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Thank you for reading the supplementary material, in which we introduce more experimental details in Section 1, and provide more qualitative visualization examples on Pascal-5i and COCO-20i in Section 2.

1. Additional Experimental Details

1.1. Detailed mean IoU results on COCO-20i

In Table 1, we present the detailed per-split results in terms of mean IoU. As can be seen in the table, we achieve the best performance in every split, which demonstrates the superiority of our method.

1.2. Calculation of FLOPs

In the ablation study, we use floating point operations (FLOPs) to evaluate the amount of computation and model complexity. Here, we describe the calculation in detail. For a general convolution layer, the operations of one pixel in the output feature map are calculated as follows:

\[
F = \begin{cases} 
(C_{in} \cdot K^2) + (C_{in} \cdot K^2 - 1) & \text{bias}=\text{False} \\
(C_{in} \cdot K^2) + (C_{in} \cdot K^2) & \text{bias}=\text{True}
\end{cases} \tag{1}
\]

where the first item is multiplication, and the second one denotes addition. \(C_{in}\) is the number of input channels and \(K\) is the kernel size. Then, extending to the whole feature map, we get the number of FLOPs as:

\[
\text{FLOPs} = F \times H \times W \times C_{out}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(H, W\) is the size of output feature, and \(C_{out}\) is the number of output feature channels. For example, the FLOPs are 0.9G when using 256 \(1 \times 1\) convolution filters to process the merged feature \(F_Q' \in \mathbb{R}^{513 \times 60 \times 60}\).

1.3. Ablation Study on Iteration Number

To explore the effect of the number of iterations, we implement experiments of 1-shot setting with different iteration numbers on Pascal-5i. As shown in Figure 1, both FB-IoU and mIoU increase monotonically with more iterations, and it takes around 5 iterations to obtain the converged result.

Figure 1. Ablation study on evaluation iterations.

2. Additional Qualitative Results

2.1. Visual Results on Pascal-5i and COCO-20i

In Figure 2, we present more qualitative results in comparison to the single prototype baseline. These qualitative results demonstrate that our model is capable of handling large variations in appearance, scale and shape between support and query images. Compared with the baseline, we perform particularly better in occluded cases, e.g., column 3-6 of Figure 2.

2.2. Visualizations of Similarity Map

To better understand the proposed method, we visualize each similarity map, which is obtained by computing the cosine distance between each prototype and query feature. As presented in Figure 3, prototypes represent parts of the object with similar characteristics, which make the network more adaptive and discriminative.
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Table 1. Comparison with state-of-the-arts on COCO-20\textsuperscript{i} with per-split results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backbone</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>1-shot</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>5-shot</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>s-0</td>
<td>s-1</td>
<td>s-2</td>
<td>s-3</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>s-0</td>
<td>s-1</td>
<td>s-2</td>
<td>s-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DAN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PFENet</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>32.30</td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>32.40</td>
<td>38.50</td>
<td>38.60</td>
<td>38.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ResNet50</td>
<td>RPMMs</td>
<td>29.53</td>
<td>36.82</td>
<td>28.94</td>
<td>27.02</td>
<td>30.58</td>
<td>33.82</td>
<td>41.96</td>
<td>32.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASGNet</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>36.94</td>
<td>34.33</td>
<td>32.08</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>40.99</td>
<td>48.28</td>
<td>40.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Qualitative visualization of baseline (single prototype learning) and the proposed ASGNet. On the left are examples from Pascal-5\textsuperscript{i} and the right ones are from COCO-20\textsuperscript{i}. Best viewed in color and zoom in.

Figure 3. Visualization of similarity maps on Pascal-5\textsuperscript{i}. The number of prototypes is determined by the size of support object. Best viewed in color and zoom in.