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Abstract

Recent advances have shown that symmetry, a structural prior that most objects exhibit, can support a variety of single-view 3D understanding tasks. However, detecting 3D symmetry from an image remains a challenging task. Previous works either assume the symmetry is given or detect the symmetry with a heuristic-based method. In this paper, we present NeRD, a Neural 3D Reflection Symmetry Detector, which combines the strength of learning-based recognition and geometry-based reconstruction to accurately recover the normal direction of objects’ mirror planes. Specifically, we enumerate the symmetry planes with a coarse-to-fine strategy and find the best ones by building 3D cost volumes to examine the intra-image pixel correspondence from the symmetry. Our experiments show that the symmetry planes detected with our method are significantly more accurate than the planes from direct CNN regression on both synthetic and real datasets. More importantly, we also demonstrate that the detected symmetry can be used to improve the performance of downstream tasks such as pose estimation and depth map regression by a wide margin over existing methods. The code of this paper has been made public at https://github.com/zhou13/nerd.

1. Introduction

Recovering the 3D orientation of objects in an image is a fundamental problem in 3D vision, which plays important roles in tasks such as robotics, autonomous driving, virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and 3D scene understanding. Traditionally, such a problem is hard to solve. Researchers can use RGB-D input captured with time-of-flight cameras or structured light [5, 27, 29]. Unfortunately, depth cameras often have limited range and can be interfered with by other light sources, and the requirement of owning a depth camera is inconvenient for average users, which severely restricts its applications.

Recent advances in convolutional neural networks in object detection and instance segmentation have shown good potential in inferring object-level information from RGB images by leveraging supervised learning. Nowadays, single-view neural network-based methods are able to predict the object pose under different settings. Some work explores the instance-level 3D pose estimation problem [21, 28, 32] in which the CAD models of the objects are known beforehand. However, these settings are rather limited because in practice we do not have CAD models for many objects. Therefore, other work tries to tackle the category-level 3D pose estimation problem [4, 25, 39] without relying on the exact CAD models of objects. Unlike the cases where either depth information or CAD models are available, previous single-view category-level 3D pose estimation methods can hardly exploit the geometric constraints between the input RGB image and the 3D shape and predict the pose solely by interpolating the training data. Hence, such formulation is ill-posed, which leads to inaccurate pose recovery [31].

To address this difficulty, we identify a structure that commonly exists in man-made objects, the reflection symmetry, as a geometric connection between the object poses and the
images. We observe that the canonical space of objects often is determined by aligning the Y-Z plane to the symmetry planes of objects [2, 30], so the normal direction of the symmetry plane encodes most of the geometric information regarding the pose of the object. To this end, we propose the NeRD network to detect the reflection symmetry from RGB images. NeRD combines the strength of learning-based recognition and geometry-based reconstruction methods. It first enumerates the normal direction of the mirror plane from the image with a coarse-to-fine strategy and then verifies their correctness with a geometric-based neural network. More specifically, we incorporate the concept of reflection symmetry into deep networks through plane-sweep cost volumes built from features of corresponding pixels, as shown in Figure 1. This allows us to accurately recover the normal direction of the mirror plane under the principle of shape-from-symmetry [13].

The network (see Figure 3) consists of a backbone feature extractor, a differentiable warping module for building the 3D cost volumes, and a cost volume network. This framework naturally enables neural networks to utilize the information from corresponding pixels of reflection symmetry inside a single image. We evaluate our method on the ShapeNet dataset [2] and Pix3D dataset [30]. Extensive comparisons and analysis show that by detecting and utilizing intra-image pixel correspondence from reflection symmetry, our method has better accuracy for recovering the normal direction of the symmetry plane and hence the object pose, even when the object is not perfectly symmetric.

Our main contributions are summarized as below:

• we identify the problem of learning neural 3D reflection symmetry detector, in which the intra-image pixel correspondence of symmetry can be utilized for accurate plane normal estimation;
• we propose a novel framework that leverages single-view dense feature matching to estimate symmetry planes, significantly outperforming previous methods;
• we show that the learned symmetry planes benefit tremendously a variety of downstream tasks, including single-view pose recovery and depth estimation.

2. Related Work

3D Reflection Symmetry. For many years, scientists from vision science and psychology have found that symmetry plays an important role in the human vision system [33, 35]. People have exploited different kinds of symmetry for tasks such as texture impainting [20], unsupervised shape recovering [38], and image manipulation [45]. Researchers have utilized the correspondences of symmetry to reconstruct shapes in different representations, such as points [13], curves [14], and recent deep implicit fields [41]. However, these methods either assume that the input camera pose or the symmetry plane is given or require its correspondence points. This is because detecting 3D symmetry from a single view is challenging.

Symmetry Detection. [8] is a recent survey of existing 2D/3D symmetry detection methods. On one hand, most of the geometry-based symmetry detection methods use handcrafted features and only work for 2D planar and front-facing objects [19, 22, 44] as shown in Figure 2a. The extracted 2D symmetry axes and correspondences cannot provide enough geometric cues for depth reconstruction. In order to make reflection symmetry useful for depth reconstruction, it is necessary to detect the 3D mirror plane and corresponding points of symmetric objects (Figure 2b) from perspective images. On the other hand, recent single-image processing neural networks [2, 15, 36, 42, 46] can approximately recover the camera orientation with respect to the canonical pose, which gives a mirror plane of symmetry. However, the camera poses from those data-driven networks are not accurate enough [9], because they cannot exploit the geometric constraints of symmetry. To remedy the above issues, our NeRD tries to take the best of both worlds. The proposed method first detects the 3D mirror plane of a symmetric object from an image and then recovers the depth map by finding the pixel-wise correspondence with respect to the symmetry plane, all of which are supported with geometric principles. Our experiment (Section 4) shows that NeRD is indeed much more accurate for 3D symmetry plane detection, compared to previous learning-based methods [40, 46].

Learning-Based Single-Image 3D Understanding. Inspired by the success of CNNs in image classification and object detection, multiple single-view learning-based 3D understanding tasks have been explored, including depth estimation [3, 7], camera pose recovery, etc. Although these methods demonstrate promising results on benchmark datasets, the inferred results are not accurate enough for most subsequent 3D reconstruction purposes. To alleviate this issue, our method leverages the symmetry prior by matching pixel-level features for accurate single-view 3D understanding.
3. Methods

3.1. Camera Model and 3D Symmetry

Let \( \mathbb{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \) be the set of points in the homogeneous coordinate that are on the surface of an object. If we say \( \mathbb{O} \) admits the symmetry\(^1\) with respect to a rigid transformation \( M \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \), it means that

\[
\forall X \in \mathbb{O} : MX \in \mathbb{O}, \quad \text{and} \quad F(X) = F(MX),
\]

where \( X \) is homogeneous coordinates of a point on the surface of the object, \( MX \) is the corresponding point of \( X \) with respect to the symmetry, and \( F(\cdot) \) represents the surface properties at a given point, such as the surface material and texture. For example, if an object has a reflection symmetry with respect to the Y-Z plane in the world coordinate, then we have its transformation \( M_x = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1) \). Figure 2 shows an example of 3D reflection symmetry.

Given two 3D points \( X, X' \in \mathbb{O} \) in the homogeneous coordinate that are associated by the symmetry transform \( X' = MX \), their 2D projections \( x \) and \( x' \) must satisfy the following conditions:

\[
x = KR_x X/d, \quad \text{and} \quad x' = KR_x X'/d'.
\]

Here, we keep all vectors in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \), \( x = [x, y, 1, 1/d]^T \) and \( x' = [x', y', 1, 1/d']^T \) represent the 2D coordinates of the points in the pixel space, \( d \) and \( d' \) are the depth in the camera space, \( K \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4} \) is the camera intrinsic matrix, and \( R_t = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) is the camera extrinsic matrix that rotates and translates the coordinate from the object space to the camera space.

\(^1\)An object might admit multiple symmetries. For example, a rectangle has two reflective symmetries and one rotational symmetry. We here only consider the principle symmetry.

From Equation (2), we can derive the following constraint for their 2D projections \( x \) and \( x' \):

\[
x' \propto KR_x MR_t^{-1} K^{-1} x = Cx.
\]

We use the proportional symbol here as the 3rd dimension of \( x' \) can always be renormalized to one so the scale factor does not matter. The constraint in Equation (3) is valuable to us because the neural network now has a geometrically meaningful way to check whether the estimated depth \( d \) is reasonable at \((x, y)\) by comparing the image appearance at \((x, y)\) and \((x', y')\), where \((x', y')\) is computed from Equation (3) given \( x, y, \) and \( d \). If \( d \) is a good estimation, the two corresponding image patches should be similar due to \( F(X) = F(X') \) from the symmetry constraint in Equation (1). This is often called photo-consistency in the literature of multi-view stereopsis [10].

An alternative way to understand Equation (3) is to substitute \( X' = MX \) into Equation (2) and treat the later equation as the projection from another view. By doing that, we reduce the problem of shape-from-symmetry to two-view stereopsis, only that the stereo pair is in special positions.

**Reflection Symmetry in 3D.** Equation (3) gives us a generalized way to represent any types of symmetry with matrix \( C = KR_x MR_t^{-1} K^{-1} \). For reflection symmetry, a more intuitive parametrization is to use the equation of the symmetry plane in the camera space. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) be the coordinate of a point on the symmetry plane in the camera space. The equation of the symmetry plane can be written as

\[
w^T x + 1 = 0,
\]

where we use \( w \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) as the parameterization of symmetry.
Coarse-to-fine Inference Round 1 provides us a geometric way to illustrate the process of coarse-to-fine Inference Round 2. Coarse-to-fine Inference Round 3 illustrates the overall pipeline of NeRD.

We derive Equation (5) in the supplementary material. The goal of reflection symmetry detection is to recover \( w \) from images.

On the first impression, one may wonder why \( \mathbf{R}_d \) (i.e., camera poses) in Equation (3) has 6 degrees of freedoms (DoFs) while \( w \) only has 3. This is due to the specialty of reflection symmetry. Rotating the camera with respect to the normal of the symmetry plane (1 DoF) and translating the camera along the symmetry plane (2 DoFs) cannot change the relative pose of the camera with respect to the symmetry plane. Therefore the number of DoFs in reflection symmetry is indeed \( 6 - 1 - 2 = 3 \).

Scale Ambiguity. Similar to structure-from-motion in which it is impossible to determine the absolute size of scenes [23], shape-from-symmetry also has a scale ambiguity. This is demonstrated in Figure 4. In the case of reflection symmetry, we cannot determine the value of \( \|w\|_2 \), i.e., the symmetry plane’s distance from the origin, from a single image without relying on size priors, as it is always possible to scale the scene by a constant (and thus scale \( \|w\|_2 \)) without affecting images. Therefore, we fix \( \|w\|_2 \) to be a constant and leave the ambiguity as it is. In other words, NeRD is designed only to recover the normal direction of the symmetry plane. For real-world applications, this scale ambiguity can be resolved when the object size or the distance between the object and the camera is known.

3.2. Overall Pipeline of NeRD

Motivation. Section 3.1 provides us a geometric way to verify whether a given \( w \) is valid: For each pixel \((x, y)\), we check if there exists a \( d \) so that the image feature at \((x, y)\) and its mirror point \((x', y')\) are similar, where \((x', y')\) are computed with Equation (3). If \( w \) is correct, then for pixels whose mirror parts are not occluded, we should be able to find their corresponding pixels that are similar to themselves.

To utilize such an idea, we turn the problem of regressing \( w \) into a classification problem: We first enumerate possible plane normal directions and use a neural network to verify whether these directions are closed to the real symmetry planes or not.

Methods. Figure 3 illustrates the overall pipeline of NeRD during inference. For each input image, we compute its 2D feature map (Section 3.3) and generate a list of candidate normal directions of its symmetry plane. For each candidate normal \( w \), we use it to warp the 2D feature map and construct an initial 3D cost volume (Section 3.4) for photo-consistency matching. After that, the cost volume network (Section 3.5) converts the cost volume tensor into a confidence value. We pick \( w \) with the highest confidence as the resulting normal direction of the symmetry plane.

A brute-force enumeration of \( w \) is slow, especially when high precision is needed. To accelerate it, NeRD uses a coarse-to-fine strategy, which we will describe in detail in Section 3.6. Figure 5 illustrates the process of coarse-to-fine inference. In ith round of inference, the coarse-to-fine sampler samples \( N \) candidates symmetry plane \( \{w^k_i\}_{k=1}^N \) uniformly and evaluate their confidence with our neural network. Then, we find the pose \( w^*_i \) with the highest confidence score and limit the symmetry sampler to the nearby region around it. This process is repeated until we achieve the desired accuracy.
3.3. Backbone Network

The goal of the backbone network is to extract 2D features from images. We use a modified ResNet-like network as our backbone. To reduce the memory footprint, we first down-sample the image with a stride-2 $5 \times 5$ convolution. After that, the network has $8$ basic blocks [12] with ReLU activation. The 5th basic block uses stride-2 convolution to further downsample the feature maps. The number of channels is 64. The output feature map $F$ has dimension $[\frac{H}{4}] \times [\frac{W}{4}] \times 64$. The network structure diagram is shown in the supplementary materials.

3.4. Feature Warping Module

The function of the feature warping module is to construct the initial 3D cost volume tensor $V(x, y, d)$ for photo-consistency matching. We discretize $d$ so that $d \in D = \{d_{\min} + \frac{i}{D-1}(d_{\max} - d_{\min}) | i = 0, 1, \ldots, D-1\}$ to make the 3D cost volume homogeneous to 3D convolution, in which $d_{\min}$ and $d_{\max}$ is the minimal and maximal depth we want to predict and $D$ is the number of sampling points for depth. As mentioned in Section 3.1, the correctness of $d$ at $(x, y)$ correlates with the appearance similarity of the image patch at pixels represented by $x$ and $C_x$. Therefore, we set $V$ by concatenating the backbone features at these two locations, i.e.,

$$V(x, y, d) = \left[ F(x, y), F(x', y) \right],$$

where $[x', y', 1, 1/d']^T \propto C[x, y, 1, 1/d]^T$, i.e., $(x', y')$ being the projection of the mirror point of the pixel $(x, y)$ assuming its depth is $d$. Here $F$ is the backbone feature, and $C$ is computed from the sampled symmetry plane $w$. We apply bilinear interpolation to access the features at non-integer coordinates. The dimension of the cost volume tensor is $[\frac{H}{4}] \times [\frac{W}{4}] \times D \times 32$.

3.5. Cost Volume Network

The goal of the cost volume network is to turn the initial 3D cost volume tensor $V$ from the feature warping module into a confidence value representing whether the current pose $w$ is close to the ground truth. It may also predict a depth probability tensor $P(x, y, d) \equiv Pr[D(x, y) = d]$ for downstream tasks (Section 3.7). The cost volume network uses matrix multiplication on the channel dimension to check for the photo-consistency on $V$. However, the initial cost volume aggregated from image features can be noisy. Thus, we use a network consists of multiple 3D convolution layers that are capable of regularizing the cost volume information. We aggregate the multi-resolution encoder features with max-pool operators and then apply the sigmoid function to normalize the confidence values into $[0, 1]$.

3.6. Symmetry Sampler

Inference. As shown in Figure 5, the symmetry sampler uniformly samples $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^K$ from $W_i \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ using the Fibonacci lattice [11, 47], where $W_i$ is the sampling space of the $i$th round of inference. In the first round, candidates are sampled from the surface of a unit sphere. For the following rounds, we set $W_i = \{w \in S^2 | \arccos(|\langle w, w_i \rangle|) < \Delta_i \}$ to be a spherical cap, where $w_{i-1}$ is the optimal $w$ from the previous round and $\Delta_i$ is a hyper-parameter.

Training. During training, we sample symmetry planes for each image according to the hyper-parameter $\Delta_i$. For the $i$th level, symmetry candidates are sampled from $\{w \in S^2 | \arccos(|\langle w, w_i \rangle|) \leq \Delta_i \}$, where $w$ is the ground truth symmetry pose. We also add a random sample $\hat{w} \in S^2$ to reduce the sampling bias. For each sampled $\hat{w}$, its confidence labels is $l_i = 1[\arccos(|\langle w, \hat{w} \rangle|) \leq \Delta_i]$ for the $i$th level. The training error could be written as

$$L_{\text{cls}} = \sum_i \text{BCE}(\hat{l}_i, l_i),$$

where BCE represents the binary cross entropy error, and $\hat{l}_i$ is predicted confidence of $\hat{w}$ for the $i$th level in the coarse-to-fine inference.

3.7. Applications

In this section, we introduce some potential applications of reflection symmetry detection that benefit from the accurate normal direction of the reflection symmetry plane.

Pose Recovery. In the problem of pose recovery, the goal is to find the pose of an object from an RGB image, in which people normally set up the canonical space of objects so that objects are symmetric with respect to the X-Z plane or the Y-Z plane [2]. Because NeRD is able to pinpoint the normal direction of the symmetry plane, we can accurately determine 2 DoF's of the 6 DoFs pose with our geometry-based method. For the rest 4 DoFs, we can still resort to data-driven approaches (e.g., direct regression) with neural networks.

Depth Estimation. As we construct cost volumes (i.e., depth probability tensors) in the symmetry detection pipeline (Section 3.5), it is straightforward to use it for a geometry-based depth estimation. With the estimated $w^*$, we compute the expectation of depth from the probability tensor $P$ as the depth map prediction $D$. This is sometimes referred as soft argmin [17]. Mathematically, we have

$$D(x, y) = \frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{d \in D} dP(x, y, d).$$

We rescale the ground truth depth according to $\|\hat{w}\|_2$ and add an additional $\ell_1$ term to the training loss as the supervision
of depth:

\[ L_{dpt} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x,y} \left| D(x, y) - \hat{D}(x, y) \right|, \tag{8} \]

where \( n \) is the number of pixels.

4. Experiments

4.1. Datasets

We conduct experiments on the synthetic ShapeNet dataset [2] and real-world Pix3D dataset [30], in which models have already been processed so that in their canonical poses the Y-Z plane is the plane of the reflection symmetry.

**ShapeNet.** We use the same camera pose, intrinsic, and train/validation/test split from a 13-category subset of the dataset as in R2N2 and others [6, 16, 37] to make the comparison easy and fair. We exclude the lamp category as it contains many asymmetric objects. We use Blender to render the images with resolution 256 × 256.

**Pix3D.** Pix3D [30] is a real-world dataset containing image-shape pairs with 2D-3D registrations. To demonstrate the versatility of NeRD, we test NeRD on the Pix3D dataset. We assume that the bounding boxes of objects have been detected, and we use them to crop the images for removing the background while maintaining the aspect ratio. We rescale the remaining data into train and test sets, which contain 5285 and 588 images, respectively.

4.2. Implementation Details

We implement NeRD in PyTorch. We use the plane \( x = 0 \) in the object space as the ground truth symmetry plane because it is explicitly aligned for each model by authors of ShapeNet. We set \( d_{\text{min}} \) and \( d_{\text{max}} \) according to the depth distribution of the dataset, and use \( D = 64 \) for the depth of the cost volume. We use \( N = 4 \) rounds in the coarse-to-fine inference, in each of which \( K = 32 \) normal directions are sampled. We choose \( \Delta = [20.7^\circ, 6.44^\circ, 1.99^\circ, 0.61^\circ] \) according to the gap between near directions on the Fibonacci lattice. Our experiments are conducted on two NVIDIA RTX 2080Ti GPUs. We use Adam [18] for training. The learning rate is set to \( 3 \times 10^{-4} \) and batch size is set to 16 per GPU. We train the NeRD for 40 epochs and decay the learning rate by a factor of 10 at the 30th epoch. The overall inference speed is about 1 image per second per GPU.

**Metrics.** To better understand the performance of symmetry detection, we show two forms of metrics. We plot a performance curve for each detector-dataset pair, in which the x-axis represents the angle accuracy and the y-axis represents the proportion of the data whose error is less than that. We also report quantitative metrics, including the median and mean of the angle difference, and the percentages of testing images whose error is smaller than 0.5°, 1.0°, 2.0°, and 4.0°, for the ease of comparison.

4.3. Ablation Studies

We conduct ablation studies to justify each component in NeRD. In Table 1, we analyze the function of three main components of NeRD: the 2D backbone network (Section 3.3), feature warping module (Section 3.4), and the cost volume network (Section 3.5). The second column of Table 1 represents whether we use the feature from the 2D backbone or just RGB values with a single \( 1 \times 1 \) convolution to construct the cost volume. Comparing (a) and (e), we find that removing the 2D backbone degrades the performance, especially at the region > 2°. We think this is because the 2D backbone network increases the receptive field, which makes our method more robust. The third column represents whether we want to replace the cost volume network with a simple max-pool layer. Results in (b) and (e) show that the cost volume network is the key component for an accurate symmetry detector. Finally, we study the different pooling schemes in the feature warping module. From (c), (d), and (e), we find that the feature concatenation and variance pooling gives the best results, while the average pooling performs poorly in the high-precision region (< 1°). This matches our intuition in Section 3.1 that NeRD compares the feature to check photo-consistency.

4.4. Symmetry Detection on Synthetic Datasets

**Baselines.** We briefly introduce some state-of-the-art single-view symmetry detection and pose estimation baselines. Probably the plainest way to estimate the 3D symmetry plane \( w \) is direct regression [9]. We implement it

![Figure 6: Performance curves of symmetry detection and camera pose recovery networks. Higher is better.](image-url)
and Figure 6b show the comparison on the real-world dataset. NeRD outperforms the naive CNN regression, and the margin is even bigger compared to the results on ShapeNet. We hypothesize that this is because images in Pix3D use a larger number of camera configurations, including different focal lengths and object positions with respect to the focal center, while the dataset has fewer images. This requires more generalizability from the algorithms. Our geometry-based approach shines here because it can rely on the cues from correspondence to find the symmetry planes. Also, it is hard for naive convolutional neural networks to make use of the camera intrinsics, which varies from images to images, unlike ShapeNet. In contrast, NeRD uses camera intrinsic matrices in the feature warping module (Section 3.4) and thus generalizes better when dealing with different camera configurations.

### 4.5. Symmetry Detection on Real-World Datasets

Table 3 and Figure 6b show the comparison on the real-world Pix3D dataset. NeRD outperforms the naive CNN regression, and the margin is even bigger compared to the results on ShapeNet. We hypothesize that this is because images in Pix3D use a larger number of camera configurations, including different focal lengths and object positions with respect to the focal center, while the dataset has fewer images. This requires more generalizability from the algorithms. Our geometry-based approach shines here because it can rely on the cues from correspondence to find the symmetry planes. Also, it is hard for naive convolutional neural networks to make use of the camera intrinsics, which varies from images to images, unlike ShapeNet. In contrast, NeRD uses camera intrinsic matrices in the feature warping module (Section 3.4) and thus generalizes better when dealing with different camera configurations.

### 4.6. Depth Estimation as an Application

As mentioned in Section 3.7, NeRD can be modified as a symmetry-guided depth estimator. We compare it with popular monocular depth estimation networks [1, 7, 26, 43].
and shape reconstruction networks [37, 40]. The results on the task of depth estimation are shown in Table 4. NeRD outperforms both monocular depth estimation networks and shape reconstruction networks. Besides, NeRD*, the variant of NeRD that uses the ground truth symmetry plane instead of the one predicted in coarse-to-fine inference, only slightly outperforms the standard NeRD. These behaviors indicate that detecting symmetry planes and incorporating photo-consistency priors of reflection symmetry into the neural network makes the task of single-view reconstruction less ill-posed and thus can improve the performance.

4.7. Visualization

We visualize our results in Figure 7 and Figure 8. We have the following observations: 1) our method outperforms previous methods on unusual objects, e.g. chairs in atypical shapes. This indicates that previous learning-based methods need to extrapolate from seen patterns and cannot generalize to unusual images well, while our method relies more on geometry cues from symmetry, a more reliable source of information for 3D understanding. 2) NeRD gives accurate symmetry planes even on challenging camera poses such as the orientation from the back of chairs. We believe that this is because geometric information from correspondence helps to pinpoint the normal of symmetry planes.

In Figure 9, we show sampled results of depth maps. Visually, NeRD gives the most accurate results among all the tested methods. For example, it can capture the details of desk frames and the shapes of ship cabins. Results from the hourglass network are also sharp but are less accurate, which may be the sign of overfitting. In the region such as the chair armrests and table legs, NeRD can recover the depth more accurate compared to the baseline methods. This is because, for NeRD, pixel-matching based on photo-consistency in those areas is easy and can provide a strong signal.
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