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Abstract

Diffusion models have demonstrated impressive capability of text-conditioned image synthesis, and broader application horizons are emerging by personalizing those pre-trained diffusion models toward generating some specialized target object or style. In this paper, we aim to learn an unseen style by simply fine-tuning a pre-trained diffusion model with a handful of images (e.g., less than 10), so that the fine-tuned model can generate high-quality images of arbitrary objects in this style. Such extremely low-shot fine-tuning is accomplished by a novel toolkit of fine-tuning techniques, including text-to-image customized data augmentations, a content loss to facilitate content-style disentanglement, and sparse updating that focuses on only a few time steps. Our framework, dubbed Specialist Diffusion, is plug-and-play to existing diffusion model backbones and other personalization techniques. We demonstrate it to outperform the latest few-shot personalization alternatives of diffusion models such as Textual Inversion \cite{7} and DreamBooth \cite{24}, in terms of learning highly sophisticated styles with ultra-sample-efficient tuning. We further show that Specialist Diffusion can be integrated on top of textual inversion to boost performance further, even on highly unusual styles. Our codes are available at: https://github.com/Picsart-AI-Research/Specialist-Diffusion.

1. Introduction

Image synthesis has received increasing attention, partially owing to the recent breakthroughs made by diffusion models \cite{10, 23, 28, 30, 34}. Training a diffusion model requires gradually adding random noises with a sequence of diffusion steps, and learning to rebuild data from noises by reversing the steps. By running the diffusion process on a lower-dimensional latent space instead of the pixel space, the latent diffusion model \cite{23} achieves competitive performance more efficiently. Diffusion models are capable of both unconditioned and conditioned image synthesis, the previous one generates samples from random noise (noise-to-image), while the latter takes a condition such as text to guide the generation. In this paper, we will focus on text-to-image synthesis for its broad application interests.

Diffusion models nowadays are able to synthesize high-quality images on a wide variety of sophisticated text
prompts. However, those models still have limited cover-
age on what they can generate - and their generated images are often found to miss highly specialized objects or unusual styles [19]. Practitioners are hence motivated to personal-
ize those models on the target data of their interest. Notably, many target domains have fine granularity, such as selfies of one user, or a specialized design style; consequently, samples available from such target domains would be limited and constitute few-shot scenarios.

There was little work on few-shot personalization with diffusion models until recently. Most conditional models focus on specific applications. Here we consider the general case of few-shot generation for unknown classes at test time. One naive solution is to fine-tune the model, but it can easily go sample-costly due to the enormous model size. For example, the training of Waifu-diffusion [15], a model fine-tuned from Stable Diffusion v1.4 [23], took approximately 10 days on 8 Nvidia A40 GPUs with 680K text-image pairs. Some initial attempts were made to reduce the sample complexity. D2C [26] shows that latent space image pairs. Some initial attempts were made to reduce the sample complexity. D2C [26] shows that latent space and self-supervised learning lead to few-shot tuning with as few as 100 samples. [8] explicitly conditions the denois-
ing diffusion dynamics on a support set of target domain samples, and takes only 5 samples to generate images from a new class. Despite the promise, they modified the model architecture by injecting an unconventional set-based vision transformer (ViT) [5] to aggregate new image patch information. Moreover, their evaluation was on unconditional image generation with the resolution of 32 × 32 or 64 × 64, and it remains unclear how their method can extend to text-to-image synthesis, or to generating high-resolution images.

Several latest works shed new light on the horizon of few-shot personalization. Among them, DreamBooth [24] fine-tunes the pre-trained diffusion model to bind a unique identifier with an unseen object. Textual Inversion [7], in comparison, learns to represent a new concept through a new token “word” in the embedding space, without fine-tuning the parameters of the pretrained model. Both methods can achieve personalization with ∼5 images. However, both DreamBooth and Textual inversion were mainly demonstrated to synthesize new unknown objects (such as a selfie) in various known styles or contexts; while their extension to the complementary side, e.g., synthesizing known objects in new unknown styles, are under-explored and often found to be unsatisfactory, despite the apparent demands of capturing unfamiliar or personalized styles by artist users.

In this paper, we focus on fine-tuning a pre-trained text-to-image diffusion model, to learn a highly specialized unseen style, using a handful of images. Our goal is to have the fine-tuned model generate high-quality images of arbitrary (known) objects in this (previously unknown) style. Our proposed solution, Specialist Diffusion, is a plug-and-play set of fine-tuning techniques that works with any diffusion backbone without altering their architectures, and can also be integrated with existing personalization methods such as [7,24]. Firstly, our customized augmentations are specifically designed for the text-to-image scenario, that augment not only the images but also the text prompts with prior language knowledge from the image augmentation. Secondly, to prevent the model from overfitting to the target style while losing generalization to various objects, we introduce a content loss to preserve the ability to generate specified content based on CLIP [21]. Besides, instead of updating all diffusion steps, we find that updating only a sparse subset of steps can significantly improve the few-shot training efficiency, with comparable or sometimes better fine-tuning performance. Our contributions are summarized as:

- Specialist Diffusion is a general, plug-and-play framework to fine-tune pre-trained diffusion models with few-shot samples (less than 10), to learn highly sophisticated and unusual styles, without bells and whistles.
- We propose a rich set of techniques including various customized data augmentations that augment both text prompts and images, a content loss for disentanglement, and sparse diffusion step updating for both sample and computation efficiency.
- Specialist Diffusion not only improves upon the state-of-the-art diffusion model personalization methods such as Textual Inversion [7] and DreamBooth [24] (e.g., see Fig. 1), but also can be combined on top of them to jointly boost style personalization further.

2. Related Work

2.1. Diffusion Models

Given a sample $x_0$ from an unknown $q(x_0)$ distribution, the goal of diffusion models [10,28,29] is to learn a parametric model $p_θ(x_0)$ to approximate the original $q(x_0)$ distribution. The model $q(x_{1:T} | x_0) := \prod_{t=1}^T q(x_t | x_{t-1})$ gradually adds noise to the input and is called forward process. During the backward generative process, the model will obtain a clean version of $x_t$ by removing the noise from the $x_{t+1}$ timestep. To approximate $q(x_0)$ with the $p_θ(x_0)$, $θ$ can be learned by optimizing the following objective

$$L(θ) = \mathbb{E}_{x_0 \sim q(x_0), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I), t}[||\epsilon_θ(x_t, t) - \epsilon||^2]$$  (1)

where $x_t = \sqrt{α_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - α_t}ε_t$ and $ε_θ(x_t, t)$ is a trainable U-Net like architecture to approximate the noise.

As the objective in Eq. (1) does not depend on any specific connections between $x_1, \ldots, x_T$ latent variables, one can construct a new model $p_θ(x_0)$ such that the $q(x_0)$ can be modeled with $p_θ$ without additional training. This key idea of DDIM [29] offers a new way of deterministic diffusion process that also speeds up sampling during inference.
Training powerful DMs for high-resolution image synthesis takes too many GPU days. To lower the computational demand and stabilize the generalization towards high resolution, Rombach et al. [23] applied diffusion denoising operation on the latent space of a pre-trained encoder. They used the encoder of VQGAN [6] to compress high-resolution images into a compact latent space, and the decoder to reconstruct them from the denoised latent variable. Given an image $x_0$ in RGB space, the encoder $E$ encodes the $x_0$ into a latent representation $z = E(x_0)$. The objective then only considers the latent variable $z$ instead of $x$:

$$L_{ldm}(\theta) = E_{z \sim E(x_0), \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), t} \left[||\epsilon_\theta(z_t, t) - \epsilon||^2\right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Operating DMs on smaller latent spaces significantly decreased the processing time for training and inference, and delivers current state-of-the-art (SOTA) results on high-resolution image synthesis (under the name of Stable Diffusion). Therefore, throughout this paper we choose to benchmark all few-shot personalization methods with the latest Stable Diffusion model [23], although they can be plugged in other diffusion model backbones the same way.

### 2.2. Few-Shot Generation

The majority of large generative models are trained on millions or even billions of examples, which is often not feasible to acquire for many fine-grained or proprietary image domains. Few-shot image generation [13, 16, 18, 33] targets solving this issue by using only a handful of examples to generate images. Past works in VAEs or GANs apply fine-tuning to a pre-trained GAN generator, selecting core-sets of training data, leveraging differentiable or adaptive data augmentations, or applying fine-tuning weight regularizers [1, 3, 11, 13, 17, 22, 27, 31, 35, 36].

Few-shot diffusion models are inspired by similar approaches. Sinha et al. [26] apply self-supervised learning with diffusion process to learn an unconditional model for few-shot conditional image synthesis. To compensate for the absence of conditioning during training, they train an additional model over the latent representation of the inputs, which will play a guiding role during inference. Liu et al. [14] designed a unified framework for image synthesis based on reference examples and their method can be applied into unconditional diffusion models. By explicitly conditioning the DDPM module, Giannone et al. [8] used a set-based Vision Transformer (ViT) [5] to extract general information by patches from few-shot examples. Iso, they did not consider text-guided image synthesis. Blattmann et al. [2] explored solving few-shot diffusion problem by using the image-retrieval augmentation method. For each particular query input $x_q$, they retrieve a close set of examples from an external dataset by using top-k operation over cosine similarity scores in embedding space. This subset is used with an additional conditioning branch.

Two recent works made particularly notable progress on text-to-image few-shot personalization at high resolution, both built on Stable Diffusion or equivalent. DreamBooth [24] designed a personalized fine-tuning procedure by structuring the prompts with a special form “a [V] [class noun]”, where “[V]” is a unique identifier of the subject and “[class noun]” is the true class of it. They mainly focus on objects in their experiments, and our experiments also observed that DreamBooth lacks effectiveness to capture few-shot styles when applied out of the box. Textual Inversion [7] also learns to generate personalized concepts, including objects or artistic styles, by describing them using new “words” in the embedding space of pre-trained text-to-image models. These can then be used in new sentences, just like any other word. Textual Inversion makes our closest competitor in few-shot style personalization; despite its effectiveness, later in experiments we will demonstrate it falls short of capturing some other very unusual styles, such as “Flat Design” in Fig. 1, from few-shot demonstrations. Also, since Textual Inversion does not fine-tune the pre-trained model weight, it is potentially complementary to fine-tuning based options such as DreamBooth or ours.

### 3. Specialist Diffusion: Our Technical Toolkit

Our framework carries a novel toolkit of fine-tuning techniques, including text-to-image customized data augmentations, a content loss to facilitate content-style disentanglement, and sparsely updating diffusion time steps.

#### 3.1. Data Augmentations for Text2Image Diffusion

We separate the augmentation process into two parts:

- **Image Augmentation**

  - **Image Augmentation**

- **Caption Retrieval Augmentation**

  - **Caption Retrieval Augmentation**

- **Random Synonym Augmentation**

  - **Random Synonym Augmentation**

- **Double Augmentation**

  - **Double Augmentation**

- **Horizontal Flip**

  - **Horizontal Flip**

  Figure 2. Illustration of our customized data augmentation flow.
to be an integral part of solutions to many computer vision problems. Yet when applied to generative problems such as image synthesis, the majority of the functions of geometric transformations or other heavy modifications of inputs lead to a known problem called “augmentation leakage” [11,36]. The generative model often memorizes the training examples and their augmented versions and generates similar images during inference. For example, while many rotated images could be legitimated natural photos, their occurrence in natural image collections has lower probabilities. Hence applying too many rotations in training is observed to bias the chance of generating more rotated objects which are unintended. To minimize the augmentation leakage, we skip heavier image augmentations such as AugMix [9] and RandAug [4], and select a set of mild augmentation functions that do not aggressively distort the input image such as randomly flipping or AutoContrast. A full list of our image augmentations can be found in the Supplementary.

Figure 3. An example to show the risk of losing semantic object knowledge when personalizing to a new style. Images are generated with text prompt “two people sitting on a bench in the park”, and the target style is “Flat design”. Left: original image; middle: tuned for 100 epochs; right: tuned for 1000 epochs.

Text Prompt Augmentation - Many known augmentation methods are designed for images only and the text conditioning part remains untouched, leaving a unique open opportunity. Instead of having one description of an image as a caption, we give different interpretations of the same caption by replacing their words with their synonyms. An extension of this approach is to replace the whole sentence with another retrieved one, which is semantically similar to the original caption. We also modify each caption to correspond to the randomly chosen image augmentation method by extending the text with a description of the selected function. Our multiple levels of caption augmentations, caption-retrieval augmentation, synonym augmentation, and doubled augmentation, are illustrated in Fig. 2 and introduced next. The ablation comparison can be found in Sec. 4.5.

Caption Retrieval Augmentation - First, we replace the whole caption of an image with another description, without changing its semantic meaning. To find similar descriptions for each caption, we compare the caption of an input image, and the texts from the external LAION [25] text set (72 million sentences), using their cosine similarity score in the embedding space of the CLIP [21] text encoder. For all similar external sentences passing cosine similarity threshold (we used an ad-hoc threshold of 0.7 for all), we randomly choose from them as caption augmentations.

Synonym Augmentation - Another effective method to augment text is to randomly replace a word with one of its synonyms. For text-guided image synthesis, it helps the model to interpret an image in different yet equivalent ways. This approach diversifies the representations of captions and generalizes well for a few-shot diffusion scenario. It does not increase the training time visibly, because we use a predefined synonym correspondence for each word we have in the few-shot examples.

Doubled Augmentation - When images are augmented leaving their captions unchanged, the diffusion model relies on images more than their descriptions, which means captions become less informative. We believe that each image modification should follow its caption modification as well. This technique will help reduce augmentation leakage. To correspond to proper augmentation for the captions, we extend them by adding a small description of a randomly chosen augmentation. Those descriptions push the text representations to be discriminative in the embedding space and better attached to those augmentation attributes.

3.2. Content Loss

As the training continues, it is observed that the model gradually loses the semantic knowledge to generate outputs that meet the input text condition such as objects. For example in Fig. 3, when overly tuned, the image start producing wrong semantics such as confused human bodies and ungrounded trees, and missing the park context. To overcome this issue, an additional content loss is introduced to disentangle the knowledge of content (inherited from pre-trained model) and style (learned from few-shot examples), and help preserve the model ability to understand the semantics in condition while learning a new style.

As Specialist Diffusion attempts to learn an abstract style that is applicable to arbitrary content afterward, we want to ensure that the images generated with a text prompt $t$ will fit the content described in $t$. As our inspiration, Style-CLIP [20] proposed a CLIP-based approach on text-driven image generation. With an image generation network $G$, the optimal latent $w$ w.r.t the text prompt $t$ is defined with

$$
\arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{W}^+} D_{CLIP}(G(w), t) + R
$$

(3)

where $D_{CLIP}$ is the cosine distance between the CLIP [21] embeddings of the image and the text, and $R$ denotes other regularization terms (omitted). While this approach neatly exploits the ability of CLIP to link images to the text semantics, precise text prompts of the training samples are not available in our task. As described in Sec. 3.1 and Sec. 4.1, our text prompts will be generated by image captioning and decorated during the augmentation. In specific,
given a training text-image pair \((t^*, I)\), an image \(I^*\) will be synthesized by the current model with the text prompt \(t^*\). Then, instead of directly calculating \(D_{\text{CLIP}}(I^*, t^*)\), the embedding of the input image \(I\) will be used:
\[
L_{\text{content}}(\theta) = D_{\text{CLIP}}(I^*, I)
\]  
(4)

The final loss function will be the weighted sum of LDM loss (Eq. 2) and content loss (\(\lambda_c\) is a hyperparameter):
\[
L(\theta) = L_{\text{ldm}}(\theta) + \lambda_c \cdot L_{\text{content}}
\]  
(5)

We used \(\lambda_c = 0.001\) in all our experiments.

### 3.3. Sparse Updating

In diffusion models, each processing path (forward and backward) takes \(T\) timesteps to gradually add or remove noise. To get high-quality results, one often takes a sufficiently larger \(T\), such as \(T = 1000\). However, large \(T\) causes expensive training and inference. In our few-shot case, we conjecture that not all those steps are unnecessary.

Instead of updating all timesteps during training, we propose to update only a small part of them: 10% or even 1%. We perform sampling from significantly smaller sets, such as \(S_1 = \{10, 20, \ldots, 1000\}\) or \(S_2 = \{100, 200, \ldots, 1000\}\). That will significantly accelerate training and convergence in the first place. Furthermore, we find that by sparse updating, no performance degradation was observed; and sometimes, the visual quality even improves, e.g., preserving more object or background details (see Fig. 8), presumably owing to the regularization effect of sparsity [3].

### 4. Experiment

#### 4.1. Data Preparation

We collect three few-shot style datasets, that are motivated by real-world applications. Specifically, each dataset is created or collected by our in-house graph designers and represents a unique artwork style that is popular for visual content creation and re-mixing among social media users, hence “personalizing” those styles to users’ own artwork makes appealing demands. The examples in each dataset are hand-curated by our expert artists, since massive production of high-quality artwork examples in those categories is infeasible practically, constituting the need of “few-shot”. Fig. 4 shows a few examples from them.

- **Flat design** (25 images): 2D flat icon style that generally omits shadows and textures.
- **Fantasy** (15 images): exaggerated color and contrast with fictional feels, that are popular for rendering medieval paintings, digital or sci-fi illustrations.
- **Food doodle** (9 images): hand-drawn cartoon style with certain amounts of shadows and details, which is the most popular for plotting food and drinks.

![Figure 4. Samples from three meticulously selected datasets: “Flat design”, “Fantasy”, and “Food doodle.”](image)

For all images, we use BLIP [12] to generate text captions and manually inspect their quality with artists. For example, the first example from the dataset “Fantasy” in Fig. 4 is captioned “boats floating in front of a cityscape”.

#### 4.2. Implementation

All the models mentioned in this paper are fine-tuned from Stable Diffusion [23] v1.4 implemented by Diffusers [32]. The method has been tested at both \(256 \times 256\) and \(512 \times 512\) resolution. If not specifically stated, the training steps are randomly sampled from a 10% uniform sparse subset of the original 1000 diffusion steps with DDPM [10] scheduler. A default learning rate of \(1.0e-5\) gives good results on all datasets tested. Only the parameters of the U-Net will be updated, except the embedding of the additional “word” when combined with Textual inversion [7]. Our method is trained efficiently on a single Nvidia RTX A6000 GPU, with \(256 \times 256\) and \(512 \times 512\) input resolutions. We did not add our new augmentations to Dreambooth/Textual-Inversion since those belong to our holistic innovations, and we revealed their incremental gains in ablation study. Baseline hyperparameters are tuned to our best effort.

#### 4.3. Main Results

A prompt for a classic latent diffusion model can be very complex and specific. For example, here is a sample text prompt for Stable Diffusion [23]: *ultrarealistic, (native american old woman ) portrait, cinematic lighting, award-winning photo, no color, 80mm lense.* This prompt contains information about lighting, colors, and camera lenses. However, just as the above prompt does not apply to the style specified by the dataset “Flat design”, and we observe that arbitrarily adding descriptions about details could be invalid or even conflict with the desired style. A valid
prompt for a style-specific image generation model is supposed to focus more on the intended content. Therefore, the prompts we use for evaluation will be concerned only with the content rather than stylistic details. More discussions about choosing prompts are in the Supplementary.

Fig. 5 shows a collection of generation results to illustrate how our methods learn different unseen styles with extremely low-shot data. Text prompts at different levels of complexity ranging from a single object to a composited scenario have been used. Regardless of whether the desired content is complex or close to the distribution of the training data, models fine-tuned with our method successfully generate results satisfying the text condition in target styles.

We also compared our method with other state-of-the-art few-shot methods: DreamBooth [24] and Textual inversion [7]. Fig. 1 and Fig. 6 show the results obtained by applying different methods on the same datasets. The class token is omitted in the figures for easier understanding. For instance, the actual input for our method is “a castle beside a lake in the style of flat” in the top row of Fig. 1, for DreamBooth and Textual inversion it is “a castle beside a lake in the style of [V]”. While adapting DreamBooth to styles does not yield promising results (totally ignoring the style or imprecisely presenting), textual inversion captures some styles to a certain extent, but fails catastrophically on some others such as the castle in the Flat design style (Fig. 1) or the bird in Fantasy style (Fig. 6). In comparison, Specialist Diffusion succeeds to capture all those highly unusual, specialized, and sophisticated styles with a few examples.

As of the time of this paper, there is not a universally recognized quantitative metric for assessing the performance of learning a style using a diffusion model. We prompted to generate a hundred images per dataset and compute the following: (1) FID averaged across different styles and all images, in Table 1, although it is important to note that calculating FID on a small number of samples is not always reliable; (2) style loss in image stylization that estimates the distance between two images based on styles. We treat every sample in each training set as a style reference. Table 2 lists the style loss, averaged over all pairs between {each generated image in this style, each style reference}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>DB</th>
<th>TextInv</th>
<th>Ours</th>
<th>Ours+Inv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fantasy</td>
<td>437.254</td>
<td>452.150</td>
<td>399.351</td>
<td>352.650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flat design</td>
<td>445.089</td>
<td>460.252</td>
<td>421.410</td>
<td>363.362</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food doodle</td>
<td>491.302</td>
<td>451.466</td>
<td>441.640</td>
<td>409.607</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. FIDs on different styles × different methods
4.4. Combining with Textual Inversion

Since Textual inversion does not exploit fine-tuning, it is natural to consider how our method could be combined with it: first fine-tuning the pre-trained model using Specialist Diffusion, then generating the style word token using textual inversion from the tuned model, to be used in the prompt for synthesizing new style images. That essentially allows us to iteratively optimize the model and the prompt. We verified this cascaded pipeline experimentally in Fig. 7. By integrating textual inversion with our method, the results capture even richer details without losing the style.

4.5. Ablation Study

**Overall Method** - The combination of all three contributions delivers our best performance. All proposed methods, such as Prompt Augmentation (double, synonym, and
Figure 8. Ablation study of the method. Images are generated with dataset “flat design”. Text prompts for each group: (1) “young brown woman walking her dog in a park at night with a full moon”; (2) “a castle beside a lake”. Methods for each group: (a) Stable Diffusion; (b) fine-tuned model by our full method; (c) our method w/o caption-retrieval augmentation; (d) our method w/o synonym and caption-retrieval augmentations; (e) our method w/o content loss; (f) our method w/o sparse updating.

Figure 9. User study with the question: “which one of the three generated images aligns best with the reference image in style?”

caption-retrieval), Content Loss, and Sparse Updating complement each other well to provide high-quality few-shot image synthesis. As can be seen from Fig. 8 (b), it contains richer details about the environment, such as trees, stars in the sky, and well-structured bodies of two objects.

Text Prompt Augmentations - Conditioning diversification plays an important role in the generalization. Without those caption augmentations, the model starts to miss many image details and struggles to generalize well for different prompts. For example, Fig. 8 (c) shows that when the caption-retrieval augmentation is dropped, some structures are missed or distorted, such as the moon (upper row) or the peak of the castle (lower). If we further drop both synonym and caption-retrieval augmentations, more objects start to be generated problematically, such as the woman’s face and the dog, as well as multiple moons in the sky (upper).

Content Loss - The content loss ensures context preservation during style extraction from few-shot examples. Fig. 8 (e) (upper) supplies good evidence of how the model starts to forget about the main context of the prompt. The word “park” is strictly mentioned in the prompt, but the model misses that information during image synthesis.

Sparse Updating - Lastly, updating full timesteps can overfit few-shot examples too. In many of our cases, the sparse updating expresses the style images better. For example, Fig. 8 (f) (upper) contains a visualization of a parkway, however, misses the trees, which are important features of the park. More results at different sparsity levels can be found in this supplementary.

Besides the above visual results, we design a quantitative metric by computing the logits-per-image similarity score between \{generated image, text prompt\} in the CLIP feature space, averaged across all generated images mentioned in Sec. 4.3. A higher score indicates better-preserving semantics. For results in Fig. 8, such text-image content scores are: 34.7965 (column b), 34.3004 (c), 32.3135 (d), 34.1156 (e), and 33.4526 (f). Those numbers align with our visual impression. Tables 1 and 2 (both last columns) further show the gain from combining Text Inversion and ours.

5. Conclusion

In this work, we presented Specialist Diffusion, a plug-and-play fine-tuning framework to personalize large diffusion models on an unseen style domain with only a small number of images. By introducing customized data augmentation, content loss and sparse updating, Specialist Diffusion reaches both sample and computation efficiency in diffusion model fine-tuning. Experiments have shown that, aside from outperforming SOTA methods, Specialist Diffusion can be combined with them for further improvement. While our work mainly targets artistic and creative editing for benign purposes, it is possible that our method, just like every other generative model, might be abused to generate fake or hateful visual contents. Detecting and rejecting those contents makes important future research.
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