

A. Additional Results

Binary CIFAR10, imb. 1:200				
Methods	FPR@ ↓ 98%TPR	FPR@ ↓ 95%TPR	FPR@ ↓ 92%TPR	AUC ↑
BCE	75.0	55.0	40.0	87.3
+ALM	70.0	54.0	39.0	86.7
+RankReg	67.3	52.7	37.8	89.6
S-ML	75.0	54.0	35.0	87.4
+ALM	72.0	52.0	39.0	87.9
+RankReg	65.8	51.8	41.3	88.2
S-FL	78.0	59.0	43.0	85.7
+ALM	74.0	55.0	41.0	86.9
+RankReg	70.5	46.5	40.2	87.7
A-ML	74.0	56.0	39.0	87.4
+ALM	75.0	54.0	35.0	87.6
+RankReg	64.9	50.4	38.1	89.2
A-FL	76.0	59.0	40.0	86.2
+ALM	78.0	57.0	37.0	87.0
+RankReg	68.6	53.6	35.6	88.4
CB-BCE	87.0	74.0	61.0	78.0
+ALM	85.0	69.0	53.0	80.0
+RankReg	72.0	54.8	44.5	87.1
W-BCE	88.0	75.0	62.0	78.3
+ALM	83.0	69.0	54.0	81.0
+RankReg	66.3	49.6	39.5	89.4
LDAM	78.0	63.0	45.0	86.4
+ALM	73.0	61.0	43.0	85.6
+RankReg	65.8	47.3	33.8	89.7
Avg. Δ	8.2	8.0	3.2	3.1

Table 1. Comparison results for binary imbalanced CIFAR-10 showing FPRs at {98%, 95%, 92%} TPRs. Baseline numbers are quoted from ALM [1]. “+ALM” and “+RankReg” are shorthand for *BaseLoss*+ALM and *BaseLoss*+RankReg, respectively.

Binary CIFAR100, imb. 1:200				
Methods	FPR@ ↓ 98%TPR	FPR@ ↓ 95%TPR	FPR@ ↓ 90%TPR	AUC ↑
BCE	94.0	77.0	61.0	79.1
+ALM	87.0	66.0	57.0	80.9
+RankReg	85.2	66.8	43.0	83.1
S-ML	95.0	75.0	64.0	79.7
+ALM	87.0	73.0	55.0	80.7
+RankReg	81.8	62.8	48.4	82.4
S-FL	90.0	78.0	50.0	80.1
+ALM	85.0	76.0	50.0	80.8
+RankReg	79.2	65.8	47.2	83.1
A-ML	95.0	75.0	66.0	79.8
+ALM	92.0	63.0	45.0	81.0
+RankReg	86.2	59.0	43.0	83.4
A-FL	91.0	78.0	50.0	80.0
+ALM	88.0	76.0	46.0	80.7
+RankReg	81.2	58.8	37.8	83.7
CB-BCE	93.0	78.0	51.0	78.7
+ALM	85.0	66.0	44.0	81.0
+RankReg	85.0	64.0	40.4	81.4
W-BCE	95.0	63.0	51.0	79.7
+ALM	79.0	62.0	44.0	81.3
+RankReg	69.9	51.4	41.6	84.3
LDAM	80.0	67.0	45.0	82.1
+ALM	84.0	61.0	46.0	81.5
+RankReg	70.2	56.8	37.4	84.1
Avg. Δ	5.5	7.2	6.0	2.1

Table 2. Comparison results for binary imbalanced CIFAR-100 showing FPRs at {98%, 95%, 90%} TPRs. Baseline numbers are quoted from ALM [1]. “+ALM” and “+RankReg” are shorthand for *BaseLoss*+ALM and *BaseLoss*+RankReg, respectively.

References

- [1] Sara Sangalli, Ertunc Erdil, Andreas Hoetker, Olivio Donati, and Ender Konukoglu. Constrained optimization to train neural networks on critical and under-represented classes. In *NeurIPS*, 2021. 1