Name your style: text-guided artistic style transfer
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Abstract

Image style transfer has attracted widespread attention in the past years. Despite its remarkable results, it requires additional style images available as references, making it less flexible and inconvenient. Using text is the most natural way to describe the style. Text can describe implicit abstract styles, like styles of specific artists or art movements. In this work, we propose a text-driven style transfer (TxST) that leverages advanced image-text encoders to control arbitrary style transfer. We introduce a contrastive training strategy to effectively extract style descriptions from the image-text model (i.e., CLIP), which aligns stylization with the text description. To this end, we also propose a novel cross-attention module to fuse style and content features. Finally, we achieve an arbitrary artist-aware style transfer to learn and transfer specific artistic characters such as Picasso, oil painting, or a rough sketch. Extensive experiments demonstrate that our approach outperforms the state-of-the-art methods. Moreover, it can mimic the styles of one or many artists to achieve attractive results, thus highlighting a promising future direction.

1. Introduction

Image style transfer is a popular topic that aims to apply a desired painting style to an input content image. The transfer model requires the information of “what content” in the input image and “which painting style” to be used [17, 29]. Conventional style transfer methods require a content image accompanied by a style image to provide the content and style information [2, 7, 13, 24, 30]. However, people have specific aesthetic needs. Usually, finding a single style image that perfectly matches one’s requirements is inconvenient or infeasible. Text or language is a natural interface to describe the preferred style. Instead of using a style image, using text to describe style preference is easier to obtain and more adjustable. Furthermore, achieving perceptually pleasing artist-aware stylization typically requires learning from collections of art, as one reference image is typically not representative enough. In this work, we learn arbitrary artist-aware image style transfer, which transfers the painting styles of any artist to the target image using texts and/or images. Most studies on universal style transfer [24, 29] limit their applications using reference images as style indicators that are less creative or flexible. Text-driven style transfer has been studied [9, 17] and has shown promising results using a simple text prompt. However, these approaches require either costly data collection and labeling or online optimization for every content and style. Instead, our proposed Text-driven artistic aware Style Transfer model, TxST, overcomes these two problems and achieves better and more efficient stylization.

To obtain artist awareness, TxST explicitly explores the latent space using CLIP [25] feature representation: it maximizes the global distance amongst different artworks—and hence different artists—, while it minimizes the distance amongst the same artworks—and hence same artists. Specifically, given artists’ names, TxST projects feature from different artists onto the CLIP [25] space for classification.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows: (1) To achieve text-driven image style transfer, we propose to embed the task-agnostic image-text model, i.e., CLIP, into our network TxST. This enables TxST to obtain style preference from images or text descriptions, making the image style transfer more interactive. (2) We use a contrastive training strategy to learn art collection awareness, equivalent to a self-supervised classification. This exploits the inter-class similarity of different artists without explicit label guidance and data collection.

2. Related Work

Here, we introduce two related topics: arbitrary style transfer and text-driven style transfer.

Arbitrary style transfer. It can be split into two groups: (1) style-aware optimization [11, 15, 19, 32] and (2) universal style transfer [13, 30]. Inspired by the attention mechanism [34], a few works use it to explore statistical correlations. SANet [24] matches the content and style statistics via cross-attention. AdaAttN [21] further explores the second-order attention to preserve more content information without losing style patterns. Artflow [2]

Text-driven image style transfer. Style transfer is a subjective topic, that is, different people may have different preferences for stylization. Using style images as references may not be able to obtain sufficiently good results as texts can describe styles in a more abstract and aesthetic manner. The success of CLIP [25], VQVAE [33] and multimodality [3, 16, 22] show that text and image can be related via a shared projection space. Most recently, LDAST [9] uses the CLIP as the condition for style transfer that increases the cross-correlation between the output and text description for text-guided style transfer. Clipstyler [17] further develops this idea by using both global and patch CLIP losses to generate high-resolution stylized images. Instead, our proposed TxST does not require retraining for every style. Moreover, unlike LDAST, TxST uses Clip features from both images and texts to align with the target style and then use either image or text prompts for stylization.

3. Approach

The motivation of our proposed work is that text and image can work interchangeably in the CLIP space [25] for style transfer. In other words, text and image are co-linear in the CLIP space and hence, they can both be used as style indicators. From the aspect of style description, this co-linearity also exists between artists and their paintings, making it possible to realize artist-aware style transfer.

Overview. Inspired by this, we propose TxST. Given input content images $I_c$ and desirable styles, artist’s name $t_s$ and corresponding painting $I_s$, TxST outputs the stylized image $I_{cs}$. At training, we use CLIP to maximize the variance of different styles by preserving the co-linearity between texts and style images. TxST computes the contrastive similarity [4] to minimize the inter-distance of the same styles. This training process is illustrated in Figure 1. Once the TxST is optimized, it collectively learns to align the specific artist’s name to his/her paintings. At test time, either text (painter’s name) or image (painter’s work) can be input as style indicators for arbitrary style transfer.

Architecture. TxST consists of five parts: Image encoder, Image decoder, CLIP (text and image encoders), and style attention module. Following [23], structure of the encoder is the same as in VGG-19 [31], discarding the fully connected layers. The decoder is symmetric to the encoder, with gradual upsampling feature maps toward final stylized images. We use CLIP to encode the paired style texts ($t_s^i, i = 1, 2, ..., C$, where $C$ is the number of artists) and style images ($I_s^i, i = 1, 2, ..., N$, where $N$ is the number of paintings), and obtain corresponding style features: $E_T(t_s^i)$ for text and $E_I(I_s^i)$ for the image. TxST uses $E_T(t_s^i)$ and $E_I(I_s^i)$ as style conditions to edit the content features. As shown in Figure 1, we normalize the style feature to obtain style mean and variance. Moreover, the style attention module $P_R$ fuses content and style features to obtain stylized features $F_{cs} = \sigma(F_s) \times P_R(F_s, F_c) + \mu(F_s)$, where $\bar{F}_s, \bar{F}_c$ are normalized content and style features, $\mu(F_s)$ and $\sigma(F_s)$ are mean and variance of the style features.

- Style attention module. The core idea of using style attention for style transfer is to project style features onto the content ones via cross-attention [24]. Mathematically, we have:

$$F_{cs} = \sigma(F_s) \cdot P_R(F_s, F_c) + \mu(F_s) \ , \text{where}$$

$$P_R(F_s, F_c) = \bar{F}_c + \text{Softmax} \left( \frac{Q[\bar{F}_s] \times K[F_c]}{\sqrt{d}} \right) V[F_c] \ , \quad (1)$$

where $d$ is the dimension of the feature maps. $Q, K$ and $V$ are $1 \times 1$ convolution for query, key and value.

Loss functions. Here, we propose the losses we can use to train TxST.

- Directional CLIP loss. To guide the content image to follow the semantics of the target text (artist’s name), we suggest directional CLIP loss $L_{CLIP}$ from [10, 17]. This aligns the CLIP-space direction between the text-image pairs of the target $t_s$ and outputs $I_{cs}$.

- Contrastive similarity loss. To encourage image features to be correlated with the target style and uncorrelated with other styles, we propose Contrastive similarity loss [4]. Given the $i^{th}$ stylized image feature $\nu_i$ and N-1 other $\nu_j$ from the same batch: $\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_{i-1}, \nu_{i+1}, ..., \nu_N$, we have:
In the second training stage, we trained TxST with MS-512×512 the image reconstruction task in the first training stage. Datasets.

\[ L_{\text{sim}} = -\log \frac{\exp(s(v_i^t, v_j^t)/\tau)}{\sum_{j \neq i} \exp(s(v_i^t, v_j^t)/\tau)} - \log \frac{\exp(s(v_i^t, v_j^t)/\tau)}{\sum_{j \neq i} \exp(s(v_i^t, v_j^t)/\tau)}, \]

where \( \tau \) is the temperature factor, \( S \) is the cosine similarity, \( v_i^t \) is the stylized results using reference image \( I \) and \( v_j^t \) is the stylized results using reference text \( t \). Note that to distinguish from the CLIP vector \( E_I(I_{cs}) \), symbol \( \nu \) is to represent the CLIP feature computed after Vision transformer [25] and before the final projection layer. In Equation (2), there are two loss terms: the first one computes the feature similarity between stylized results obtained by different style images (\( v_i^t \) and \( v_j^t \)); the second one computes the feature similarity between stylized results obtained by different style texts (\( v_i^t \) and \( v_j^t \)).

- **Content and style feature loss.** Following the existing style transfer methods [21, 23, 24], content and style feature losses are proposed by using a pre-trained VGG network to minimize the distance in the deep feature space. For style loss \( L_{\text{style}} \), VGG-19 [31] is used to extract features (\( \text{relu}2_2, \text{relu}3_4, \text{relu}4_1 \)) and compute the mean and variance differences. For content loss \( L_{\text{content}} \), features from \( \text{relu}2_2, \text{relu}3_4 \) are used. To preserve more content information, identity loss \( L_{\text{ID}} \) [21] is used for enhancement.

- **Total loss.** The final loss is \( L = \lambda_{\text{CLIP}} L_{\text{CLIP}} + \lambda_{\text{sim}} L_{\text{sim}} + \lambda_{\text{style}} L_{\text{style}} + \lambda_{\text{content}} L_{\text{content}} + \lambda_{\text{ID}} L_{\text{ID}} \), where \( \lambda_{\text{CLIP}}, \lambda_{\text{sim}}, \lambda_{\text{style}}, \lambda_{\text{con}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{ID}} \) are coefficients to balance these loss components.

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Implementing Details

**Datasets.** We used the images from MS-COCO [20] for the image reconstruction task in the first training stage. In the second training stage, we trained TxST with MS-COCO [20] as our content set and WikiArt [1] as the style set. In the training phase, we loaded the images with size 512 × 512 and randomly cropped training patches of size 256 × 256.

**Metrics.** For evaluation, we propose several metrics: the VGG Score (style and content), the CLIP similarity score (style, content and F1), and the Deception Rate.

- **VGG Score.** Following VAEST [23], we estimated the VGG score using VGG features. Its style score is computed based on first and second orders (mean and variance) feature differences between reference and stylized images, while its content score is computed as the average feature loss between content and stylized images.

- **CLIP Similarity Score** [17] is computed with CLIP features. Its style score is the similarity between stylized image and {image, text} prompts: 

\[ s_{\text{style}}(s, I_{cs}) = \frac{E_I(I_{cs})}{\|E_I(I_{cs})\|}, \]

where \( s = \{E_I(I_{s}), E_T(t_s)\} \) for reference image and text, respectively. Its content score is \( s_{\text{content}}(I_c, I_{cs}) = \frac{E_I(I_c) \cdot E_I(I_{cs})}{\|E_I(I_c)\| \cdot \|E_I(I_{cs})\|}. \) F1 score is widely used in binary classification to measure the harmonic mean of precision and recall [5]. We measured the F1 score of the content and style scores \( \{s_{\text{content}}(I_c, I_{cs}), s_{\text{style}}(s, I_{cs})\} \) as

\[ F1 = \frac{2 \cdot s_{\text{content}}(I_c, I_{cs}) \cdot s_{\text{style}}(s, I_{cs})}{s_{\text{content}}(I_c, I_{cs}) + s_{\text{style}}(s, I_{cs})}, \]

where \( s = \{I_s, t_s\} \) for image and text prompts, respectively.

- **Deception Rate.** For artist awareness, we followed AST [29] and computed the style transfer deception rate as the fraction of generated images classified by VGG-16 as the artworks of an artist for which the stylization was produced. Higher values mean closer to the artist’s style.

- **Setting.** We compared against AST [29], MGAD [12], LDAST [9], CLIPstyler [17] (CLIPstyler(fast) and CLIP-styler(opti)), DALL-E-2 [26]\(^1\), VQGAN-CLIP [27]\(^2\) and Stable Diffusion [28]\(^3\). For text-driven style transfer, we used the artist names as style descriptions (denoted as \( T_s \)).

#### 4.2. Text-driven Artistic-aware Style Transfer

**Quantitative Comparison.** We measured the similarity to the content and artist in the CLIP feature space using the CLIP scores and the F1 score accordingly. Table 1 reports the results. We observe that CLIPstyler(fast) leads to the best content similarity score (0.736); however, the transferred images have poor artistic style performance. Results of AST have the second-best deception rate, but the similarity to the content image is only 0.538. CLIPstyler(opti), a slow but optimal version compared to CLIPstyler(fast), reaches good CLIP scores, but achieves the worst deception rate. This is expected since CLIPstyler(opti) requires dedicated training for each individual content and style image. Stable Diffusion leads to the best CLIP style score but its content score is low. Overall, our TxST provides a good balance between style and content, reaching the best performance on CLIP F1 score and on the deception rate, which demonstrates its effectiveness. We also note that CLIPstyler(opti) MGAD and VQGAN-CLIP need retraining for new artists and input images; in contrast, TxST does not need retraining for new styles.

**Qualitative Comparison.** Figure 2 shows the visual comparison of different methods for artist-aware style transfer. Note that AST does not require text input. For others, we used artists’ names as texts to guide stylization. For reference, we also show three representative paintings in blue boxes. Our findings are summarized as: (1) our results show similar or better content preservation compared to AST and CLIPstyler. (2) TxST can faithfully mimic the signature styles of specific artists, such as the color tone and temperature patterns from El Greco, Van Gogh and the distorted curves in El Greco and Van Gogh. (3) TxST can maximize the visual differences among different artistic styles.

---

2. https://github.com/neydyrodent/VQGAN-CLIP
Figure 2. **Comparison among text-driven artist-aware style transfer methods.** TxST not only preserves the content details, but also successfully transfers the signature color and painting style of painters. Other methods either cannot preserve contents (AST), or learn incorrect painting styles (AST, Clipstyler).

**Table 1. Comparison with different Artistic Style Transfer methods.** (Red: best and Blue: 2nd best).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Style(\uparrow)</th>
<th>Deception Rate(\uparrow)</th>
<th>Running time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST [29]</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.395</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPstyler(fast) [17]</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIPstyler(opti) [17]</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>0.441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDAST [9]</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGAD [12]</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VQGAN-CLIP [27]</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DALL-E-2 [26]</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.228</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable Diffusion [28]</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ours</strong></td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td>0.418</td>
<td>0.769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. **Multiple style transfer.** We use four artists’ names for style interpolation and observe successful multiple-style fusion.

**Multiple style transfer.** TxST can also achieve multiple style transfer. Unlike previous works [13, 23], it does not require multiple reference images for style fusion; instead, we can give a description that combines multiple styles. In Figure 3, we use four artists’ names as input for style fusion: Samuel Peploe, Claude Monet, Pablo Picasso, Van Gogh.

Figure 4. **Texture aware style transfer.** We train TxST on the DTD dataset [6], and then use texture language as style input for stylization. For instance, the edges around the images in column 2 (i.e., the tail of the squirrel and the feature of the bird) look like a ‘braided pattern’.

**Texture-aware style transfer.** Similar to artist-aware style transfer, we train the proposed TxST using a texture dataset to learn texture-aware style transfer. DTD dataset [6] provides many texture samples, like sprinkled, cracked and so on. We use the texture languages as style input to train our model to examine if our proposed TxST can also learn texture-aware style transfer. In Figure 4, we observe that the proposed method can combine different art styles successfully and visually pleasing.

5. **Conclusion**

In this paper, we proposed a text-driven approach for artist-aware style transfer, coined TxST. The CLIP-based contrastive training enables exploring the co-linearity between texts and images without requiring costly data collection and annotation. We conducted comprehensive experiments on text-driven artistic style transfer. Extensive results demonstrated that TxST achieves perceptually pleasing arbitrary stylization, revealing its ability to extract critical representations from the CLIP space and produce aesthetics close to the artists’ works. Future work includes combining images, texts, and other cues to deliver a more flexible user-guided interactive style transfer framework.
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