. 1. Model architecture. A two stage approach is proposed: first we extract image features at a lower resolution using a Siamese network. We then build a cost volume at that resolution by matching the features along the scanlines, giving us a coarse disparity estimate. We finally refine the results hierarchically to recover small details and thin structures.

ducing edge-preserving output using compact context-aware pixel-to-pixel refinement networks. An overview of the architecture of our model is illustrated in Figure 1 and detailed in the following sections.

3.2 Coarse Prediction: Cost Volume Filtering

Stereo system are in general solving a correspondence problem. The problem classically boils down to forming a disparity map by finding a pixel-to-pixel match between two rectified images along their scanlines. The desire for a smooth and edge-preserving solution led to approaches like cost volume filtering [25], which explicitly model the matching problem by forming and processing a 3D volume that jointly solves across all candidate disparities at each pixel. While [25] directly used color values for the matching, we compute a feature representation at each pixel that is used for matching.

Feature Network The first step of the pipeline finds a meaningful representation of image patches that can be accurately matched in the later stages. We recall that stereo suffer from textureless regions and traditional methods solve this issue by aggregating the cost using large windows. We replicate the same behavior in the network by making sure the features are extracted from a big receptive field. In particular, we use a feature network with shared weights between the two input images (also known as a Siamese network). We first aggressively downsample the input images using K 5×5 convolutions with a stride of 2, keeping the number of channels at 32 throughout the downsampling. In our experiments we set K to 3 or 4. We then apply 6 residual blocks [23] that employ 3×3 convolutions, batch-normalization [27], and leaky ReLu activations $(\alpha = 0.2)$ [36]. Finally, this is processed using a final layer with a 3 \times 3 convolution that does not use batch-normalization or activation. The output is a 32-dimensional feature vector at each pixel in the downsampled image. This low resolution representation is important for two reasons: 1) it has a big receptive field, useful for textureless regions. 2) It keeps the feature vectors compact.

Cost Volume At this point, we form a cost volume at the coarse resolution by taking the difference between the feature vector of a pixel and the feature vectors of the matching candidates. We noted that asymmetric representations in general performed well, and concatenating the two vectors achieved similar results in our experiments.

At this stage, a traditional stereo method would use a winner-takes-all (WTA) approach that picks the disparity with the lowest Euclidean distance between the two feature vectors. Instead, here we let the network to learn the right metric by running multiple convolutions followed by non-linearities.

In particular, to aggregate context across the spatial domain as well as the disparity domain, we filter the cost volume with four 3D convolutions with a filter size of $3 \times 3 \times 3$, batch-normalization, and leaky ReLu activations. A final $3 \times 3 \times 3$ convolutional layer that does not use batch-normalization or activation is then applied, and the filtering layers produce a 1-dimensional output at each pixel and candidate disparity.

For an input image of size $W \times H$ and evaluating a maximum of D candidate disparities, our cost volume is of size $W/2^K \times H/2^K \times (D+1)/2^K$ for K downsampling layers. In our design of StereoNet we targeted a compact approach with a small memory footprint that can be potentially deployed to mobile platforms. Unlike [29] who form a feature representation at quarter resolution and aggregate cost volumes across multiple levels, we note that most of the time and compute is spent matching at higher resolutions, while most of the performance gain comes from matching at lower resolutions. We validate this claim in our experiments and show that the performance loss is not significant in light of the speed gain. The reason for this is that the network achieves a magnitude higher sub-pixel precision than traditional stereo matching approaches. Therefore, matching at higher resolutions is not needed.

Differentiable arg min We typically would select the disparity with the minimum cost at each pixel in the filtered cost volume using arg min. For a pixel i and a cost function over disparity values C(d), the selected disparity value d_i is defined as:

$$d_i = \arg\min_d C_i(d). \tag{1}$$

This however fails to learn since arg min is a non-differentiable function. We considered two differentiable variants in our approach. The first of which is soft arg min, which was originally proposed in [6] and was used in [29]. Effectively, the selected disparity is a softmax-weighted combination of all the disparity values:

$$d_{i} = \sum_{d=1}^{D} d \cdot \frac{\exp(-C_{i}(d))}{\sum_{d'} \exp(-C_{i}(d'))}.$$
(2)

The second differentiable variant is a probabilistic selection that samples from the softmax distribution over the costs:

$$d_i = d, \text{ where } d \sim \frac{\exp(-C_i(d))}{\sum_{d'} \exp(-C_i(d'))}.$$
(3)

Differentiating through the sampling process uses gradient estimation techniques to learn the distribution of disparities by minimizing the expected loss of the stochastic process. While this technique has roots in policy gradient approaches in reinforcement learning [57], it was recently formulated as stochastic computation graphs in [50] and applied to RANSAC-based camera localization in [5]. Additionally, the parallel between the two differentiable variants we discussed is akin to that between soft and hard attention networks [58].

Unfortunately the probabilistic approach significantly underperformed in our experiments, even with various variance reduction techniques [58]. We expect that this is because it preserves hard selections. This trait is arguably critical in many applications, but in our model it is superseded by the ability of soft arg min to regress subpixel-accurate values. This conclusion is supported by the literature on continuous action spaces in reinforcement learning [34]. The soft arg min selection was consequently faster to converge and easier to optimize, and it is what we chose to use in our experiments.

3.3 Hierarchical Refinement: Edge-Aware Upsampling

The downside to relying on coarse matching is that the resulting myopic output lacks fine details. To maintain our compact design, we approach this problem by learning an edge-preserving refinement network. We note that the network's job at this stage is to dilate or erode the disparity values to blend in highfrequency details using the color input as guide, so a compact network that learns a pixel-to-pixel mapping, similar to networks employed in recent computational photography work [8, 7, 20], is an appropriate approach. Specifically, we task the refinement network of only finding a residual (or a delta disparity) to add or subtract from the coarse prediction.

Our refinement network takes as input the disparity bilinearly upsampled to the output size as well as the color resized to the same dimensions. Recently deconvolutions were shown to produce checkerboard artifacts, so we opted to use bilinear upsampling and convolutions instead [40]. The concatenated color and disparity first pass through a 3×3 convolutional layer that outputs a 32dimensional representation. This is then passed through 6 residual blocks that, again, employ 3×3 convolutions, batch-normalization, and leaky ReLu activations ($\alpha = 0.2$). We use atrous convolutions in these blocks to sample from a larger context without increasing the network size [43]. We set the dilation factors for the residual blocks to 1, 2, 4, 8, 1, and 1 respectively. This output is then processed using a 3×3 convolutional layer that does not use batch-normalization or activation. The output of this network is a 1-dimensional disparity residual that is then added to the previous prediction. We apply a ReLu to the sum to constrain disparities to be positive.

In our experiments we evaluated hierarchically refining the output with a cascade of the described network, as well as applying a single refinement that

7



Fig. 2. Hierarchical refinement results. The result at each stage (top row), starting with the cost volume output in the top left corner, is updated with the output of the corresponding refinement network (bottom row). The refinement network output expectedly dilates and erodes around the edges using the color input as guide. The groundtruth is shown in the lower right corner. The average endpoint error at each stage for this example is: 3.27, 2.34, 1.80, and 1.26 respectively. Zoom in for details.

upsamples the coarse output to the full resolution in one-shot. Figure 2 illustrates the output of the refinement layer at each level of the hierarchy as well as the residuals added at each level to recover the high-frequency details. The behavior of this network is reminiscent of joint bilateral upsampling [32], and indeed we believe this network is a learned edge-aware upsampling function that leverages a guide image.

3.4 Loss Function

We train StereoNet in a fully supervised manner using groundtruth-labeled stereo data. We minimize the hierarchical loss function:

$$L = \sum_{k} \rho(d_i^k - \hat{d}_i), \tag{4}$$

where d_i^k is the predicted disparity at pixel *i* at the *k*-th refinement level, with k = 0 denoting the output pre-refinement, and \hat{d}_i is the groundtruth disparity at the same pixel. The predicted disparity map is always bilinearly upsampled to match the groundtruth resolution. Finally, $\rho(.)$ is the two-parameter robust function from [2] with its parameters set as $\alpha = 1$ and c = 2, approximating a smoothed L1 loss.

3.5 Implementation details

We implemented and trained StereoNet using Tensorflow [1]. All our experiments were optimized using RMSProp [24] with an exponentially-decaying learning rate initially set to 1e-3. Input data is first normalized to the range [-1, 1]. We use a batch size of 1 and we do not crop because of the smaller model size, unlike [29].

Our network needs around 150k iterations to reach convergence. We found that, intuitively, training with the left and right disparity maps for an image pair at the same time significantly sped up the training time. On smaller datasets where training from scratch would be futile, we fine-tuned the pre-trained model for an additional 50k iterations.

4 Experiments

Here, we evaluate our system on several datasets and demonstrate that we achieve high quality results at a fraction of the computational cost required by the state of the art.

4.1 Datasets and Setup

We evaluated StereoNet quantitatively and qualitatively on three datasets: Scene Flow [37], KITTI 2012 [19] and KITTI 2015 [38]. Scene Flow is a large synthetic stereo dataset suitable for deep learning models. However, the other two KITTI datasets, while more comparable to a real-world setting, are too small for full end-to-end training. We followed previous end-to-end approaches by initially training on Scene Flow and then individually fine-tuning the resulting model on the KITTI datasets [29, 42]. Finally, we compare against prominent state-of-the-art methods in terms of both accuracy and runtime to show the viability of our approach in real-time scenarios.

Additionally, we performed an ablation study on the Scene Flow dataset using four variants of our model. We evaluated setting the number of downsampling convolutions K (detailed in Section 3.2) to 3 and 4. This controls the resolution at which the cost volume is formed. The cost volume filtering is exponentially faster with more aggressive downsampling, but comes at the expense of increasingly losing details around thin structures and small objects. The refinement layer can bring in a lot of the fine details, but if the signal is completely missing from the cost volume, it is unlikely to recover them. Additionally we evaluated using K refinement layers to hierarchically recover the details at the different scales versus using a single refinement layer to upsample the cost volume output directly to the desired final resolution.

4.2 Subpixel Precision

The precision of a depth system is usually a crucial variable when choosing the right technology for a given application. A triangulation system with a baseline b, a focal length f and a subpixel precision δ has an error ϵ which increases quadratically with the distance $Z: \epsilon = \frac{\delta Z^2}{bf}$ [53]. Competitive technologies such as Time-of-Flight do not suffer from this issue, which makes them appealing for long range applications such as room scanning and reconstruction. Despite this it has



Fig. 3. Subpixel precision in stereo matching. We demonstrate that StereoNet achieves a subpixel precision of 0.03, which is one order of magnitude lower than traditional stereo approaches. The lower bound of traditional approaches was found to be 1/10th under realistic conditions (see [45]) which we indicate by the black line. Moreover, our method can run in real-time on 720p images.

been demonstrated that multipath effects in ToF systems can distort geometry even in close-up tasks such as object scanning [13]. Long range precision remains as one of the main arguments against a stereo system and in favor of ToF.

Here we show that deep architectures are a breakthrough in terms of subpixel precision and therefore they can compete with other technologies not only for short distances but as well as in long ranges. Traditional stereo matching methods perform a discrete search and then a parabola interpolation to retrieve the accurate disparity. This methods usually leads to a subpixel precision ~ 0.25 pixels, that roughly correspond to 4.5 cm error at 3m distance for a system with a 55 cm baseline such as the Intel Realsense D415.

To assess the precision of our method, we used the evaluation set of Scene Flow and we computed the average error only for those pixels that were correctly matched at integer locations. Results correspond to the average of over a hundred million pixels and are reported in Figure 3. From this figure, it is important to note that: (1) the proposed method achieves a subpixel precision of 0.03 which is one order of magnitude lower than traditional stereo matching approaches such as [4, 14, 15]; (2) the refinement layers are performing very similarly irrespective of the resolution of the cost volume; (3) without any refinement the downsampled cost volume can still achieve a subpixel precision of 0.03 in the low resolution output. However, the error increases, almost linearly, with the downsampling factor.

Note that a subpixel precision of 0.03 means that the expected error is less than 5mm at 3m distance from the camera (Intel Realsense D415). This result makes triangulation systems very appealing and comparable with ToF technology without suffering from multi-path effects.



Fig. 4. Qualitative results on the FlyingThings3D test set. The proposed two-stage architecture is able to recover very fine details despite the low resolution at which we form the cost volume.

4.3 Quantitative Results

We now evaluate the model on standard benchmarks proving the effectiveness of the proposed methods and the different trade-offs between the resolution of the cost volume and the precision obtained.

SceneFlow. Although this data is synthetically generated, the evaluation sequences are very challenges due to the presence of occlusions, thin structures and large disparities. We evaluated our model reporting the end point error (EPE) in Table 1.

A single, unrefined model, i.e. using only the cost volume output at 1/8 of the resolution, achieves an EPE of 2.48 which is better than the full model presented in [29], which reaches an EPE of 2.51. Notice that our unrefined model is composed of 360k parameters and runs at 12 msec at the 960×540 input resolution, whereas [29] uses 3.5 million parameter with a runtime of 950 msec on the same resolution. Our best, multi-scale architecture achieves the state-of-the-art error of 1.1, which is also lower than the one reported in very recent methods such as [42]. Qualitative examples can be found in Figure 4. Notice how the method recovers very challenging fine details.

One last consideration regards the resolution of the cost volume. On one hand we proved that a coarse cost volume already carries all the information needed to retrieve a very high subpixel precision, i.e. high disparity resolution. On the other hand, downsampling the image may lead to a loss in spatial resolution, therefore thin structures cannot be reconstructed if the output of the cost volume is very coarse. Here we demonstrate that a volume at 1/16 of the resolution is powerful enough to recover very challenging small objects. Indeed in Figure 5, we compare the output of the three cost volumes at 1/4, 1/8, 1/16 resolutions where we also applied the refinement layers. We can observe that the fine structures that are missed in the 1/16 resolution disparity map are correctly recovered



Fig. 5. Cost volume comparisons. A cost volume at 1/16 resolution has already the information required to produce high quality disparity maps. This is evident in that post refinement we recover challenging thin structures and the overall end point error (EPE) is below one pixel.

	EPE all	EPE nocc	EPE all, unref	EPE nocc, unref
8x, multi	1.101	0.768	2.512	1.795
8x, single	1.532	1.058	2.486	1.784
16x, multi	1.525	1.140	3.764	2.912
16x, single	1.974	1.476	3.558	2.773
CG-Net Fast [29]	7.27	-	-	-
CG-Net Full [29]	2.51	-	-	-
CRL [42]	1.32	-	-	-

Table 1. Quantitative evaluation on SceneFlow. We achieve state of the art results compared to recent deep learning methods. We compare four variants of our model which vary in the resolution at which the cost volume is formed (8x vs 16x) and the number of refinement layers (multiple vs single).

by the upsampling strategy we propose. The cost volume at 1/4 is not necessary to achieve a compelling results and this is an important finding for mobile applications. As showed in the previous subsection, even at low resolution the network achieves a subpixel precision of 1/30th pixel. However, we want to also highlight that to achieve state of the art precision on multiple benchmarks, the cost volume resolution becomes an important factor as demonstrated in Table 1.

Kitti. Kitti is a prominent stereo benchmark that was captured by driving a car equipped with cameras and a laser scanner [19]. The dataset is very challenging due to the huge variability, reflections, overexposed areas and more importantly, the lack of a big training set. Despite this, we provide the results on Kitti 2012 in Table 2. Our model uses a downsampling factor of 8 for the cost volume and 3 refinement steps. Among the top-performing methods, we compare to three significant ones. Current state of the art [29], achieves an EPE of 0.6, but it has a running time of 0.9 seconds per image and uses a multi-scale cost volume and several 3D deconvolutions. The earlier deep learning-based stereo matching approach of [62] takes 67 seconds per image and has higher error (0.9) compared to our method that runs at 0.015s per stereo pair. The SGM-net [51] has an error

StereoNet 13



Fig. 6. Qualitative Results on Kitti 2012 and Kitti 2015. Notice how our method preserves edge and recovers details compared to the fast [51]. State of the art methods are one order of magnitude slower than the proposed approach.

	Out-Noc	Out-All	Avg-Noc	Avg-All	Runtime
StereoNet	4.91	6.02	0.8	0.9	0.015s
CG-Net [29]	2.71	3.46	0.6	0.7	0.9s
MC-CNN [62]	3.9	5.45	0.7	0.9	67s
SGM-Net [51]	3.6	5.15	0.7	0.9	67s
T 11 0 0		TZ://	0010 D CL	NT /	1 11 1/1

Table 2. Quantitative evaluation on Kitti 2012. For StereoNet we used a model with a downsampling factor of 8 and 3 refinement levels. We report the percentage of pixels with error bigger than 2, as well as the overall EPE in both non occluded (Noc) and all the pixels (All).

comparable to ours. Although we do not reach state of the art results, we believe that the produced disparity maps are very compelling as shown in Figure 6, bottom. We analyzed the source of errors in our model and we found that most of the wrong estimates are around reflections, which result in a wrong disparity prediction, as well as occluded regions, which do not have a correspondence in the other view. These areas cannot be explained by the data and the problem can then be formulated as an inpainting task, which our model is not trained for. State of the art [42] uses a hour-glass like architecture in their refinement step, that has been shown to be really effective for inpainting purposes [44]. This is certainly a valid solution to handle those invalid areas, however it requires significant additional computational resources. We believe that the simplicity of the proposed architecture shows important insights and it can lead the way to interesting directions to overcome the current limitations.

Similarly, we evaluated our algorithm on Kitti 2015 and report the results in Tab. 3, where similar considerations can be made. In Figure 6 top, we show some examples from the test data.

	D1-bg	D1-fg	D1-all	Runtime
StereoNet	4.30	7.45	4.83	0.015s
CRL [42]	2.48	3.59	2.67	0.5s
CG-Net Full [29]	2.21	6.16	2.87	0.9s
MC-CNN [62]	2.89	8.88	3.89	67s
SGM-Net [51]	2.66	8.64	3.66	67s

Table 3. Quantitative evaluation on Kitti 2015. For StereoNet we used a model with a downsampling factor of 8 and 3 refinement levels. We report the percentage of pixels with error bigger than 1 in background regions (bg), foreground areas (fg), and all.



Fig. 7. Runtime analysis of StereoNet. Breakdown of the running time. Notice how most of the time is spent at the last level of refinement.

4.4 Running Time Analysis

We conclude this section with a breakdown of the running time of our algorithm. Readers interested in real-time applications would find useful to understand where the bottlenecks are. The current algorithm runs at 60fps on an NVidia Titan X and in Fig. 7 of the whole running time. Notice how feature extraction, volume formation and filtering take less than half of the whole computation (41%), and the most time consuming steps are the refinement stage: the last level of refinement done at full resolution is using 38% of the computation.

5 Discussion

We presented StereoNet, the first real-time, high quality end-to-end architecture for passive stereo matching. We started from the insight that a low resolution cost volume contains most of the information to generate high-precision disparity maps and to recover thin structures given enough training data. We demonstrated a subpixel precision of 1/30th pixel, surpassing limits published in the literature. Our refinement approach hierarchically recovers high-frequency details using the color input as guide, drawing parallels to a data-driven joint bilateral upsampling operator. The main limitation of our approach is due to the lack of supervised training data: indeed we showed that when enough examples are available, our method reaches state of the art results. To mitigate this effect, our future work involves a combination of supervised and self-supervised learning [63] to augment the training set.

References

- Abadi, M., Agarwal, A., Barham, P., Brevdo, E., Chen, Z., Citro, C., Corrado, G.S., Davis, A., Dean, J., Devin, M., et al.: Tensorflow: Large-scale machine learning on heterogeneous distributed systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:1603.04467 (2016)
- Barron, J.T.: A more general robust loss function. arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.03077 (2017)
- Besse, F., Rother, C., Fitzgibbon, A., Kautz, J.: Pmbp: Patchmatch belief propagation for correspondence field estimation. International Journal of Computer Vision 110(1), 2–13 (2014)
- 4. Bleyer, M., Rhemann, C., Rother, C.: Patchmatch stereo-stereo matching with slanted support windows. In: Bmvc. vol. 11, pp. 1–11 (2011)
- Brachmann, E., Krull, A., Nowozin, S., Shotton, J., Michel, F., Gumhold, S., Rother, C.: Dsac-differentiable ransac for camera localization. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). vol. 3 (2017)
- Chapelle, O., Wu, M.: Gradient descent optimization of smoothed information retrieval metrics. Information retrieval 13(3), 216–235 (2010)
- Chen, Q., Koltun, V.: Photographic image synthesis with cascaded refinement networks. In: The IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV). vol. 1 (2017)
- 8. Chen, Q., Xu, J., Koltun, V.: Fast image processing with fully-convolutional networks. In: IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. vol. 9 (2017)
- Chen, Z., Sun, X., Wang, L., Yu, Y., Huang, C.: A deep visual correspondence embedding model for stereo matching costs. In: Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 972–980 (2015)
- 10. Delon, J., Rougé, B.: Small baseline stereovision. J. Math. Imaging Vis. (2007)
- Dou, M., Davidson, P., Fanello, S.R., Khamis, S., Kowdle, A., Rhemann, C., Tankovich, V., Izadi, S.: Motion2fusion: Real-time volumetric performance capture. SIGGRAPH Asia (2017)
- Dou, M., Khamis, S., Degtyarev, Y., Davidson, P., Fanello, S.R., Kowdle, A., Escolano, S.O., Rhemann, C., Kim, D., Taylor, J., Kohli, P., Tankovich, V., Izadi, S.: Fusion4d: Real-time performance capture of challenging scenes. SIGGRAPH (2016)
- Fanello, S.R., Rhemann, C., Tankovich, V., Kowdle, A., Orts Escolano, S., Kim, D., Izadi, S.: Hyperdepth: Learning depth from structured light without matching. In: CVPR (2016)
- Fanello, S.R., Valentin, J., Kowdle, A., Rhemann, C., Tankovich, V., Ciliberto, C., Davidson, P., Izadi, S.: Low compute and fully parallel computer vision with hashmatch (2017)
- Fanello, S.R., Valentin, J., Rhemann, C., Kowdle, A., Tankovich, V., Davidson, P., Izadi, S.: Ultrastereo: Efficient learning-based matching for active stereo systems. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2017 IEEE Conference on. pp. 6535–6544. IEEE (2017)
- Fanello, S., Gori, I., Metta, G., Odone, F.: One-shot learning for real-time action recognition. In: IbPRIA (2013)
- Felzenszwalb, P.F., Huttenlocher, D.P.: Efficient belief propagation for early vision. International journal of computer vision **70**(1), 41–54 (2006)
- Flynn, J., Neulander, I., Philbin, J., Snavely, N.: Deepstereo: Learning to predict new views from the world's imagery. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 5515–5524 (2016)

- 16 Khamis et al.
- Geiger, A., Lenz, P., Urtasun, R.: Are we ready for autonomous driving? the kitti vision benchmark suite. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on. pp. 3354–3361. IEEE (2012)
- Gharbi, M., Chen, J., Barron, J.T., Hasinoff, S.W., Durand, F.: Deep bilateral learning for real-time image enhancement. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG) 36(4), 118 (2017)
- Gidaris, S., Komodakis, N.: Detect, replace, refine: Deep structured prediction for pixel wise labeling. In: Proc. of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 5248–5257 (2017)
- Hamzah, R.A., Ibrahim, H.: Literature survey on stereo vision disparity map algorithms. Journal of Sensors 2016 (2016)
- He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., Sun, J.: Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 770–778 (2016)
- 24. Hinton, G., Srivastava, N., Swersky, K.: Neural networks for machine learninglecture 6a-overview of mini-batch gradient descent (2012)
- Hosni, A., Rhemann, C., Bleyer, M., Rother, C., Gelautz, M.: Fast cost-volume filtering for visual correspondence and beyond. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 35(2), 504–511 (2013)
- Ilg, E., Mayer, N., Saikia, T., Keuper, M., Dosovitskiy, A., Brox, T.: Flownet 2.0: Evolution of optical flow estimation with deep networks. In: IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR). vol. 2 (2017)
- Ioffe, S., Szegedy, C.: Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. In: International conference on machine learning. pp. 448–456 (2015)
- Izadi, S., Kim, D., Hilliges, O., Molyneaux, D., Newcombe, R., Kohli, P., Shotton, J., Hodges, S., Freeman, D., Davison, A., Fitzgibbon, A.: Kinectfusion: Real-time 3d reconstruction and interaction using a moving depth camera. In: UIST (2011)
- Kendall, A., Martirosyan, H., Dasgupta, S., Henry, P., Kennedy, R., Bachrach, A., Bry, A.: End-to-end learning of geometry and context for deep stereo regression. CoRR, vol. abs/1703.04309 (2017)
- Klaus, A., Sormann, M., Karner, K.: Segment-based stereo matching using belief propagation and a self-adapting dissimilarity measure. In: Pattern Recognition, 2006. ICPR 2006. 18th International Conference on. vol. 3, pp. 15–18. IEEE (2006)
- Kolmogorov, V., Zabih, R.: Computing visual correspondence with occlusions using graph cuts. In: Computer Vision, 2001. ICCV 2001. Proceedings. Eighth IEEE International Conference on. vol. 2, pp. 508–515. IEEE (2001)
- Kopf, J., Cohen, M.F., Lischinski, D., Uyttendaele, M.: Joint bilateral upsampling. ACM Transactions on Graphics (ToG) 26(3), 96 (2007)
- Krähenbühl, P., Koltun, V.: Efficient inference in fully connected crfs with gaussian edge potentials. In: NIPS (2011)
- Lillicrap, T.P., Hunt, J.J., Pritzel, A., Heess, N., Erez, T., Tassa, Y., Silver, D., Wierstra, D.: Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02971 (2015)
- Luo, W., Schwing, A.G., Urtasun, R.: Efficient deep learning for stereo matching. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 5695–5703 (2016)
- Maas, A.L., Hannun, A.Y., Ng, A.Y.: Rectifier nonlinearities improve neural network acoustic models. In: Proc. icml. vol. 30, p. 3 (2013)

- 37. Mayer, N., Ilg, E., Hausser, P., Fischer, P., Cremers, D., Dosovitskiy, A., Brox, T.: A large dataset to train convolutional networks for disparity, optical flow, and scene flow estimation. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 4040–4048 (2016)
- 38. Menze, M., Geiger, A.: Object scene flow for autonomous vehicles. In: Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR) (2015)
- Nehab, D., Rusinkiewicz, S., Davis, J.: Improved sub-pixel stereo correspondences through symmetric refinement. In: International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV) (2005)
- Odena, A., Dumoulin, V., Olah, C.: Deconvolution and checkerboard artifacts. Distill (2016). https://doi.org/10.23915/distill.00003, http://distill.pub/2016/deconvcheckerboard
- Orts-Escolano, S., Rhemann, C., Fanello, S., Chang, W., Kowdle, A., Degtyarev, Y., Kim, D., Davidson, P.L., Khamis, S., Dou, M., Tankovich, V., Loop, C., Cai, Q., Chou, P.A., Mennicken, S., Valentin, J., Pradeep, V., Wang, S., Kang, S.B., Kohli, P., Lutchyn, Y., Keskin, C., Izadi, S.: Holoportation: Virtual 3d teleportation in real-time. In: UIST (2016)
- Pang, J., Sun, W., Ren, J., Yang, C., Yan, Q.: Cascade residual learning: A twostage convolutional neural network for stereo matching. In: International Conf. on Computer Vision-Workshop on Geometry Meets Deep Learning (ICCVW 2017). vol. 3 (2017)
- Papandreou, G., Kokkinos, I., Savalle, P.A.: Modeling local and global deformations in deep learning: Epitomic convolution, multiple instance learning, and sliding window detection. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2015 IEEE Conference on. pp. 390–399. IEEE (2015)
- 44. Park, E., Yang, J., Yumer, E., Ceylan, D., Berg, A.C.: Transformation-grounded image generation network for novel 3d view synthesis. CoRR (2017)
- 45. Pinggera, P., Pfeiffer, D., Franke, U., Mester, R.: Know your limits: Accuracy of long range stereoscopic object measurements in practice. In: European Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 96–111. Springer (2014)
- Pradeep, V., Rhemann, C., Izadi, S., Zach, C., Bleyer, M., Bathiche, S.: Monofusion: Real-time 3d reconstruction of small scenes with a single web camera. In: ISMAR (2013)
- 47. Ranftl, R., Gehrig, S., Pock, T., Bischof, H.: Pushing the limits of stereo using variational stereo estimation. In: 2012 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (2012)
- Sanger, T.D.: Stereo disparity computation using gabor filters. In: Biological Cybernetics (1988)
- Scharstein, D., Szeliski, R.: A taxonomy and evaluation of dense two-frame stereo correspondence algorithms. International journal of computer vision 47(1-3), 7–42 (2002)
- Schulman, J., Heess, N., Weber, T., Abbeel, P.: Gradient estimation using stochastic computation graphs. In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. pp. 3528–3536 (2015)
- 51. Seki, A., Pollefeys, M.: Sgm-nets: Semi-global matching with neural networks. In: CVPR (2017)
- 52. Shaked, A., Wolf, L.: Improved stereo matching with constant highway networks and reflective confidence learning. CoRR, vol. abs/1701.00165 (2017)
- 53. Szeliski, R.: Computer Vision: Algorithms and Applications. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, NY, USA, 1st edn. (2010)

- 18 Khamis et al.
- Tankovich, V., Schoenberg, M., Fanello, S.R., Kowdle, A., Rhemann, C., Dzitsiuk, M., Schmidt, M., Valentin, J., Izadi, S.: Sos: Stereo matching in o(1) with slanted support windows. IROS (2018)
- Taylor, J., Tankovich, V., Tang, D., Keskin, C., Kim, D., Davidson, P., Kowdle, A., Izadi, S.: Articulated distance fields for ultra-fast tracking of hands interacting. Siggraph Asia (2017)
- Wang, S., Fanello, S.R., Rhemann, C., Izadi, S., Kohli, P.: The global patch collider. CVPR (2016)
- 57. Williams, R.J.: Simple statistical gradient-following algorithms for connectionist reinforcement learning. In: Reinforcement Learning, pp. 5–32. Springer (1992)
- Xu, K., Ba, J., Kiros, R., Cho, K., Courville, A., Salakhudinov, R., Zemel, R., Bengio, Y.: Show, attend and tell: Neural image caption generation with visual attention. In: International Conference on Machine Learning. pp. 2048–2057 (2015)
- Yang, Q., Yang, R., Davis, J., Nister, D.: Spatial-depth super resolution for range images. In: 2007 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (2007)
- Zagoruyko, S., Komodakis, N.: Learning to compare image patches via convolutional neural networks. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2015 IEEE Conference on. pp. 4353–4361. IEEE (2015)
- Zbontar, J., LeCun, Y.: Computing the stereo matching cost with a convolutional neural network. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 1592–1599 (2015)
- Zbontar, J., LeCun, Y.: Stereo matching by training a convolutional neural network to compare image patches. Journal of Machine Learning Research 17(1-32), 2 (2016)
- Zhang, Y., Khamis, S., Rhemann, C., Valentin, J., Kowdle, A., Tankovich, V., Schoenberg, M., Izadi, S., Funkhouser, T., Fanello, S.: Activestereonet: End-toend self-supervised learning for active stereo systems. In: ECCV (2018)