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Abstract

Gait recognition is beneficial for a variety of applications, including video surveillance, crime scene investigation, and social security, to mention a few. However, gait recognition often suffers from multiple extraneous factors in real scenes, such as carrying conditions, wearing overcoats, and diverse viewing angles. Recently, various deep learning-based gait recognition methods have achieved promising results, but they tend to extract one of the salient features using fixed-weighted convolutional networks, do not well consider the relationship within gait features in key regions, and ignore the aggregation of complete motion patterns. In this paper, we propose a new perspective that actual gait features include global motion patterns in multiple key regions, and each global motion pattern is composed of a series of local motion patterns. To this end, we propose a Dynamic Aggregation Network (DANet) to learn more discriminative gait features. Specifically, we create a dynamic attention mechanism between the features of neighboring pixels that not only adaptively focuses on key regions but also generates more expressive local motion patterns. In addition, we develop a self-attention mechanism to select representative local motion patterns and further learn robust global motion patterns. Extensive experiments on three popular public gait datasets, i.e., CASIA-B, OUMVLP, and Gait3D, demonstrate that the proposed method can provide substantial improvements over the current state-of-the-art methods.¹

1. Introduction

Gait recognition aims to retrieve the same identity at a long distance, and has been widely used throughout social security [28], video surveillance [4, 15, 49], crime investigation [25], and so on. Compared with action recognition [17, 53, 54] and person re-identification [2, 55, 60, 61], the gait recognition task is one of the most challenging fine-grained label classification problems. On the one hand, silhouette data is a binary image of a person suffering from the limitations of the segmentation algorithm [26, 62, 63], with occasional holes and broken edges. On the other hand, gait recognition is also impacted by various extraneous factors in real scenes, such as carrying conditions, wearing coats, and diverse viewing angles. Different angles and clothing conditions will greatly change the silhouette appearance of the same person, resulting in the intra-class variance being much greater than inter-class. We ask: How to learn more robust features adaptively for each person under the influence of various external factors? We attempt to answer this question from the following perspectives:

(i) Local Motion Patterns. Gait, or the act of walking, is essentially the coordinated movement of body parts. In a gait sequence, we observe that each part has a unique representative motion pattern, and each motion pattern is composed of a set of localized sub-movements. Therefore, it
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is critical to accurately locate the discriminative parts and obtain representative local motion patterns under the interference of various external factors. However, previous gait-based approaches [7, 8, 13, 14, 20, 24, 33] simply use convolutional networks with non-linear activation to model the dynamic movements. Once the network has been trained, the parameters and the non-linear function can only focus on the fixed patterns. To this end, we propose to encode the features of each pixel as a vector with magnitude and phase, as shown in Fig. 1, which allows learning the dynamic attention mapping functions among the neighboring pixel of focusing. By modeling the relationship, the network can further focus on local motion patterns in key regions.

(ii) Global Motion Patterns. Gait is a periodic movement. We assume that the actual motion pattern is a one-dimensional signal, as shown in Fig. 2, whereby the local motion patterns are the points on the signal. Therefore, it is essential to use a series of local motion patterns to further fit the actual motion patterns for obtaining discriminative gait features. However, recent gait-based methods [8, 20, 33] only use Max- or Mean-based methods to extract one of the significant local features. These methods are susceptible to disturbances and can not fit the actual motion patterns. According to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem [37, 39] in signal processing theory, when a continuous signal is sampled at a frequency greater than twice the frequency of the signal, the information of the original signal is retained intact. In this regard, we propose to construct a global attention model and use it to dynamically select a preset number of distinguishable local motion patterns (green arrows), while excluding the effect of noise (red arrows). By selecting sufficient discriminative local motion patterns, the network can further obtain robust global motion patterns.

Driven by this analysis, we propose a novel and effective Dynamic Aggregated Network (DANet) for gait recognition. As shown in Fig. 3, DANet consists of two well-designed components, i.e., Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB) and Global Motion Patterns Aggregator (GMPA). Firstly, we encode the features of each pixel into the complex domain including magnitude and phase, where the magnitude term represents the contextual information and the phase term is used to establish the relationship between each vector. The local motion pattern is generated by aggregating the magnitude and phase of the vectors in the neighboring regions of focus. Secondly, we use the self-attentive mechanism in the GMPA model to dynamically select sufficient discriminative local motion patterns and further learn to fit the actual gait patterns. Finally, with our proposed modules, we obtain the most representative stable gait features for each person and outperform the state-of-the-art (SOTA) methods, especially under the most challenging condition of cross-dressing.

Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a novel LCMB to extract the representative local motion patterns, which can dynamically model the relationships among the features of neighboring pixels and then accurately locate key regions.
- We design an effective GMPA to select the discriminative local motion patterns and then aggregate them to obtain a robust global representation. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first attempt to explore the potential of self-attention model in this task.
- Experimental results are illustrated to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, outperforming the SOTA method on CASIA-B [56], OUMVLP [41] and Gait3D [59] datasets. In addition, many rigorous ablation experiments on CASIA-B [56] further validated the effectiveness of each component in DANet.

2. Related Works

In this section, we provide a brief overview of relevant research in the fields of gait recognition, local action modeling, and global action modeling.

Gait Architectures. Gait recognition approaches mainly fall into two typical categories, i.e., model-based approaches and appearance-based approaches. Model-based approaches [1, 3, 27, 29–31, 44] attempt to explicitly fit human pose structures [10] to images. However, the predefined points are empirically designed and limited by the inaccuracy of estimation results for low-quality images. Therefore, the model-based methods are generally inferior to appearance-based methods in performance. Appearance-based approaches [7, 8, 13, 18, 20, 21, 24, 32, 33, 43, 47, 51, 52, 58] are the mainstream frameworks for gait and have benefited from the quick growth of deep learning. It can be roughly divided into three classes, namely template-based
methods, set-based methods, and sequence-based methods. The template-based approaches [18, 36, 43, 47, 52, 57] extracted gait spatio-temporal features by compressing a sequence of gait silhouettes, e.g., Gait Energy Image (GEI), which inevitably destroyed the representation of discriminative local motion patterns in gait sequences. The set-based approaches [8,20,21,23] assumed that the appearance of a silhouette contained its position information, which could not construct local motion patterns using continuous frames. Recently some advanced sequence-based methods [7, 24, 32, 33] used 3D convolutional (C3D) neural networks to extract gait features from the gait sequence and achieve SOTA results. Our approach belongs to the sequence-based method, in contrast to other methods, we propose to use variable-length frames as the input.

**Local Action Modeling.** Local action modeling [14,24,32,33] aims at building short-range spatio-temporal features, which have been shown to be beneficial for gait recognition in various literature. GaitPart [14] proposed a micro-motion capture module to model the short-range spatio-temporal features. MT3D [32] proposed multiple temporal-scale 3D convolutional layers to extract the small and large temporal-scale motion features. GaitGL [33] utilized a local temporal aggregation module to extract the local temporal information. 3DLocal [24] proposed a localization module to adaptively sample the local action features. In contrast to these strategies, we propose to map each pixel of the gait sequence to the complex-valued domain, using the phase term to encode the relationship between gait features. By fully exploiting the phase term, we construct a dynamic attention model among each pixel of the feature to extract local motion patterns in key regions.

**Global Action Modeling.** Global action modeling, aiming at capturing long-range dependencies, has been demonstrated to be advantageous to a wide range of recognition tasks such as action recognition [6, 45, 48, 54] and person re-identification [9, 16, 19, 35, 40, 61]. Many attention-based approaches [12, 34, 46] built global relationships in the spatial dimension [5, 50] or channel dimension [22] with remarkable results. However, current state-of-the-art works [7, 8, 32, 33] in gait recognition still directly use Max- or Mean-based methods to extract global temporal features, which only focus on the most salient features. Different from these methods, we design an efficient global self-attention model to obtain a robust representation for each person, which can select discriminative local motion patterns, and further map them to global motion patterns.

### 3. Methodology

In this section, we first describe the overall architecture of our method in Sec. 3.1, and then introduce the proposed two novel well-designed modules, i.e., Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB) in Sec. 3.2 and Global Motion Pattern Aggregator (GMPA) in Sec. 3.3. Finally, we will discuss the joint loss functions in Sec. 3.4.

#### 3.1. Formulation and Motivation

Gait recognition aims to identify the same person under the influence of various external factors. Let \( X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times H \times W} \) denote silhouette data containing consecutive \( T \) frames, where \( T, H, \) and \( W \) represent the temporal, height, and width dimensions of input frames. In our implementation, we sample variable-length frames \( T \in [20, 40] \) from a continuous sequence as the input. The extraction of gait features can be expressed as

\[
f = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}(X)),
\]

where \( f \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times C} \) is the output features, \( P \) is the number of horizontally sliced parts, \( C \) is the feature channels, \( \mathcal{L} \) represents the local motion pattern extraction, and \( \mathcal{G} \) denotes the global motion pattern aggregation.

To learn distinctive representation for each person, the previous C2D-based methods [8,20] and C3D-based methods [32,33] only use convolutional layers and non-linear functions to learn gait features. However, the trained network can only recognize certain movement patterns that are vulnerable to noise. In this work, we propose a novel
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*Figure 3. The overview of the proposed DANet. Each stage contains the Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB), which utilizes a dynamic attention model to establish relationships among neighboring pixels of interest. The HP denotes Horizontal Pooling, GMPA represents Global Motion Pattern Aggregator, and \( I \) indicates the number of last stages. In particular, the GMPA\(_I\) module is responsible for aggregating the local motion patterns of the \( j \)-th part and producing the final global motion patterns \( v_I \) for recognition.*
LCMB in the backbone of DANet, which enables the network to focus on the key regions and extract the local motion patterns by dynamically building the relationships among pixels. Furthermore, inspired by the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem [37, 39], we propose a new perspective that a complete gait pattern should contain many distinguishable local motion patterns. To this end, we developed an effective GMPA to select sufficient distinguishable local motion patterns while effectively excluding the interference of noise. The selected local motion patterns are then aggregated to generate a robust global motion pattern.

### 3.2. Local Conv-Mixing Block

In this section, we provide a detailed description of the vector representation and vector aggregation in the Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB) module.

#### Vector Representation

In the LCMB module, the input features are denoted as $V = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times C_i}$, where $N$ is the number of pixels in the gait sequence, $C_i$ is the dimension of input features. As shown in Fig. 4, we obtain the magnitude $|v_j|$ and phase $\theta_j$ of each vector by multiply with the learnable parameters $W^m \in \mathbb{R}^{C_i \times C_i}$ and $W^x \in \mathbb{R}^{C_i \times C_i}$, separately, i.e.,

$$|v_j| = W^m v_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

$$\theta_j = \max(0, W^x v_j), j = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

where the subscript $j$ is the feature of the $j$-th pixel. The content of each vector is a real-value feature modeled by the magnitude term $|v_j|$, while the relationship of each vector is modulated by the phase term $\theta_j$, using grouped convolutional layers with rectified linear activation ReLU. The complex vectors $\tilde{v}_j \in \mathbb{C}^2$ modulated by the magnitude and phase terms using Euler’s formula, i.e.,

$$\tilde{v}_j = |v_j| \odot \cos \theta_j + i|v_j| \odot \sin \theta_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

where $i$ is the imaginary unit satisfying $i^2 = -1$, and $\odot$ is element-wise multiplication.

#### Vector Aggregation

After representing the features of each pixel as a vector, we further aggregate the local spatio-temporal domain of each vector as shown in Fig. 4. In particular, the complex-value representation of the output $\tilde{v}_j \in \mathbb{C}^2$, that aggregated by learnable convolution kernels $K \in \mathbb{R}^{C_i \times C_i \times K_s \times K_s}$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{v}_j = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}(j)} K[j-m]v_m + v_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

where $\mathcal{N}(j)$ denotes the neighboring pixels set of $j$, and $v_m$ represents the vector belong to the neighboring pixels of $v_j$. Following [42], we obtain the real-value output feature $o_j \in \mathbb{R}^{C_i}$ by summing the real and imaginary parts of $\tilde{v}_j$ for convenient computation, i.e.,

$$o_j = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}(j)} (K[j-m]|v_m| \odot \cos \theta_m + K[j-m]|v_m| \odot \sin \theta_m) + v_j, j = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

where $(\cos \theta_m + \sin \theta_m)$ denotes the dynamic attention among the neighboring pixels of $j$. To further comprehend the dynamic aggregation model, heatmaps showcasing the phase values are visualized in Fig. 6.

### 3.3. Global Motion Patterns Aggregator

In this part, we propose a new instantiation of the global action modeling framework for gait recognition named the global motion patterns aggregator (GMPA), which selects a preset number of distinguished local motion patterns and then utilizes an attention mechanism to aggregate query-specific global motion patterns of each query location. The GMPA adopts separate parameters for each part and models the global movement patterns of the corresponding part.

#### Lower-order Global Motion Patterns

Gait data is affected by a number of variable factors, such as segmentation holes or broken edges, further impairing the actual movement pattern. To this end, we first propose to squeeze variable local motion patterns into a preset number of channel descriptors. Then, we utilize softmax to construct an attention map in the temporal dimension, and multiply the squeezed attention maps with the reshaped local motion pattern features to obtain the global low-order motion patterns. Formally, the global low-order motion patterns $G \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times C \times M}$ are generated by local motion patterns $L \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times T \times C}$ and attention maps $M \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times T \times M}$, and can
be expressed as
\[
M = \frac{\exp(W_1 L_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{P} \exp(W_1 L_i)}, \quad (7)
\]
\[
G = M \otimes L_i, \quad (8)
\]
where \( W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times C \times M} \) is the weight of \( \text{Separate FC}_1 \), \( i \) is the index of frame, and \( \otimes \) denotes matrix multiplication.

Higher-order Global Motion Patterns. To take advantage of the information aggregated in the low-order global motion patterns, we perform a further mapping aiming at fully capturing the high-order global motion patterns. In addition, we also introduce residual learning into GMPA to ease the training. Concretely, we further map the preset number of low-order global motion patterns \( G \) into a high-order global feature \( G' \), \( \delta \) represents the LeakyReLU activation function, and \( \oplus \) denotes the broadcast element-wise addition.

3.4. Joint Loss

In this work, there are two types of loss functions involved, \( \text{i.e.} \), triplet loss \( L_{tp} \) and cross-entropy loss \( L_{ce} \), which constrain the features of each part separately. Formally, triplet loss \( L_{tp} \) [11] can be expressed as:
\[
L_{tp} = \frac{1}{N_{tp}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{a=1}^{S} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{s=1}^{K} \max(0, m + D(\mathcal{F}(x_{a,i}^p), \mathcal{F}(x_{s,j}^p)) - D(\mathcal{F}(x_{a,i}^p), \mathcal{F}(x_{n,j}^p))), \quad (9)
\]
where \( P \) is the number of sliced parts horizontally on the gait features, \( N_{tp} \) is a positive integer obtained by multiplying the non-zero terms in triplets with the number of parts, \( (S, K) \) denotes the number of subjects with different identities and the number of samples per person, \( m \) is the margin value, \( D(f_1, f_2) \) represents the euclidean distance between two features, \( \mathcal{F} \) denotes the feature extraction model, and \( x \) represents the input sequence. We retain the case where the anchor and positive labels are the same, in other words, our triplet loss function requires the distance between each anchor sample and the negative sample is greater than the margin, which is an important trick for gait recognition.

Here, we propose to use the cross entropy loss \( L_{ce} \) to constrain each part with the label smoothing. Formally,
\[
L_{ce} = -\frac{1}{N_{ce}} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \sum_{a=1}^{S} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sum_{b=1}^{B} q_{p,i,j}^b \log p_{p,i,j}^b, \quad (10)
\]
where \( N_{ce} \) is a positive integer obtained by multiplying the mini-batch with the number of parts, \( p \) is the distribution of predicted probabilities, and \( q \) is the label of the mini-batch. In our experiments, the combined loss function \( L_c \) can be expressed as:
\[
L_c = L_{tp} + \beta L_{ce}, \quad (11)
\]
where \( \beta \) is the hyper-parameter to balance the two terms and is set to 0.2 through the experiments.

4. Experiments

In this section, we evaluate our network on three typical gait datasets, \( \text{i.e.} \), CASIA-B [56], OUMVLP [41], and Gait3D [59], and provide the implementation details. Then, we compare our approach with the current state-of-the-art methods. Finally, we conduct comprehensive ablation studies to verify the effectiveness of the proposed method.

4.1. Datasets and Implementation Details

CASIA-B [56] is a widely used dataset for gait recognition. It contains 124 subjects, 3 different walking conditions, and 11 different camera viewpoints uniformly distributed in \( [0^\circ, 180^\circ] \). The different walking conditions include normal walking (NM), walking with bags (BG), and walking wearing a coat (CL). In summary, there are 110 sequences for each person, and each sequence has an uncertain length of frames. We take the first 74 subjects as the training set and the rest 50 subjects for the test. In the test phase, the first four normal walking conditions are taken as the gallery, and the rest are taken as the probe.

OUMVLP [41] has the largest number of sequences in the public gait dataset. It consists of 10,307 subjects, 2 different walking sequences (“00-01”), and 14 different camera viewpoints uniformly distributed in \( [0^\circ, 90^\circ] \) and \( [180^\circ, 270^\circ] \). In general, each subject contains up to 28 sequences. According to the official split way, we take 5153 subjects as the training set and the rest 5154 as the test set. In the test phase, the sequences of “01” are taken as the gallery, and the rest of “00” is taken as the probe.

Gait3D [59] is a large-scale comprehensive dataset for gait recognition, containing silhouettes, 2D/3D human body pose, and 3D Mesh. Compared with the above datasets, Gait3D collected from more complex scenes in the wild is more challenging for the gait recognition task. It contains 4000 subjects, 25309 sequences, and 39 different camera viewpoints. Following the official splitting approach, we take 3000 subjects as the training set and the remaining 1000 subjects as the test set. In the testing phase, we calculate the similarity of one sequence of query set to all sequences in gallery set, and then report the average rank-1 and rank-5 recognition rates for all query sequences.
Table 1. The performance comparisons on CASIA-B are reported with rank-1 accuracy (%), excluding the identical-view cases. The defaults input silhouette size is 64 × 44 and (“*”) indicates that the input size is 128 × 88.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Probes View</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0°</td>
<td>18°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN-LB [52]</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>80.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitSet [8]</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>91.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitPart [14]</td>
<td>89.1</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLN(*) [20]</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT3D [32]</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitGL [33]</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>96.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LagrangeGait [7]</td>
<td>94.2</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANet(ours)</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>97.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN-LB [52]</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitSet [8]</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitPart [14]</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>85.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLN(*) [20]</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT3D [32]</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GaitGL [33]</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>90.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LagrangeGait [7]</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>90.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANet(ours)</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNN-LB [52]</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation Details. We implement our network in PyTorch [38] for all experiments. Following the pre-processing method mentioned in [8], we align and resize the input silhouettes to 64 × 44. During the training phase, the sampling module randomly selects [20, 40] sequences as the inputs. In the test phase, we utilize all silhouettes to obtain the gait feature. We train the model in an end-to-end manner with an optimizer of SGD and an initial learning rate of 0.1, which is reduced by a factor of 10 until convergence. The parameter l in Fig. 3 indicates the number of stages, where l = 0 for CASIA-B and l = 1 for OUMVLP and Gait3D. (1) In CASIA-B, the model is trained for a total of 40K iterations with the step size set every 10K iterations, using a mini-batch size of (32, 16). The model is trained for a total of 200K iterations, with the step size set every 50K iterations. Additionally, the stride of spatial pooling is set to n=2.

4.2. Comparison with State-of-the-art Methods

To verify the effectiveness of our method, several latest gait recognition methods are introduced for comparison, including CNN-LB [52], GaitSet [8], GaitPart [14], GLN [20], MT3D [32], GaitGL [33], and LagrangeGait [7].

Evaluation on CASIA-B. The performance comparison on CASIA-B is provided in Tab. 1, where the probe sequence is divided into three subsets according to the walking conditions. (1) Comparing with the template-based approach, i.e., CNN-LB [52], our method achieves significantly better results in all walking conditions and viewpoints. The possible reason is that the template-based approach directly compressing the gait sequence into a gait energy map would greatly compromise the temporal information in gait sequence. Once the temporal features are neglected, the motion pattern of the gait can not be adequately represented. (2) In contrast to the set-based methods, i.e., GaitSet [8] and GLN [20], the proposed method achieves higher recog-
Evaluation on OUMVLP. To further demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method, as shown in Tab. 2, DANet is also evaluated on the largest gait datasets, i.e., OUMVLP. (1) Compared with other methods, the proposed method achieves the state-of-the-art performance under all cross-view conditions. The comparison results also demonstrate that the proposed method can also effectively obtain representative and stable global motion patterns on the large-scale dataset. (2) It is worth noting that some subjects had no sequences corresponding to the probes in the gallery because of missing sequences, therefore the recognition accuracy in the Tab. 2 is lower than the actual performance.

Evaluation on Gait3D. The effectiveness of our method was further validated on a wild dataset, i.e., Gait3D. (1) We observe a significant degradation in rank-1 performance of all methods, i.e., GaitPart [14], GLN [20], and GaitGL [33], on the Gait3D dataset. The possible reason is that Gait3D contains more complex conditions, such as misaligned and occlusions in the silhouette data. (2) The experimental comparison results are illustrated in Tab. 3, which confirms that our proposed method is effective in extracting robust global motion patterns on the wild dataset.

4.3. Ablation Study

In this section, we provide an ablation study in DANet to gain a better understanding of the effect of different configurations on the LCMB and GMPA. All experiments in the ablation study are performed on CASIA-B, excluding the identical-views cases.

The Effectiveness of Local Conv-Mixing Block. As mentioned in Sec. 3.2, we design a novel Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB) module to effectively aggregate local motion patterns. (1) As shown in Tab. 4, compared with the benchmark approach, i.e., GaitSet [8], GaitPart [14], and GaitGL [33], the LCMB-based method achieves higher accuracy under the same conditions. (2) With other conditions remaining the same, we directly replace our LCMB with C2D or C3D convolutional layers. As shown in Tab. 4, our LCMB is significantly higher than the C2D or C3D convo-
lutional methods, which demonstrates that the LCMB can effectively extract the local motion patterns of gait.

The Effectiveness of Global Motion Patterns Aggregator. To demonstrate the effectiveness of GMPA, as shown in Tab. 4, we fully compare it with other aggregators, i.e., Max [8], MCM [14], GeM [33]. (1) The C2D and C3D convolutional layers combined with our GMPA module can significantly improve the performance. (2) In contrast to MCM, our GMPA focus on establishing a complete global motion pattern, which we believe is the essence of the actual motion of the gait. (3) Compared to Max- or Mean-based methods, the experimental results show that GMPA can effectively aggregate robust global motion patterns.

Analysis of the Number of Lower-order Global Motion Patterns. The number of low-order global motion patterns $N_{\text{patt}} (M$ in GMPA module) selected in the GMPA module will directly affect the final feature representation. (1) As shown in Tab. 5, when $N_{\text{patt}}=1$ or 8, the network cannot fit actual movement patterns due to insufficiently sampled low-order motion patterns. (2) The experimental results show that when $N_{\text{patt}}=32$, the network over-fits real motion patterns when the number of samples is excessive. Therefore, we adopt $N_{\text{patt}}=16$ as the default parameter of DANet.

Analysis of the Number of Horizontal Slice Parts. As shown in Tab. 5, we further analyze the effect of the number of spatial parts $N_{\text{part}} (P$ in the Horizontal Pooling module). (1) In DANet, the experimental results rise as the number of $N_{\text{part}}$ increases. (2) The possible reason is that the LCMB and GMPA modules can effectively select the key regions and aggregate robust global motion patterns. As a result, the network expresses more sufficient global motion patterns when the number of $N_{\text{part}}$ increases.

4.4. Visualization

To gain further insight into the local motion patterns of salient parts established by the phase term of the vector, as shown in Fig. 6, we visualize the heatmaps for the phase values and corresponding silhouettes of the same person. (1) In terms of the spatial dimension, the phase term of the vector can be effectively located in the key regions. (2) In terms of the temporal dimension, the phase terms of the vectors in the sequence move with the motion of the body parts, precisely localizing the disappearance and appearance of hand movements and the alternate walking of the legs. (3) The visualization results show that, in contrast to the fixed parameters in the CNN, the phase term of the vector can dynamically distinguish the key regions.

Table 5. Comparison of the number of spatial bins $N_{\text{patt}}$ in HP and low-order global motion patterns $N_{\text{patt}}$ in GMPA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_{\text{patt}}$</th>
<th>$N_{\text{part}}$</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>BG</th>
<th>CL</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>92.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>95.6</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>93.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>87.1</td>
<td>93.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>93.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Visualization of attention maps (dash boxes) for phase values and corresponding positions (solid points) in the silhouettes of the same person, where “J” represents the face, “H” represents the hand, “L” represents the leg, and “F” represents the foot.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a novel Dynamic Aggregated (DANet) for gait recognition, which consists of a serial of Local Conv-Mixing Block (LCMB) and Global Motion Pattern Aggregator (GMPA) to adaptively aggregate the robust discriminative global motion patterns. The proposed method can dynamically locate the key regions and extract the local motion patterns, and then adaptively select the distinguishing local motion patterns to further construct robust global motion patterns. The experimental results on three popular gait datasets, i.e., CASIA-B, OUMVLP, and Gait3D, verify the effectiveness of the proposed method and show great potential for practical applications. In the future, we will further investigate adaptive learning of the local and global motion patterns in the complex-valued domain to aggregate more representative gait features.
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