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**Abstract**

We target a 3D generative model for general natural scenes that are typically unique and intricate. Lacking the necessary volumes of training data, along with the difficulties of having ad hoc designs in presence of varying scene characteristics, renders existing setups intractable. Inspired by classical patch-based image models, we advocate for synthesizing 3D scenes at the patch level, given a single example. At the core of this work lies important algorithmic designs w.r.t the scene representation and generative patch nearest-neighbor module, that address unique challenges arising from lifting classical 2D patch-based framework to 3D generation. These design choices, on a collective level, contribute to a robust, effective, and efficient model that can generate high-quality general natural scenes with both realistic geometric structure and visual appearance, in large quantities and varieties, as demonstrated upon a variety of exemplar scenes. Data and code can be found at [http://wyysf-98.github.io/Sin3DGen](http://wyysf-98.github.io/Sin3DGen).
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**1. Introduction**

3D scene generation generally carries the generation of both realistic geometric structure and visual appearance. A wide assortment of scenes on earth, or digital ones across the internet, exhibiting artistic characteristics and ample variations over geometry and appearance, can be easily listed. Being able to populate these intriguing scenes in the virtual universe has been a long pursuit in the community.

Research has taken several routes, among which a prevalent one is learning to extract common patterns of the geometry or appearance from homogeneous scene samples, such as indoor scenes \([14, 25, 34, 37, 59, 63, 71, 72, 75]\), terrains \([15, 19, 21, 26]\), urban scenes \([12, 30, 44]\), etc. Another line learns to generate single objects \([6, 7, 16, 25, 33, 35, 45, 74]\). A dominant trend in recent has emerged that learns 3D generative models to jointly synthesize 3D structures and appearances via differentiable rendering \([4, 5, 8, 18, 43, 50]\). Nevertheless, all these learning setups are limited in their ability to generalize in terms of varied scene types. While a more promising direction is the exemplar-based one, where one or a few exemplars featuring the scene of interest are provided, algorithm designs tailored for certain scene types in existing methods \([38–40, 73]\) again draw clear boundaries.
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efficient 3D generative model.

To our knowledge, our method is the first 3D generative model that can generate 3D general natural scenes from a single example, with both realistic geometry and visual appearance, in large quantities and varieties. We validate the efficacy of our method on random scene generation with an array of exemplars featuring a variety of general natural scenes, and show the superiority by comparing to baseline methods. The importance of each design choice is also validated. Extensive experiments also demonstrates the versatility of our method in several 3D modeling applications.

2. Related Work

3D Generative Models. The goal of 3D generative models is to synthesize 3D contents with realistic geometric structures and visual appearances. While procedural models are capable of mass-producing particular 3D models, they take expertise and time to obtain rules and elementary assets. Hence, automating this process has been an active area of research, resulting in a vast body of work.

A prevalent route is the learning-based one, assuming having access to sufficient homogeneous samples for training. Some learn to generate realistic 3D geometric structures, such as indoor scenes [14, 34, 37, 59, 63, 71, 72], terrains [15, 19], urban scenes [12, 44], etc. Others focus on the visual appearance, attempting to automatically texturize or assign materials for geometric scaffolds [21, 25, 26, 30, 75]. Another line has been directed at generating single objects with realistic structures or/and textures [6, 7, 16, 33, 35, 45, 74], showing the potential in enriching the elementary asset library. A dominant trend in recent has also emerged [4, 5, 8, 18, 43, 50], where deep generative models are trained on large volumes of images collected from scenes of a specific category, to allow joint synthesis of realistic 3D structure and appearance with neural radiance fields. Nevertheless, all these learning setups require large volumes of training data, and are limited in their ability to generalize, especially in terms of varied scene types.

A more relevant direction is the exemplar-based one, where one or a few exemplars featuring the scene of interest are provided. However, existing methods with algorithm designs tailored for certain scene types again draw clear boundaries of scene characteristics they can handle. [73] extract height field patches from exemplars to synthesize terrains, but the synthesis is guided with particular emphasis on dominant visual elements in terrains. [38–40] use structured units specified in the input exemplar to facilitate architecture model synthesis. Extending texture image synthesis, [32] synthesizes signed distance fields from an input geometry, the method can not generalize to complex general natural scenes, and the result is inadequate for displaying due to the lack of appearance properties.

In this paper, we aim for 3D general natural scenes, with
an emphasis on generating both realistic geometry and appearance. Lacking the necessary volume of data characterizing the target scene, along with the difficulties of having ad hoc designs in presence of varying scene characteristics, we advocate for synthesizing novel 3D scenes at the patch level, given a single exemplar scene.

**Generative Image Models.** Generative image models have made great strides in the past years. State-of-the-art methods can now learn generative models from large volumes of homogeneous image samples, achieving unprecedented success in producing realistic images [9, 28, 29, 31, 57, 58]. On the other end, there has also been a surge of developments to learn a generative model from a single training image [24, 51, 53, 66]. But, these learning-based single image models typically require a long training time. Differing from these learning-based paradigms, a classical patch-based approach, that dates back long before the deep learning era, is revived in [11, 17, 20], showing amazing performance. The core of these models is to maximize the bidirectional patch similarity between the input and synthesized output in a coarse-to-fine manner, and have demonstrated their capability to generate diverse outputs from a single exemplar image, with orders of magnitude faster than learning-based ones. Our work is particularly inspired by this line of work but must address challenges arising from lifting the multi-scale generative patch-based framework to effective and efficient 3D scene generation.

**3D Scene Representations.** While it is common to represent an image as a distributed amplitude of colors over a 2D grid, more often than not, the 3D representation varies. Polygon meshes and points offer a compact representation, with precedents in patch-based synthesis [22, 52], but the irregularity makes them intractable for high-quality 3D generation. The same holds for point clouds. Recently, the community has indeed witnessed a revolution started by an emerging representation, i.e. neural radiance field [41], which approximates the 5D plenoptic function [3] of the underlying scene with neural networks and shows unprecedentedly photo-realistic visual results. An explosion of techniques occurred since then that improves the representation in various aspects [23, 42, 47, 48, 55, 67, 68, 70]. We refer readers to [56, 64] for more in-depth summaries. Among these variants, we opt for a simple yet expressive voxel-based representation – Plenoxels [69], which has shown great competence on novel view synthesis. Its simplicity and regular structure benefit patch-based algorithms, however, important designs must be taken to fit it into our framework for high-quality generation of general natural scenes.

**Concurrent Work.** Concurrent works [27, 60] propose to learn a 3D generative model from images of an input scene, producing variations that can be rendered with realistic imagery. [62] focus on generating diverse geometric structures from an input shape. Their core idea is to extend 2D SinGAN [51] for learning the internal distribution in the 3D exemplar, differing significantly from our technical route. While these methods require a long training time (typically days), our method can generate high-quality samples in minutes, without offline training. Last, [46] can generate arbitrary 3D models represented by NeRF, with pretrained powerful image diffusion models as priors.

**3. Method**

The input 3D scene to our method can be a real-world or digital scene, as we first train Plenoxels on the images of the input scene to obtain a Plenoxels-parameterized exemplar. Then, our method synthesize novel variations at the patch level, with a multi-scale generative patch-based framework. In the following, we describe important designs w.r.t. the scene representation (Section 3.1 & 3.2) and the generative patch nearest-neighbor field module (Section 3.3), that, integrated into the multi-scale patch-based framework (Section 3.2), contribute collectively to our success.

**3.1. Scene Representations**

**Exemplar Scene Representation.** We assume the exemplar scene $E$ lies within an axis-aligned box $\mathbb{B}$ centered at the origin, around which we can distribute cameras to capture images for training Plenoxels. As per Plenoxels, $E$ is represented by a sparse voxel grid, where each occupied voxel center stores features including a scalar opacity $\rho$ and a vector of spherical harmonic (SH) coefficients $\mathbf{h}$ for each color channel: $E : \mathbf{x} \rightarrow (\rho, \mathbf{h})$, where $\mathbf{x}$ indicates a voxel center within $\mathbb{B}$. These features can be further trilinearly interpolated to model the full plenoptic function continuously.
in space. Notably, the appearance feature uses 2-degree harmonics, which requires 9 coefficients per color channel for a total of 27 harmonic coefficients per voxel.

**Exemplar Transformation.** While Plenoxels features can be used to render pleasing imagery, naively using them for the patch distance is unsuitable. Density values are not well-bounded, contain outliers, and can not accurately describe the geometric structure within a patch. On the other hand, high-dimensional SH coefficients are excessively consumptive for patch-based frameworks. Hence, we transform the exemplar features for the input to the generative patch nearest-neighbor module. First, the density field is converted to a signed distance field (SDF). Specifically, the signed distance at each voxel is computed against the surface mesh extracted from the density field by Marching Cubes [36]. Note that Plenoxels prunes unnecessary voxels during training, which creates holes and irregular structures in invisible regions. So we flood-fill these regions with high-density values, prior to the mesh extraction. Last, we rescale and truncate the signed distance to ignore distance values far away from the surface. Formally, the geometry transformation is as follows: 

\[ G(x) = \max \left(-1, \min(1, SDF(x)/t)\right), \]

where the truncated scale \( t \) is set to 3 times of the voxel size at each generation scale. Moreover, we normalize SH coefficient vectors and use the principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the dimensionality (from 27 to 3 by default), significantly reducing the computation overhead. Finally, the transformed exemplar \( \hat{E} \) is now given as:

\[ \hat{E} : x \mapsto \left(G(x), P(h)\right), \]

where \( G(\cdot) \) denotes transforming of the geometric feature, and \( P(\cdot) \) transforming the appearance feature.

**Synthesized Scene Representation.** In the multi-scale generation, the output scene \( S \) at each scale is represented by a coordinate-based mapping field, instead of a value-based one that stores features. Specifically, \( S \) is represented as a field that maps a 3D voxel center in the synthesis grid to one in the exemplar \( E, S : x_s \mapsto x_e \), with which the original Plenoxels features \( E(S(x_s)) \) can be queried for \( S \).

Note, in addition to discrete grid samplings, dense samplings \( x_s \) in \( S \) can also be mapped to the continuous exemplar space, by simply considering the local offset \( \delta \) to the nearest voxel center, i.e., \( S(x) = S(N(x)) + \delta \), where \( N(\cdot) \) returns the nearest voxel center of \( x \). This is particularly useful, as it enables upscaling \( S \) to finer grids in the multi-scale framework, and sufficient sampling for rendering the final generation result with high-quality imagery.

**Viewing Synthesized Results.** The synthesized scene can be projected onto 2D through the volume rendering equation as in NeRF [41], yielding highly photo-realistic imagery under varying views. We refer readers to [69] for more details. Figure 2 illustrates how a synthesized result, paired with the exemplar, can display appealing imagery.

### 3.2. Multi-scale Generation

We use the same multi-scale framework as in previous works [10,17,51], which generally employs a coarse-to-fine process, so we have the opportunity to synthesize a more detailed scene based on an initial guess upscaled from the previous scale. In this pyramidal pipeline, different information is captured and reproduced at varying scales, spanning from global layouts at coarser scales to fine geometric and appearance details at finer scales (See Figure 3).

**Exemplar Pyramid Construction.** Given the input scene, we build a pyramid \( (E_0, ..., E_N) \), where \( E_{n-1} \) is a downscaled version of \( E_n \) by a factor \( r^{-1} \) (\( r = 4/3 \)). By default, we use \( N = 7 \) (8 scales in total) for balancing quality and efficiency. Specific resolutions in the pyramid are listed in the supplementary. When working with an exemplar pyramid obtained by recursively downsampling a pretrained high-resolution exemplar, we observed lots of
Specifically, at each scale \( n \), the patch matching and blending first operate in tandem for \( T - 1 \) iterations, to gradually synthesize an intermediate value-based scene with averaged values over overlapping patches. Then, when the synthesis is stable at the last iteration, the final output of NNF uses coordinate-based representation, which stores only the center location of the nearest patch in \( \hat{E}_n \). As aforementioned, this design offers stable transition between consecutive generation scales, where the value range of exemplar features may fluctuate, and, importantly, helps us trace back to the original Plenoxels features that can be rendered into photorealistic imagery, via simply mapping to the original exemplar, even to a higher-resolution version for the final generated scene (See top of Figure 3). Specifically, each iteration in NNF at each scale proceeds as follows:

1. **Extract Patches:** Patches in \( \hat{E}_n(\hat{S}_n) \) are extracted to form a query patch set \( Q \), and ones in \( E_n \) form a key set \( K \).

2. **Match Nearest Neighbors:** We first compute distance between each query patch \( Q_i \) and each key patch \( K_j \) as the weighted sum of the appearance and geometric features using L2 distance:

\[
D_{i,j} = w_v ||Q_{i,j}^a - K_{i,j}^a||^2 + (1 - w_v)||Q_{i,j}^g - K_{i,j}^g||^2,
\]

where \( w_v \) (0.5 by default) is the trade-off parameter. To control the visual completeness in the synthesis by the bidirectional similarity \[54\], the final patch similarity scores normalize the distance with a per-key factor:

\[
C_{i,j} = \frac{D_{i,j}}{\alpha + \min_l(D_{i,l})},
\]

where \( \alpha \) (0.01 by default) controls the degree of completeness, and smaller \( \alpha \) encourages completeness.

3. **Update \( \hat{S}_n \):** For each query patch \( Q_i \) in \( \hat{E}_n(\hat{S}_n) \), we find its nearest patch in \( K_i \), then update \( \hat{S}_n \) with averaged values over overlapping patches for the first \( T - 1 \) iterations, and with the nearest patch center for the last iteration.

**Exact-to-Approximate NNF.** Although the computation above can be in parallel performed on GPUs, brutally enumerating all pairs of patches would apparently lead to surprisingly huge distance matrices as the resolution increases, preventing us from obtaining high-resolution synthesis even with modern powerful GPUs. Hence, to avoid searching in tremendous space, we propose to perform the NNF in an exact-to-approximate manner. Specifically, at first 5 coarser scales, exact nearest-neighbor field (E-NNF) search is performed with \( T_e = 10 \) times to stabilize global layout synthesis when the memory consumption is low. At rest 3 finer scales, an approximate nearest-neighbor field (A-NNF) search – PatchMatch \[1\] with jump flood \[49\] is used for \( T_a = 2 \) times to reduce memory footprint from \( O(M^2) \) to \( O(M) \) (\( M \) is the number patches), which is equivalent to only considering visual coherence.
4. Experiments

We collected a rich variety of 3D scene models to examine the performance of our method on random scene generation, ranging from rocks to plants, sculptures, landscapes, terrains, artistic scenes, etc. Some are digitalized real-world scenes, e.g., the Devil’s Tower. These scenes possess varying degrees of complexity in terms of geometry and appearance. In the following, we present experiments conducted to evaluate various aspects of the proposed solution. Unless specified, we use the default parameters described above, 512 for the resolution along the max dimension of the $E^{\text{high}}$, and $512 \times 512$ image resolution for rendering. Full visualization of all exemplars, more technical details and experimental results can be found in the supplementary.

Random Generation. Figure 5 presents results obtained by our method on exemplar-based random scene generation. These results show our method can generalize to scenes of highly varied features, yielding high-quality and diverse scenes similar to the exemplar. A particular feature of our method is the photo-realism and view-dependent effects of the exemplar are inherited in the results, as evidenced by Figure 5 and 7. Each sample is generated in 1~3 minutes on a V100 GPU depending on the scenes, and viewing the results can be executed at an interactive rate (15 fps).

Comparisons. We particularly compare to GRAF and StyleNeRF, which are representative GAN-based 3D generative models. We cast them into exemplar-based models via training separately on images of each exemplar. In addition, we also compare to GPNN-3D, which trivially extends [17] for our task. We investigate the advantages of exemplar-based scene generation using our method against these alternatives, on various exemplars listed in Table 1. Figure 8 presents part of their visual results. Generally, GAN-base baselines suffer from notorious mode collapse, producing almost identical results due to lacking diverse training scenes. The visuals also tend to be more blurry and noisy, compared to our sharp imagery. GPNN-3D can not synthesize high-resolution results due to computational efficiency issues, and quickly fails at coarse scales, producing meaningless content. For quantitative comparisons, we produce 50 generated scenes from each exemplar with each method, render multi-view images and extract 3D surface points of the exemplar and of each generated scene, and then rate the Visual Quality (V-Qua.), Visual Diversity (V-Div.), Geometry Quality (G-Qua.), and Geometry Diversity (G-Div.) using common metrics employed in both 2D [51] and 3D [61] generation. The supplementary contains more details. Table 1 presents quantitative results, where, by rating with the combination of these established metrics, ours outperforms baselines by large margins, suggesting high quality and diversity from both 2D and 3D perspective.

Ablation. We compare to several variants derived from our full method: 1) Ours (w/o TSDF) uses an occupancy
Figure 6. A novel "A Thousand Li of Rivers and Mountains" [65] is rendered from a generated 3D sample, that is of a different size, resolution and aspect ratio to the Vast Land exemplar (inset). Specification: $E_N \times 288 \times 288 \times 112$, $E^{high} \times 512 \times 512 \times 200$, $S_N \times 747 \times 288 \times 112$, $E^{high} (S_N) \times 1328 \times 512 \times 200$, final rendering resolution: 4096 × 1024.

Table 1. Quantitative comparisons. Ours outperforms baselines by large margins, with high quality and diversity scores in terms of both visual and geometric content. We highlight top two in bold and underline the top one. Note GPNN-3D’s high diversity scores can be explained by noisy contents shown in the visual results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GRAF</th>
<th>StyleNeRF</th>
<th>GPNN-3D</th>
<th>Ours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St Alphage</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td>0.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devil’s Tower</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.488</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Lowpoly</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Island</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Arch</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mountain</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vast Land</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7. View-dependent effects in our synthesized results. See the reflection on the river changing under spinning cameras.

Figure 8. Visual comparisons. GAN-based baselines suffer from severe mode collapse, producing samples (two shown) almost identical to the input. GPNN-3D fails on the task.

Figure 9. Ablation study. Ours (w/o TSDF) and (w/o c2f) can not well preserve the geometric structures. Ours (value-only) fails and produces with noisy content, while Ours (coord.-only) is unstable, easily leading to bulky structures or holes.

Figure 10. Applications. In Figure 10, we demonstrate the versatility of our method in several 3D modeling applications with our unified generation framework (more details in the supplementary): 1) Retargeting: The goal is to resize a 3D scene field, instead of TSDF, converted from the exemplar density field for geometric features; 2) Ours (w/o c2f) drops the deep coarse-to-fine exemplar training, and instead recursively trilinearly interpolates a high-resolution exemplar; 3) Ours (value-only) uses only value-based synthesis in NNF, and does not use TSDF and PCA as we can not trace back to original Plenxels features, and the maximum resolution is limited to 68; 4) Ours (coord.-only) uses only coordinate-based synthesis in NNF. Figure 9 and Table 2 present the qualitative and quantitative comparison results.

Higher-resolution Generation. 1) In Figure 6, we show that our method supports generating a result scene of different size to the exemplar, and particularly of a much higher resolution and different aspect ratio. See specifications in the caption. 2) In addition, we also stress test with a very high-resolution setting, where $E_N$ has 288 voxels along the max dimension, and our method can still synthesize a highly plausible sample in ~10 minutes. We observed slightly improved visual quality over the default setting, as the default is sufficient for most complicated scenes. Results and details can be found in the supplementary.

Applications. In Figure 10, we demonstrate the versatility of our method in several 3D modeling applications with our unified generation framework (more details in the supplementary): 1) Retargeting: The goal is to resize a 3D scene.
to a target size (typically of a different aspect ratio), while maintaining the local patches in the exemplar. We simply change the size of the identity mapping field and use it as the initial guess \( \hat{S}_0 \) without shuffling. 2) Editing: Users can manipulate on a 3D proxy, which can be the underlining mapping field or mesh, for editing an exemplar or generated scene, such as removal, duplication, and modification. The manually manipulated proxy is then converted and fed as the initial guess at the coarsest scale for synthesizing the final scene. 3) Structural analogies: Given two scenes A and B, we create a scene with the patch distribution of A, but which is structurally aligned with B. This is realized by using the exemplar pyramid of A, and an identity mapping as the initial guess, but by replacing \( \hat{E}_0(\hat{S}_0) \) with the transformed features in B, and vice versa. 4) Re-decoration: With the coordinate-based representation, we can re-decorate the generated ones with ease, via simply remapping to exemplars of different appearance.

### 5. Discussion, Limitations and Future Work

This work makes an first attempt towards a generic generative model for synthesizing highly realistic general natural scenes from only one exemplar. Building upon Plenoxels, our method can efficiently synthesize diverse and high-quality scenes. The generated samples particulary inherit photo-realism and view-dependent effects from the example. Despite success demonstrated, we note a few shortcomings. We can not handle scenes eluding Plenoxels (e.g., transparent fluids, strong reflection), which is the actual input to our framework. Particularly, the Plenoxels-based representation is not suitable for large and unbounded scenes, leading to artifacts in the results (more discussion in supplementary). With voxelized volumetric representations, we can not perfectly synthesize scenes with tiny thin structures, and ones with highly semantic or structural information, e.g., human body and modern buildings. Moreover, in contrast to continuous distributions learned in neural-based methods, we work on discrete patch distributions and thus lack the capability of generating novel patches/pixels. A future direction is to learn a continuous distribution from a large number of homogeneous samples produced by our method, with GANs, VQ-VAEs, or diffusion models. Last, the view-dependent effects of the results are inherited from the input Plenoxels, although SH features have already implicitly considered the view-dependent lighting, consistent global illumination can not be guaranteed in our results, leading to another future direction.
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