PDAVIS: Bio-inspired Polarization Event Camera
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Abstract

The stomatopod (mantis shrimp) visual system has recently provided a blueprint for the design of paradigm-shifting polarization and multispectral imaging sensors, enabling solutions to challenging medical and remote sensing problems. However, these bioinspired frame-based cameras lack the high dynamic range and asynchronous polarization vision capabilities of the stomatopod visual system, limiting temporal resolution to ∼12 ms and dynamic range to ∼72 dB. Here we present a novel stomatopod-inspired polarization camera which mimics the sustained and transient biological visual pathways to save power and sample data beyond the maximum Nyquist frame rate. This bio-inspired sensor simultaneously captures both synchronous intensity and asynchronous polarization brightness change information with submillisecond latencies over a million-fold range of illumination. Our PDAVIS camera is comprised of 346x260 pixels, organized in 2-by-2 macropixels, which filter the incoming light with four linear polarization filters offset by 45°. Polarization information is reconstructed using both low-cost and low-latency event-based algorithms and more accurate but slower deep neural networks. Our sensor is used to image high dynamic range polarization scenes that vary at high speeds and to observe the dynamical properties of single collagen fibers in a bovine tendon under rapid cyclical loads.

Video: https://youtu.be/mFuCeTMWEqY

1. Introduction

Visual information is encoded in light by intensity, color, and polarization [1]. This information is sensed by biological eyes [1]–[3] and artificial cameras [4]–[10] which each have been optimized by evolution driven by maximum fitness. Eyes have evolved to support visually guided behavior for the benefit of survival, while digital cameras have mainly evolved to supply consumer demand for high-resolution photography. These different evolutionary paths have created very different visual systems. Existing spectral and polarization digital cameras use synchronous and generally redundant frames with linear photo response [5], [9], [11]–[14]. By contrast, eyes are asynchronous, have a compressed nonlinear response, and their output is sparse and highly informative [1].

The mantis shrimp visual system (Fig. 1a) is considered one of the most sophisticated visual systems in nature. It is sensitive to more than 12 spectral, 4 linear, and 2 circular polarization channels [1], [2]. Its photosensitive microvilli have a logarithmic high dynamic range (HDR) response to incident light. Sensitivity to linearly polarized light is in part expressed in the dorsal and ventral parts of the ommatidia, where individual photoreceptors are comprised of orthogonal sets of microvilli sensitive to orthogonal polarization states. The dorsal/ventral views largely overlap, and since the dorsal and ventral microvilli are offset by 45°, four linear polarization states offset by 45° are captured by the eye. The logarithmic photo responses of the microvilli enable high dynamic range polarization sensing capabilities, while their asynchronous response to temporally varying brightness greatly reduces the visual information that is transmitted to their brain for further processing. It is believed that mantis shrimp use polarization to discriminate short-range prey [1], to select a mating partner [15] and to orient during short-range navigation using celestial polarization patterns [16].

Our work capitalizes on the development of bioinspired neuromorphic vision sensors, which have enabled higher dynamic range and lower latency machine vision [17], [18].
Inspired by the ommatidia of mantis shrimp, individual PDAVIS subpixel circuits [17] are each overlaid with one of four pixelated linear polarization filters (Fig. 1b). The PDAVIS takes inspiration from biology by saving energy by partitioning the perception of fine detail and fast motion into sustained and transient pathways [1]. It provides a relatively low frequency synchronous readout of frames like conventional cameras (the “sustained” pathway), and it concurrently outputs a high frequency stream of asynchronous brightness change events (the “transient” pathway). Each event represents a signed log intensity change. Pixels that see more brightness change generate more events, and the events have sub-millisecond temporal resolution driven by the dynamics of the scene. The events enable reconstructing the absolute intensity between the synchronous frame intensity samples.

2. Related Work

Current polarization imaging sensors are categorized into several types, including division of time, division of amplitude, division of aperture, and Division of Focal Plane (DoFP) polarimeters [19]–[21]. One of the earliest methods of polarization imaging used CMOS or CCD imaging sensors with electrically or mechanically controlled polarization filters, known as division of time polarimeters. These systems sampled the environment with at least three polarization filters. However, they have several drawbacks, such as reducing the frame rate by a factor of three, high power consumption, and errors due to motion during sampling. Motion blur effects have been partially addressed by combining event-based cameras with rotating polarization filters to enable 3D shape reconstruction [22].

DoFP polarimeters use imaging and micropolarization filters on the same substrate to sample the environment with spatially distributed micropolarization filters. Birefringent materials and thin film polarizers have been used to incorporate pixel-pitch-matched polarization filters at the focal plane [5], [23]–[26]. The monolithic integration of pixelated filters and imaging elements have produced compact, low power, snap-shot polarimeters that have enabled many challenging applications [5], [14]. Despite rigorous research in single-chip polarization cameras, the current state-of-the-art polarization sensors are frame-based, which have limited dynamic range and frame rates.

3. Reconstructing Polarization Information

3.1. Conventional Polarization Imaging

Polarization is commonly described using the Stokes parameters: \( S_0, S_1, S_2 \) defined [27]:

\[
S_0(t) = I_0(t) + I_{90}(t)
\]

\[
S_1(t) = I_0(t) - I_{90}(t)
\]

\[
S_2(t) = I_{45}(t) - I_{135}(t)
\]

where \( I_i \) stands for the light intensity transmitted by the linear polarizer filter with angle \( i \). A fourth Stokes parameter \( S_3 \) describes the circular polarization properties of
the light field, which is not detected by the PDAVIS sensor. The Degree of Linear Polarization (DoLP), which describes how much light is polarized, and the AoP, which describes the dominant axis of light’s oscillation, can then be estimated from the Stokes parameters:

\[ \text{DoLP}(t) = \frac{\sqrt{S_1(t)^2 + S_2(t)^2}}{S_0(t)} \] (4)

\[ \text{AoP}(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{S_2(t)}{S_1(t)} \right) \] (5)

### 3.2. Reconstructing AoP from PDAVIS events only

We can reconstruct the change in absolute log intensity \( dL \) from an arbitrary starting point by simply integrating the events over time, as first studied experimentally by Brandli, Muller, and Delbruck [28]. Pixel nonidealities cause this estimate to drift. The events method regards the events as providing high frequency information about the log intensity change[29]. Above a corner frequency \( f_{396} = 2\pi \omega = 1/(2\pi \tau) \), the events directly update the filtered log intensity estimate, which decays to zero with time constant \( \tau \) between events. Since the AoP depends only on the ratios of differences of \( I_i \) values, the absolute intensity factors out, so we can compute AoP from the reconstructed \( I_i \) values.

For every incoming event, the events method asynchronously updates the related reconstructed log intensity change \( dL = d\log(F) \) as the asynchronous first-order Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) filter (6):

\[ \alpha \leftarrow e^{-\Delta t/\tau} \\
\alpha dL \leftarrow \alpha dL + p \] (6)

where \( \Delta t \) is the time elapsed since last event from the subpixel, \( \tau \) is the filter time constant, and \( p = |p_{\text{on}}, -p_{\text{off}}| \) is the signed event threshold, which we estimate from the known bias currents using the formulas from Nozaki and Delbruck [30] and then fine tune to match the low frequency frame-based data.

From the \( dL \) values, we can compute AoP by exponentiation of \( dL \) to obtain the subpixel \( I_i \) value, and use the resulting \( I_i \) values in (5). In practice, we use the \( dL \) values directly, since generally \(|dL| < 1\) and thus \( \exp(dL) \approx 1 + dL \). The 1 would be the same for all terms in (5) and would thus cancel, leaving the \( dL \) value.

The \( dL \) in (6) is the highpass-filtered log intensity, corresponding to the Laplace domain transfer function (7):

\[ H_{dl}(s) \equiv \frac{dL(s)}{\sum p(s)} = \frac{\tau s}{1 + \tau s} \] (7)

where \( s \) is the complex frequency, and \( \sum p \) is the staircase sum of Dirac delta brightness changes since filter startup.

There are two exactly equivalent descriptions of this filter: \( dL \) is a highpass-filtered log intensity, and it is also a lowpass-filtered derivative of log intensity. Thus, for frequencies well below the \( f_{396} \) corner frequency \( dL \approx \tau dL/dt \), i.e., \( dL \) can be considered as a lowpass filtered derivative of \( L \), which filters out derivatives above \( f_{396} \). For frequencies well above \( f_{396} \), \( dL \) is equal to \( L \) minus its DC value averaged over the exponential time window \( \tau \). If we can assume that this offset is equivalent for each subpixel, then it cancels out in \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \), which are used to compute the AoP. For the events results in 2 we used \( f_{396} = 0.5 \) Hz.

**Effect of high pass filter on AoP:** For input frequencies well above \( f_{396} \), using the \( dL \) values in (5) results in the AoP if we make the reasonable assumption that all \( I_i \) have the same mean value. For frequencies below \( f_{396} \), where \( dL \approx \tau dL/dt \), the following computation shows that using \( dL \) in the AoP equation (5) results in the AoP, but with a phase shift of \( \pi/4 \). First, we use the modulated intensit to compute the derivative of \( I_i \), where \( i \) is one of the polarizer angles:

\[ \frac{\partial I_i(t)}{\partial t} = -2I_i \text{DoLP}(t) \frac{\partial \theta(t)}{\partial t} \sin [\theta(t) - i] \cos [\theta(t) - i] \] (8)

Since we only care about measuring a varying AoP, we have assumed that DoLP and \( I_i \) are constant. Now we can plug (8) into (5):

\[ \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{\partial S_2}{\partial \tau}(t) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{\partial I_{45}/\partial t - \partial I_{35}/\partial t}{\partial I_0/\partial t - \partial I_{90}/\partial t} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{2 \sin(\theta - \pi/4)}{2 \sin(\theta - \pi/4)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\tan(2\theta)} \right) = \theta(t) \mod \pi + \frac{\pi}{4} = \text{AoP}(t) \mod \pi + \frac{\pi}{4} \] (9)

According to (9), using the temporal derivatives of intensities in (5) results in the AoP with a (constant \( \pi/4 \)) offset.

In practice, we used signal periodicity to estimate the AoP phase in Fig. 2. Most of our experiments used a stimulus frequency above \( f_{396} \), so the output of the AoP from the events method corresponds to the actual AoP without this offset. For example, Fig. 2c shows the reconstructed AoP sawtooth at 30 RPM, corresponding to an AoP frequency of 1Hz, which is double the \( f_{396} = 0.5 \) Hz corner frequency.
The AoP values are updated as soon as each event is received, creating polarization events as illustrated in Fig. 1c. These asynchronous updates could drive a quick event-driven processing pipeline that exploits the precise timing of events. Source code for this algorithm is available 1.

3.3. Complementary Filter: Reconstructing AoP and DoLP by fusing frames and events

The Complementary Filter (CF) of Scheerlinck [29] is complementary because it considers Active Pixel Sensor (APS) frames as providing reliable low frequency intensity (albeit with limited Dynamic Range (DR)), while the Dynamic Vision Sensor (DVS) events provide reliable high frequency information about brightness (changes). The CF method fuses the high pass filtered log intensity of the events method with low pass filtered frames. At the CF crossover frequency $\omega = 1/\tau = 2\pi f_{3dB}$, the frame and event estimates of log intensity are weighted equally. For lower frequencies, the frame intensities are weighted more, and for higher frequencies, the event-based estimates are weighted more.

The CF also has a computational cost of about 10 operations per DVS event or APS sample, making it attractive for real-time applications.

At each subpixel, the CF updates its log intensity reconstruction $L$ each time the pixel measures either intensity or generates a DVS event. The CF outputs the log intensity $L$ from the most recent log intensity sample or DVS event. For each pixel’s APS intensity sample or DVS event, the asynchronous first-order IIR filter CF update is

$$\begin{align*}
\alpha & \leftarrow e^{-\Delta t/\tau} \\
L & \leftarrow \alpha L + p + (1 - \alpha) L_{aps} \\
\end{align*}$$

where $\Delta t$ is the time since last update, $\tau = 1/(2\pi f_{3dB})$ is the filter time constant, $p = [+\theta_{cm}, -\theta_{off}]$ is the event’s log intensity change, and $L_{aps}$ is the log intensity sample. (If the update is for an event, $L_{aps} = 0$, or if the update is for a frame, $p = 0$.) Since $\Delta t \ll \tau$ (i.e., the update rate is much higher than the time constant), $\alpha \approx 1 - \Delta t/\tau \sim 1$. Removing the APS input from Eq. 10 gives the events method presented in the previous section (Eq. 6).

In the Laplace domain, the CF filter has form (11):

$$L(s) = \frac{\tau s}{1 + \tau s} p(s) + \frac{1}{1 + \tau s} L_{APS}(s).$$

For our experiments, we used CF $f_{3dB} = 1.6$ Hz. The AoP and DoLP are periodically computed using the subpixel $L$ values.

Adaptive gain tuning: The CF method includes a down-weighting of the APS samples when $L_{aps}$ approach their limits, i.e., are under or overexposed [29, Sec. 4.1]. We used this feature to improve the DR of the reconstruction. We set adaptive gain tuning $\lambda = 0.1$ and used the limits $L_1, L_2 = \log(10, 200)$.

Filter startup: To avoid the CF filter startup transient, we initialize the filter output state to the first $L_{APS}$ frame as soon as it is available.

Source code for the original CF implementation, our implementation of CF, and for computing PDAVIS polarization information are available2.

3.4. Polarization FireNet: Reconstructing AoP and DoLP from events

The DNN method applied to the PDAVIS data is based on deep learning and infers the intensity sensed by each subpixel using only the brightness change events. It is based on the work of [31], [32], which showed that it is possible to train a deep recurrent neural network to reconstruct video purely from DVS brightness change events, as long as there is motion in the scene. The reconstructed offset level is chosen by the DNN based on the statistics of its training data samples since the DVS output transmits no offset information, but the reconstruction is locally more accurate in comparison to the CF method.

We used the pretrained FireNet [31] neural network. For the polarization reconstruction, the events are first separated into 4 channels, each corresponding to one pixel of 2-by-2 macropixels. Each channel represents one of four different polarization angles (see Sec. Sup. Mat. 1 and Fig. 1). The events are then accumulated into 3D tensors with the same predetermined exposure time window for each channel, which is different from the original FireNet which used constant event-count exposures. This binning requires that the necessary sample rate must be known a priori to obtain a precise reconstruction of the polarization information. To synchronize the four channels, we used a fixed time window in opposition to a fixed event count, because each channel codes for different and sometimes even orthogonal angles of polarization, hence emitting a different number of events. For example, in the DR measurement, the time window is set to 10 ms.

Once we receive the stack of frames from the FireNet, calibration is applied. For the data collected using only a linear polarizer (Fig. 2), we subtract an offset calculated by the minimum value of each of the 4 channels before calculating AoP. For the DoLP calculation, a gain table of linear polarizer (Fig. 2), we subtract an offset calculated by the minimum value of each of the 4 channels before calculating AoP. For the DoLP calculation, a gain table of linear polarizer (Fig. 2), we subtract an offset calculated by the minimum value of each of the 4 channels before calculating AoP. For the DoLP calculation, a gain table of linear polarizer (Fig. 2), we subtract an offset calculated by the minimum value of each of the 4 channels before calculating AoP. For the DoLP calculation, a gain table of linear polarizer (Fig. 2), we subtract an offset calculated by the minimum value of each of the 4 channels before calculating AoP.

---

1. https://github.com/joubertdamien/poladvs

the digital numbers paired with its respective multipliers is made for each RPM from one AoP cycle. This table gives us the non linear mapping from logarithmic to linear response for each of the four channels that is used on a second data set to calculate the corrected DoLP. As for the DoLP calculation of the data collected from the linear polarizer and quarter wave plate (Fig. 3), the 4 channels only have an offset and normalization of the max data point applied before DoLP calculation. Then, the FireNet outputs intensity frames from the event tensors, which we use to compute the angle and degree of polarization using Eqs. (5) and (4).

Our source code for FireNet reconstruction is available on GitHub\(^3\).

4. Experimental Validation

First, we assessed the ability to reconstruct the time-varying AoP of fully linearly polarized light (with DoLP=1) by rotating a linear polarizer at constant speeds (Fig. 2, Sup. Mat. 1, Supplementary Figs. S2, Video). At low rotation speeds of less than 60 RPM, the AoP reconstruction error from both sensors is less than 5°, with the Sony sensor having the lowest reconstruction error. The reconstructed DoLP is nearly 1 from both sensors, as expected. Since Sony’s polarization sensor is fabricated in an optimized semiconductor fab, the mismatches in both the optical properties of the pixeleted polarization filters and electrical properties of the photodiodes and read-out circuits are minimal, resulting in high accuracy in the reconstructed polarization information for slow rotation rate. Our PDAVIS prototype has larger mismatches between the optical properties of the pixeled filters as well as read-out electronics resulting in larger error at low frequency, which can be mitigated by calibration [23]. However, when the linear polarizer is rotated above \(\sim 100\) RPM, the Sony and PDAVIS frames start aliasing and motion blurring (Fig. 2c), which decreases the estimated DoLP and increases the AoP error. The PDAVIS events maintain precise timing information and the reconstructions using events have AoP and DoLP error less than 10° all the way to 1000 RPM.

The second experiment (Fig. 3, Video) assessed the ability to measure time-varying DoLP while DoLP and AoP both vary with time. We combined a rotating linear polarizer with a fixed QWP. Fig. 3a shows how much the DoLP error increases as a function of the speed of the QWP, compared to 30 RPM. For visual comparison, each method is

---

\(^3\)https://github.com/tylerchen007/firenet-pdavis

---

Figure 2. Comparison of frame-based and event-based AoP and DoLP reconstruction accuracy at various rotational speeds. Input is image of fully linearly polarized light from a rotating linear polarizer. a Mean absolute error (MAE) of AoP reconstruction for the various methods averaged over 12x12 Region of Interest (ROI) centered on the polarizer. (see Sup. Mat. 3). PDAVIS and Sony frame rates are shown in plots and both exposure durations were fixed to 20 ms. The frames methods use only synchronous intensity frames. The CF method fuses 20 FPS frames and events using a method adapted from [29]. The events method is illustrated in Fig. 1c. The Deep Neural Network (DNN) method uses only events together with the convolutional recurrent neural network [31]. b DoLP reconstructions. Both CF or DNN methods show that using events allows reconstructing DoLP well beyond the limiting Nyquist frequency of the frame sampling. Inset plots the event rate per pixel within the polarizer ROI versus RPM; the event rate outside ROI is < 1 Hz after denoising. c Reconstruction of the AoP from a 100 pixel ROI using various methods. The frame based Sony and PDAVIS reconstructions are severely aliased at 1000 RPM, which is not true for any of the PDAVIS methods using events.
defined to have zero “change of error” at the lowest frequency. Fig. 3b shows the absolute DoLP measured by each method for 30 and 1000 RPM. At low RPM, the Sony camera makes the most accurate estimate of DoLP. When the QWP is rotated at higher speeds, the frames from both cameras become aliased and motion blurred, resulting in a large error increase of over 50% in the Sony DoLP; at 1000 RPM, the Sony frames are hopelessly blurred and aliased (Fig. 3b, Sony (frames)). However, the CF method fuses the PDAVIS events with its 20 FPS frames, clearly improving the reconstruction in comparison with the 50 FPS Sony (Fig. 3b, PDAVIS CF). Finally, using only the PDAVIS events with the DNN method keeps the growth in reconstruction error below 8% all the way to 1000 RPM (Fig. 3b, PDAVIS DNN). Fig. 3c shows the statistics of the events. At 30 RPM, the distribution of interevent time intervals (lower histogram) shows that the events are widely spaced because the brightness changes are slow. At 1000 RPM, the distribution moves to much shorter event intervals, down to less than 1 ms. The event rate (Fig. 3c upper plot) is directly proportional to RPM. The insets of the event rate plot show events from one pixel; the structure of ON and OFF events is similar for 30 RPM and 1000 RPM, but speeds up by a factor of 30. This low latency asynchronous PDAVIS output allows the measurement of fast brightness changes, which occur much more rapidly than the fixed frame rate; the PDAVIS events sample as needed, up to more than 1 kHz in this experiment.

The third experiment (Fig. 4a, Video) compares the PDAVIS and Sony dynamic range. We imaged set of polarization filters offset by 30° rotating at 200 RPM (5 rev/s) under high contrast 2000:1 lighting, such as commonly encountered in remote sensing of natural environments. The Sony camera exposure is set to 20 ms to capture the darker part of the scene without underexposing it, which overexposes and saturates the brighter part, preventing AoP measurement. The large motion blur is visible in the I0 image and incorrect AoP in the blurred regions. The PDAVIS can measure the AoP in both lighting conditions. Even though the PDAVIS frame is also motion blurred, all event-based methods produce sharp images.

The fourth experiment (Fig. 4b, Video) shows a potential medical imaging application of the PDAVIS. We imaged the dynamics of a bovine tendon subjected to cyclical stress, such that its birefringent properties are time varying. Bovine flexor tendon was sliced using a vibratome to produce 300-micron thick slices. A single sliced tendon is mounted on a 6-Degree of Freedom (DOF) computer-controlled actuator and sensor stage. The end pieces of the tendon are clamped with sandpaper to the sensor stage. An
Figure 4. **Applications of PDAVIS for remote sensing and scientific imaging.**

**a** Images of a rotating fan polarizer under HDR illumination captured by the PDAVIS and Sony’s camera using various reconstruction methods (see 3). The fan is constructed from triangular linear polarizers arranged with 30° AoP spacing. The illumination ratio between bright and dark parts is 2000 and the rotation speed is 200 RPM. The \( I_0 \) images show reconstructed monochromatic intensity from pixels with 0 degree pixelated polarization filters of Fig. 1. The weakly-polarized regions in the AoP images are masked out using the measured DoLP.

**b** Imaging a tendon that is periodically stretched at 1 Hz and 10 Hz. The images (upper half) show a single frame (left), an event time window (center) and the corresponding DoLP reconstruction result (right) using the DNN method. The event time window is rendered from a fixed time interval where ON (white) and OFF (black) events are accumulated to the starting gray image. The DNN input is 3D event tensors (the frames are not used) that have a duration of 50 ms or 10 ms, resulting in output at 20 or 100 FPS for 1 Hz and 10 Hz respectively. The traces (lower half) compare the DNN and frame-based reconstructed DoLP, averaged over the ROI indicated in the DoLP image (upper right). With 1 Hz stretching (left), both methods yield similar results. With 10 Hz stretching (right), the DNN reconstructs the DoLP while the 20 FPS frame-based reconstruction is severely aliased.

LED light source combined with a linear polarization filter (Gray Polarizing Film 38-491, Edmund Optics) and an achromatic QWP (AWQP3, Bolder Vision Optik, Boulder, Colorado) were placed under the bovine flexor tendon. This optical setup generates circularly polarized light which is used to illuminate the tendon. The light that is transmitted through the tissue is imaged with either the PDAVIS or Sony polarization sensor. Both sensors were equipped with x10 optical lens with a numerical aperture of 0.25 (f/2) and placed directly above the tissue.

The tendon is cyclically loaded between 2% and 3% strain at rates of 1, 5, and 10 Hz for 30 seconds. During the cyclical loading of the tissue, the birefringent properties of the individual collagen fibers are modulated as a function of the applied strain. As circularly polarized light is transmitted through the tissue under cyclical load, the light passing through the collagen fibers will scatter (i.e., depolarized light) and become elliptically polarized. The ellipticity of the polarized light is directly proportional to the strain applied to the collagen fibers. Hence, the degree of linear polarization provides a measurement of the ellipticity of the circularly polarized light and an indirect measure of the applied strain on the tendon.

Using high optical magnification, we can observe strain patterns over time of the individual collagen fibers that comprise the tendon. Due to the high optical magnification and
rapid movement of the collagen fibers, preventing aliasing would require a frame rate that is a large multiple of the cycle rate. By contrast, DNN reconstruction of the DoLP (using only events) provides measurement of the dynamic properties of the individual collagen fibers at higher frequency than the maximum frame rate.

5. PDAVIS and Sony camera specifications

Table 1 compares the design and measured specifications of the PDAVIS with a state-of-the-art Commodity Off-The-Shelf (COTS) frame-based polarization camera (FLIR BFS-U3-51S5), which uses the Sony IMX250 camera chip. Details of our measurements of dynamic range and extinction ratio of PDAVIS precede this section.

The Sony polarization sensor has higher resolution, smaller pixel size, and higher extinction ratio. Our bioinspired sensor is fabricated at several different locations: the event-based sensor is fabricated in a 180nm CIS process provided by TowerJazz Semiconductors; pixelated polarization filters are fabricated in Moxtek cleanroom facilities; the filters and image sensor are integrated at University of Illinois. Due to the complex fabrication steps, the image sensor pixel pitch is larger and the extinction ratios are lower than Sony’s sensor. The PDAVIS offers much higher temporal resolution (≈100us versus 12 ms) and its DVS output has superior DR compared to Sony polarization camera (120dB vs 72dB).

Table 1. Specification and comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tech. Feature size</th>
<th>PDAVIS (this work)</th>
<th>COTS Sony IMX-250</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size</td>
<td>18.5µm/3.45µm</td>
<td>34.6x260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array size</td>
<td>346x260</td>
<td>2448x2048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>APS+DVS+IMU</td>
<td>APS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power (camera)</td>
<td>ext. 3 W</td>
<td>1 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER at 500nm</td>
<td>800 Hz</td>
<td>75 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max APS frame rate</td>
<td>52dB [17]</td>
<td>72 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max DVS event rate</td>
<td>10 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVS DR</td>
<td>120dB [17]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVS Min latency</td>
<td>3us@1lux [17]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min DVS thresholda</td>
<td>±14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVS threshold mismatchb</td>
<td>3.5% [17]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* with exposure 80µs.

*b* At room temperature, with mean background leak activity rate of 0.7 Hz with background intensity from APS exposure of 26DN/m/s.

*c* Pixel to pixel 1-σ mismatch of the threshold in temporal contrast.

6. Discussion

Airborne, underwater, and space-based applications can require high temporal resolution and HDR, together with spectral and polarization sensitivity. All of these requirements increase the data rate, but the bioinspired sparse data streams and local gain control of event cameras enables near-sensor processing with low latency and a small computational footprint together with HDR. To compare PDAVIS with state-of-the-art polarization sensors, we developed novel event-driven reconstruction algorithms and compared their angle and degree of polarization reconstruction abilities to the frame-based camera reconstruction. The pure event-driven algorithm is the most economical, but it cannot reconstruct the degree of linear polarization, which requires an estimate of the absolute intensity which the event stream does not provide. The CF helps to overcome this limitation by fusing the event stream with periodically captured frames while only slightly increasing the computational cost. The DNN provides the most accurate reconstruction, but requires a power hungry and expensive GPU for real time operation, which may not be affordable in a remote environment close to the sensor or with minimum latency. A limitation of the PDAVIS is with dense scenes, which can saturate the event output capacity, causing event loss. In these situations, a conventional frame-based polarization camera could be better suited. By adopting a bioinspired combination of sustained and transient pathway, the PDAVIS bridges a gap between the limited temporal and dynamic range of conventional frame-based polarization cameras and complex solid state imagers[33] or streak cameras[34] that can record short sequences at > 10^7 FPS. This gap is normally filled by high frame rate cameras that consume a lot of power and demand bright lighting for the short exposure times. The PDAVIS enables continuous AoP and DoLP measurement with high contrast illumination at frequencies several times the Nyquist rate of frame-based image sensors. The PDAVIS event output triggers data acquisition and processing only when needed making it ideally matched with the increasing development of activation-sparcity aware neural accelerators[35]–[37].
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