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Abstract

Discriminating known from unknown objects is an important essential ability for human beings. To simulate this ability, a task of unsupervised out-of-distribution object detection (OOD-OD) is proposed to detect the objects that are never-seen-before during model training, which is beneficial for promoting the safe deployment of object detectors. Due to lacking unknown data for supervision, for this task, the main challenge lies in how to leverage the known in-distribution (ID) data to improve the detector’s discrimination ability. In this paper, we first propose a method of Structure-Enhanced Recurrent Variational AutoEncoder (SR-VAE), which mainly consists of two dedicated recurrent VAE branches. Specifically, to boost the performance of object localization, we explore utilizing the classical Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) operator to enhance the structure information in the extracted low-level features. Meanwhile, we design a VAE branch that recurrently generates the augmentation of the classification features to strengthen the discrimination ability of the object classifier. Finally, to alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data, another cycle-consistent conditional VAE branch is proposed to synthesize virtual OOD features that deviate from the distribution of ID features, which improves the capability of distinguishing OOD objects. In the experiments, our method is evaluated on OOD-OD, open-vocabulary detection, and incremental object detection. The significant performance gains over baselines show the superiorities of our method. The code will be released at https://github.com/AmingWu/SR-VAE.

1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed the rapid development of deep learning based object detection [5,12,34,36,41], which often follows a close-set assumption that the training and testing processes share the same category space. However, the practical scenario is open and filled with unknown objects, e.g., in Fig. 1, an autonomous vehicle may encounter an unseen camel, presenting significant challenges for close-set assumption based detectors. To promote the safe application of detectors, a task of unsupervised out-of-distribution object detection (OOD-OD) [7] is recently proposed, which aims to detect the objects never-seen-before during training without accessing any auxiliary data.

Towards unsupervised OOD-OD, since there is no auxiliary data available for supervision, leveraging the known in-distribution (ID) data to enhance the detector’s discrimination ability becomes the critical challenge. One feasible solution is to synthesize a series of virtual OOD features [7, 35] based on the ID data, which is beneficial for promoting the object detector to learn a clear decision boundary between ID and OOD objects. To this end, the work [7] attempts to synthesize virtual features from the low-likelihood region of the estimated class-conditional distribution. However, this method requires a large number of objects for each category to estimate the distribution, limiting its application to the case of few samples.

As shown in Fig. 1, in this paper, we consider improv-
ing the performance of OOD object detection from two perspectives: One is to strengthen the discrimination capability of the object classifier for the known ID objects, which is conducive to reduce the risk of misclassifying the ID objects into the OOD category. Another is to synthesize the virtual OOD features that significantly deviate from the distribution of the ID features, which is instrumental in boosting the performance of distinguishing OOD objects from ID objects. To attain these two goals, we explore exploiting Variational AutoEncoder (VAE) [15, 20] to separately generate the augmented ID features and virtual OOD features.

Specifically, an approach of Structure-Enhanced Recurrent Variational AutoEncoder (SR-VAE) is proposed, which mainly consists of two dedicated recurrent VAE branches. In general, an object detector should first localize objects. Then, an object classifier is used to discriminate these objects [12, 36]. To improve the localization performance, enhancing the object-related information in the extracted features is meaningful. To this end, after extracting the low-level features of an input image, we present to utilize the classical Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) operator [23] to obtain structure-related information, which is used to fuse into the existing features to strengthen the localization ability.

Next, in order to enhance the discrimination ability, inspired by Invariant Risk Minimization [1], we explore constructing a set of diverse environments to intensify the variance of the input classification features. Concretely, a VAE module [17, 20, 43] is exploited to recurrently output multiple augmented features of the classification features, i.e., the current output is taken as the input of the next iteration. Since the input of each iteration is different, by means of the variation operation, the diversity of the output features could be enlarged. Then, the discrimination ability is improved by minimizing the prediction discrepancy between the augmented features and the classification features.

Finally, to alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data, we present a cycle-consistent conditional VAE [40] to synthesize virtual OOD features in the absence of paired supervision samples. Concretely, to ameliorate the synthesized features to deviate from the distribution of ID features, we first insert label information in the latent space to force a deterministic constrained representation. Meanwhile, by maximizing the discrepancy between the synthesized features and the input features, the synthesized features could be facilitated to contain plentiful OOD-relevant information, which enhances the ability of distinguishing OOD objects. In the experiments, our method is separately evaluated on three different tasks. Extensive experimental results on multiple datasets demonstrate the superiorities of our method.

The contributions are summarized as follows:

(1) For unsupervised OOD-OD, we observe that using the classical LoG operator could effectively enhance object-related information in the extracted low-level features.

(2) To reduce the risk of misclassifying ID objects into the OOD category, we design a dedicated recurrent VAE to generate diverse augmented features of the input classification features, which is beneficial for improving the discrimination ability of the object classifier.

(3) To alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data for supervision, we present a cycle-consistent conditional VAE to synthesize virtual OOD features, which is instrumental in distinguishing OOD objects from ID objects.

(4) In the experiments, our method is evaluated on OOD-OD [7], open-vocabulary detection [33, 49], and incremental object detection [22, 39]. Particularly, for OpenImages dataset [24], compared with the baseline method [7], our method significantly reduces FPR95 by around 13.73%.

2. Related Work

OOD Detection. In order to promote the safe application of models in real scenarios, OOD detection [4, 14, 18] has recently attracted much attention, whose goal is to distinguish OOD data from ID data. Most methods [27, 31, 46, 52] focus on OOD image classification and explore a proper regularization-based method for this task. Particularly, Bendale et al. [2] developed the OpenMax score based on the extreme value theory. Liu et al. [30] proposed to utilize the energy score to discriminate OOD data from ID data. Zhou [52] rethought the commonly used reconstruction autoencoder for OOD detection. Though these methods have been demonstrated to be effective, since object detection involves object localization and classification, these methods could not directly apply to OOD-OD.

Recently, unsupervised OOD-OD [7] is proposed to localize and recognize the objects never-seen-before during training. For this task, Du et al. [7] proposed to use large-scale samples to estimate the distribution of each ID category, which is used to synthesize virtual OOD features. However, calculating accurate distribution estimation may limit its application in real scenarios. Harakeh et al. [11] designed an uncertainty estimation method for the localization branch, which could not well address OOD-OD that involves object localization and classification. Besides, the work [6] proposed to use auxiliary video datasets to learn unknown-aware knowledge to effectively improve the performance of distinguishing OOD objects, which could not be used for unsupervised OOD-OD. In this paper, we explore employing the idea of VAE [15, 20] to synthesize virtual OOD features that significantly deviate from the distribution of ID features. And these virtual features are used to strengthen the discrimination ability. Experimental results on three different tasks show the effectiveness of our method.

Variational AutoEncoder. The goal of VAE [15, 20, 21, 40] is to map the input data to a multivariate latent distribution, which efficiently addresses the generation problem for high-dimensional data. Most existing methods [15, 17, 44]
Figure 2. Structure-Enhanced Recurrent Variational AutoEncoder for Unsupervised OOD-OD. To improve localization performance, we employ the LoG operator to enhance the structure-related information in the features extracted by the backbone network. Meanwhile, we design a VAEnmodule to recurrently generate diverse augmented features of the input classification features, which is beneficial for strengthening the discrimination ability of the object classifier. Finally, to alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data, we propose a cycle-consistent conditional VAEn to synthesize virtual OOD features that deviate from the distribution of the ID features significantly, which boosts the performance of distinguishing OOD objects.

3. Structure-Enhanced Recurrent VAE

In this paper, we follow the settings introduced in the work [7]. During training, we can only access the ID data. During inference, the object detector should own the capability of distinguishing ID objects from OOD objects.

3.1. Structure Enhancement via LoG Operator

In general, an object detector should first localize objects accurately and then distinguish them as ID categories or OOD categories. To this end, it is important to enhance object-related information (e.g., object structure information). In the field of image processing, LoG operator [23] is a popular algorithm for edge detection. We explore performing the LoG operation on the extracted low-level features to strengthen the structure-relevant information.

Concretely, as shown in Fig. 2, we follow the baseline work [7] and adopt the widely used object detector, i.e., Faster R-CNN [12, 36], as the basic detection model. Given an input image, we first employ the backbone network, e.g., ResNet [13], to extract the corresponding feature map \( F \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c} \), where \( w, h \), and \( c \) separately denote width, height, and channel number. To obtain rich structure information, a \( 9 \times 9 \) LoG kernel \( \mathcal{G} \) is defined as follows:

\[
\mathcal{G} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
1 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 \\
2 & 5 & 3 & -12 & -24 & -12 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\
2 & 5 & 0 & -24 & -40 & -24 & 0 & 5 & 2 \\
2 & 5 & -12 & -24 & -40 & -12 & 3 & 5 & 2 \\
1 & 4 & 5 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}
\]

Next, \( \mathcal{G} \) is used to perform a convolution operation on \( F \) to obtain the structure-enhanced map \( \mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c} \). The processes are shown as follows:

\[
\mathcal{E} = F * \mathcal{G}, \quad \mathcal{E} = \Psi([F, \mathcal{E}]), \quad \tag{2}
\]

where \( \mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c} \) is the convolutional result containing plentiful structure-related information. \( \Psi(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times 2c \times c} \) represents one-layer convolution to transform the number of channels. By fusing the structure information into existing features, the object-related information in \( F \) could be enhanced effectively, which is instrumental in improving the performance of object localization.

3.2. Recurrent VAE for Improving Discrimination

To reduce the risk of misclassifying ID objects into the OOD category, we design a VAEn module to recurrently generate diverse augmented features of the classification features, which enhances the discrimination ability.

Specifically, as shown in Fig. 2, the enhanced map \( \mathcal{E} \) is taken as the input of the RPN module to obtain a set of object proposals \( \mathcal{O} \). Based on \( \mathcal{O} \), RoI-Alignment followed by Rol-Feature extraction [12] is performed on \( \mathcal{E} \) to output
els, e.g., GANs [9], to synthesize images, which is difficult to optimize [7]. Instead, we propose a cycle-consistent conditional VAE to synthesize virtual OOD features.

Particularly, as shown in Fig. 3, during the forward process, the extracted features $F$ and corresponding ID label $[1, 0]$ are first concatenated as $\hat{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times (c+2)}$. Then, two convolutional networks $W_\mu$ and $W_\sigma$ are defined to estimate the corresponding means and variances:

$$\mu_f = W_\mu \ast \hat{F}, \quad \sigma_f = W_\sigma \ast \hat{F},$$

where $\mu_f \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c}$ and $\sigma_f \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c}$. And an encoding operation is performed to obtain $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c}$, i.e., $Z = \mu_f + \epsilon \cdot \exp(\sigma_f)$. Next, in order to generate virtual OOD features, $Z$ and corresponding OOD label $[0, 1]$ are concatenated as $\hat{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times (c+2)}$. A decoding network $D$ consisting of three convolutional layers is performed on $\hat{Z}$ to obtain the virtual OOD map $\hat{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c}$.

During the backward process, to alleviate the impact of lacking paired data for supervision, the concatenation result of $\hat{O}$ and label $[0, 1]$ is made convolution with $W_t$ and $W_\sigma$. Next, we still take the concatenation of the encoding result and label $[1, 0]$ as the input of the decoder $D$ to obtain the output $F \in \mathbb{R}^{w \times h \times c}$. The operations are the same as the forward process. Finally, we introduce a cycle-consistency loss to reduce the discrepancy between $\hat{F}$ and $F$, i.e., $L_{cycle} = \frac{1}{m} \sum |F - \hat{F}|$.

Moreover, to promote $\hat{O}$ to deviate from the distribution of $F$, as shown in Fig. 2, based on the object proposals $O$, Roi-Alignment followed by Roi-Feature extraction is performed on $O$ to extract OOD features $P_{ood} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Next, a loss $L_{dis}$ is defined to maximize the distance between the virtual OOD features and ID features:

$$L_{dis} = KL[q(O), q(F)] + |p(P_{ood}) - p(P_{in})|,$$

where $q(\cdot)$ represents the probability distribution. By maximizing $L_{dis}$, the distribution gap between $O$ and $F$ could be enlarged effectively, which promotes the synthesized features $O$ to contain plentiful OOD information. Finally, to achieve the goal of distinguishing OOD objects from ID objects, $P_{ood}$ and $P_{in}$ are used to calculate an uncertainty loss [7], which regularizes the detector to produce a low OOD score for the ID object features, and a high OOD score for the synthesized OOD features:

$$L_{uncertainty} = E_{u \sim P_{in}}\left[ -\log \frac{\exp^{-E(u)}}{1 + \exp^{-E(u)}} \right] + E_{u \sim P_{ood}}\left[ -\log \frac{1}{1 + \exp^{-E(u)}} \right],$$

where $E(\cdot)$ is the object-level energy score [7, 30]. During training, the overall objective is shown as follows:

$$L = L_{in} + \lambda \cdot (L_{cycle} - L_{dis}) + \tau \cdot L_{uncertainty},$$

where $\lambda$ and $\tau$ are two hyper-parameters, which are set to 0.001 and 0.1 in the experiments.
3.4. Inference for OOD Object Detection

During inference, we only use the LoG operator to perform structure enhancement. And the operations of recurrent VAE and synthesizing virtual OOD features are not utilized in the inference stage. Besides, we only calculate the uncertainty loss for OOD object detection [7]. Specifically, for a predicted bounding box b, the processes of distinguishing OOD objects are shown as follows:

\[
S = \begin{cases} 
\exp^{-E(b)} & \text{if } S < \gamma, \\
1 & \text{if } S \geq \gamma.
\end{cases}
\]  

For the output of the classifier \( C(\cdot) \), we use the threshold mechanism [7] to distinguish the ID objects (the result is 1) from the OOD objects (the result is 0). The threshold \( \gamma \) is commonly set to 0.95 so that a high fraction of ID data is correctly classified. Finally, Algorithm 1 shows the training and evaluation details of our method.

### 4. Experiments

In the experiments, for unsupervised OOD-OD, we first evaluate our method on two different OOD object detection benchmarks [7]. Then, to further demonstrate the effectiveness of our method, we verify our method on the task of open-vocabulary detection (OVD) [33, 49] that aims to detect new classes defined by an unbounded vocabulary. Finally, we evaluate our method on the task of class-incremental object detection (IOD) [22], i.e., new classes are sequentially introduced into the object detector.

#### 4.1. Experimental Setup

**Implementation details.** We utilize Faster R-CNN [36] with RoI-Alignment layer [12] as the basic detection model. ResNet-50 [13] is taken as the backbone. The weights pretrained on ImageNet [37] are used for initialization. Besides, in Eq. (3), \( \Phi_{\rho}(\cdot) \) and \( \Phi_{\sigma}(\cdot) \) separately consist of two fully-connected layers. The iteration number \( T \) is set to 3. In Eq. (5), \( W_{\rho} \) and \( W_{\sigma} \) contain two convolutional layers, respectively. All the experiments are trained using the standard SGD optimizer with a learning rate of 0.02.

**Datasets.** For unsupervised OOD-OD, PASCAL VOC [8] and Berkeley DeepDrive (BDD-100k) [48] datasets are taken as the ID training data. Meanwhile, we adopt MS-COCO [29] and OpenImages [24] as the OOD datasets to evaluate the trained model. And the OOD datasets are manually examined the OOD images to ensure they do not contain ID category. For open-vocabulary detection, we follow the work [33] and conduct experiments on COCO [29]. Concretely, COCO-2017 dataset is used for training and validation. Meanwhile, 48 categories are selected as base classes and 17 are selected as new classes. For incremental object detection, we follow the standard evaluation protocol [22] and evaluate our method on PASCAL VOC [8].

**Algorithm 1 SR-VAE for Unsupervised OOD-OD**

**Input:** ID data \( \{X, Y\} \), randomly initialized detector with parameter \( \theta \), weight \( \alpha \) for the KL-loss, weight \( \lambda \) for the loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{dis}} \), weight \( \tau \) for the uncertainty loss \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{uncertainty}} \\)

**Output:** Object detector \( \theta^{*} \), and OOD classifier \( C^{*} \)

**while** train **do**

- Sample images from the ID dataset \( \{X, Y\} \).
- Calculate the structure-enhanced map \( E \) and diverse augmented features \( H \) using Eq. (2) and (3).
- Synthesize the virtual OOD map \( O \) using Eq. (5).
- Calculate the overall training objective \( L \) using Eq. (4), (6), (7), and (8).
- Update the parameters \( \theta \) based on Eq. (8).

**end**

**while** eval **do**

- Calculate the OOD uncertainty score using Eq. (9).
- Perform thresholding comparison using Eq. (9).

**end**

initially learn 10, 15, or 19 base classes, and then introduce 10, 5, or 1 new classes as the second task.

**Metrics.** To evaluate the performance of unsupervised OOD-OD, we report: (1) the false positive rate (FPR95) of OOD objects when the true positive rate of ID objects is at 95%; (2) the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC); (3) mean average precision (mAP). For open-vocabulary detection and incremental object detection, we only report the mAP performance.

### 4.2. OOD-OD Performance Analysis

Table 1 shows the performance of unsupervised OOD-OD. We can see that though all methods own similar detection performance, the performance of OOD object detection differs significantly. This shows that existing detection methods are easily affected by OOD objects. Besides, for these two benchmarks, our method significantly outperforms baseline methods. Particularly, taking BDD [48] as the ID training data, based on FPR95 metric, our method separately outperforms baseline methods. Meanwhile, based on AUROC metric, our method is 3.82% and 5.03% higher than VOS [7]. These results indicate that our method could indeed reduce the risk of misclassifying ID objects into OOD categories. Meanwhile, the proposed method could effectively synthesize virtual OOD features that deviate from the distribution of ID features, which improves the ability of distinguishing OOD objects.

In Fig. 4 and 5, we show some OOD detection examples. We can see that compared with the baseline method [7], our method accurately distinguishes OOD objects. Taking the first two columns of Fig. 4 as examples, the baseline method misclassifies OOD objects into ID categories. Our method correctly discriminates these objects as the OOD category.
Figure 4. Detection results on the OOD images from MS-COCO. The first and second rows respectively indicate results based on VOS [7] and our method. The in-distribution dataset is BDD-100k. Blue boxes represent objects detected and classified as one of the ID categories. Green boxes indicate OOD objects. We can see that our method accurately determines OOD objects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method (VOC)</th>
<th>FPR95↓</th>
<th>AUROC↑</th>
<th>mAP (ID)↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSP [14]</td>
<td>70.99 / 73.35</td>
<td>83.45 / 81.91</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODIN [28]</td>
<td>59.82 / 63.14</td>
<td>82.20 / 82.59</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahalanobis [26]</td>
<td>67.73 / 65.41</td>
<td>81.45 / 81.48</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram matrices [38]</td>
<td>62.75 / 67.42</td>
<td>79.88 / 77.62</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy score [30]</td>
<td>56.89 / 58.69</td>
<td>83.69 / 82.98</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized ODIN [16]</td>
<td>59.57 / 70.28</td>
<td>83.12 / 79.23</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI [42]</td>
<td>59.91 / 57.41</td>
<td>81.83 / 82.95</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAN-synthesis [25]</td>
<td>60.93 / 59.97</td>
<td>83.67 / 82.67</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOS (Baseline) [7]</td>
<td>47.53 / 51.33</td>
<td>88.70 / 85.23</td>
<td>48.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SR-VAE</strong></td>
<td><strong>42.17 / 46.26</strong></td>
<td><strong>90.28 / 87.89</strong></td>
<td><strong>49.4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The performance (%) of unsupervised OOD-OD. All methods are trained based on ID data and do not use any auxiliary data. ↑ denotes larger values are better and ↓ denotes smaller values are better. We can see that our method outperforms the comparison methods significantly.

These results further show that the proposed method could effectively synthesize OOD features and improve the discrimination ability of the object detector.

4.3. Performance Analysis of OVD and IOD

To demonstrate the superiorities, we further verify our method on OVD [49] and IOD [22]. Table 2 shows the OVD results on COCO. Here, we directly plug our method into the baseline method [33] and do not calculate the uncertainty loss. We can see that when the IOU threshold is set to 0.5 and 0.75, plugging our method effectively improves the performance of the baseline method. Particularly, when the IOU threshold is set to 0.75, for the three different settings, our method separately boosts OCA’s performance by 2.3%, 2.9%, and 4.1%. These results show that our method could significantly improve the discrimination of the object detector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>AP_{novel}</th>
<th>AP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WSDDN [3]</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap2Det [47]</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OVR-CNN [49]</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>39.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RegionCLIP [50]</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detic [51]</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCA (Baseline) [33]</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OCA+Ours</strong></td>
<td><strong>40.1</strong></td>
<td><strong>49.5</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We still directly plug our method into the baseline method [22] and do not calculate the uncertainty loss. We can see that when the IOU threshold is set to 0.5 and 0.75, plugging our method effectively improves the performance of the baseline method. Particularly, when the IOU threshold is set to 0.75, for the three different settings, our method separately boosts iOD’s performance by 2.3%, 2.9%, and 4.1%. These results show that our method could significantly improve the discrimination of the object detector.

4.4. Ablation and Visualization Analysis

In this section, we utilize BDD-100k as the ID data for training and MS-COCO as the OOD data to perform an ablation analysis of our method.

**Analysis of SR-VAE.** In this paper, our method mainly contains the LoG operator used for enhancing object-related information, the VAE module used to generate diverse augmented features of the classification features, and the cycle-consistent conditional VAE module used for synthesizing virtual OOD features. Here, we make an ablation analysis of our method. Table 4 shows the ablation results. We can see that employing the proposed three modules could significantly improve the performance of OOD object detection. Particularly, compared with VOS [7], synthesizing virtual OOD features improves the performance by around 5.21% based on the FPR95 metric, which shows that the generated virtual OOD features could alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data for supervision and enhance the ability of distinguishing OOD objects. Meanwhile, employing the
Table 3. Performance (%) analysis of class-incremental object detection. ‘iOD + Ours’ indicates that our method is plugged into iOD [22]. Here, ‘50’ and ‘75’ separately represent that the mAP metric is calculated when the IOU threshold is set to 0.5 and 0.75.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iOD (50)</td>
<td>74.0 74.6 67.5 55.9 57.6 75.1 85.4 77.0 43.7 70.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iOD + Ours</td>
<td>75.9 75.2 68.8 55.3 55.5 77.7 85.6 79.3 49.4 71.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iOD (75)</td>
<td>39.0 36.5 28.4 19.4 24.2 47.2 56.7 41.0 19.1 48.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iOD + Ours</td>
<td>43.6 41.0 31.3 24.9 29.8 55.4 60.8 44.1 22.4 46.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Ablation analysis of SR-V AE for unsupervised OOD-OD. ‘C-V AE’ is the proposed cycle-consistent conditional V AE module. ‘R-V AE’ indicates the V AE module that is used to generate multiple augmented features of the classification features.

Table 5. Ablation analysis of the LoG kernel size.

Table 6. Ablation analysis of the iteration number.

Analysis of the iteration number. To reduce the risk of misclassifying ID objects into the OOD category, we design a V AE module (Eq. (3)) to generate multiple augmented features of the classification features, which is instrumental in improving the discrimination ability of the object classifier. Here, we make an ablation analysis of the iteration number T. And we only change the iteration number and keep other modules unchanged. Table 6 shows the ablation results. We can see that generating multiple augmented features could improve the detection performance effectively. This shows that enhancing the classification ability of the object classifier is meaningful. Besides, we observe that when the iteration number is larger than a certain threshold, the performance could not be boosted effectively. The reason may be that the object classifier is a linear classifier, which could not sufficiently exploit these augmented features.
Figure 5. Detection results on OpenImages. The first and second rows respectively indicate results based on VOS [7] and our method.

Table 6. Ablation analysis of the iteration number $T$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration Number $T$</th>
<th>FPR95 ↓</th>
<th>AUROC ↑</th>
<th>mAP ↑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>37.24%</td>
<td>88.12%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.23%</td>
<td>90.69%</td>
<td>31.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>32.46%</td>
<td>90.23%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>32.93%</td>
<td>90.07%</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each feature map, the channels corresponding to the maximum value are selected for visualization.

Figure 6. Visualization of the Structure-Enhanced map $E$ (Eq. (2)) and Virtual OOD map $O$ (Eq. (5)) based on the OOD data (MS-COCO). For each feature map, the channels corresponding to the maximum value are selected for visualization.

Analysis of cycle-consistent conditional VAE. In order to effectively synthesize virtual OOD features, we propose a cycle-consistency loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}$ (Eq. (8)) to reduce the impact of lacking paired samples. Here, we make an ablation analysis of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}$. Based on the FPR95 metric, removing $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}$ increases the performance by around 3.8%. This shows using the cycle-consistency loss $\mathcal{L}_{\text{cycle}}$ is beneficial for synthesizing virtual OOD features and improving the ability of distinguishing OOD objects.

Visualization analysis. Fig. 6 shows some visualization examples. Particularly, we can see that the map $E$ contains plentiful object-related information, which shows that using the LoG operation is indeed helpful for enhancing object-related information. Furthermore, we observe that the synthesized virtual OOD map $O$ involves sufficient information that is different from object-related features, which indicates that leveraging the synthesized OOD features is instrumental in reducing the impact of lacking unknown data and improving the discrimination ability.

5. Conclusion

For unsupervised OOD-OD, we propose an approach of Structure-Enhanced Recurrent VAE. Particularly, to reduce the risk of misclassifying ID objects into the OOD category, an LoG operator and a dedicated recurrent VAE used to generate diverse augmented features are presented to strengthen the discrimination ability. Meanwhile, to alleviate the impact of lacking unknown data, we design a cycle-consistent conditional VAE to synthesize virtual OOD features, which boosts the performance of distinguishing OOD objects. Extensive experiments on three different tasks and visualization analyses demonstrate the superiorities of our method.
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