

Here, we provide some supplementary materials for “W2F: A Weakly-Supervised to Fully-Supervised Framework for Object Detection”.

Performance of Fast/Faster-RCNN with strong annotations. Table 1 shows the performance of Fast-RCNN and Faster-RCNN with strong annotations on the PASCAL VOC 2007 test set.

	Ours	Fast-RCNN	Faster-RCNN
mAP	52.4	68.7	69.9

Table 1. The performance of Fast/Faster-RCNN with strong annotations and our method on PASCAL VOC 2007 test set.

Per-class results. We also provide detailed per-class average correct location (CorLoc) on VOC 2007 *trainval* set, as shown in Table 2. Table 3 and Table 4 show the per-class mAP and CorLoc on VOC2012, respectively.

Method	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv	Avg.
Cinbis <i>et al.</i> 2017	57.2	62.2	50.9	37.9	23.9	64.8	74.4	24.8	29.7	64.1	40.8	37.3	55.6	68.1	25.5	38.5	65.2	35.8	56.6	33.5	47.3
Bilen <i>et al.</i> 2015	66.4	59.3	42.7	20.4	21.3	63.4	74.3	59.6	21.1	58.2	14.0	38.5	49.5	60.0	19.8	39.2	41.7	30.1	50.2	44.1	43.7
Wang <i>et al.</i> 2014	80.1	63.9	51.5	14.9	21.0	55.7	74.2	43.5	26.2	53.4	16.3	56.7	58.3	69.5	14.1	38.3	58.8	47.2	49.1	60.9	48.5
Kantorov <i>et al.</i> 2016	83.3	68.6	54.7	23.4	18.3	73.6	74.1	54.1	8.6	65.1	47.1	59.5	67.0	83.5	35.3	39.9	67.0	49.7	63.5	65.2	55.1
Bilen <i>et al.</i> 2016 [†]	46.4	58.3	35.5	25.9	14.0	66.7	53.0	39.2	8.9	41.8	26.6	38.6	44.7	59.0	10.8	17.3	40.7	49.6	56.9	50.8	39.3
Li <i>et al.</i> 2016	78.2	67.1	61.8	38.1	36.1	61.8	78.8	55.2	28.5	68.8	18.5	49.2	64.1	73.5	21.4	47.4	64.6	22.3	60.9	52.3	52.4
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017(OICR)	81.7	80.4	48.7	49.5	32.8	81.7	85.4	40.1	40.6	79.5	35.7	33.7	60.5	88.8	21.8	57.9	76.3	59.9	75.3	81.4	60.6
Jie <i>et al.</i> 2017	72.7	55.3	53.0	27.8	35.2	68.6	81.9	60.7	11.6	71.6	29.7	54.3	64.3	88.2	22.2	53.7	72.2	52.6	68.9	75.5	56.1
Krishna <i>et al.</i> 2016	58.8	-	49.6	15.4	-	-	64.9	59.0	-	43.2	-	51.2	57.5	63.1	-	-	-	-	54.4	-	51.7
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017 [†]	85.8	82.7	62.8	45.2	43.5	84.8	87.0	46.8	15.7	82.2	51.0	45.6	83.7	91.2	22.2	59.7	75.3	65.1	76.8	78.1	64.3
WSD	86.7	83.1	62.2	57.5	28.6	82.7	83.4	36.6	39.7	80.1	39.9	28.4	59.5	88.0	15.6	55.3	82.5	63.7	76.1	79.2	61.4
WSD+FSD1	88.8	84.3	68.5	59.6	37.4	85.3	85.4	40.4	45.6	81.5	45.6	32.6	66.3	92.0	16.9	60.4	84.5	67.7	77.6	79.6	65.0
WSD+PGE+FSD1	85.4	88.6	64.6	53.2	36.3	85.8	86.3	82.0	39.7	81.5	46.8	74.4	74.2	89.6	42.9	52.8	80.4	70.2	73.4	80.7	69.4
WSD+PGE+PGA+FSD2	85.4	87.5	62.5	54.3	35.5	85.3	86.6	82.3	39.7	82.9	49.4	76.5	74.8	90.0	46.8	53.9	84.5	68.3	79.1	79.9	70.3

Table 2. Correct localization (CorLoc) (%) of our method and other state-of-the-art methods on the PASCAL VOC 2007 *test* set. The [†] denotes the results of combining multiple models, others are the results of using single model. FSD1 means Fast-RCNN, and FSD2 represents Faster-RCNN. The weakly-supervised detectors in the top part are based on MIL learning, and the methods in the middle part are similar to our framework (*i.e.* using pseudo ground-truths to train a fully-supervised detector).

Method	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv	mAP
Kantorov <i>et al.</i> 2016	64.0	54.9	36.4	8.1	12.6	53.1	40.5	28.4	6.6	35.3	34.4	49.1	42.6	62.4	19.8	15.2	27.0	33.1	33.0	50.0	35.3
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017(OICR)	67.7	61.2	41.5	25.6	22.2	54.6	49.7	25.4	19.9	47.0	18.1	26.0	38.9	67.7	2.0	22.6	41.1	34.3	37.9	55.3	37.9
Jie <i>et al.</i> 2017	60.8	54.2	34.1	14.9	13.1	54.3	53.4	58.6	3.7	53.1	8.3	43.4	49.8	69.2	4.1	17.5	43.8	25.6	55.0	50.1	38.3
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017 [†]	71.4	69.4	55.1	29.8	28.1	55.0	57.9	24.4	17.2	59.1	21.8	26.6	57.8	1.3	1.0	23.1	52.7	37.5	33.5	56.6	42.5
WSD [†]	70.0	63.3	43.0	28.0	25.4	54.1	52.5	19.8	16.1	48.6	14.3	29.9	49.9	70.2	23.4	25.3	42.4	39.1	41.5	56.7	39.6
WSD+FSD1 [‡]	72.3	70.3	51.8	32.4	27.5	58.6	58.7	17.6	13.3	58.1	14.0	29.5	62.2	74.3	1.2	21.6	47.6	45.9	32.6	58.1	42.4
WSD+PGE+FSD1 [§]	71.5	71.0	46.6	27.6	26.6	58.1	59.1	62.1	19.4	59.0	8.9	71.4	64.1	74.2	6.7	23.6	47.4	45.2	44.9	57.5	47.3
WSD+PGE+PGA+FSD2 [¶]	73.0	69.4	45.8	30.0	28.7	58.8	58.6	56.7	20.5	58.9	10.0	69.5	67.0	73.4	7.4	24.6	48.2	46.8	50.7	58.0	47.8

Table 3. Average precision(AP) (%) of our method and other state-of-the-art methods on the PASCAL VOC 2012 *test* set. [†], FSD1 and FSD2 have the same meanings as Table1. The weakly-supervised detectors in the top part are based on MIL learning, and the methods in the middle part are similar to our framework (*i.e.* using pseudo ground-truths to train a fully-supervised detector). [†]<http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk:8080/anonymous/6UBIHR.html>, [‡]<http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk:8080/anonymous/YXCMZ7.html>, [§]<http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk:8080/anonymous/3DXIHR.html>, [¶]<http://host.robots.ox.ac.uk:8080/anonymous/CHJKOG.html>

Method	aero	bike	bird	boat	bottle	bus	car	cat	chair	cow	table	dog	horse	mbike	person	plant	sheep	sofa	train	tv	Avg.
Kantorov <i>et al.</i> 2016	78.3	70.8	52.5	34.7	36.6	80.0	58.7	38.6	27.7	71.2	32.3	48.7	76.2	77.4	16.0	48.4	69.9	47.5	66.9	62.9	54.8
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017(OICR)	86.2	84.2	68.7	55.4	46.5	82.8	74.9	32.2	46.7	82.8	42.9	41.0	68.1	89.6	9.2	53.9	81.0	52.9	59.5	83.2	62.1
Jie <i>et al.</i> 2017	82.4	68.1	54.5	38.9	35.9	84.7	73.1	4.8	17.1	78.3	22.5	57.0	70.8	86.6	18.7	49.7	80.7	45.3	70.1	77.3	58.8
Tang <i>et al.</i> 2017 [†]	89.3	86.3	75.2	57.9	53.5	84.0	79.5	35.2	47.2	87.4	43.4	43.8	77.0	91.0	10.4	60.7	86.8	55.7	62.0	84.7	65.6
WSD	87.0	83.2	69.0	56.6	50.5	84.4	75.8	28.0	41.9	85.1	37.3	43.6	77.2	89.2	11.2	55.8	80.7	59.0	62.6	82.5	63.0
WSD+FSD1	89.5	86.2	73.9	58.3	54.2	89.3	78.2	30.4	42.8	87.4	37.1	45.8	81.8	92.2	11.7	61.1	83.4	61.6	61.8	83.7	65.5
WSD+PGE+FSD1	88.3	86.3	65.3	55.6	52.5	88.8	79.8	70.1	44.0	86.1	26.7	79.7	87.6	91.4	26.0	56.7	85.0	61.9	62.9	84.4	69.0
WSD+PGE+PGA+FSD2	88.8	85.8	64.9	56.0	54.3	88.1	79.1	67.8	46.5	86.1	26.7	77.7	87.2	89.7	28.5	56.9	85.6	63.7	71.3	83.0	69.4

Table 4. Correct localization (CorLoc) (%) of our method and other state-of-the-art methods on the PASCAL VOC 2012 *trainval* set. [†], FSD1 and FSD2 have the same meanings as Table1. The weakly-supervised detectors in the top part are based on MIL learning, and the methods in the middle part are similar to our framework (*i.e.* using pseudo ground-truths to train a fully-supervised detector).