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Abstract
The burst of video production appeals for new browsing frameworks. Chiefly in sports, TV companies have years of recorded match archives to exploit and sports fans are looking for replay, summary or collection of events.

In this work, we design a new multi-resolution motion feature for video abstraction. This descriptor is based on optical flow singularities tracked along the video. We use these singlets in order to detect zooms, slow-motions and salient moments in soccer games and finally to produce an automatic summarization of a game.

We produce a database for soccer video summarization composed of 4 soccer matches from HDTV games for the FIFA world cup 2014 annotated with goals, fouls, corners and salient moments to make a summary. We correctly detect 88.2% of salient moments using this database. To highlight the generalization of our approach, we test our system on the final game of the handball world championship 2015 without any retraining, refining or adaptation.

1. Introduction
In the world of digitization we live in, big quantities of numerical data are stored and available. This is particularly common for image and video databases since a simple clip in high definition of 30s contains 750 frames (with 25fps) and therefore more than a billion pixels. The amount of stored videos keeps increasing every hour. For instance, last IPhone and Samsung smart phones take short videos instead of static pictures, that they called respectively “live photos” and “motion photos”. “Live photo” is perfectly chosen name since even a very brief motion recording gives life to a simple picture. Besides every Facebook user can now have a video profile on his main own page instead of a classic profile picture. The number of Youtube videos keeps increasing every hour. For instance, last IPhone and Samsung smart phones take short videos instead of static pictures, that they called respectively “live photos” and “motion photos”.

2. Related Works
We classify the contributions regarding video analysis along two axes: retrieval and abstraction [10].

On the one hand, video retrieval is a very active research field aiming at providing tools to retrieve videos from content. The current state of the art methods for video content representation start by detecting keypoints. Most of the time, these keypoints are then tracked along the video, and finally described by combining a description of both static and dynamic visual information (shape, appearance...). Among these contributions, let us cite the seminal work on Space-Time Interest Points (STIP) [12] which proposed to combine Histograms-on-Oriented-Gradients (HOG) with Histograms-on-Optical-Flow (HOF). In [17], the tracklets are also defined from HOG and HOF and classified using dynamic time warping. Improved dense trajectories (iDT) adds SURF and MBH (derivative of HOF) to the description, keeping a combination of keypoint displacements [25].
However all these standard motion descriptors usually do not hold complex motion information. Recently, deep learning convolution networks for video description, such as the C3D network [22], have been considered, but for now they only achieve complementary results compared to iDT.

Abstraction consists in segmenting the video stream into consistent content units and generating a brief overview of the video from these units. Truong et al. [23] lists and compares techniques providing video abstraction by distinguishing video summarization, made of static video keyframes, from video skimming, made of dynamic video shots. Four types of video are much analyzed: meetings, movies, broadcast news and sports [28]. The growing mass of available video data is well illustrated in the sports domain: TV channels have broadcast matches in soccer competitions for years. Nowadays, the amount of sport broadcast has drastically increased with the legalization of sports betting. The algorithms to enhance these broadcasts are eagerly awaited and related research works have emerged.

In video abstraction and in particular in soccer video abstraction, people do not use the state of the art representation, iDT, which is made for retrieval and is not suitable for motion abstraction. From a single match, there is already a big amount of information to extract in order to summarize the match: number of passes or goals, players’ statistics... For example, by focusing on play-break session can filter video information [6]. To detect the play-break moments, Xu et al. [29] used heuristic rules based on view classification (long, medium and close-up views) while Xie et al. [27] used a stochastic structured model by HMM. Also in a stochastic approach, Leonardi et al. [14] proposed controlled Markov chains to detect goals.

In general, the first approach in soccer video analysis is to segment shots and extract features. These low-level features are extracted from the frames and are often associated with hand-crafted features, like line marks, ball tracking or the color of the players jersey. Gong et al. [8] use these features to classify events like shots or corner kicks.

A soccer match is quite long and contains few interesting actions, which is why detecting salient moments is the most active research side in the soccer video domain. Other hand-crafted features like the overall excitement computed from shot length, motion and audio activity are used to characterize game extracts in [9]. These features are found by building mid-level representation of the shots that can be learned to recognize events: Duan et al. [4] used shot lengths and texture maps to train a SVM while Wu et al. [26] used global motion estimation to train a neural network. Sadlier et al. [19] focused on audio features, and low-level features to train a SVM and they claims their techniques to be generic while only focusing on “field sports” and thus benefitting from knowing the ground color (grass), field line marks and others shared characteristics. Yow et al. [31] built a panoramic representation of a shot and then select important shots using heuristics. Assfal et al. [2] and Tabii et al. [21] used finite state machines, respectively one based on ball motion, players positions and jerseys and the other one based on play field segmentation, shot detection and classification, however this method requires good hand made rules. Ye et al. [30] chose an incremental learning SVM on a mid-level description containing the features of successive moments like view labels, line mark positions and shot descriptors to select highlights. After using an artificial neural network in order to detect the appearance of logos and score and using Hough and k-means to detect goal mouths, Zawbaa et al. [32] classified goals, attack and other events with an SVM from the detection of goal mouth position. In recent work, Raventos et al. [18] ranked shots and their associated keyframe using face and skin detection, whistle detector and user specifications.

All these methods use at best an energy function to measure the motion activity whereas the motion in a soccer game is probably the most important information. We now present our adaptive and generic representation to describe the motion and show how this representation allows us to build a video abstraction.

3. Video movement analysis

Our method of motion content analysis is inspired by the work of Kihl et al. [11] which extracts singularities from motion in the domain of fluid mechanics. A singularity is a vanishing point akin to root for polynomials. It can be seen like keypoints in optical flow. Optical flows are projected to polynomial bivariavle function space to detect these vanishing points from their polynomial approximations. Starting from the definition of these singularities, we design a new local motion video content descriptor.

3.1. Polynomial projection of optical flow and singularities

The two horizontal and vertical components of the optical flow $U$ and $V$ at each pixels $(x_1, x_2)$ are computed using the method of Gunnar Farneback [7]. $U$ and $V$ are projected onto Legendre basis to get the best approximation in the polynomial optical flow space. Then they are expressed in a canonical basis.

$$
P_U(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{l=0}^{L} u_{k,l} x^k y^l; P_V(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{K} \sum_{l=0}^{L} v_{k,l} x^k y^l
$$

with $K + L \leq \text{degree}$. Similarly to the work of Kihl et al. [11], we restrict approximations to degree 1.

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
U \\
V
\end{bmatrix} \approx A \begin{bmatrix}
x_1 \\
x_2
\end{bmatrix} + b = \begin{bmatrix}
a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + b_1 \\
a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + b_2
\end{bmatrix}
$$
Indeed, according to A and b, the singularities of the optical flow appears at the position \( (x_1, x_2)^T = -A^{-1}b \).

The type of a singularity depends on \( tr(A) \) and \( \Delta(A) \).

\[
\Delta(A) = (tr(A))^2 - 4 \det(A) \quad (3)
\]

We present the different configurations in figure 1.

![Figure 1. Classification of singularities based on the value of A (illustration from [1]).](image)

Singularities are detected using a sliding window at different scales (from 0.1h to 0.5h by steps of 0.1h where h is the smallest dimension of the video, usually the height). This makes the singularity to be multi-resolution compliant. Multiple singularities are detected at different scales at the same position. These multiple singularities have not been merged, as for SIFT features, since they could be of different types or intensity and carry different information.

By definition, a singularity corresponds to vanishing points. Thus no singularity will be detected in a pure translation. A singularity is not detected in situations where A is not invertible. Moreover the highest the determinant is, the more significant singularities are preserved: a threshold on \( \det(A) \) permits to filter the noisy singularities and to keep informative ones. No thresholding on \( \det(A) \) implies to keep every singularities, even the ones with very small motion which are most probably produced by pixel noise.

Thereafter, we globally or locally extract these singularities, according to what we focus on.

### 3.2. Spatio-temporal representation

As introduced above, singularities can be tracked along a video to describe a time lapse.

For \( T \) frames, \( T - 1 \) optical flows are computed. On each of these optical flows, we extract the singularities. In order to build chains of singularities, called singlets, they are matched in reverse time. Based on the hypothesis that a singularity has a small displacement between two frames and to reduce computational cost, we match only singularities in a near neighborhood. Thus, for each singularity sing\(_s\) in the optical flow \( f_t \), the singularity candidates in the optical flow \( f_{t-1} \) are restricted to a near neighborhood \( V(sing_s) \).

Two singularities are considered in the same neighborhood if their sliding windows have a suitable overlap ratio (figure 2) as defined directly below:

\[
V(sing_s) = \left\{ sing; \frac{\text{area}(W(sing) \cap W(sing_s))}{\text{area}(W(sing) \cup W(sing_s))} > \alpha \right\} \quad (4)
\]

where \( W(sing_s) \) is the sliding window of sing\(_s\).

![Figure 2. Two successive optical flows: Searching a match for the singularity \( s^c_t \) within the singularities in the previous optical flow. Regarding the overlap ratio, \( s^{sp}_{t-1} \) and \( s^{sn}_{t-1} \) are candidates while \( s^{sp}_{t-1} \) is not. The match is the closest singularity regarding localization and type: \( s^{sp}_{t-1} \). Singularity types sp, sn and c respectively correspond to spiral, star node and center.](image)

To match singularities during time, the best candidate within a close neighborhood is selected as the one which minimizes the singularity distance described below:

\[
d((A, x, y), (A', x', y')) = ||A-A'||_F + \lambda ||(x, y) - (x', y')||_2 \quad (5)
\]

where \( \lambda \) is a weight balancing the distance between the positions and the similarity between singularity coefficients values. In each affine optical flow \( f_t \), a singularity sing\(_s\) is described by 6 coefficients, 4 in A and 2 in b, and the distance matching deals with these information.

- Since b contains information about the singularity center location within its windows \( W(sing_s) \), we convert this center in a pixel position \( (x, y) \).

- Since A contains information about the singularity type (fig 1), matching the coefficients of A leads to match singularities of the same type or at least with close vector field aspects.

The entire algorithm to extract singularities and match them as a singlet is described in algorithm 2. An example of singlet is presented in figure 2.

Collecting singlets along a video and analyzing their shapes, positions or their lengths provide robust description of optical flow within this time lapse. Besides, in the next section, we detail our application of singlets description on soccer videos in order to sum up sport matches.
foreach frame in the shot do
get the frame $f_n$;
if previous frame exists then
compute the optical flow $\nabla f_{n-1}$ and $f_n$;
foreach sliding window do
crop the optical flow within the window;
compute the projection of each component
$U$ and $V$ by doing the scalar product of each component with each element $P_{i,j}$ of the Legendre basis;
change of basis to get $A$ and $b$;
detect if there is a singularity and its characteristics;
end
end
detected singularity $s$ in flow $n$ do
foreach singularity of flow $n-1$ within $V(s)$ do
find the one with the best distance between their $A$s and the singularities positions;
end
if the bestdistance is under 2 then
if the bestMatch is at the end of a singlets then
add $s$ in this singlets;
else create a singlets with the both singularities$.$;
if no match has been found within flow $n$ then
search a match in the previous flow until an historic of 5 flows
end
end
end
Algorithm 2: Algorithm to extract singlets

4. Experiments

4.1. Data

Facing the lack of benchmark from TV in the domain of sport video analysis, we have recorded our own videos from HDTV broadcasting. We manually annotated zooms and slow motions from 4 soccer matches of the FIFA World Cup 2014 (Germany vs Portugal, Nigeria vs Argentine, France vs Honduras, Switzerland vs France) and the Qatar Handball World Cup 2015 final. Each video has been scaled to 25 fps.

In order to evaluate our salient moment detection, we have extracted the ground-truth for the 4 selected matches (Germany vs Portugal, Nigeria vs Argentine, France vs Honduras, Switzerland vs France) from lists of salient moments extracted on the official FIFA website [3]. As can be seen from these ground truths, the description of each salient event is linked to a given minute of the match and describes roughly the action. We manually annotated the salient moments from the FIFA description with the number of starting and ending frames to obtain a frame level decision.

We improved the annotation of this benchmark to make it compliant with a computer vision groundwork. First, we have completed this list of salient moments by adding all corners and kick-off to fill missing actions. Secondly, we have merged the salient moments which are listed twice: for instance, a goal from a kick-off listed as first an action of kick-off and an action of goal. We call the ground-truth with this completed list of salient moments: Extended ground-truth. In table 1, we report the amount of salient moment in each games and in each database.

In this database, there are more than 7 hours, precisely 696002 frames. For reproducible research sake, all our metadata and our code will be available on our website.

4.2. Zoom detection

In a soccer match from TV channel, zooms, and in particular zoom(s)-in(s), are effective indicators of highlights and salient moments of the match, since they represent a

Figure 3. Singlets: illustration of matching of singularities extracted from real optical flow on three consecutive frames in a soccer match. It is a spiral singularity (red, as in figure 1) as can be seen from the flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Match</th>
<th>FIFA ground-truth</th>
<th>Extended ground-truth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany vs Portugal</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria vs Argentine</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France vs Honduras</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland vs France</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Number of salient moments in each match according to the ground-truth of all the moments described on FIFA official webpage vs our extended ground-truth.

projected 1 http://www.i3s.unice.fr/~kblanc/
natural reaction of the cameraman to an action salience.

To evaluate, we use a video of the half soccer game, i.e. more than 128,500 frames. We only annotated persistent zooms which are important in intensity and in time. There are exactly 5639 positive optical flows and 122,841 negative optical flows. To compare each methods, we use ROC curves (figure 4 and 5) which well illustrate performances, even in the case of unbalanced classes.

The two singularities star node and improper node (figure 1) represent zooms. Two conditions are required for these detections: a strong determinant $\det(A)$ and a low $\Delta(A)$ (cf eq. 3). $\det(A)$ corresponds to the intensity of the optical flow. In figure 4 we evaluate the influence of a threshold on $\det(A)$ over zoom detection performance: no threshold on $\det(A)$ implies that every global motion is a zoom candidate, even slight ones and as one could guess, that option gives poor results; with a threshold on $\det(A)$, results are quite stable. Since projections are all computed from optical flows and in order to get a detection with time consistency closer to human perception, we add a chronological window to average these $\Delta(A)$ during time. After grid search on the window size from 5 to 100, best results are obtained with a time history of 30 frames, that almost corresponds to a second. We obtain best results with a chronological average, a threshold of 0.2 on $\det(A)$ and a threshold of 4 on $\Delta(A)$ (figure 4). Usually zooming are detected by the Global Motion Estimation (GME) method [30]. For this method, we used the RGMC [20] method to compute the homography $h_t$ that models the camera motion for each frame $t$.

$$
x' = m_0 x + m_1 y + m_2$$
$$y' = m_3 x + m_4 y + m_5$$

According to [16], a zoom is then detected if $m_0$ and $m_4$ are equals. We set then a threshold on their difference. The best threshold value found for GME method is 0.0004.

In [5], Duan quantified motion vectors to produce two histograms, on angles and on magnitudes. A zoom is detected if there is enough vectors with small norms and enough vector angles between the following angles $[15; 75] \cup [105; 165] \cup [195; 255] \cup [285; 345]$. Therefore, this method requires two thresholds which are not provided. The detection gives best results without filtering the magnitude histogram (i.e. no threshold on the magnitude histogram) leading us to focus on the angle histogram. The best threshold value for Duan method on the angle histogram is 42% of the pixels numbers.

We compare our method with the best parameter setting with reference approaches but we can see by looking at figures 4 and 5 jointly that our method is not very sensitive to the parameter setting since most of the settings provide better results than reference approaches.

In the table 2 we compute the accuracy of each method with the best corresponding threshold. Since our database is unbalanced with 5% of positives samples, the precision values are weak but are still a good indicator of recognition rate to check if the classifier does not always answer negative classes.

Our zoom detection method has three advantages. The main advantage of our method is that zooms are detected even if the zoom direction is not in the image center. As can be seen in figure 6 the optical flow center (represented as the light blue dot on the third row) is very far from the zoom center which is still detected. To the best of our knowledge, such a result can only be achieved with our approach. Moreover, we can also localize the zoom center which is an indicator of where the action happens. Finally, the last advantage is to easily differentiate zoom-in and zoom-out. In the case of those singularities, star node and improper node, the eigenvalues of $A$ are equals. Thus we simply have to check the sign of one of the eigenvalues to differentiate zoom-in and zoom-out. This method is very efficient to detect zoom anywhere in the video.

Therefore, extracting global singularity on the whole op-

**Table 2.** Precision, recall and accuracy for zoom detection.
foreach frame in the shot do
get the frame $f_n$;
if previous frame exists then
compute the optical flow $(U,V)$ from $f_{n-1}$ and $f_n$;
compute the projection of each component $U$ and $V$ by doing the scalar product of each component with each element $P_{i,j}$ of the Legendre basis;
change of basis to get $A$ and $b$;
if $|\det(A)| > 0.2$ and $|\Delta(A)| < 4$ then
zoom detected
zoom center position at $-A^{-1}b$ if $\text{trace}(A) < 0$ then zoom-out detected;
else zoom-in detected;
end
end

Algorithm 3: Zoom detection

Optical flow can provide useful video editing information such as zoom detection while extracting local singularities help to measure the amount of motion.

4.3. Global excitement

We made the hypothesis that a salient moment of team sports is when several players are in conflict to get the ball or when players are running towards the goal (attack). In that cases, there are local singularities around moving zones and these singularities will have a temporal consistency.

We detect singularities in each optical flow within a sliding window and compute a spatial histogram on their positions. Our purpose is to detect regions where there is an global excitement. Each image is splitted by a $3 \times 3$ histogram, 9 uniform bins (see figure 7).

In order to stabilize spatial histograms through time, we sum spatial histograms within a temporal window of size 10 frames. We discard video regions where there is a scoreboard and sum up the remaining bins. We choose this indicator to select moments for a summary and sort them according to their intensity based on the aforementioned hypothesis. These histograms are particularly interesting when they are extracted from the farthest view in a match.

Figure 7. Left: a shot with all detected singularities. Each colored square corresponds to one singularity, with the color of their type (figure 1). Right: their corresponding space-time histogram expressed with the given heat scale representing the amount of singularity.

Other clues indicate that an important action just happened such as replays (slow motions).

4.4. Slow motion detection

When a fast action deserves to be detailed, producers usually use slow motions, often from an other point of view
to see all details. Thus we can detect the match salient moments in spotting slow replay. Most of the proposed solutions for this detection problem use the video production habits that consist usually in putting a logo before and after each replay. Pan et al. [15] used a HMM algorithm to detect slow motion from differences between frames and an HMM to model states before and after the slow motion like editing effects for shot transition. Zawbaa et al. [32] filter each frame of the video with the logo dominant color and a trained SVM predicts if the logo appears or not. This type of method has the drawback of both requiring a training set of logo appearance and totally ignoring that the motion is very slow.

To detect slow motion, we focus on the slowness intensity of motion. Our method is based on a simple assumption that is: in a slow replay, a motion will be consistent during time. A singlet describes a typical motion evolution until it totally change its type. Therefore the length of singlets tells us how long a motion last. Thus several long singlets implies that the shot is a slow motion.

We compute the length of each singlet and store their length in a histogram of 100 bins. The histogram is then considered as the feature vector for detecting video slowness. To detect slow motion in video, we train a SVM with a radial basis kernel function after whitening and a PCA on our slow motion feature vector. To train an SVM, we use a database of 82 videos containing 41 slow motion and 41 non slow motion video parts extracted from three of the soccer matches. In our experiment, we set the parameters as $\alpha = 0.4$ for the neighborhood selection (cf eq 4), $\lambda = 0.02$ for the matching distance (cf eq 5) and $C = 29$ and $\gamma = 4.10^3$ for the SVM training.

The test sets is composed by the rest of slow motion and non slow motion samples in each soccer matches, being 33 slow motion segments and 33 non slow motion segments. In order to evaluate the power of generalization of our descriptor, we add 5 slow motions and 5 non slow motions part extracted from the handball game.

Table 3 presents our recognition results on each slow motions dataset. As you can see, we obtain high accuracy for soccer slow motions classification. With the same feature extraction framework and without retraining the SVM, we obtain a slow motion detection at high precision score for the handball dataset. Since our framework was trained on soccer matches and since we do not change any parameters, regarding the results on the handball game, it is indeed generic in spite of an over detection effect.

In the next part, we describe how singlets are useful through these detections to extract salient moments of a match and make a summary.

### 4.5. Salient moment detection and match summarization

Singulaties and singlets represents motion regions. Globally extracted, singularity represents camera motion: we use star node and improper node to spot zooms in section 4.2. Locally extracted, they represents players and ball movements: we use the amount of singularities to characterize global excitement. Singlet identifies singularity’s evolution during time: we use their length to notice slow motions by their nature.

From the singlets’ descriptions, we compute a summarization. We select best moments by a combination of several zoom-in and zoom-out, followed by a peak in the space time histogram of singularities and then a replay of the action with a slow motion.

In order to combine different clues of the salient moments, we build a frieze for each different values. In figure 8 you can see on the left a soccer video frame and on the right its actual singlets space-time histogram. Underneath, from top to bottom, there are 5 friezes. The first frieze corresponds to extracted zooms: red for zoom-in and blue for zoom out. The second frieze shows the quantity of zoom changes within a second according a heat scale. The next frieze shows the amount of large vectors also using a heat scale. We use this light indicator to contrast close-up view from large field view. The forth frieze indicates SVM classification for slow motions in close up views: red for slow motion and blue for non slow motion. Finally the last frieze indicates the amount of singularities: the global excitement indicator.

A match summarization is the concatenation of detected salient moments. A salient moment is detected if within 30 seconds time frame there are:

- at least two zoom direction changes, and
- an activity peaks higher than 1500 (at least 1500 singularities) in a farthest view, and
- a slow motion replay in a close up view.

Therefore, we extract a list of main moments of the match with these rules. On these 4 matches, our method obtains the results referred in table 4.

Unfortunately, the authors of summarization methods for soccer games do not provide any source code nor any binary executable to reproduce their results on our database and the re-implementation implies either human user specifications.
Figure 8. Top left: random frame of a soccer match. Top right: its space time histogram. Bottom: 5 friezes that describe a salient moment detection criteria (from top to bottom: zoom-in/out, zoom changes count, saturation, slow motion detection and activity score).

Figure 9. Frame of the extract of handball game.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Match</th>
<th>FIFA ground-truth</th>
<th>Extended ground-truth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Germany vs Portugal</td>
<td>80 %</td>
<td>88.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria vs Argentine</td>
<td>53 %</td>
<td>77.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France vs Honduras</td>
<td>53.7 %</td>
<td>90.7 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland vs France</td>
<td>62.5 %</td>
<td>96.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>62.3 %</td>
<td>88.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Precision rate of detected salient moments by our method over all the salient moments, using two different ground-truth (see paragraph 4.1).

not provided thresholds or logo database collection. This makes the comparison impossible for video summarization.

We can summarize a soccer match by extracting zooms, by detecting slow motion and salient moments without any hypothesis on the player movements, just in analyzing global video motion. To compute the summary, the shots that contains the detected activity peaks are aggregated.

Since we do not use either soccer characteristics or producing specificities (e.g. logos), our approach is generic. In order to confirm this, we have set all parameters of our method for soccer and train the SVM on soccer video training set. We have then extracted salient moments on a extract of a handball match without any retraining, refining or adaptation. The extract is a part the HDTV video of the final of the 2015 world championship, Qatar against France (figure 9). We detect on that extract one salient moment, followed by one slower replay, out of the three which are indeed in this extract ground-truth. Please notice that the handball field is purple, and that the players are moving differently with zone restriction rules really different from soccer games.

5. Discussion

In this article, we focus on detecting salient moments as zooms, slow motion replays and global excitement of play- ers. However our description gives other information within the projection coefficients that could lead to a semantic description of a game.

For instance, the simple degree 0 on polynomial basis (cf eq[1]) can provide interesting information in the process of semantic description: \( u_{0,0} \) and \( v_{0,0} \) gives the translation on the vertical and the horizontal axis. These coefficients from the projection of the global optical flow, as computed for zoom detection[4][2] characterize the camera translation and then they determine the presence of an attack phase or a counterattack phase.

These projections can be done from any bivariable function to any degree of polynomial bivariable function. We are currently studying these coefficients to provide other clues on a soccer match.

In the open code, we provide the possibility to set the degree of polynomial projection, so these other motion features can be easily computed.

6. Conclusion

We have presented in this article a new robust multi-scale video descriptor: the singlets. They correspond to the tracking of singularities in the polynomial projections of optical flow along the temporal dimension of the video.

This descriptor proves its informativeness in detecting zooms (in and out), slow motions and salient moments during sport events without any ad-hoc elements (no logo, no particular ground color), thus allowing to build relevant sport summary.

On future works, we will evaluate the potential of singlets for a retrieval task as a motion descriptor itself or as a complementary description to a shape and color description.
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