# Part-aware Measurement for Robust Multi-View Multi-Human 3D Pose Estimation and Tracking Supplementary Material

Hau Chu<sup>1,3</sup> Jia-Hong Lee<sup>1,3</sup> Yao-Chih Lee<sup>2,5</sup> Ching-Hsien Hsu<sup>2,6</sup> Jia-Da Li<sup>1,3</sup> Chu-Song Chen<sup>1,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>National Taiwan University <sup>2</sup>Academia Sinica, Taiwan <sup>3</sup>{hauchu8733, jiahonghenrylee, jiadali}@ntu.edu.tw, <sup>4</sup>chusong@csie.ntu.edu.tw

{nauchus/ss, jianonghenryiee, jiadaii}@ntu.edu.tw, Chusong@csie.ntu.edu.tw <sup>5</sup>yclee1231@iis.sinica.edu.tw, <sup>6</sup>applychristw@gmail.com

# 1. Supplementary Material

### **1.1. Implementation Details**

Paremeters Selection. In this work we have several parameters:  $\alpha_{2D}$ ,  $\alpha_{epi}$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\varepsilon$  are thresholds of 2D velocity, epipolar distance, time interval and number of positive affinities respectively,  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  is a penalty rate of time interval. Here we show our empirical selections of parameters for each dataset in Table 1.  $\alpha_{2D}$ ,  $\alpha_{epi}$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\varepsilon$  are based on the frame size and the distance between people and camera. For Campus [1], the image size is  $360 \times 288$  and the actors are far from cameras. Therefore,  $\alpha_{2D}$  and  $\alpha_{epi}$  is set to be smaller number. Yet  $\varepsilon$  adjust with a strict value since actors are mostly captured completely, and we expect that 2D poses can all be accurately estimated. On the other hand, Shelf [1] and Panoptic [2] have larger image size and humans are captured in a small area which is close to cameras. Thus, occlusion and out-of-view often occur. We then define the parameter with a more flexible value. For other two parameters,  $\tau$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  basically depends on the fps of video, e.g. the three datates are all captured at 25 fps.

Dataset	Campus	Shelf	Panoptic
$\alpha_{2D}$	30	70	60
$\alpha_{epi}$	15	60	30
$\tau$	3	3	3
ε	14	10	10
$\lambda_{\alpha}$	3	3	3

Table 1: Parameters selection for different datasets.

**Initialization Procedure.** To introduce our 3D pose initialization procedure more clearly, it is detailed in Algorithm 1.

#### **1.2.** Qualitative Results

Here, we demonstrate more qualitative results of our approach on three datasets in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.

#### Algorithm 1: Initialization Procedure Input: Unmatched 2D poses $\mathbb{U}_c | c \in \mathbf{C}$ Previously tracked 3D skeletons $\mathbf{X}_{t'} \in \mathbf{P}$ at time t'**Output:** New tracked skeletons $\{\mathbf{X}_t\}$ at time t 1 Initialization: $\mathbb{U} \leftarrow unmatched 2D \text{ poses of } Camera 1$ 2 foreach $c \in {\mathbf{C} - c_1}$ do $\mathbb{U}_c \leftarrow unmatched \ 2D \ poses \ of \ Camera \ c$ 3 $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathbb{U}| \times |\mathbb{U}_c|}$ 4 foreach $oldsymbol{x}_t \in \mathbb{U}$ do 5 foreach $\boldsymbol{x}_{t,c} \in \mathbb{U}_c$ do 6 $\mathbf{E} \leftarrow \mathrm{EpipolarConstraint}(\mathbb{U}, \mathbb{U}_c)$ 7 $Match(\boldsymbol{x}_t, \boldsymbol{x}_{t,c}), \mathbb{U}_c' \leftarrow$ 8 HungarianAlgorithm $(\mathbf{E})$ 9 $\mathbb{U} \leftarrow \mathbb{U} \cup \mathbb{U}'_{c}$ 10 end 11 end 12 end foreach $oldsymbol{x}_{cluster} \in \mathbb{U}$ do 13 if $\text{Length}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\textit{cluster}}) \geq 2$ then 14 $\hat{\mathbb{P}} \leftarrow \text{JointsFilter}(\boldsymbol{x}_{cluster})$ 15 $\mathbf{X}_t \leftarrow 3 \text{DReconstruction}(\hat{\mathbb{P}})$ 16 $\mathbf{P} \leftarrow \mathbf{P} \cup \{\mathbf{X}_t\}$ 17 18 end 19 end

## References

- [1] Vasileios Belagiannis, Sikandar Amin, Mykhaylo Andriluka, Bernt Schiele, Nassir Navab, and Slobodan Ilic. 3d pictorial structures revisited: Multiple human pose estimation. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 38(10):1929–1942, 2015.
- [2] Hanbyul Joo, Tomas Simon, Xulong Li, Hao Liu, Lei Tan, Lin Gui, Sean Banerjee, Timothy Godisart, Bart Nabbe, Iain Matthews, et al. Panoptic studio: A massively multiview system for social interaction capture. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 41(1):190–204, 2017.



(c) 160906\_band4

Figure 1: Qualitative results of Panoptic, three sub-datasets is demonstrated.



Figure 2: Qualitative result of Campus



Figure 3: Qualitative result of Shelf