1. Details on Supervision

In Sec. 5.2 of the paper, under the heading “Settings”, we have briefly mentioned that the supervision used for our model varies across the strongly and weakly annotated auxiliary sets. We now give the details on the different types of annotations and corresponding supervision used in our experiments in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Category</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGP</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGP</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>$L_k = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Different types of supervision used by our models depend on how different attributes of each auxiliary dataset are annotated.

In our auxiliary datasets, there are various types of attributes (modelled as factors in our model) and each can be annotated in different ways (denoted as $L_k$, see Sec. 3.2 of the paper). More specifically, there are three factor types: Colour (12 factors), Category (22), Texture (6) and Background (BG) (20). The number of factors depend on the actual annotation from [6, 1]. These factors may be supervised strongly ($L_k$ given by pixel level annotation), weakly ($L_k$ image level annotation) or not at all (All $L_k = 1$).

Given strongly supervised data (Colourful-Fashion [6]), all superpixels/patches can be categorised into foreground patch (FGP) and background patch (BGP) (Table 1 rows). Foreground patches cannot contain background factors ($L_k = 0$). Colour and category data are strongly annotated so the learning of these factors are strongly supervised for the foreground (Strong). Colour factors can also occur on the background, so these are not supervised (None). Meanwhile, Category factors (e.g., shirt) cannot occur on the background, so $L_k = 0$ here. Finally, any background factors are free to be used on any background patch (None).

Given the weakly supervised dataset (Clothing-Attribute [1]), we do not know the location of foreground background pixels, so there is no patch-type breakdown. Therefore the texture factors are weakly supervised (Weak); meanwhile the background factors are not supervised.

2. Re-identification Performance Measured by CMC Curves

In Table 1 and Table 2 (Sec. 5.2) of the submitted main paper, the proposed methods are compared with existing unsupervised and supervised learning approaches respectively. Due to space limitation, only the matching accuracy @ rank [1, 5, 10, 20] are reported. In this supplementary material, we provide the CMC curves over rank 1 to 25 obtained by different compared methods on the three datasets. Fig. 1 shows the CMC curves of the compared unsupervised learning based methods, including SDC [13], GTS [9], SDALF [3] and SDC_Final (eSDC) [13]. Fig. 2 compares the supervised learning based methods, including MLF [14], KISSME [4], LADF [5], LF [7], SCNCD_Final [11], PatMatch [12], SalMatch [12], KML [10] and MLF_Final [14]. Note that the CMC curves of some compared methods (i.e. KISSME [4], KML [10], SDC [13] and SDALF [3]) on some datasets are obtained based on our own implementation when their CMC curves were not reported in previous works. In addition, the CMC curves for some methods are not included in these plots if previous work provides neither the CMC curves nor the code for us to implement.

3. Per-query Person Search Results

In Fig. 4 of the submitted main paper, we reported the average precision-recall (PR) curves of all queries for various person search methods. Here we provide more details on their person search performance on the VIPeR-Tag [8] dataset in the form of the precision-recall (PR) curve of each query. There are 200 random queries used on the PET A [2] dataset; it is thus not possible to present the per-query PR curves on PET A here.

These per-query PR curves on VIPeR-Tag are shown in
Fig. 3. Note that the queries used on this dataset as defined in [8] have different attribute names as those in our auxiliary Colourful-Fashion dataset. For examples, the attributes ‘UpperGarment’ and ‘LowerGarment’ appear in 13 out of the 15 queries. However, much finer-grained attributes are used in the Colourful-Fashion dataset; for instance, for ‘UpperGarment’, we have ‘blazer’, ‘T-shirt’, ‘blouse’, and ‘sweater’. These finer-grained attributes are thus merged to form the two coarser-grained attributes on VIPeR-T ag be- before person search.
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Figure 3: Person search performance on each object-attribute query


