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Abstract

This paper presents a simple yet effective approach that improves continual test-time adaptation (TTA) in a memory-efficient manner. TTA may primarily be conducted on edge devices with limited memory, so reducing memory is crucial but has been overlooked in previous TTA studies. In addition, long-term adaptation often leads to catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation, which hinders applying TTA in real-world deployments. Our approach consists of two components to address these issues. First, we present lightweight meta networks that can adapt the frozen original networks to the target domain. This novel architecture minimizes memory consumption by decreasing the size of intermediate activations required for backpropagation. Second, our novel self-distilled regularization controls the output of the meta networks not to deviate significantly from the output of the frozen original networks, thereby preserving well-trained knowledge from the source domain. Without additional memory, this regularization prevents error accumulation and catastrophic forgetting, resulting in stable performance even in long-term test-time adaptation. We demonstrate that our simple yet effective strategy outperforms other state-of-the-art methods on various benchmarks for image classification and semantic segmentation tasks. Notably, our proposed method with ResNet-50 and WideResNet-40 takes 86% and 80% less memory than the recent state-of-the-art method, CoTTA.

1. Introduction

Despite recent advances in deep learning [14, 21, 20, 19], deep neural networks often suffer from performance degradation when the source and target domains differ significantly [8, 36, 31]. Among several tasks addressing such domain shifts, test-time adaptation (TTA) has recently received a significant amount of attention due to its practicality and wide applicability especially in on-device set-
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Figure 1. (a) Memory cost comparison between TTA methods. The size of activations, not the parameters, is the primary memory bottleneck during training. (b) CIFAR-C adaptation performance. We perform the continual online adaptation on CIFAR-C dataset. The x- and y-axis are the average error of all corruptions and the total memory consumption including the parameters and activations, respectively. Our approach, EcoTTA, achieves the best results while consuming the least amount of memory, where K is the model partition factor used in our method.

settings [53, 35, 26, 15]. This task focuses on adapting the model to unlabeled online data from the target domain without access to the source data.

While existing TTA methods show improved TTA performances, minimizing the sizes of memory resources have been relatively under-explored, which is crucial considering the applicability of TTA in on-device settings. For example, several studies [54, 35, 9] update entire model parameters
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to achieve large performance improvements, which may be impractical when the available memory sizes are limited. Meanwhile, several TTA approaches update only the batch normalization (BN) parameters [53, 41, 16] to make the optimization efficient and stable. However, even updating only BN parameters is not memory efficient enough since the amount of memory required for training models significantly depends on the size of intermediate activations rather than the learnable parameters [4, 13, 57]. Throughout the paper, activations refer to the intermediate features stored during the forward propagation, which are used for gradient calculations during backpropagation. Fig. 1 (a) demonstrates such an issue.

Moreover, a non-trivial number of TTA studies assume a stationary target domain [53, 35, 9, 48], but the target domain may continuously change in the real world (e.g., continuous changes in weather conditions, illuminations, and location [8] in autonomous driving). Therefore, it is necessary to consider long-term TTA in an environment where the target domain constantly varies. However, there exist two challenging issues: 1) catastrophic forgetting [54, 41] and 2) error accumulation. Catastrophic forgetting refers to degraded performance on the source domain due to long-term adaptation to target domains [54, 41]. Such an issue is important since the test samples in the real world may come from diverse domains, including the source and target domains [41]. Also, since target labels are unavailable, TTA relies on noisy unsupervised losses, such as entropy minimization [17], so long-term continual TTA may lead to error accumulation [63, 2].

To address these challenges, we propose memory-efficient continual test-time adaptation (EcoTTA), a simple yet effective approach for 1) enhancing memory efficiency and 2) preventing catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation. First, we present a memory-efficient architecture consisting of frozen original networks and our proposed meta networks attached to the original ones. During the test time, we freeze the original networks to discard the intermediate activations that occupy a significant amount of memory. Instead, we only adapt lightweight meta networks to the target domain, composed of only one batch normalization and one convolution block. Surprisingly, updating only the meta networks, not the original ones, can result in significant performance improvement as well as considerable memory savings. Moreover, we propose a self-distilled regularization method to prevent catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation. Our regularization leverages the preserved source knowledge distilled from the frozen original networks to regularize the meta networks. Specifically, we control the output of the meta networks not to deviate from the one extracted by the original networks significantly. Notably, our regularization leads to negligible overhead because it requires no extra memory and is performed in parallel with adaptation loss, such as entropy minimization.

Recent TTA studies require access to the source data before model deployments [35, 9, 28, 1, 33, 41]. Similarly, our method uses the source data to warm up the newly attached meta networks for a small number of epochs before model deployment. If the source dataset is publicly available or the owner of the pre-trained model tries to adapt the model to a target domain, access to the source data is feasible [9]. Here, we emphasize that pre-trained original networks are frozen throughout our process, and our method is applicable to any pre-trained model because it is agnostic to the architecture and pre-training method of the original networks.

Our paper presents the following contributions:

- We present novel meta networks that help the frozen original networks adapt to the target domain. This architecture significantly minimize memory consumption up to 86% by reducing the activation sizes of the original networks.
- We propose a self-distilled regularization that controls the output of meta networks by leveraging the output of frozen original networks to preserve the source knowledge and prevent error accumulation.
- We improve both memory efficiency and TTA performance compared to existing state-of-the-art methods on 1) image classification task (e.g., CIFAR10/100-C and ImageNet-C) and 2) semantic segmentation task (e.g., Cityscapes with weather corruption).
2. Related Work

Mitigating domain shift. One of the fundamental issues of DNNs is the performance degradation due to the domain shift between the train (i.e., source) and test (i.e., target) distributions. Several research fields attempt to address this problem, such as unsupervised domain adaptation [52, 6, 44, 47, 38, 49] and domain generalization [64, 8]. In particular, domain generalization aims to learn invariant representations so as to cover the possible shifts of test data. They simulate the possible shifts using a single or multiple source dataset [64, 62, 32] or force to minimize the dependence on style information [43, 8]. However, it is challenging to handle all potential test shifts using the given source datasets [18]. Thus, instead of enhancing generalization ability during the training time, TTA [53] overcomes the domain shift by directly adapting to the test data.

Test-time adaptation. Test-time adaptation allows the model to adapt to the test data (i.e., target domain) in a source-free and online manner [27, 50, 53]. Existing works improve TTA performance with sophisticated designs of unsupervised loss [39, 60, 35, 9, 48, 5, 1, 3, 12] or enhance the usability of small batch sizes [30, 58, 25, 42, 33] considering streaming test data. They focus on improving the adaptation performance with a stationary target domain (i.e., single domain TTA setup). In such a setting, the model that finished adaptation to a given target domain is reset to the original model pre-trained with the source domain in order to adapt to the next target domain.

Recently, CoTTA [54] has proposed continual TTA setup to address TTA under a continuously changing target domain which also involves a long-term adaptation. This setup frequently suffers from error accumulation [63, 2, 51] and catastrophic forgetting [54, 29, 41]. Specifically, performing a long-term adaptation exposes the model to unsupervised loss from unlabeled test data for a long time, so errors are accumulated significantly. Also, the model focuses on learning new knowledge and forgets about the source knowledge, which becomes problematic when the model needs to correctly classify the test sample as similar to the source distribution. To address such issues, CoTTA [54] randomly restores the updated parameters to the source one, while EATA [41] proposed a weight regularization loss.

Efficient on-device learning. Since the edge device is likely to be memory constrained (e.g., a Raspberry Pi with 512MB and iPhone 13 with 4GB), it is necessary to take account of the memory usage when deploying the models on the device [34]. TinyTL [4], a seminal work in on-device learning, shows that the activation size, not learnable parameters, bottlenecks the training memory. Following this, recent on-device learning studies [4, 56, 57] targeting fine-tuning task attempt to decrease the size of intermediate activations. In contrast, previous TTA studies [53, 41] have overlooked these facts and instead focused on reducing learnable parameters. This paper, therefore, proposes a method that not only reduces the high activation sizes required for TTA, but also improves adaptation performance.

3. Approach

Fig. 3 illustrates our simple yet effective approach which only updates the newly added meta networks on the target domain while regularizing them with the knowledge distilled from the frozen original network. This section describes how such a design promotes memory efficiency and prevents error accumulation and catastrophic forgetting which are frequently observed in long-term adaptation.

3.1. Memory-efficient Architecture

Prerequisite. We first formulate the forward and the backward propagation. Assume that the \(i\)th linear layer in the model consists of weight \(W\) and bias \(b\), and the input and output features of this layer are \(f_i\) and \(f_{i+1}\), respectively. Given that the forward propagation of \(f_{i+1} = f_i W + b\), the backward propagation from the \((i+1)\)th layer to the \(i\)th layer, and the weight gradient are respectively formulated as:

\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial f_i} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial f_{i+1}} W^T, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial W} = f_i^T \frac{\partial L}{\partial f_{i+1}}. \tag{1}
\]

Eq. (1) means that the learnable layers whose weight \(W\) need to be updated must store intermediate activations \(f_i\) to compute the weight gradient. In contrast, the backward propagation in frozen layers can be accomplished without saving the activations, only requiring its weight \(W\). Further descriptions are provided in Appendix A.

TinyTL [4] shows that activations occupy the majority of the memory required for training the model rather than learnable parameters. Due to this fact, updating the entire model (e.g., CoTTA [54]) requires a substantial amount of memory. Also, updating only parameters in batch normalization (BN) layers (e.g., TENT [53] and EATA [41]) is not an effective approach enough since they still save the large intermediate activations for multiple BN layers. While previous studies fail to reduce memory by utilizing large activations, this work proposes a simple yet effective way to reduce a significant amount of memory by discarding them.

Before deployment. As illustrated in Fig. 3 (a, b), we first take a pre-trained model using any pre-training method. We divide the encoder of the pre-trained model into \(K\) number of parts and attach lightweight meta networks to each part of the original network. The details of how to divide the model into \(K\) number of parts are explained in the next section. One group of meta network composes of one batch normalization layer and one convolution block (i.e., Conv-BN-Relu). Before the deployment, we pre-train the meta networks on the source dataset \(D_s\) for a small number of
Thus, the main task loss for adaptation is defined as
\[ D_{\text{threshold}} \]
and those of k-th group of meta networks, respectively, and
\[ \mathcal{R}_{\theta_k}^k = \| \bar{x}_k - x_k \|_1. \] (4)
Since the original networks are not updated, the output \( \bar{x}_{k,k-1} \) extracted from them can be considered as containing the knowledge learned from the source domain. Taking advantage of this fact, we let the output of meta networks \( \bar{x}_k \) be regularized with knowledge distilled from the original networks. By preventing the adapted model to not significantly deviate from the original model, we can prevent 1) catastrophic forgetting by maintaining the source domain knowledge and 2) error accumulation by utilizing the class discriminability of the original model. Remarkably, unlike previous works [54, 41], our regularization does not require saving additional original networks, which accompanies ex-

Figure 3. Overview of our approach. (a) The encoder of the pre-trained model is divided into K parts (i.e., model partition factor K). (b) Before deployment, the meta networks are attached to each part of the original networks and pre-trained with source dataset \( D_s \). (c) After the model is deployed, only the meta networks are updated with unsupervised loss (i.e., entropy minimization) on target data \( D_t \), while the original networks are frozen. To avoid error accumulation and catastrophic forgetting by the long-term adaptation, we regularize the output \( \bar{x}_k \) of each group of the meta networks leveraging the output \( x_k \) of the frozen original network, which preserves the source knowledge.

Pre-trained model partition. Previous TTA studies addressing domain shifts [9, 39] indicate that updating shallow layers is more crucial for improving the adaptation performance than updating the deep layers. Inspired by such a finding, given that the encoder of the pre-trained model is split into model partition factor K (e.g., 4 or 5), we partition the shallow parts of the encoder more (i.e., densely) compared to the deep parts of it. Table 4c shows how performance changes as we vary the model partition factor K.

After deployment. During the test-time adaptation, we only adapt meta networks to target domains while freezing the original networks. Following EATA [41], we use the entropy minimization \( H(\hat{y}) = -\sum_x p(\hat{y}) \log p(\hat{y}) \) to the samples achieving entropy less than the pre-defined entropy threshold \( H_0 \), where \( \hat{y} \) is the prediction output of a test image from test dataset \( D_t \) and \( p(\cdot) \) is the softmax function. Thus, the main task loss for adaptation is defined as
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{ent}}^k = I_{H(\hat{y}) < H_0} \cdot H(\hat{y}), \] (2)
where \( I_{\cdot} \) is an indicator function. In addition, in order to prevent catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation, we apply our proposed regularization loss \( \mathcal{R}^k \), which is described next in detail. Consequently, the overall loss of our method is formulated as,
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\theta}^{\text{total}} = \mathcal{L}_{\theta}^{\text{ent}} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{K} \mathcal{R}_{\theta_k}^k, \] (3)
where \( \theta \) and \( \theta_k \) denotes parameters of all meta networks and those of k-th group of meta networks, respectively, and
\[ \lambda \] is used to balance the scale of the two loss functions. Note that our architecture requires less memory than previous works [54, 53] since we use frozen original networks and discard its intermediate activations. To be more specific, our architecture uses 82% and 60% less memory on average than CoTTA and TENT/EATA.

3.2. Self-distilled Regularization

The unsupervised loss from unlabeled test data \( D_t \) is likely to provide a false signal (i.e., noise) to the model (\( \hat{y} \neq y_t \) where \( y_t \) is the ground truth test label). Previous works have verified that long-term adaptation with unsupervised loss causes overfitting due to error accumulation [63, 2] and catastrophic forgetting [54, 29]. To prevent the critical issues, we propose a self-distilled regularization utilizing our architecture. As shown in Fig. 3, we regularize the output \( \bar{x}_k \) of each k-th group of the meta networks not to deviate from the output \( x_k \) of the k-th part of frozen original networks. Our regularization loss which computes the mean absolute error (i.e., L1 loss) is formulated as follows:

\[ \mathcal{R}_{\theta_k}^k = \| \bar{x}_k - x_k \|_1. \] (4)
type sequentially without resetting the model. This task is continually adapt the deployed model to each corruption.

Following CoTTA [54], we conduct Experimental setup.

datasets: CIFAR10-C, CIFAR100-C, and ImageNet-C.

Based on the continual test-time adaptation setup with three sequences is denoted by the parentheses values. The total memory rates calculated during testing and the memory consumption of the frameworks officially provided by previous state-of-the-art methods [54, 41]. For fair comparisons, we use the same pre-trained model, which are WideResNet-28 and WideResNet-40 [59] models from the RobustBench [11], and ResNet-50 [21] model from TTT++ [35, 9]. Before the deployment, we pre-train the meta networks on the source dataset using a cross-entropy loss with SGD optimizer with the learning rate of 5e-2. Since the meta networks contain only a few layers, we pre-train them with a small number of epochs: 10 and 3 epochs for CIFAR and ImageNet, respectively. After deployment, similar to EATA [41], we use the same SGD optimizer with the learning rate of 5e-3. In Eq. (2), the entropy threshold $H_0$ is set to $0.4 \times \ln C$ where $C$ denotes the number of task classes. The batch size is 64 and 32 for CIFAR and ImageNet, respectively. We set the importance of the regularization $\lambda$ in Eq. (3) to 0.5 to balance it with the entropy minimization loss. Additional implementation details can be found in Appendix C.

Implementation Details. We evaluate our approach within the frameworks officially provided by previous state-of-the-art methods [54, 41]. For fair comparisons, we use the same pre-trained model, which are WideResNet-28 and WideResNet-40 [59] models from the RobustBench [11], and ResNet-50 [21] model from TTT++ [35, 9]. Before the deployment, we pre-train the meta networks on the source dataset using a cross-entropy loss with SGD optimizer with the learning rate of 5e-2. Since the meta networks contain only a few layers, we pre-train them with a small number of epochs: 10 and 3 epochs for CIFAR and ImageNet, respectively. After deployment, similar to EATA [41], we use the same SGD optimizer with the learning rate of 5e-3. In Eq. (2), the entropy threshold $H_0$ is set to $0.4 \times \ln C$ where $C$ denotes the number of task classes. The batch size is 64 and 32 for CIFAR and ImageNet, respectively. We set the importance of the regularization $\lambda$ in Eq. (3) to 0.5 to balance it with the entropy minimization loss. Additional implementation details can be found in Appendix C.

Evaluation Metric. For all the experiments, we report error rates calculated during testing and the memory consumption.

### 4. Classification Experiments

We evaluate our approach to image classification tasks based on the continual test-time adaptation setup with three datasets: CIFAR10-C, CIFAR100-C, and ImageNet-C.

**Experimental setup.** Following CoTTA [54], we conduct most experiments on the continual TTA task, where we continually adapt the deployed model to each corruption type sequentially without resetting the model. This task is more challenging but more realistic than single domain TTA task [53] in which the adapted model is periodically reset to the original pre-trained model after finishing adaptation to each target, so they require additional domain information. Moreover, we evaluate our approach on the long-term TTA setup, which is detailed in Section 4.2.

Following the previous TTA studies [53, 54], we evaluate models with {CIFAR10, CIFAR10-C}, {CIFAR100, CIFAR100-C}, and {ImageNet, ImageNet-C} where the first and the second dataset in each bracket refers to the source and the target domain, respectively. The target domains include 15 types of corruptions (e.g. noise, blur, weather, and digital) with 5 levels of severity, which are widely used in conventional benchmarks [22].

**Comparison of error rate (%) on CIFAR-C.** We report an average error of 15 corruptions on continual TTA and a memory requirement including model parameters and activation sizes. The lowest error is in bold, and the second lowest error is underlined. The memory reduction rates compared to CoTTA and TENT are presented sequentially. WideResNet-40 was pre-trained with AugMix [23] that is a data processing to increase the robustness of the model. Source denotes the pre-trained model without adaptation. Single domain (in short, single do.) TENT resets the model when adapting to a new target domain, so the domain labels are required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN Stats Adapt [40]</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single do. TENT [53]</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continual TENT</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTT++ [35]</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWR&amp;NSP [9]</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTE [16]</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EATA [41]</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoTTA [54]</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>71.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=4)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=5)</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison of error rate (%) on ImageNet-C with severity level 5.** Standard deviation for ten diverse corruption sequences is denoted by the parentheses values. The total memory usage. Moreover, it only needs a negligible amount of computational overhead because it is performed in parallel with the entropy minimization loss $L^{ent}$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>74.36</td>
<td>82.35</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BN Stats Adapt [40]</td>
<td>57.87</td>
<td>72.18</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continual TENT [53]</td>
<td>56.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>66.2 (1.1)</td>
<td>1486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EATA [41]</td>
<td>54.9 (2.3)</td>
<td>63.8 (2.7)</td>
<td>1486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoTTA [54]</td>
<td>54.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>62.6 (3.1)</td>
<td>3132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=4)</td>
<td>55.2 (3.0)</td>
<td>64.6 (3.2)</td>
<td>438 (68.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=5)</td>
<td>54.4 (2.7)</td>
<td>63.4 (3.0)</td>
<td>747 (70.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison with methods for on-device learning.** The backbone is ResNet-50. Single do. indicates the single domain TTA setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Mem. (MB)</th>
<th>CIFAR10-C</th>
<th>CIFAR100-C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BN Stats Adapt [40]</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TinyTL [4]</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RepNet [57]</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuxAdapt [61]</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=4)</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours (K=5)</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>92 (77.1%)</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Comparison of error rate (%) on CIFAR-C. Table 2. Comparison of error rate (%) on ImageNet-C with severity level 5. Table 3. Comparison with methods for on-device learning.
Comparisons with TTA methods. We compare our approach to competing TTA methods on extensive benchmarks and various pre-trained models. The results of CIFAR10/100-C are detailed in Table 1. The model partition factor K are set to 4 and 5. Our approach outperforms existing TTA methods with the lowest memory usage in all pre-trained models. Specifically, in WideResNet-40, our method achieves superior performance while requiring 80% and 58% less memory than CoTTA [54] and EATA [41], respectively, which are also designed for continual TTA. Approaches targeting single domain TTA [53, 35, 9] show poor performance due to error accumulation and catastrophic forgetting, as observed in CoTTA. The error rates for each corruption type are provided in Appendix F.

Table 2 shows the experiment for ImageNet-C. Two ResNet-50 backbones from RobustBench [11] are leveraged. Following CoTTA, evaluations are conducted on ten diverse corruption-type sequences. We achieve comparable performance to CoTTA while utilizing 86% and 75% less memory with K=4 and 5, respectively. In addition, we observe that our approach shows superior performance when adopting the model pre-trained with strong data augmentation methods (e.g., Augmix [23]).

Comparisons with on-device learning methods. We compare our approach with methods for memory-efficient on-device learning. TinyTL [4] and RepNet [57] focus on supervised on-device learning (i.e., requiring labeled target data). However, since TTA assumes that we do not have access to the target labels, utilizing such methods to TTA directly is infeasible. Therefore, we experimented by replacing supervised loss (i.e., cross-entropy) with unsupervised loss (i.e., entropy minimization) in TinyTL and RepNet. As shown in Table 3, they suffer from performance degradation in continual TTA, showing inferior performance compared to our proposed approach even in the single domain TTA.

Similar to ours, AuxAdapt [61] adds and updates a small network (i.e., ResNet-18) while freezing the pre-trained model. Unlike our approach, they only modify a prediction output, not intermediate features. While AuxAdapt requires the least memory usage, it fails to improve TTA performance in single domain TTA. Nevertheless, since the original model is frozen, it suffers less from catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation than TinyTL [4] and RepNet [57] in the continual TTA. Through the results, we confirm that our proposed method brings both memory efficiency and a significant performance improvement in both TTA setups.

4.2. Empirical Study

Architecture design. An important design of our meta networks is injecting a single BN layer before the original networks and utilizing a residual connection with one conv block. Table 4b studies the effectiveness of the proposed design by comparing it with six different variants. From the results, we observe that using only either conv block (ii) or BN (iii) aggravates the performance: error rate increases by 1.4% and 3.8% on CIFAR100-C with WideResNet-40.

In design (i), we enforce both BN parameters and Conv layers in the meta networks to take the output of the original networks as inputs. Such a design brings performance...
Figure 5. **Regularization ablation experiments.** We conduct experiments with WideResNet-40 on CIFAR100-C. (a) We utilize a test set of the CIFAR-100 dataset to measure clean error after adapting to each corruption. Maintaining clean errors at a stable level indicates that our approach helps the model robust to catastrophic forgetting. (b) We simulate a long-term adaptation scenario by repeating 100 rounds of 15 corruption sequences. In the absence of regularization, error accumulation can lead to overfitting (i.e., the case of the error increases exponentially). However, our approach does not suffer from such an error accumulation. We set K to 5 in the above experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batch size</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-training</strong></td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BN Stats Adapt [40]</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>59.9</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AdapteBN [46]</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
<td>Con. TENT [53]</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>82.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Con. TENT+AdapteBN</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>47.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ours (K=5)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>80.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ours (K=5)+AdapteBN</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. **Experiments with small batch sizes.** We evaluate all baselines with WideResNet-40 on CIFAR100-C. Con. TENT is the abbreviation for continual TENT.

Figure 4 shows both memory requirement and adaptation performance according to the model partition factor K. With a small K (e.g., 1 or 2), the intermediate outputs are barely modified, making it difficult to achieve a reasonable level of performance. We achieve the best TTA performance with K of 4 or 5 as adjusting a greater number of intermediate features. In the meanwhile, we observe that the average error rate is saturated and remains consistent when K is set to large values (e.g., 6,7 or 8) even with the increased amount of activations and learnable parameters. Therefore, we set K to 4 and 5.

### Catastrophic forgetting

We conduct experiments to confirm the catastrophic forgetting effect (Fig. 5a). Once finishing adaptation to each corruption, we evaluate the model on clean target data (i.e., test-set of CIFAR dataset) without updating the model. For TENT with no regularization, the error rates for the clean target data (i.e., clean error rate) increase gradually, which can be seen as the phenomenon of catastrophic forgetting. In contrast, our approach consistently maintains the error rates for the clean target data, proving that our regularization loss effectively prevents catastrophic forgetting. These results indicate that our method can be reliably utilized in various domains, including the source and target domains.

### Error accumulation in long-term adaptation

To evaluate the error accumulation effect, we repeat all the corruption sequences for 100 rounds. The results are described in Fig. 5b. For TENT, a gradual increase in error rates is observed in later rounds, even with small learning rates. For example, TENT[53] with the learning rate of 1e-5 achieves the error rate of 39.7%, and reached its lowest error rate of 36.5% after 8 rounds. However, it shows increased error rate of 38.6% after 100 rounds due to overfitting. It suggests
that without regularization, TTA methods eventually face overfitting in long-term adaptation [63, 2, 29]. Our method in the absence of regularization (λ = 0) also causes overfitting. On the other hand, when self-distilled regularization is involved (λ > 0), the performance remains consistent even in the long-term adaptation.

**Small batch size.** We examine the scalability of our approach with a TTA method designed for small batches size, namely adapting BN statistics (i.e., AdaptBN [46, 60]). When the number of batches is too small, the estimated statistics can be unreliable [46]. Thus, they calibrate the source and target statistics for the normalization of BN layers so as to alleviate the domain shift and preserve the discriminative structures. As shown in Table 5, training models with small batch sizes (e.g., 2 or 1) generally increase the error rates. However, such an issue can be addressed by applying AdaptBN to our method. To be more specific, we achieve an absolute improvement of 17.9% and 2.2% from Source and AdaptBN, respectively, in the batch size of 1.

**Number of the source samples for meta networks.** Like previous TTA works [9, 35, 28, 33] including EATA [41], our approach requires access to the source data for pre-training our proposed meta networks before model deployment. In order to cope with the situation where we can only make use of a subset of the source dataset, we study the TTA performance of our method according to the number of accessible source samples. The results are specified in Table 7 where we use WideResNet-40. We observe that our method outperforms the baseline model even with small number of training samples (e.g., 10% or 20%) while showing comparable performance with excessively small numbers (e.g., 5%). Note that we still reduce the memory usage of about 51% compared to EATA.

### 5. Segmentation Experiments

We investigate our approach in semantic segmentation. First, we create Cityscapes-C by applying the weather corruptions (brightness, fog, frost, and snow [22]) to the validation set of Cityscapes [10]. Then, to simulate continual distribution shifts, we repeat the four types of Cityscapes-C ten times. In this scenario, we conduct continual TTA using the publicly-available ResNet-50-based DeepLabV3+ [7], which is pre-trained on Cityscapes for domain generaliza-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 6. Semantic segmentation results in continual test-time adaptation tasks.

We conduct experiments on Cityscapes [10] with four weather corruptions [22] applied. The four conditions are repeated ten times to simulate continual domain shifts. All results are evaluated based on DeepLabV3Plus-ResNet-50. Among above methods, only single domain TENT requires domain labels.

#### Table 7. Ablation of # of source samples to warm up the meta networks.

Before deployment, we pre-trained the meta networks using only a subset of the source dataset (e.g., 20%, 10%, and 5%). The memory usage (MB) of each method is also presented.

#### Results. We report the results based on mean intersection over union (mIoU) in Table 6. It demonstrates that our approach helps to both minimize memory consumption and performs long-term adaptation stably for semantic segmentation. Unlike continual TENT, our method avoids catastrophic forgetting and error accumulation, allowing us to achieve the highest mIoU score while using 66% less memory usage in a continual TTA setup. Additional experiment results can be found in Appendix B.

### 6. Conclusion

This paper proposed a simple yet effective approach that improves continual TTA performance and saves a significant amount of memory, which can be applied to edge devices with limited memory. First, we presented a memory-efficient architecture that consists of original networks and meta networks. This architecture requires much less memory size than the previous TTA methods by decreasing the intermediate activations used for gradient calculations. Second, in order to preserve the source knowledge and prevent error accumulation during long-term adaptation with noisy unsupervised loss, we proposed self-distilled regularization that controls the output of meta networks not to deviate significantly from the output of the original networks. With extensive experiments on diverse datasets and backbone networks, we verified the memory efficiency and TTA performance of our approach. In this regard, we hope that our efforts will facilitate a variety of studies that make test-time adaptation for edge devices feasible in practice.
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