

COVARIANT DETECTOR

Discriminative: It can discover local discriminative information in the image.

Covariant: It can repeatably detect consistent patterns when the scene undergoes diverse transformations.

Operator: Apply transform to image (*) and feature (\otimes). \circ : composition of transform.

Covaria detector



METHOD



siamese networks to preserve the input relation. Transform Predictor (TPDNN): Predicts transform associated with a local patch.

Covariant constraint in transform space, $\phi(g *$ $\mathbf{x} = g \circ \phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\cdot)$ is the transform predictor. *Covariant Loss:* $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \| \phi(g_i * \mathbf{x}_i) - g_i \circ \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \|_F^2$

Identity Loss: $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \parallel \phi(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_j) - e \parallel_F^2$ **Detection:** Multiple patches covering the same Training: Using synthesized patch from stanlocal feature are fused to refine the estimated locadard patch with the gt transform to train the tion of the local feature.

> Detection Pipeline





LEARNING DISCRIMINATIVE AND TRANSFORMATION **COVARIANT LOCAL FEATURE DETECTORS**

CONTRIBUTIONS

Extend the covariant constraint proposed by Lenc and Vedaldi [1] by defining the concepts of "standard patch" and "canonical feature".

Show that the introduction of these concepts greatly simplifies the learning process, and also makes the detector more robust.

Covariant constraint: $\psi(g * \mathbf{x}) = g \otimes \psi(\mathbf{x})$.



Training: To preserve the input relations

The Estimated Transform: The correct predicted transform is the one whose inverse can transform a patch (\mathbf{x}_i) back to a standard patch $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)$.



Met SI

SU MS Hes Hes T-I T-C. Cov Οı

⁰⁸ 08 bility 09

]	R	EF
[1]	K. teo
[2]	Y. po

Xu Zhang¹, Felix X. Yu², Svebor Karaman¹, Shih-Fu Chang¹ ¹Columbia University, ² Google Research

T-P24 [2]: #Feat. 92, #Rep. 19 Ours: #Feat. 69, #Rep. 33 CovDet [1]: #Feat. 67, #Rep. 16 #Rep.: Number of correspondences (features that can be detected in both images).

Repeatability of different detectors						Repeatability:: #correspondences smaller number of features							
	Webcam		EF		VGG		M	atching Sco	ore: $\frac{1}{\text{sm}}$	#correct n	natches r of features ·	A corre	
hod	#Feature		#Feature		#Feature		match is a pair of correspondence that are al						
	1000	200	1000	200	1000	200	nearest neighbor in descriptor space.						
FT	29.5	19.1	20.8	10.9	47.1	41.7	The result confirms the importance of1. Defining discriminative patches;2. The covariant constraint.						
RF	46.0	33.4	39.7	23.4	61.2	58.3							
SER	45.1	29.4	37.1	18.9	54.1	38.4							
Lap	51.1	37.2	38.8	28.0	66.7	60.0							
sAff	42.5	34.5	26.6	21.8	66.4	59.6	Matching score of different detectors						
-P	35.4	29.0	26.3	16.3	54.6	46.1	Detector						
P24	61.7	45.1	45.4	32.3	64.4	57.6		DataSet	SIFT	T-P24	CovDet	Ours	
NN	51.4	36.7	38.0	21.8	50.7	40.6		Webcam	12.9	13.4	12.0	19.4	
Det	49.9	32.2	42.7	23.8	62.0	48.0		VGG	42.8	44.5	43.1	50.7	
ars	68.4	52.6	46.6	36.3	70.2	61.2		EF	10.2	5.2	4.8	6.2	

STANDARD PATCHES



FERENCE

Lenc, and A. Vedaldi. Learning Covariant Feature Dectors In ECCVW 2016 Verdie, K. M. Yi, P. Fua, and V. Lepetit. TILDE: A Temorally Invariant Learned DEtector. In CVPR 2015

https://github.com/ ColumbiaDVMM/Transform_ Covariant_Detector

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This material is based upon work supported by the United States Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) under Contract No. FA8750-16-C-0166. Any opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of AFRL, DARPA, or the U.S. Government.



SO

Using different standard patches for training:

1. Randomly sampled patches (Ours(R)) 2. SIFT detector (Ours(S)) 3. Hessian Affine detector (Ours(H)) 4. T-P24 [2] detector (Ours(T), best result)

SOURCE CODE

