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Abstract

We introduce a light steering technology that operates at megahertz frequencies, has no moving parts, and costs less than a hundred dollars. Our technology can benefit many projector and imaging systems that critically rely on high-speed, reliable, low-cost, and wavelength-independent light steering, including laser scanning projectors, LiDAR sensors, and fluorescence microscopes. Our technology uses ultrasound waves to generate a spatiotemporally-varying refractive index field inside a compressible medium, such as water, turning the medium into a dynamic traveling lens. By controlling the electrical input of the ultrasound transducers that generate the waves, we can change the lens, and thus steer light, at the speed of sound (1.5 km/s in water). We build a physical prototype of this technology, use it to realize different scanning techniques at megahertz rates (three orders of magnitude faster than commercial alternatives such as galvo mirror scanners), and demonstrate proof-of-concept projector and LiDAR applications. To encourage further innovation towards this new technology, we derive theory for its fundamental limits and develop a physically-accurate simulator for virtual design. Our technology offers a promising solution for achieving high-speed and low-cost light steering in a variety of applications.

1. Introduction

Many imaging systems rely on the ability to steer light, either as it leaves a source or as it reaches a sensor. Examples include laser scanning projectors [28, 56], LiDAR depth sensors [38, 74, 75], and microscopy techniques (confocal microscopy [23, 50], light-sheet microscopy [55, 68], multi-photon microscopy [17, 82]). Compared to full-field lighting and imaging, light steering systems help improve light efficiency [47], counter indirect illumination [27, 42], and enhance illumination and imaging contrast [9, 55]. However, these advantages come at the cost of reduced acquisition speed, bulky moving hardware, and motion artifacts. To alleviate these costs, we introduce a new light steering technology that, through the use of ultrasonic sculpting, makes it possible to scan light both transversally and axially at megahertz (MHz) rates. Additionally, our technology achieves these high scanning rates without any moving parts. Lastly, prototypes of our technology cost no more than a hundred dollars. Altogether, these characteristics represent significant advances over previous light steering technologies (Table 1). Our technology uses the acousto-optic effect\textsuperscript{1} to turn a transparent medium, such as water, into a programmable optic that steers an incident light beam. Sound is a pressure wave that travels inside a medium by compressing and rarefying it, spatiotemporally changing the medium density. In turn, this changes the refractive index of the medium, which is proportional to the density [63, 79]. We design the pressure profile of the sound wave so that, at any time instant, the spatially-varying refractive index makes the medium behave as a periodic set of virtual gradient-index (GRIN) lenses, each with an aperture equal to the sound wavelength. The GRIN lenses bend light beams incident on the medium, with the GRIN profile determining the beam trajectory. These lenses travel at the speed of sound (1.5 km/s in water) and are reconfigurable at MHz frequencies, allowing us to steer light faster than mechanical devices. To enable flexible steering

\textsuperscript{1}This is different from Bragg’s diffraction in acousto-optic deflectors.
patterns, we combine this optic with a pulsed laser with a programmable pulse rate. By synchronizing the laser source with the sound waveform, and modulating the phase of the sound waveform, we control both the speed of beam steering and the location of the beam.

In Sections 3 and 4, we explain the physical and mathematical details of our technology. We introduce a new design that uses two linear transducers to generate traveling acoustic waves, and discuss how different synchronization choices between ultrasound and pulsed laser result in different scanning patterns. To facilitate the exploration of design parameters (ultrasound speed and frequency, laser frequency) and configurations (transducer geometry), we also develop a physics-based simulator for our technology. In Section 6, we also discuss the fundamental limits of our technology due to diffraction and the uncertainty principle in wave physics.

In Section 5, we experimentally demonstrate these fast programmable light steering techniques for various applications. In particular, in Section 5.2, we demonstrate an arbitrary point projector that can scan arbitrary and programmable light patterns. Compared to raster scanning projectors, which can project billions of points per second in a grid pattern but only a few thousand arbitrary points per second, our prototype can project a million arbitrary points per second, an acceleration by three orders of magnitude. In Section 5.3, we demonstrate a LiDAR prototype that combines our light steering technology with a single-photon avalanche diode (SPAD). We show 3D scans of 100 × 100 resolution at 5000 frames per second (50 million points per second) with a single-pixel SPAD, which is not feasible with scanning galvo mirrors.

**Contributions.** Our main contributions are:

1. A new light steering technology based on the acousto-optic effect that is three orders of magnitude faster than state-of-the-art mechanical steering technologies.
2. A new hardware design with planar transducers generating traveling waves.
3. An experimental prototype demonstrating ultrafast arbitrary point projection and LiDAR scanning.
4. A physics-based renderer to simulate digital twins of our prototype and evaluate different designs.
5. The derivation of limits due to fundamental restrictions from wave physics (diffraction limit, scanning speed vs. aperture tradeoff, and uncertainty principle).

We provide our open-source simulator, data, and additional details in the supplement and project website.

**Limitations.** Our prototype has a diffraction-limited point spread function (PSF) with a large spatial extent and a “+” shape, due to the use of two linear transducers that create a rectangular aperture. This limits spatial resolution, and introduces structured blur artifacts. These limitations are not fundamental to our core technology, and can be overcome with improved designs and better engineering (Section 6).

**2. Related work**

**Light steering in imaging systems.** Light steering is a core component in scanning-based active imaging systems. For example, fluorescence microscopy techniques such as confocal microscopy [23, 50], multiphoton microscopy [17, 82], light sheet microscopy [55, 68], and superresolution microscopy [7], use scanning to decrease scattered light and improve light efficiency and imaging contrast. Another example is LiDAR sensors [84] found in commercial applications, such as autonomous cars. In these sensors, scanning decreases multipath interference and helps reduce hardware cost, removing the need for two-dimensional LiDAR arrays. Our technology improves the speed and reliability of scanning-based LiDAR, while further reducing the cost.

Light steering is also used in scanning-based laser projectors, to achieve high light efficiency and contrast by illuminating only where necessary. Laser projectors typically perform a 2D raster scan the field of view, with a fast and a slow scanning axis. The speed of the fast scanning axis limits the frame rate to the order of hundreds of kHz. In contrast, our technique can operate at tens of MHz, improving the raster scan rate by two orders of magnitude. Additionally, raster scanners cannot project arbitrary point sequences at a fast rate, and are limited to the frame rate of the projector (60-120 points per second) even when the projection pattern is very sparse. We demonstrate the projection of a million arbitrary points per second, four orders of magnitude faster.

**Computational imaging techniques** that use laser projectors or LiDAR are generally also scanning-based. Examples include structured light [26, 70], light-transport probing [47, 48], motion contrast 3D [44], epipolar gating [3, 46], light curtains [11, 76], slope-disparity gating [12, 37, 73], and non-line-of-sight imaging [39, 41, 49, 51, 81]. As a consequence, all these techniques can become orders of magnitude faster if combined with our light steering device.

**Light steering technologies** We can distinguish between mechanical and non-mechanical light steering techniques. The former include rotating prisms [2, 78], mirrors [35, 45],

---

**Table 1. Comparison of light steering technologies.** MEMS is microelectromechanical systems. OPA is optical phased arrays, BW is optical bandwidth. For the arrows, red is bad, green is good, down is low, and up is high, and more arrows imply a bigger effect. Our method is superior in terms of cost, speed and supported bandwidth, with no moving parts or fabrication.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tech.</th>
<th>speed</th>
<th>fab.</th>
<th>BW</th>
<th>cost</th>
<th>moving</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>galvo</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid lenses</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEMS</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPA</td>
<td>↑↑↑</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ours</td>
<td>↑↑</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓↓</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2https://imaging.cs.cmu.edu/ultrafast_steering
and digital micromechanical systems (MEMS) [61, 65]. The latter include acousto-optic (AO) [20, 83] or electro-optic (EO) [69] deflectors, liquid crystal devices [64, 77], and optical phased arrays (OPA) [29, 58]. Table 1 compares these techniques to our MHz steering technology: Mechanical techniques are slow due to the need to physically move optical elements. AO and EO deflectors operate at kHz rates. Liquid lenses and crystals typically have a long settling time, making them the slowest among these techniques.

OPAs [29, 58] are solid-state on-chip devices that can steer light at even GHz rates. However, they require on-chip microscopic coherent laser generators [71] and cannot easily be coupled to external lasers. They are also expensive to fabricate and currently limited to low-resolution angles. Cheng et al. [15] and Spector [67] have detailed reviews.

Acousto-optic devices. Many acousto-optic devices are commercially available, including tunable filters [21], modulators [5], frequency shifters [85], and deflectors [30]. Among these, the acousto-optic deflectors can serve as a light steering device. However, acousto-optic deflectors use a different physical phenomenon, Bragg’s diffraction [72], where beam deviation is proportional to the acoustic wave frequency, and are thus orders of magnitude slower than our technology. Electro-optic deflectors [40, 66] operate on a similar phenomenon and are thus similarly slow.

Tunable acoustic gradient-index (TAG) lenses [16, 32] and ultrasonically-sculpted virtual optical waveguides [10, 34] use the same physical principles as our technology. TAG lenses can change the focus depth of an incident beam at kHz rates; however, unlike our technology, they cannot steer it in the transverse axis (Figure 2). Following their recent commercialization, TAG lenses fostered innovation in scientific and application fields such as laser micromachining [14, 18], three-dimensional biomedical imaging [36, 80], microscopy [13, 19, 33], optical coherence tomodraphy [22], high-throughput industrial inspection [31], and adaptive optics [62, 86]. We believe that the additional transverse steering capabilities from our technology will similarly help stimulate significant further innovation.

### Table 2. Notation and parameters we use in the paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>speed</td>
<td>$c_{us}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wavelength</td>
<td>$\lambda_{us}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency</td>
<td>$f_{us}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>angular frequency</td>
<td>$\omega_{us} = 2\pi f_{us}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wavenumber</td>
<td>$k_{us} = 2\pi \lambda_{us}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time period</td>
<td>$T_{us} = f_{us}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Traveling-wave acousto-optic lenses

We generate ultrasonic waves inside a transparent medium, such as water, by submerging inside it a planar transducer that we drive with a single harmonic voltage (Figure 3(a)). The resulting pressure $P(x, t)$ inside the medium (Figure 3(b)) equals

$$P(x, t) = P_o + P_s \cos(k_{us}x - \omega_{us}t), \quad (1)$$

where: $t$ is time, $x$ is distance normal to the transducer plane—the pressure is independent of $y$ and $z$ coordinates—$P_o$ is the medium pressure without ultrasound, $P_s$ is proportional to the transducer voltage amplitude, and the remaining parameters are in Table 2.

The refractive index $n(x, t)$ of the medium changes proportionally to the pressure (Figure 3(c)):

$$n(x, t) = n_o + n_s \cos(k_{us}x - \omega_{us}t), \quad (2)$$

where: $n_o$ is the refractive index of the medium without the transducer, $n_s = kP_s$, and $k$ is an empirical coefficient ($k = 1.402 \times 10^{-5}$ bar$^{-1}$ for water) [63].

At time $t = 0$, the convex lobes of this refractive index profile (i.e., regions $x \in [l\lambda_{us} - \lambda/2, l\lambda_{us} + \lambda/2]; l \in \mathbb{Z}$) act as GRIN lenses. Each of these lenses focuses light rays traveling parallel to the transducer onto a line (Figure 3(d)). We can change the lens focal length and aperture by varying the medium pressure.

**Traveling lenses.** The pressure wave and refractive index profile propagate along the $x$-direction. Thus, the convex lobes vary as: $x(t) = [c_{us}t + l\lambda_{us} - \lambda/2, c_{us}t + l\lambda_{us} + \lambda/2]; t \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a result, ultrasonically-sculpted cylindrical GRIN lenses are dynamic, and focused lines travel normal to the transducer at the speed of ultrasound (Figure 4).

4. Scanning techniques

Even though the ultrasonically-sculpted GRIN lenses travel at the speed of ultrasound, we cannot control the speed or location of the lens focus. To enable such control, we use a pulsed laser with the same repetition frequency as the ultrasound frequency, and programmable phase modulation for the transducer voltage.

**Single transducer.** For intuition, we first describe the case of a single transducer. Due to phase modulation, the pressure pattern from Equation (1) becomes $P(x, t) = P_o + P_s \cos(k_{us}x - \omega_{us}t - \phi(t))$. If the illumination is continuous, the position of the focused light is $x_n(t) =$
Figure 3. Ultrasonic sculpting. (a) By placing a planar piezoelectric transducer inside a compressible medium and vibrating the transducer with a sinusoidal voltage, we generate ultrasound inside the medium. (b) Sound is a pressure wave, and at any given time, the pressure inside the medium varies spatially based on the voltage waveform applied to the transducer. (c) The change in the refractive index of the medium is proportional to the pressure. Therefore, the refractive index of the medium also varies spatially, turning the medium into a GRIN lens. (d) Light rays that pass through this GRIN lens will curve continuously and focus on a set of lines. The focal length of these waveguides/lenses is a function of the voltage and frequency applied to the transducer.

Figure 4. Planar transducer steers the light. As sound propagates, the spatial refractive index pattern also propagates at the speed of sound. The focussed light, which is a set of lines, also travels normal to the transducer plane at the speed of sound. Apart from the ray diagrams, the images rendered with our simulator (submitted as supplementary) show that the planar transducer moves the focus region (in this case, a line) at the speed of sound.

4.1. Point scanning with two transducers

To focus light to a point, we use two orthogonal planar transducers (Figure 5). We describe two extensions of the above approach for focus point control: one for scanning arbitrary point locations at the ultrasound frequency (i.e., MHz), and another for raster scanning at the laser repetition frequency, which is higher than the ultrasound frequency.

Arbitrary point scanning. To scan arbitrary points \((x(mT_{us}), y(mT_{us}))\) for each laser pulse \(m\), we modulate the phases \(\phi_x(t)\) and \(\phi_y(t)\) of both transducers. The focus point location within the region \([0, \lambda_{us}] \times [0, \lambda_{us}]\) is:

\[
x(t) = (\phi_x(t)/k_{us} + c_{us} t) \mod \lambda_{us},
\]

(3)

\[
y(t) = (\phi_y(t)/k_{us} + c_{us} t) \mod \lambda_{us},
\]

(4)

Figure 6 shows the refractive index and ray diagram for four sets of \(\phi_x\) and \(\phi_y\) values. To scan a set of points \((x(mT_{us}), y(mT_{us}))\), we compute the phases \((\phi_x(mT_{us}), \phi_y(mT_{us}))\) using Equations (3)-(4), and interpolate to compute \((\phi_x(t), \phi_y(t))\).

Raster scanning In theory, we could use arbitrary point scanning to raster scan a two-dimensional grid of points. In that case, the phase modulation for raster scanning would be linear, \((\phi_x(t), \phi_y(t)) = (k_x \omega_{us} t, k_y \omega_{us} t)\), where \(k_x\) and \(k_y\) are phase modulation rates. The phase modulation rate for the faster axis would be equal to the product of the number of scan points and the modulation rate of the slower axis.

However, this approach would limit raster scanning frequency to the ultrasound frequency. If the laser repetition frequency is higher, we can scan more points by running the laser at its highest frequency. Their locations will be:

\[
x(mT_L) = (mk_x) \frac{\lambda_{us}}{s} \mod \lambda_{us},
\]

(5)

\[
y(mT_L) = (mk_y) \frac{\lambda_{us}}{s} \mod \lambda_{us},
\]

(6)

where \(s = f_L/f_{us}\) is the ratio of laser \((f_L)\) and ultrasound \((f_{us})\) frequencies, and \(T_L = 1/f_L\) is the inter-pulse time.
5. Experiments

We discuss an experimental prototype implementing our acousto-optic light steering technology, and combining it with a pulsed laser, single-pixel SPAD, and galvo mirrors (for comparison). We use this prototype to demonstrate projector and LiDAR applications. We compare our light steering system with commercially available galvo mirrors, to demonstrate the speed and the new capabilities our system enables. We keep the field-of-view and aperture same for both systems. This comparison is not the most favorable for galvo mirrors, as they typically have a larger field of view, but it is a fair one for evaluating the system’s speed.

5.1. Prototype

Figure 7 shows our experimental prototype. We place two planar piezo transducers (P-25.40mm-25.40mm-2.10mm-880-WFB, APC International, Ltd) orthogonal to each other and at an inclination of 45° relative to an acrylic tank containing water, to minimize interference from interreflections. A signal generator (SDG6022x, Siglent Tech.) drives the transducers via a power amplifier (ENI A300, Bell electronics). We colocate a pulsed laser (ALPHALAS GmbH, PICOPower-LD-510) and a gated SPAD (Microphoton Devices s.r.l.) using a beamsplitter, similar to previous techniques [24, 25, 57]. However, we do not place a lens in front of the SPAD, as the ultrasonically-sculpted lens focuses light from the object onto the SPAD. Instead, we place a lens in front of the laser to create a diverging ray that undergoes the same focusing by the ultrasonically-sculpted lens. We place an aperture after the ultrasonically-sculpted lens to limit the scanning area to only one ultrasonic period. A 45° mirror directs the beam to a pair of galvo mirrors (GVS-212, Thorlabs Inc.). We use the galvo mirrors only for comparisons.

To synchronize the transducers, laser, SPAD gate, and SPAD timing circuit, we use two signal generators and synchronize their clock and trigger signals. We use one signal generator to drive the transducers. The two channels of the second signal generator run the laser and the SPAD gate. We explain the SPAD timing synchronization details in Section 5.3. We provide more details about our prototype, including design and alignment, in the supplement.

5.2. Arbitrary point projector

We use the technique in Section 4.1 to compute the phases and synthesize the transducer voltage waveforms required to project an arbitrary target sequence of points. We drive the transducers with this waveform and the laser at the same frequency as ultrasound (1 MHz).

To project the same sequence of points with the galvo mirrors, we drive the laser and transducers at a fixed frequency without any phase modulation, which results in a single-point focus. We steer this point with the galvo mirrors to scan the same desired sequence of points. We drive the galvo mirrors at 1 kHz, 2 kHz, 5 kHz frequencies (points per second). The galvo mirrors are rated for 1 kHz, and driving it at frequencies higher than 5 kHz leads to higher motor current and failure of the fuse.

We project the patterns on a white cardboard screen, and
We introduced a high-speed, low-cost (the cost of each transducer is only $26) technology to steer light. Our technology uses the physics of traveling sound waves synchronized with illumination and imaging sensors to enable multiple scanning applications. We built an experimental prototype to demonstrate proof-of-concept applications, such as the ability to perform arbitrary point light projection and raster scanning for LiDAR at MHz rates. In the rest of this section, we discuss some fundamental limits and tradeoffs inherent in our light steering technology due to wave physics, and directions for further exploration they suggest. We summa-

5.3. LiDAR

We use a gated SPAD for depth and transient measurements. The gate helps reject the backscattered photons from various optics. After gating, our system does not suffer from pile-up [54, 59, 60]. We use a signal generator to drive the SPAD gate instead of the picosecond delay (PSD) common in SPAD-based LiDAR systems [8, 41, 49, 53]. Our approach is inexpensive and generates programmable delays at much higher resolution (1 μs) than the PSD (50 ns).

We run both the transducer and SPAD signal genera-

capture images with a camera (Allied Vision PRO-GT3400-09) for two exposures (1 ms and 50 ms) that we show in Figure 8. In this case, we are projecting 100 points that form the letter “A”. At 1 ms exposure, the galvo mirrors only scan a few points, whereas our technique scans the entire shape ten times. At 100 ms or higher exposure, the galvo mirrors can project all the points without distortion.

6. Discussion

We show the (a) schematic and (b) prototype built for showing the proof-of-concept applications and comparing them with a galvo mirror system. We diverge the laser beam, and the expanded beam is focused by the ultrasonically-sculpted refractive index. The beam passes through the galvo mirrors onto the scene. We steer the beam either with the ultrasonically-sculpted lens or the galvo mirrors, but not both, depending on the experiment. The reflected light from the object takes the same path back to the sensor. The SPAD sensor, which is colocated with the laser, does not have any optics in front of it other than the ultrasonically-sculpted lenses. This setup allows us to compare the scanning speed of our system with galvo mirrors, while keeping the aperture the same.

Figure 7. Hardware setup. We show the (a) schematic and (b) prototype built for showing the proof-of-concept applications and comparing them with a galvo mirror system. We diverge the laser beam, and the expanded beam is focused by the ultrasonically-sculpted refractive index. The beam passes through the galvo mirrors onto the scene. We steer the beam either with the ultrasonically-sculpted lens or the galvo mirrors, but not both, depending on the experiment. The reflected light from the object takes the same path back to the sensor. The SPAD sensor, which is colocated with the laser, does not have any optics in front of it other than the ultrasonically-sculpted lenses. This setup allows us to compare the scanning speed of our system with galvo mirrors, while keeping the aperture the same.

Figure 8. In this case, we are projecting all the points without distortion.

Figure 9. In this case, we are projecting all the points without distortion.

Figure 10. We create a three-point view. An advantage of such an approach is the potential for adaptive scanning [6].
Figure 8. **Acousto-optic vs. galvo-mirror projection.** We compare our beam steering technique with commercially available Thorlabs galvo mirrors (GVS-212). The “A” shape is made up of 100 points. With a 1 MHz transducer, we are able to project a million points per second (pps), and hence, project ten thousand “A”s per second. Constrained by the laser’s low beam power (20 µW at 1 MHz), practically we can only capture “A” at 1 ms exposure. The commercially available galvo mirrors, which are only rated at 1 kpps, only project a streak when driven at 1 kHz, 2 kHz, and 5 kHz. At 50 ms exposure and 1 kHz scan rate, the galvo mirrors only project half the pattern at the rated 1 kHz, and at higher frequencies, the galvo mirrors project a corrupted pattern as we are operating them well beyond their 1 kpps rating.

Figure 9. **Depth raster scanning.** Each of the four scenes has two characters, “CV”, “PR”, “20”, “23”. “C” and “P” are at approximately 160 cm depth, “2” at 170 cm, and the remaining at 180 cm. The top row shows the peak of the transient measured by the SPAD, and the bottom row shows the depth map in cm. We scan the scene at 100 × 100 resolution using the raster scanning technique in Section 4.1 for an exposure of one second. The Thorlabs galvo mirrors are not capable of scanning these scenes in under a second.
We provide a physics-based renderer that can help virtually evaluate improved designs of our technology. The aperture of the ultrasonically-sculpted lens is equal to the ultrasound wavelength \( \lambda \) or:
\[
\text{aperture} = \frac{n\lambda}{2F}
\]  
where \( F \) is the focal length of the ultrasonically-sculped waveguide. Therefore, the diffraction-limited spot size is
\[
\Delta x = \frac{\lambda}{2NA} \approx \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} \frac{F}{n} = \frac{\lambda f_{\text{us}}}{c_{\text{us}}} \frac{F}{n}.
\]  

### Uncertainty principle

Spatial resolution is inversely proportional to the diffraction-limited spot size, and as mentioned earlier, temporal resolution is determined by the frequency of the transducer. So, we have the following uncertainty principle between spatial and temporal resolution:
\[
\Delta x \Delta T \leq \frac{\lambda}{c_{\text{us}}} \frac{F}{n}.
\]  

To improve spatiotemporal resolution, we can decrease the focal length of the waveguide by increasing the voltage applied to the transducer. However, increasing the voltage makes the system non-linear and increases the probability of cavitation in the medium [52].

Another approach to improve the system’s overall quality (increase aperture size, spatial and temporal resolutions) is to use a medium with a higher speed of sound. For example, tellurium dioxide (TeO\(_2\)) glass has three times higher speed of sound and 50% higher refractive index than water. Using tellurium dioxide glass will improve light efficiency by an order of magnitude, and simultaneously improve spatial resolution by five times. This material is also part of existing acousto-optic devices [30], but we found them hard to modify. Tellurium dioxide, being a solid, would additionally be a more stable medium than liquid water.

**Shape of the blur kernel.** We used two transducers in our system, and each one of them creates a cylindrical lens. The net effect of these two cylindrical lenses is a square aperture, whose Fourier transform is the product of two 1D sincs. Therefore, our blur kernel has a cross-shape, as we can see in Fig. 11. We can make this blur kernel closer to a Gaussian-like blur kernel by using multiple transducers arranged around a circular path and synchronized. Based on the application, we can also use deconvolution techniques to improve the results in post-processing [4].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>( c_{\text{us}} )</th>
<th>( f_{\text{us}} )</th>
<th>( \lambda )</th>
<th>( n )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>scan speed</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aperture</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spatial resolution</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( F \) is the focal length of the ultrasonically-sculped waveguide.
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