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Figure 1. EDGE generates diverse, physically plausible dance choreographies conditioned on music.

**Abstract**

Dance is an important human art form, but creating new dances can be difficult and time-consuming. In this work, we introduce Editable Dance GEneration (EDGE), a state-of-the-art method for editable dance generation that is capable of creating realistic, physically-plausible dances while remaining faithful to the input music. EDGE uses a transformer-based diffusion model paired with Jukebox, a strong music feature extractor, and confers powerful editing capabilities well-suited to dance, including joint-wise conditioning, and in-betweening. We introduce a new metric for physical plausibility, and evaluate dance quality generated by our method extensively through (1) multiple quantitative metrics on physical plausibility, beat alignment, and diversity benchmarks, and more importantly, (2) a large-scale user study, demonstrating a significant improvement over previous state-of-the-art methods. Qualitative samples from our model can be found at our website.

1. Introduction

Dance is an important part of many cultures around the world: it is a form of expression, communication, and social interaction [29]. However, creating new dances or dance animations is uniquely difficult because dance movements are expressive and freeform, yet precisely structured by music. In practice, this requires tedious hand animation or motion capture solutions, which can be expensive and impractical.

On the other hand, using computational methods to generate dances automatically can alleviate the burden of the creation process, leading to many applications: such methods can help animators create new dances or provide interactive characters in video games or virtual reality with realistic and varied movements based on user-provided music. In addition, dance generation can provide insights into the relationship between music and movement, which is an important area of research in neuroscience [2].

Previous work has made significant progress using machine learning-based methods, but has achieved limited success in generating dances from music that satisfy user constraints. Furthermore, the evaluation of generated dances is subjective and complex, and existing papers often use quantitative metrics that we show to be flawed.

In this work, we propose Editable Dance GEneration (EDGE), a state-of-the-art method for dance generation that creates realistic, physically-plausible dance motions based on input music. Our method uses a transformer-based diffusion model paired with Jukebox, a strong music feature extractor. This unique diffusion-based approach confers powerful editing capabilities well-suited to dance, including joint-wise conditioning and in-betweening. In addition to the advantages immediately conferred by the modeling choices, we observe flaws with previous metrics and propose a new metric that captures the physical accuracy of ground contact behaviors without explicit physical modeling. In summary, our contributions are the following:
1. We introduce EDGE, a diffusion-based approach for dance generation that combines state-of-the-art performance with powerful **editing** capabilities and is able to generate **arbitrarily long** sequences. EDGE improves on previous hand-crafted audio feature extraction strategies by leveraging music audio representations from Jukebox [5], a pre-trained generative model for music that has previously demonstrated strong performance on music-specific prediction tasks [3, 7].

2. We analyze the metrics proposed in previous works and show that they do not accurately represent human-evaluated quality as reported by a large user study.

3. We propose a new approach to eliminating foot-sliding physical implausibilities in generated motions using a novel Contact Consistency Loss, and introduce Physical Foot Contact Score, a simple new acceleration-based quantitative metric for scoring physical plausibility of generated kinematic motions that requires no explicit physical modeling.

This work is best enjoyed when accompanied by our demo samples. Please see the samples at our website.

2. Related Work

2.1. Human motion generation

Human motion generation, the problem of automatically generating realistic human motions, is well-studied in computer vision, graphics, and robotics. Despite its importance and recent progress, it remains a challenging problem, with existing methods often struggling to capture the complexities of physically and stylistically realistic human motion.

Many early approaches fall under the category of motion matching, which operates by interpolating between sequences retrieved from a database [21]. While these approaches generate outputs that are physically plausible, their application has been primarily restricted to simple domains such as locomotion.

In recent years, deep neural networks have emerged as a promising alternative method for human motion generation. These approaches are often capable of generating diverse motions, but often fall short in capturing the physical laws governing human movement or rely on difficult-to-train reinforcement learning solutions [34, 60]. Generating human motion conditioned on various inputs—e.g., joystick control [34], class-conditioning [15, 43], text-to-motion [44, 63], seed motions [8, 22, 46, 62]—is also an active area of study.

2.2. Dance Generation

The uniquely challenging task of generating dances stylistically faithful to input music has been tackled by many researchers. Many early approaches follow a motion retrieval paradigm [11, 30, 40], but tend to create unrealistic choreographies that lack the complexity of human dances. Later works instead synthesize motion from scratch by training on large datasets [1, 10, 23, 27, 31, 33, 45, 47, 53, 59] and propose many modeling approaches, including adversarial learning, recurrent neural networks, and transformers. Despite achieving impressive performance, many such systems are complex [1, 4, 31, 53], often involving many layers of conditioning and sub-networks. In contrast, our proposed method contains a single model trained with a simple objective, yet offers both strong generative and editing capabilities without significant hand-crafted design.

2.3. Generative Diffusion Models

Diffusion models [19, 54] are a class of deep generative models which learn a data distribution by reversing a scheduled noising process. In the past few years, diffusion models have been shown to be a promising avenue for generative modeling, exceeding the state-of-the-art in generative tasks [18, 24, 50]. Much like previous generative approaches like VAE [28] and GAN [12], diffusion models are also capable of conditional generation. Dhariwal et al. [6] introduced classifier guidance for image generation, where the output of a diffusion model may be “steered” towards a target, such as a class label, using the gradients of a differentiable auxiliary model. Saharia et al. [49] proposed to use direct concatenation of conditions for Pix2Pix-like tasks, akin to Conditional GAN and CVAE [38, 55], and Ho et al. [20] demonstrated that classifier-free guidance can achieve state-of-the-art results while allowing more explicit control over the diversity-fidelity tradeoff.

Most recently, diffusion-based methods have demonstrated strong performance in generating motions conditioned on text [26, 58, 63]. While the tasks of text-to-motion and music-conditioned dance generation share high-level similarities, the dance generation task suffers more challenging computational scaling (see Sec. 3) and, due to its specialized nature, much lower data availability.

3. Method

**Pose Representation** We represent dances as sequences of poses in the 24-joint SMPL format [35], using the 6-DOF rotation representation [64] for every joint and a single root translation: \( w \in \mathbb{R}^{24 \times 6 + 3 = 147} \). For the heel and toe of each foot, we also include a binary contact label: \( b \in \{0, 1\}^{2 \times 4} \). The total pose representation is therefore \( x = \{b, w\} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 + 147 = 151} \). EDGE uses a diffusion-based framework to learn to synthesize sequences of \( N \) frames, \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 151} \), given arbitrary music conditioning \( c \).

**Diffusion Framework** We follow the DDPM [19] definition of diffusion as a Markov noising process with latents \( \{z_t\}_{t=0}^T \) that follow a forward noising process \( q(z_t|x) \).
where \( x \sim p(x) \) is drawn from the data distribution. The forward noising process is defined as

\[
q(z_t | x) \sim \mathcal{N}(\sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} x, (1 - \alpha_t) I),
\]

where \( \alpha_t \in (0, 1) \) are constants which follow a monotonically decreasing schedule such that when \( \alpha_t \) approaches 0, we can approximate \( z_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I) \). We chose to use \( T = 1000 \) timesteps.

In our setting with paired music conditioning \( c \), we reverse the forward diffusion process by learning to estimate \( \hat{x}_\theta(z_t, t, c) \approx x \) with model parameters \( \theta \) for all \( t \). We optimize \( \theta \) with the “simple” objective introduced in Ho et al. [19]:

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{simple}} = \mathbb{E}_{x, t} \left[ \| x - \hat{x}_\theta(z_t, t, c) \|_2^2 \right].
\]

From now on, we refer to \( \hat{x}_\theta(z_t, t, c) \) as \( \hat{x}(z_t, c) \) for ease of notation.

**Auxiliary losses** Auxiliary losses are commonly used in kinematic motion generation settings to improve physical realism in the absence of true simulation [43, 52, 57]. In addition to the reconstruction loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{simple}} \), we adopt auxiliary losses similar to those in Tevet et al. [58], which encourage matching three aspects of physical realism: joint positions (Eq. (3)), velocities (Eq. (4)), and foot velocities via our Contact Consistency Loss (Eq. (5)).

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{joint}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| FK(x^{(i)}) - FK(\hat{x}^{(i)}) \|_2^2 \tag{3}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{vel}} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \| (x^{(i+1)} - x^{(i)}) - (\hat{x}^{(i+1)} - \hat{x}^{(i)}) \|_2^2 \tag{4}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{contact}} = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \| (FK(\hat{x}^{(i+1)}) - FK(\hat{x}^{(i)})) \cdot \hat{b}^{(i)} \|_2^2 \tag{5}
\]

where \( FK(\cdot) \) denotes the forward kinematic function that converts joint angles into joint positions (though it only applies to the foot joints in Eq. (5)) and the \( (i) \) superscript denotes the frame index. In the Contact Consistency Loss, \( \hat{b}^{(i)} \) is the model’s own prediction of the binary foot contact label’s portion of the pose at each frame \( i \). While this formulation is similar to the foot skate loss terms in previous works [57, 58], where foot velocity is penalized in frames where the ground truth motion exhibits a static foot contact, our Contact Consistency Loss formulation differs in that it encourages the model to (1) predict foot contact, and (2) maintain consistency with its own predictions. We find that this formulation significantly improves the realism of generated motions (see Sec. 4.1).

Our overall training loss is the weighted sum of the simple objective and the auxiliary losses, where the weights \( \lambda \) were chosen to balance the magnitudes of the losses at the start of training:

\[
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{simple}} + \lambda_{\text{pos}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{pos}} + \lambda_{\text{vel}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{vel}} + \lambda_{\text{contact}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{contact}}. \tag{6}
\]

**Sampling and Guidance** At each of the denoising timesteps \( t \), EDGE predicts the denoised sample and noises it back to timestep \( t - 1 : \hat{z}_{t-1} \sim q(\hat{x}_\theta(\hat{z}_{t}, c, t - 1), t - 1) \), terminating when it reaches \( t = 0 \) (Fig. 2, right). We train our model using classifier-free guidance [20], which is commonly used in diffusion-based models [26, 48, 50, 58, 63]. Following Ho et al. [20], we implement classifier-free guidance by randomly replacing the conditioning with \( c = \emptyset \)
during training with low probability (e.g., 25%). Guided inference is then expressed as the weighted sum of unconditionally and conditionally generated samples:

\[
\hat{x}(\hat{z}_t, c) = w \cdot \hat{x}(\hat{z}_t, c) + (1 - w) \cdot \hat{x}(\hat{z}_t, 0).
\]  

(7)

At sampling time, we can amplify the conditioning \(c\) by choosing a guidance weight \(w > 1\).

**Editing** To enable editing for dances generated by EDGE, we use the standard masked denoising technique from diffusion image inpainting [36], and more recently text-to-motion models [26,58]. EDGE supports any combination of temporal and joint-wise constraints, shown in Fig. 4. Given a joint-wise and/or temporal constraint \(x^{\text{known}}\) with positions indicated by a binary mask \(m\), we perform the following at every denoising timestep:

\[
\hat{z}_{t-1} := m \odot q(x^{\text{known}}, t - 1) + (1 - m) \odot \hat{z}_{t-1},
\]

(8)

where \(\odot\) is the Hadamard (element-wise) product, replacing the known regions with forward-diffused samples of the constraint. This technique allows editability at inference time with no special training processes necessary.

For example, a user can perform motion in-betweening by providing a reference motion \(x^{\text{known}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 151}\) and a mask \(m \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times 151}\), where \(m\) is all 1’s in the first and last \(n\) frames and 0 everywhere else. This would result in a sequence \(N\) frames long, where the first and last \(n\) frames are provided by the reference motion and the rest is filled in with a plausible “in-between” dance that smoothly connects the constraint frames, for arbitrary \(2n < N\). This editing framework provides a powerful tool for downstream applications, enabling the generation of dances that precisely conform to arbitrary constraints.

**Long-form sampling** The ability to synthesize sequences of arbitrary length, often many minutes long, is critical to the task of dance generation. However, since EDGE generates every frame of a dance sequence at once, naively increasing the maximum sequence length incurs a linear increase in computational cost. Moreover, dance generation requires that the conditioning \(c\) match the motion sequence in length, causing further scaling of memory demands, which is especially severe in the case of embeddings from large models like Jukebox [5]. To approach the challenge of long-form generation, EDGE leverages its editability to enforce temporal consistency between multiple sequences such that they can be concatenated into a single longer sequence. Refer to Fig. 3 for a depiction of this process.

**Model** Our model architecture is illustrated in Fig. 2. We adopt a transformer decoder architecture, which processes

![Image](image-url)
Figure 4. EDGE allows the user to specify both temporal and joint-wise constraints. Constraint joints / frames are highlighted in green and tan, generated joints / frames are in blue and gray. Pictured, top to bottom: dance completion from seed motion, dance that hits a specified keyframe mid-choreography, completion from specified upper-body joint angles, completion from specified lower-body joint angles and root trajectory.

4. Experiments

Dataset In this work, we use AIST++ [33], a dataset consisting of 1,408 high-quality dance motions paired to music from a diverse set of genres. We re-use the train/test splits provided by the original dataset. All training examples are split into clips of 5 seconds at 30 FPS.

Baselines Among recent state-of-the-art dance generation methods [1, 10, 23, 27, 31, 33, 45, 53, 59], we select the following baselines:

- FACT [33], an autoregressive model introduced together with the AIST++ dataset, and
- Bailando [53], a follow-up approach that achieves the strongest qualitative performance to date.

Implementation details Our final model has 49M total parameters, and was trained on 4 NVIDIA A100 GPUs for 16 hours with a batch size of 512.

For long-form generation, we use 5-second slices and choose to enforce consistency for overlapping 2.5-second slices by interpolating between the two slices with linearly decaying weight. We find that this simple approach is sufficient to result in smooth, consistent generation, as demonstrated throughout our website. In our results, we perform no post-processing to correct foot contacts or physical plausibility, and show the model outputs directly.

We evaluate two separate feature extraction strategies. The “baseline” strategy uses the popular audio package librosa [37] to extract beats and accompanying audio features using the same code as in Li et al. [33] (when the ground truth BPM is not known, we estimate it with librosa). The “Jukebox” [5] extraction strategy follows Castellon et al. [3], extracting representations from Jukebox and downsampling to 30 FPS to match our frame rates for motion data.

4.1. Comparison to Existing Methods

In this section, we compare our proposed model to several past works on (1) human evaluations, (2) our proposed physical plausibility metric, (3) beat alignment scores, (4) diversity, and (5) performance on in-the-wild music.

Human Evaluations To obtain the results in Tab. 1, we recruited 147 human raters who evaluated a total of 11,610 pairs of clips randomly sampled from our models, ground truth, baseline models, or a checkpoint from our model training (as seen in Sec. 4.3).

The study reveals that human raters overwhelmingly prefer the dances generated by EDGE over previous methods, and even favor EDGE dances over real dances. For more information on the exact details of our study, see Appendix A.

Physical Plausibility Any generated dance should be physically plausible; otherwise, its downstream applicability is dramatically limited. Previous works evaluate plausibility of foot-ground contact by measuring foot sliding [17, 56]; however, dance is unique in that sliding is not only common but integral to many choreographies. This reflects a need for a metric that can measure the realism of foot-ground contact that does not assume that feet should exhibit static contact at all times. In this work, we propose a new metric to evaluate physical plausibility, Physical Foot Contact score (PFC), that we believe captures this concept well.

PFC is a physically-inspired metric that requires no explicit physical modeling. Our metric arises from two simple, related observations:

1. On the horizontal (xy) plane, any center of mass (COM) acceleration must be due to static contact between the feet and the ground. Therefore, either at least one foot is stationary on the ground or the COM is not accelerating.

2. On the vertical (z) axis, any positive COM acceleration must be due to static foot contact.

Therefore, we can represent adherence to these conditions as an average over time of the below expression, scaled to normalize acceleration:
tendency of our generated dances to follow the beat of the music, following previous work [53] for the precise implementation of this metric. The results demonstrate that EDGE outperforms past work, including Bailando, which includes a reinforcement learning module that explicitly optimizes beat alignment. We further examine the robustness of this metric in Sec. 5.

**Diversity** Diversity metrics are computed following the methods of previous work [33, 53], which measure the distributional spread of generated dances in the “kinetic” (Dist\(_k\)) and “geometric” (Dist\(_g\)) feature spaces, as implemented by fairmotion [13, 39, 41]. We compute these metrics on 5-second dance clips produced by each approach. Given that the ultimate goal of dance generation is to automatically produce dances that emulate the ground truth distribution, we argue that models should aim to match the scores of the ground truth distribution rather than maximize their absolute values. Indeed, past work has found that jittery dances result in high diversity scores, in some cases exceeding the ground truth [32, 33].

At low guidance weight (\(w = 1\)), EDGE achieves a level of diversity that closely matches that of the ground truth distribution while attaining state-of-the-art qualitative performance. At high guidance weight (\(w = 2\)), EDGE produces dances of markedly higher fidelity, reflected by a significant increase in the Elo rating and Win Rate.
Figure 5. Temporal Conditioning: In-Betweening Given start (green) and end (red) poses, EDGE can generate in-between dance sequences.

significantly higher Elo rating, while trading off diversity. These results reflect those of past studies, which show that sampling using guidance weights $w > 1$ significantly increases fidelity at the cost of diversity [20, 50]. This simple control over the diversity-fidelity tradeoff via the modulation of a single scalar parameter provides a powerful tool for downstream applications.

4.2. Additional Evaluation

In-the-Wild Music While past approaches achieve strong results on AIST++, these results are not necessarily indicative of the models’ ability to generalize to in-the-wild music inputs. In order to evaluate generalization, we tested our proposed method and the baseline approaches on a diverse selection of popular songs from YouTube.

The results, as shown in Tab. 2, demonstrate that our proposed method continues to perform well on in-the-wild music. We find through ablation that Jukebox features are critical for performance in this setting, bringing human-rated quality almost to par with in-distribution music; furthermore, we find that our approach continues to beat baselines in human evaluations for dance quality. We note that Bailando has an additional fine-tuning procedure available to improve performance on in-the-wild samples by training its actor-critic component. While we evaluated Bailando directly without additional fine-tuning, we found that human raters significantly preferred in-the-wild EDGE samples over in-distribution Bailando samples. We interpret these results as evidence that our proposed method is able to successfully generalize to in-the-wild music inputs.

Editing We find through an additional human evaluation that our model is capable of in-betweening, motion continuation, joint-conditioned generation, and long-form generation with quality on par with unconstrained samples. Please see our website for demo examples, and Appendix H for more details.

Contact Consistency Ablation We test the soundness of our PFC metric and ablate our Contact Consistency Loss (CCL) term (see Eq. (5)) using a human evaluation study. Raters were shown pairs of dances from the ground truth distribution, EDGE with CCL, and EDGE without CCL, and asked which dance looked more physically plausible. The results (Tab. 3) show that CCL noticeably improves both the PFC metric and qualitative physical plausibility user evaluations, winning 61.8% of matchups against the version without CCL, and coming close to parity with ground truth samples. The results also indicate that PFC tracks well with human perception of physical plausibility.

For a discussion of limitations and implementation details of PFC, please see Sec. 5 and Appendix D, respectively.

4.3. Analysis of FID Metrics for Dance

A reasonable solution to the problem of overall evaluation is to compute the difference between an empirical distribution of generated dances and a ground truth distribution. Several past works follow this intuition and automatically evaluate dance quality with Frechet Distance metrics [32, 33, 53]. As part of our experiments, we conduct a two-pronged analysis into the prevailing metrics, “FID$^k$” and “FID$_g$” [33], which compute the difference between distributions of heuristically extracted motion features, and show them to be unsound for the AIST++ dataset.

“FID$^g$” We compute FID$_g$ on the ground truth AIST++ dataset following the implementation in Li et al. [33]. Specifically, we compute metrics on the test set against the training set and obtain a score of 41.4, a stark increase from metrics obtained from generated outputs: running on FACT outputs gives 12.75, running on Bailando outputs gives 24.82, and running on our final model’s outputs gives 23.08. Given that FACT performs the best in “FID$_g$” but performs the worst according to user studies and that all three models perform significantly better than ground truth, we conclude that the “FID$_g$” values are unreliable as a measure of quality on this dataset.

“FID$^k$” We take 10 checkpoints throughout the training process for our model and poll raters on the overall quality of dances sampled from each checkpoint in a round-robin tournament. Intuitively, this should result in a consistent-to-monotonic improvement in both qualitative performance and in the quantitative quality metrics. However, we observe that this is not the case. In Fig. 6, we show the results of this experiment with our proposed model’s FID$_k$.

While the qualitative performance of the generated motion improves considerably as we train the model, the FID$_k$ does not improve, and actually significantly worsens during the latter half of training.
5. Limitations of Existing Metrics

Developing automated metrics for the quality of generated dances is a fundamentally challenging undertaking, since the practice of dance is complex, subjective, and even culturally specific. In this section, we discuss our findings on the limitations in automated metrics for dance evaluation, including our proposed PFC metric.

Overall Quality Evaluation Our results suggest that despite the current standardized use of FIDs for evaluating dance generation models on the AIST++ dataset, these FID metrics, as they currently stand, are unreliable for measuring quality. One potential explanation may be that the AIST++ test set does not thoroughly cover the train distribution given its very small size. Additionally, due to data scarcity, both $FID_k$ and $FID_g$ depend on heuristic feature extractors that only compute superficial features of the data. By contrast, FID-based metrics in data-rich domains such as image generation (where FID originated) enjoy the use of learned feature extractors (e.g., pre-trained ImageNet models), which are known to directly extract semantically meaningful features [51]. Indeed, in the text-to-motion domain, where significantly more paired data is available [18], the standard feature extractors for FID-style metrics depend on deep contrastively learned models [58, 63].

Our experiments suggest that the current FID metrics can be further improved for evaluating motion sequences. We believe that the idea of evaluating the difference between two featurized distributions of dance motions is not necessarily fundamentally flawed, but that more representative features could result in reliable automatic quality evaluations.

Beat Alignment Scoring Some automated metrics aim to capture one of the core aspects of a dance performance: its ability to “follow the beat” of the song. Previous work [33, 53] has proposed using a beat alignment metric that rewards kinematic beats (local minima of joint speed) which line up with music beats.

However, dance is not strictly about matching local minima in joint speed to beats: instead, the beats of the music are a loose guide for timing, rhythm, and transitions between movements and dance steps. This discrepancy is reflected in our results: empirically, we observe that in addition to exceeding scores of competing baselines, our beat alignment scores exceed those of ground truth samples. This seems to suggest that while the metric has served to drive progress on this problem in the past, the metric loses its meaning when candidate examples reach quality on par with ground truth.

Physical Foot Contact In this work, we introduce PFC, Eq. (12), a physically-inspired metric that specifically targets the challenging issue of foot sliding. While PFC is intuitive, it is not without its limitations. In its current form, PFC assumes that the feet are the only joints that experience static contact. This means that PFC cannot be applied without modification to motions such as gymnastics routines, where a variable number of non-foot (e.g., hand) contacts are integral to their execution. We believe that a careful analysis of other contact points (e.g., hands in gymnastics) could provide an extension of PFC that is more widely applicable. Another issue is the assumption that the COM can be accelerated only by static contact: though rare, it is possible to decelerate the COM using friction during extended sliding (which is not present in AIST++). For more analysis and discussion of PFC, see Appendix D.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

In this work, we propose a diffusion-based model that generates realistic and long-form dance sequences conditioned on music. We evaluate our model on multiple automated metrics (including our proposed PFC) and a large user study, and find that it achieves state-of-the-art results on the AIST++ dataset and generalizes well to in-the-wild music inputs. Importantly, we demonstrate that our model admits powerful editing capabilities, allowing users to freely specify both temporal and joint-wise constraints. The introduction of editable dance generation provides multiple promising avenues for future work. Whereas our method is able to create arbitrarily long dance sequences via the chaining of locally consistent, shorter clips, it cannot generate choreographies with very-long-term dependencies. Future work may explore the use of non-uniform sampling patterns such as those implemented in Harvey et al. [16], or a variation of the frame in-betweening scheme used in Ho et al. [18]. Editability also opens the door to the generation of more complex choreographies, including multi-person and scene-aware dance forms. Joint-wise conditioning may also potentially be used to address the issue of self-penetrating motions, which are sometimes present in our results. We are excited to see the future that this new direction of editable dance generation will enable.
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