Neural Scene Chronology

Haotong Lin\textsuperscript{1,2*} Qianqian Wang\textsuperscript{2} Ruojin Cai\textsuperscript{2} Sida Peng\textsuperscript{1}
Hadar Averbuch-Elor\textsuperscript{3} Xiaowei Zhou\textsuperscript{1†} Noah Snavely\textsuperscript{2}
\textsuperscript{1}Zhejiang University \textsuperscript{2}Cornell University \textsuperscript{3}Tel Aviv University

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{chronology.png}
\caption{Chronology reconstruction. Given timestamped Internet photos (a) of a landmark that has changed significantly over the years (e.g., 5Pointz, NYC, the collective graffiti art project shown above), our method can reconstruct a time-varying 3D model, and render photo-realistic images (b) with independent control of viewpoint, time (c) and illumination (d). Photos by Flickr users Ryan Brown, DaniGMX, DJ Leekee, Diff Graff, Lee Smith, James Prochnik, Verity Rollins Photo under CC BY.}
\end{figure}

\section{Introduction}

If we revisit a space we once knew during our childhood, it might not be as we remembered it. The buildings may have weathered, or have been newly painted, or may have been replaced entirely. Accordingly, there is no such thing as a single, authoritative 3D model of a scene—only a model of how it existed at a given instant in time. For a famous landmark, Internet photos can serve as a kind of chronicle of that landmark’s state over time, if we could organize the

\textit{Abstract}

In this work, we aim to reconstruct a time-varying 3D model, capable of rendering photo-realistic renderings with independent control of viewpoint, illumination, and time, from Internet photos of large-scale landmarks. The core challenges are twofold. First, different types of temporal changes, such as illumination and changes to the underlying scene itself (such as replacing one graffiti artwork with another) are entangled together in the imagery. Second, scene-level temporal changes are often discrete and sporadic over time, rather than continuous. To tackle these problems, we propose a new scene representation equipped with a novel temporal step function encoding method that can model discrete scene-level content changes as piecewise constant functions over time. Specifically, we represent the scene as a space-time radiance field with a per-image illumination embedding, where temporally-varying scene changes are encoded using a set of learned step functions. To facilitate our task of chronology reconstruction from Internet imagery, we also collect a new dataset of four scenes that exhibit various changes over time. We demonstrate that our method exhibits state-of-the-art view synthesis results on this dataset, while achieving independent control of viewpoint, time, and illumination. Code and data are available at \url{https://zju3dv.github.io/NeuSC/}.
information in those photos in a coherent way. For instance, if we could reconstruct a time-varying 3D model, then we could revisit the scene at any desired point in time.

In this work, we explore this problem of chronology reconstruction, revisiting the work on Scene Chronology from nearly a decade ago [27]. As in that work, we seek to use Internet photos to build a 4D model of a scene, from which we can dial in any desired time (within the time interval where we have photos). However, the original Scene Chronology work was confined to reconstructing planar, rectangular scene elements, leading to limited photo-realism. We can now revisit this problem with powerful neural scene representations, inspired by methods such as NeRF in the Wild [26]. However, recent neural reconstruction methods designed for Internet photos assume that the underlying scene is static, which works well for landmarks with a high degree of permanence, but fails for other scenes, like New York’s Times Square, that feature more ephemeral elements like billboards and advertisements.

However, we find that adapting neural reconstruction methods [26] to the chronology reconstruction problem has many challenges, and that straightforward extensions do not work well. For instance, augmenting a neural radiance field (NeRF) model with an additional time input \( t \), and fitting the resulting 4D radiance field to a set of images with timestamps yields temporally oversmoothed models, where different scene appearances over time are blended together, forming ghosted content: such a model underfits the temporal signal. On the other hand, applying standard positional encoding [31] to the time input overfits the temporal signal, conflating transient appearance changes due to factors like illumination with longer-term, sporadic changes to the underlying scene itself.

Instead, we seek a model that can disentangle transient, per-image changes from longer-term, scene-level changes, and that allows for independent control of viewpoint, time, and illumination at render-time. Based on the observation that scene-level content changes are often sudden, abrupt “step function”-like changes (e.g., a billboard changing from one advertisement to another), we introduce a novel encoding method for time inputs that can effectively model piecewise constant scene content over time, and pair this method with a per-image illumination code that models transient appearance changes. Accordingly, we represent 4D scene content as a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) that stores density and radiance at each space-time \((x, y, z, t)\) scene point, and takes an illumination code as a side input. The time input \( t \) to this MLP is encoded with our proposed step function encoding that models piecewise constant temporal changes. When fit to a set of input images, we find that our representation can effectively factor different kinds of temporal effects, and can produce high-quality renderings of scenes over time.

To evaluate our method, we collect a dataset of images from Flickr and calibrate them using COLMAP, resulting in 52K successfully registered images. These photos are sourced from four different scenes, including dense tourist areas, graffiti meccas, and museums, building upon the datasets used in Scene Chronology. These scenes feature a variety of elements that change over time, including billboards, graffiti art, and banners. Experiments on these scenes show that our method outperforms current state-of-the-art methods and their extensions to space-time view synthesis [6, 26]. We also present a detailed ablation and analysis of our proposed time encoding method.

In summary, our work makes the following contributions:

- To the best of our knowledge, ours is the first work to achieve photo-realistic chronology reconstruction, allowing for high-quality renderings of scenes with controllable viewpoint, time, and illumination.
- We propose a novel encoding method that can model abrupt content changes without overfitting to transient factors. This leads to a fitting procedure that can effectively disentangle illumination effects from content changes in the underlying scene.
- We benchmark the task of chronology reconstruction from Internet photos and make our dataset and code available to the research community.

2. Related Work

3D/4D reconstruction from Internet photos. The typical 3D reconstruction pipeline for Internet photos involves first recovering camera poses and a sparse point cloud using Structure from Motion (SfM) methods [1, 39, 41, 46, 47], then computing a dense reconstruction using Multi-View Stereo (MVS) algorithms [10–12, 42]. However, these methods assume the scene to be largely static, and are unable to produce coherent models for scenes with large-scale appearance changes over time. To extend these methods to achieve 4D reconstruction, Schindler and Dellaert developed a method that takes photos of a city over time, and reasons probabilistically about visibility and existence of objects like buildings that may come and go across decades [40]. Most related to our work, Scene Chronology extends MVS methods [43] to 4D by clustering reconstructed 3D points into space-time cuboids [27]. However, it can only reconstruct and render planar regions, leading to limited photo-realism. To handle more complex geometry, Martin-Brualla et al. represent scene geometry using time-varying depth maps, allowing their method to generate high-quality time-lapse videos [24, 25]. However, this depth map–based representation limits the range of camera viewpoints their method can synthesize. In our work, we tackle these challenges and devise a new method that can handle large-scale scenes with complex geometry, and can generate large camera motions.
**Novel view synthesis.** Early methods achieve novel view synthesis through light field interpolation [7,13,16] or image-based rendering [4,9,15,55]. Recently, neural scene representations [28,30,32,33,45,50,52] have shown unprecedented view synthesis quality. Of particular interest is NeRF [31], which represents radiance fields using a multi-layer perceptron (MLP) and achieves impressive rendering results. Many works [8,17,18,21,34–36,51,53,54] extend NeRF to model dynamic scenes with moving objects given a monocular or multi-view video as input. In our work, we focus on a different type of 4D view synthesis problem that involves modeling unstructured Internet photo collections capturing scenes that exhibit substantial appearance changes over time.

**Neural rendering from Internet photos.** One challenge of rendering from Internet photos is handling varying, unknown illumination present in the image collection. Recently, several neural rendering methods demonstrate promising results on rendering static landmarks while allowing for control of illumination effects [20,29]. In particular, NeRF-W [26] conditions a reconstructed neural radiance field on a learnable per-image illumination vector, thereby factoring out per-image illumination effects. Chen et al. [6] propose a CNN module for predicting an illumination vector from an image, enabling transfer of illumination from unseen images to the model. Sun et al. [48] build on NeRF-W to reconstruct 3D meshes from a collection of Internet photos. Finally, Zhao and Yang et al. [2] and Rudnev et al. [38] enable outdoor scene relighting based on neural radiance fields. However, these methods are limited to primarily static landmarks like the Brandenburg Gate, and cannot handle scenes with substantial changes over time like Times Square.

**Modeling temporal signals.** One useful type of data for modeling temporal signals is time-lapse videos from stationary cameras, which provide organized visual information for scene understanding and factorization. Many previous methods [19,22,49] show how to factor temporally-varying factors (e.g., illumination) from permanent scene factors (e.g., geometry and reflectance) from time-lapse videos. More recently, [14] introduces a method that disentangles time-lapse sequences in a way that allows separate, after-the-fact control of overall trends, cyclic effects, and random effects in the images. In our work, we focus on a more challenging setup, where our input is unstructured Internet photos from different viewpoints, and where we aim to synthesize novel views in addition to factorizing different temporal components.

**3. Method**

The input to our method is a collection of Internet photos of a landmark (e.g., Times Square) with known timestamps and camera poses. Our goal is to recover a 4D scene representation that can be used to render photo-realistic images of that scene with independently controlled viewpoint, time, and lighting effects as illustrated in Fig. 2. This is a challenging problem because different kinds of temporal changes, including scene content changes (changes to the scene itself) and lighting variation (e.g., time of day) are entangled in each image, but must be disentangled in the scene representation to enable independent control over each temporal component. Furthermore, content changes in our target scenes often happen suddenly, meaning that the scene representation must be able to model discrete, sporadic changes over time.

To tackle this problem, we propose a new 4D scene representation that can disentangle viewpoint, lighting effects, and time. Our key observation is that the scene content often changes less frequently over time and remains nearly constant in-between changes, whereas illumination changes much more frequently and sometimes dramatically. For example, the graffiti in 5Pointz (see Fig. 1) may only be replaced every few months, but illumination can change over the course of a few hours. Motivated by this observation, we model illumination variation with a per-image illumination embedding, and model the underlying 4D scene content using an MLP with time as input. We introduce our scene representation in Sec. 3.1. To model piece-wise constant temporal content with abrupt transitions, we propose a novel encoding method in Sec. 3.2 that utilizes the behavior of the step function, i.e., remaining consistent given a continuous input, while allowing abrupt changes at transition points.
we assume that the scene geometry is mostly constant, and therefore the model in Eq. (1) can be divided into a static, time-invariant geometric model and a time-aware appearance model.

Given a posed image collection \( \{ I_i \}_{i=1}^N \) with timestamps \( \{ t_i \}_{i=1}^N \), we represent the 4D scene as a time-varying neural network. To disentangle changes to the underlying scene from appearance, and depends on the space-time point \((x, t_i)\), illumination embedding \(\ell_i\), view direction \(d\), and the intermediate geometry feature vector \(v\) produced by \(F_{\text{geo}}\). Please refer to the supplementary material for additional details about the model architecture.

Following NeRF [31], the input spatial coordinates \(x\) and ray direction \(d\) are mapped to higher-dimensional vectors via a fixed positional encoding function. For simplicity, we assume that the scene geometry is mostly constant, and only the appearance changes over time, but our method could also be extended to handle time-varying geometry. Therefore the model in Eq. (1) can be divided into a static, time-invariant geometric model and a time-aware appearance model, denoted by \(F_{\text{geo}}\) and \(F_{\text{app}}\), respectively:

\[
\mathbf{v}, \sigma = F_{\text{geo}}(x), \tag{2}
\]

\[
\mathbf{c} = F_{\text{app}}(x, v, t_i, \ell_i, d). \tag{3}
\]

\(F_{\text{geo}}\) models static geometry, and is parameterized by just the input 3D position \(x\), while \(F_{\text{app}}\) models time-dependent appearance, and depends on the space-time point \((x, t_i)\), illumination embedding \(\ell_i\), view direction \(d\), and the intermediate geometry feature vector \(v\) produced by \(F_{\text{geo}}\). Please refer to the supplementary material for additional details about the model architecture.

From this scene representation, we can render images using volume rendering, and optimize the scene representation by comparing these rendered images to the known input views via an image reconstruction loss. Specifically, given an input image \(I_i\) with timestamp \(t_i\), we compute the color of a ray \(r(s) = \mathbf{o} + s\mathbf{d}\), emitted from the camera center \(\mathbf{o}\) through a given pixel in direction \(\mathbf{d}\) as follows: We use stratified sampling to sample a set of quadrature points \(\{s_k\}_{k=1}^K\) between \(s_n\) and \(s_f\), the near and far bounds along the ray. Then, given the illumination embedding \(\ell_i\) and timestamp \(t_i\) of image \(I_i\), we can compute the color \(c(s_k, t_i, \ell_i)\) and density \(\sigma(s_k)\) of each sample \(s_k\) given our scene representation. We then accumulate these points using volume rendering, as in NeRF [31], yielding the expected color \(\hat{C}(r, t_i, \ell_i)\):

\[
\hat{C}(r, t_i, \ell_i) = \sum_{k=1}^K T(s_k) \alpha(\sigma(s_k)) \delta_k \mathbf{c}(s_k, t_i, \ell_i), \tag{4}
\]

where \(T(s_k) = \exp \left( -\sum_{k'=1}^{k-1} \sigma(s_{k'}) \delta_{k'} \right) \). We minimize the sum of squared error between the rendered and ground truth pixels:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \sum_{(r, i) \in \Omega} ||C_i(r) - \hat{C}(r, t_i, \ell_i)||_2^2, \tag{6}
\]

where \(C_i(r)\) is the observed pixel color in image \(I_i\) with timestamp \(t_i\), and \(\Omega\) is the set of all the sampled pixels from the image collection \(\{I_i\}_{i=1}^N\).
where this baseline (denoted “without encoding” in the figure) produces a ghosted blend of two temporally consecutive scenes. This finding is consistent with NeRF’s parametric encoding (NSVF) [23], we adopt a parametric encoding correctly recovers the sharp changes in the signal by approximating real step functions with small \( \beta \) parameters. Note that Gaussian and SIREN are used as the activation layer of a network, while our method and positional encoding are used to modulate the input.

### 3.2. Step Function Encoding for Time Input

The method described above serves as a baseline to model a 4D scene from Internet photos. However, we found that this baseline cannot model temporal changes in the target scene well. Specifically, temporal appearance changes in man-made scenes are often abrupt, such as a new billboard or sign in Times Square, or a new graffiti artwork in an art mecca like 5Pointz. In contrast, the baseline above tends to average over temporal content changes, resulting in a cross-fade transition in time between two appearance states, rather than a sharp, sudden transition. Fig. 3 shows an example where this baseline (denoted “without encoding” in the figure) produces a ghosted blend of two temporally consecutive graffiti artworks. This finding is consistent with NeRF’s observation that standard coordinate inputs cannot model high-frequency signals [31].

To address this issue, NeRF uses positional encoding to map input spatial coordinates to a high-frequency signal. However, we found that applying positional encoding to the time input causes the network to not only fit the underlying appearance changes in the scene, but also overfit to per-image lighting effects. In other words, it fails to disentangle these two components and leads to severe flickering artifacts over time, as shown in Fig. 3.

To address this problem, we present a novel encoding method based on a step function. The step function has the desirable property that the output mostly stays constant at a transition point. Therefore, we consider using the step function defined below as the encoding function for time \( t \):

\[
h(t) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } t \leq u \\
1 & \text{if } t > u 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( u \) is a learnable parameter representing the transition point. However, \( h(t) \) is discontinuous and the gradient for \( u \) is not well-defined. We therefore use a smooth approximation to \( h(t) \) to make it differentiable, denoted as \( \tilde{h}(t) \):

\[
\tilde{h}(t) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{t-u}{\beta}\right) & \text{if } t \leq u \\
1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-u)}{\beta}\right) & \text{if } t > u 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \beta \) is a learnable parameter representing the steepness of the step function. In practice, \( u \) is randomly initialized from zero to one and \( \beta \) is initialized to 0.3. Our encoding method uses a vector of step functions, denoted as \( \mathbf{H}(t) \), each with its own learned transition point, to express multiple transition points. \( u \) and \( \beta \) are jointly learned during training. We experimentally show that we can simply set the dimension of this vector to a large number that exceeds the expected number of scene transitions.

To illustrate the effectiveness of our proposed encoding function, we compare it with different encoding functions on a toy 1-D fitting experiment in Fig. 4. Baseline methods either overfit the noise (positional encoding [31], Gaussian [37]) or underfit the discrete, sporadic changes (without encoding, SIREN [44]). In contrast, our step function encoding correctly captures the sharp changes in the signal by approximating real step functions with small \( \beta \) parameters. Note that Gaussian and SIREN are used as the activation layer of a network, while our method and positional encoding are used to modulate the input.

### 3.3. Implementation Details

#### Learning scene appearance with parametric encoding.

Using an implicit representation to reconstruct a large scene featuring content changes over time requires a large model capacity. While we could simply increase the size of the MLP, this strategy incurs a linear increase in training and rendering time. Motivated by Neural Sparse Voxel Fields (NSVF) [23], we adopt a parametric encoding which adds additional trainable parameters for scene appearance to effectively increase model capacity without introducing as much overhead. Observing that our target man-made scenes often satisfy the Manhattan world assumption, we use a triplane structure to arrange additional trainable parameters [3], which we found to be compact and expressive in our experiments. Specifically, we define three learnable feature planes: \( E_{xy} \), \( E_{yz} \), \( E_{xz} \). Each feature plane has a resolution of \( D \times D \times B \), where \( D \) and \( B \) denote the spatial resolution and number of feature channels, respectively. Given a 3D point \( \mathbf{p} \), we project it onto three axis-aligned orthogonal planes to obtain \( \mathbf{p}_{xy} \), \( \mathbf{p}_{yz} \), \( \mathbf{p}_{xz} \). We can fetch the feature \( f_{xy} = \text{interp}(E_{xy}, \mathbf{p}_{xy}) \), \( f_{yz} = \text{interp}(E_{yz}, \mathbf{p}_{yz}) \), \( f_{xz} = \text{interp}(E_{xz}, \mathbf{p}_{xz}) \). We can then use this feature information to our advantage.

**Handling transient objects.** Learning a scene representation using Internet photos with transient objects may introduce 3D inconsistencies. To solve this problem, we employ...
a pretrained semantic segmentation model [5] to identify pixels of transient objects (e.g., pedestrians) and exclude these pixels during training. However, a segmentation model may not effectively filter out all transient pixels. To handle the remaining transient pixels, we use an MLP to predict whether each pixel in each image is a transient object. We learn it using the uncertainty loss, reducing the effect of transient pixels during training models as demonstrated in [6].

Other details. Our model includes an 8-layer MLP with 256 neurons for each layer as its backbone, and a 4-layer MLP as its appearance head. Our model is trained with an initial learning rate of 5e-4, which is reduced to 5e-5 after 800k iterations. At each iteration, we randomly sample 1024 rays from an image. Following NeRF [31], we train our two models using the coarse-to-fine sampling strategy with 64 and 128 points for each ray in the coarse and fine levels, respectively. The model tends to converge after about 800k iterations, which takes about 40 hours on an RTX 3090 GPU.

4. Experiments

4.1. Experimental Setup

Datasets. We collect four scenes from Flickr that include two commercial tourist areas (Times Square and Akihabara), a graffiti mecca (5Pointz), and a museum (the Metropolitan Museum of Art aka the Met). The two commercial areas feature an array of billboards and other elements that change over time. 5Pointz is an outdoor space where artists paint graffiti art over time, each piece replacing (or augmenting) a previous one. The Met has a varying array of banners and signs advertising different exhibitions. For each scene, we run COLMAP to recover camera parameters and a sparse point cloud. Due to the large number of input images, running COLMAP on some of these scenes can take weeks on a cluster with multiple servers. We will release our processed data and data processing scripts as a resource for the community. Note that whole calibrated scenes, such as Times Square, can be excessively large for reconstruction using implicit representations. Instead, we perform view synthesis experiments on a region of the scene. Tab. 1 summarizes these datasets. We include visualizations of the reconstructed models and the selected regions, along with data processing details, in the supplemental material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Times Square</th>
<th>Akihabara</th>
<th>5Pointz</th>
<th>The Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Retrieved images</td>
<td>289,794</td>
<td>105,445</td>
<td>23,628</td>
<td>186,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Calibrated images</td>
<td>29,629</td>
<td>13,671</td>
<td>6,503</td>
<td>2,184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Selected images</td>
<td>5,965</td>
<td>1,078</td>
<td>3,521</td>
<td>2,127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Dataset statistics. We collect four scenes for evaluation. For each scene, we first retrieve photos from the Internet, then run COLMAP to calibrate them and reconstruct a sparse point cloud. After calibration, we choose a region of interest from the point cloud and select the corresponding images as input to our method.

4.2. Ablations and Analysis

Qualitative ablation of the step function encoding. An advantage of our method is that it can model abrupt scene-level content changes without overfitting per-image illumination noise. We compare our method with two baselines: (1) ordinary time input without any encoding, and (2) positional encoding of time [31] (with a frequency of 15). We seek to visualize the temporal stability of each method. To do so, given a 4D reconstruction, we first render a video of the scene through time from a fixed viewpoint and with a fixed illumination code (i.e., only content changes). We then compute the mean squared error (MSE) between every two consecutive frames in this video. Plots of MSE over time for each method are shown in Fig. 3. An ideal plot should have large MSE values at sparse points due to abrupt content changes, and zero MSE elsewhere. Our method yields results that exhibit this desired behavior. In contrast, the baseline with no temporal encoding (raw time input) produces smooth transitions across content changes, while positional encoding of time leads to flickering videos. To further illustrate these behaviors, we visualize images around a scene appearance transition point in the first row of Fig. 3.

Quantitative ablations and sensitivity analysis. In addi-
We quantitatively ablate our encoding method in terms of view synthesis stability and quality in Tab. 2. We show several baselines and variants: (1) w/o Time which does not take time as input, (2) w/o Encoding, which directly takes raw, unencoded time as input, and (3) Learned Latent, mapping time to a set of learned latent codes. We also change the activation function from ReLU to SIREN [44] and to Gaussian [37], which have been shown to have more powerful modeling abilities. The baselines of positional encoding with different frequencies are also included. While many variants achieve reasonable reconstruction quality, our method can also achieve both low mean and entropy.

We also provide a sensitivity analysis on the dimension of the vector size of the learned step functions (Dim.) in Tab. 2. The results show that our method can achieve high view synthesis quality once the dimension is $\geq 16$. Larger dimensions lead to slightly lower temporal coherence, but not a large degradation. This suggests that we can simply set the number of step functions to a number larger than the number of expected changes in that scene. We set Dim. to 16 in our experiments for all scenes except Times Square, where we set Dim. to 32.

Further qualitative and quantitative ablations of the step function encoding can be found in the supp. material.

**Application.** We demonstrate the ability of our method to render plausible and photo-realistic images with controlled time and illumination effects in Fig. 5.

**4.3. Comparisons with the State of the Art**

We compare to the state-of-the-art methods NeRF-W [26] and HaNeRF [6], which both reconstruct high-fidelity scene models via implicit representations [31]. NeRF-W models illumination using per-image embeddings, while HaNeRF models illumination using a CNN module. However, these methods are designed for static landmarks and cannot handle our test scenes with substantial content changes. We therefore extend these methods by adding time as a network input for fairer comparisons.

We present quantitative and qualitative comparisons with these methods in Tab. 3 and Fig. 6. Our method produces...
lower entropy across all the scenes. In addition to better temporal stability, our method also has better view synthesis quality. We attribute this to the use of a well-designed appearance parametric encoding. We include ablations of the parametric appearance encoding in the supplemental material. To compare the ability of view synthesis through time, we synthesize photos of the same viewpoint at another time as shown in Fig. 6. The step function encoding helps avoid blending artifacts. In contrast, the other methods often exhibit such artifacts when content changes occur, as is evident in the supplemental video.

5. Conclusion

We explored the problem of chronology reconstruction, aiming to reconstruct and render temporally complex scenes with controlled viewpoint, time, and illumination effects from Internet photos. We proposed a new neural scene representation equipped with a novel step function encoding to address several challenges, including the entanglement of illumination variation and scene content changes, as well as abrupt scene content changes. We also collected a new dataset to benchmark this problem. Experiments show that our method exhibits state-of-the-art performance and is capable of producing plausible, stable view synthesis results across time. Detailed ablations and analysis were conducted to validate our proposed components.

Limitations and future work. Our method takes as input Internet photos with timestamps. Inaccurate timestamps may hinder the training process, and Internet photos that do not have timestamps cannot be utilized for training. Exploring how to simultaneously predict timestamps is an interesting avenue for future work. In addition, some urban scenes such as Times Square have billboards that display videos (not still images), which are difficult for our method to reconstruct, as their content has high temporal frequency is not well supported by other images in the collection.
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