NTIRE 2023 Video Colorization Challenge


Abstract

This paper reviews the video colorization challenge on the New Trends in Image Restoration and Enhancement (NTIRE) workshop, held in conjunction with CVPR 2023. The target of this challenge is converting grayscale videos into color videos with better colorization performance and temporal consistency. The challenge consists of two tracks. For Track 1, the goal is achieving the best FID (Fréchet Inception Distance) while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline method in terms of the temporal-consistency metric. The Color Distribution Consistency (CDC) index is used as the temporal consistency evaluation metric in this challenge. For Track 2, the target is to obtain a solution with the best CDC result while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline method in terms of FID. We use DeOldify-video as the baseline method for two tracks. For the final testing phase of both tracks, six teams submitted fact sheets and executable code of their solutions. This report brings together descriptions and discussions of all these solutions. Both tracks use the same data and the datasets are available at this url.

1. Introduction

Video colorization aims to transform multiple consecutive single-channel grayscale video frames into three-channel color video frames, and has received increasing attention in recent years. Its applications are vast and varied, spanning across the film industry, art, and visual media. Unlike image colorization, video colorization not only demands high-fidelity single-frame results but also necessitates maintaining temporal consistency between frames. In addition, instance consistency must be ensured in video colorization, e.g., objects that appear in the previous frames should retain the same semantic color in subsequent ones. Thus, video colorization is a challenging problem in visual enhancement and restoration.

Recently, a large number of image colorization methods [7, 11, 16, 18, 19, 20, 35, 44, 45, 59] have been proposed and achieved impressive results. One possible solution for video colorization is to directly use the image colorization model to independently colorize each frame of the video. However, due to the lack of modeling of temporal information between frames, these image-based methods often result in temporal flickering and discontinuity.

In order to introduce temporal constraints, FAVC [22] first uses deep learning methods to achieve automatic video colorization by using self-regularization and diversity loss. TCVC [28] propagates frame-level deep features in a bidirectional manner, achieving better single-frame colorization results while enhancing temporal consistency. To achieve better flexibility and colorization results, some exemplar-based video colorization methods [14, 38, 48, 58] have been developed. These methods typically transfer colors from reference sample images to grayscale image frames. BiST-Net [53] uses bidirectional temporal feature fusion with the guidance of semantic image prior to achieve progressive colorization in a coarse-to-fine manner.

The goal of the NTIRE 2023 Video Colorization challenge is to promote further research in the video colorization field and to establish the current state-of-the-art. As part of the challenge, the participants were required to generate continuous color video frames giving multiple grayscale video frames as input. The challenge contained two tracks, namely Track 1 and Track 2. For Track 1, the aim is to obtain a solution with the best FID (Fréchet Inception Distance) [12] while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline method in terms of temporal-consistency metric. We use the Color Distribution Consistency (CDC)
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described in [28] as the temporal consistency evaluation metric. For Track 2, the aim is to obtain a solution with the best CDC result while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline method in terms of FID. Both tracks use the famous open-source video colorization model DeOldify-video [4] as the baseline method.

The challenge has 93 and 130 registered participants for two tracks, respectively. Among them, 6 participating teams submitted valid models and fact sheets in the final testing stage of each track. They introduce new technologies in network architectures, loss functions, ensemble methods, data augmentation methods, etc. We present detailed challenge results in Section 3.

This challenge is part of the NTIRE 2023 challenges on: night photography rendering [34], HR depth from images of specular and transparent surfaces [55], image denoising [26], video colorization [17], shadow removal [36, 37], quality assessment of video enhancement [27], stereo super-resolution [39], light field image super-resolution [42], image super-resolution (×4) [61], 360° omnidirectional image and video super-resolution [5], lens-to-lens bokeh effect transformation [8, 33], real-time 4K super-resolution [9, 56], HR nonhomogenous dehazing [3], efficient super-resolution [25].

2. Challenge

The NTIRE 2023 Video Colorization Challenge addresses the black-and-white video colorization task. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first challenge to focus on general video colorization. It aims to assess and advance the latest level of video colorization and sets up two tracks that emphasize high-fidelity and time-consistent solutions respectively. The rest of this section describes challenge settings, including the dataset, evaluation, as well as phases of challenges.

2.1. Dataset

For the NTIRE 2023 Video Colorization Challenge, we employ a subset of Large-scale Diverse Video (LDV) dataset [49, 50, 51] as the training set and the validation set. The LDV dataset includes diverse categories of contents, various kinds of motion and different frame-rates. The original LDV dataset contains 240 high-quality videos with a resolution of 960 × 536. We use 200 of them as the training set and 15 of them as the validation set. The validation set is further divided into video frames that are publicly available to minimize the differences caused by different video decoding methods. The video frames are converted to grayscale using ‘cv2.cvtColor()’.

The test set contains 15 diverse videos collected from YouTube. Each video contains 100 grayscale frames in the size of 960 × 540. The videos contain multiple types of scenes, e.g., animal, city, human, indoor, scenery, sports, and so on.

2.2. Evaluation

Following the experimental protocol of most existing colorization methods, we mainly use Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) [12] to evaluate the colorization performance of the methods, where FID measures the distribution similarity between generated images and ground truth images. Although colorization is an inverse problem, it is a widely held view that the pixel-level metrics such as Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) [13] may not well reflect the actual colorization performance [7, 16, 18, 35, 45].

For temporal consistency, we adopt Color Distribution Consistency index (CDC) described in [28]. It is computed on the output colorized frames. Specifically, it computes the Jensen-Shannon (JS) divergence of the color distribution between consecutive frames:

$$CDC_t = \frac{1}{3 \times (N - t)} \sum_{c \in \{r, g, b\}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-t} JS(P_c(I^t), P_c(I^{t+i})),$$

where $N$ is the video sequence length and $P_c(I^t)$ is the normalized probability distribution of color image $I^t$ across $c$ channel, which can be calculated from the image histogram. $t$ denotes the time step. A smaller $t$ indicates short-term temporal consistency, while larger $t$ indicates long-term temporal consistency. The JS divergence can measure the similarity between two color probability distributions. The overall index can be calculated by:

$$CDC = \frac{1}{3} (CDC_1 + CDC_2 + CDC_4).$$

which considers the long-term and short-term temporal consistency together.

For Track 1, the aim is to obtain a solution with the best FID while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline in terms of CDC. For Track 2, the aim is to obtain solutions with the best CDC while being constrained to maintain or improve over the baseline in terms of FID. For both tracks, we choose DeOldify, the famous open-source colorization method, as the baseline defining the maximum CDC / FID. The baseline evaluation code can be found in https://modelscope.cn/models/damo/CVPR2023_NTIRE_Video_Colorization/summary.

2.3. Challenge Phases

The whole challenge consists of three phases: the developing phase, the validation phase, and the testing phase. In the developing phase, the participants can access to both grayscale and color videos of the training set. This
period allows them to become familiar with data structure while also developing their algorithms.

In the validation phase, the participants can access the grayscale video frames of the validation set. The participants had the opportunity to test their solutions on the validation images and receive immediate feedback by uploading results onto the server. A validation leaderboard is available.

In the testing phase, the participants can access the grayscale video frames of the test set. A test server and a leaderboard are provided. At the end of the test phase, the participants need to submit the executable file and a detailed description file outlining their methods before receiving the final rank.

3. Results

From 93 and 130 registered teams in two tracks, 15 and 14 teams advanced to the final testing phase respectively. Among them, 6 teams submitted valid results and fact sheets for both tracks. These teams are ranked according to the evaluation metrics presented in Section 2.2.

3.1. Track 1: Fréchet Inception Distance (FID) Optimization

For Track 1, we use DeOldify-video [4] as the baseline method. The final results are ranked by FID, which means that top solutions are expected to achieve a lower FID score while maintaining its CDC score lower than DeOldify-video’s. Table 1 reports the FID, CDC scores, and the final ranking of each team. Fig. 1 shows the qualitative results. The proposed methods of each team are described in Section 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>FID</th>
<th>CDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NJUSTer</td>
<td>Yixin Yang</td>
<td>21.5372</td>
<td>0.001717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUCPLUS</td>
<td>Jinjing Li</td>
<td>26.7934</td>
<td>0.000962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vectoria</td>
<td>Siqi Chen</td>
<td>55.9904</td>
<td>0.001450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppzz</td>
<td>Hanning Xu</td>
<td>56.8085</td>
<td>0.001122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVGroup</td>
<td>Zhao Zhang</td>
<td>60.0732</td>
<td>0.002548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.2961</td>
<td>0.002149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Track 2: Color Distribution Consistency (CDC) Optimization

For Track 2, we use DeOldify-video [4] as the baseline method. The final results are ranked by CDC, which means that top solutions are expected to achieve a lower CDC score while maintaining its FID score lower than DeOldify-video’s. Table 2 reports the FID, CDC scores, and the final ranking of each team. Fig. 2 shows the qualitative results. The proposed methods of each team are described in Section 4.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Team</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>FID</th>
<th>CDC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MiAlgo</td>
<td>Shuai Liu</td>
<td>54.7238</td>
<td>0.000819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUCPLUS</td>
<td>Jinjing Li</td>
<td>26.7934</td>
<td>0.000962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vectoria</td>
<td>Siqi Chen</td>
<td>63.7640</td>
<td>0.001017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJUSTer</td>
<td>Yixin Yang</td>
<td>56.4467</td>
<td>0.001066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ppzz</td>
<td>Hanning Xu</td>
<td>56.8085</td>
<td>0.001122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVGroup</td>
<td>Zhao Zhang</td>
<td>63.7058</td>
<td>0.001525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>baseline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>61.2961</td>
<td>0.002149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Teams and Methods

In this section, we briefly describe the methods proposed by teams participating in the final testing phase of the NTIRE 2023 Video Colorization Challenge.

4.1. Track 1

4.1.1 NJUSTer

The NJUSTer team adopted BiSTNet [53] as their baseline model. BiSTNet is a deep video colorization method that leverages semantic image prior to guide bidirectional temporal feature fusion. It can effectively exploit the color information of reference exemplars and propagate it to colorize each frame. BiSTNet consists of several core components: (a) bidirectional temporal fusion block (BTFB), which fuses the features of adjacent frames in both forward and backward directions; (b) mixed expert module (MEB), which selects different colorization strategies based on the semantic image prior; (c) multi-scale recurrent framework (MSRB), which progressively colorizes each frame from coarse to fine. BiSTNet has been evaluated on multiple datasets and demonstrated its superiority in both quantitative and qualitative aspects.

For example-based video coloring methods, high-quality reference frames are crucial. The NJUSTer team first experimented DISCO [46], an image colorization method, to generate colorful reference frames. They fine-tuned this model with the NTIRE2023 Video Colorization training dataset, and colored ‘f001.png’, ‘f050.png’, and ‘f100.png’ frames (key colorful frames) required by BiSTNet. Experimental results show that DISCO generates reference frames with good visual effects but overall colorfulness is far from satisfactory. Moreover, since DISCO does not consider temporal consistency between frames, selecting reference frames will cause color inconsistency of the same objects. They discovered that the accuracy of the color greatly impacts the calculation of the FID score. Even if the generated image color is reasonable, there is a significant difference from the ground truth, which can result in a high FID value (the lower, the
better). Therefore, they ultimately chose to search for the closest possible color images from the internet as reference frames for BiSTNet. The video colorization model based on these reference frames performs very competitively (please see in Table 3). In conclusion, their research demonstrates that our model performs well enough when there are high-quality reference frames available. When high-quality reference frames are not accessible, image colorization methods (like DISCO) equipped with human manual coloring are also a good alternative solution.

### Table 3. Team NJUSTer: the impact of the colorful reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source of key frames</th>
<th>FID↑</th>
<th>CDC↓</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>from the internet</td>
<td>21.5372</td>
<td>0.001717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>from the DISCO [46]</td>
<td>73.4874</td>
<td>0.001716</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 4.1.2 CUCPLUS

The CUCPLUS team proposed a Bi-directional Recurrent Transformer Network for Video Colorization [24]. As shown in Fig. 3, the proposed network BRT is based on RTN [38], with the difference being that the transformer adopted in it is the Restormer Network [57]. BRT takes a series of video frames as input, a shallow feature, and optical
flow information will be obtained after Encoder and Flow, respectively. Learnable Guided Mask [38] module will fusion this information and feed the output feature maps into Restormer Network, which can capture more multi-scale semantics during training and reduce the occurrence of color artifacts. The Learnable Guided Mask block adopted in BRT is with the same setting as in RTN [38]. In addition, the proposed BRT employs adversarial training as the same as RTN [38] during the training process.

During the inference phase, considering the potential discrepancy between the input image distribution during model training and testing, the proposed BRT employed the TLC [47] strategy to alleviate such a gap in the inference phase. As shown in Fig. 4. BRT leverages different model weights to perform inference predictions on subsets of the test set. At the same time, some video clips in the test set contain rich content, such as numerous characters, and the generalization ability of BRT is poor on such clips. To address this issue, firstly, BRT utilized the baseline [4] method to generate synthetic data, and then trained the model on these data. Secondly, BRT is trained with the extra clips which are collected from YouTube for finetuning.

4.1.3 MiAlgo

The MiAlgo team proposes a multi-model fusion strategy for Track 1. As shown in Fig. 5, the approach involves training CT2 [44] on a large amount of unfiltered YouTube data [52] and naming the model as "CT2 classic". Subsequently, the data is cleaned and filtered to select video frames of common scenes using the official training set. Another CT2 model is trained on the filtered data, and named "CT2 vivid". During testing, a content-based image retrieval system (CBIR) is utilized to match the test video and the filtered training set. If the distance exceeds a threshold, the vivid result is used, otherwise, the classic result is used. This design is intended to increase the robustness of the method by using different models for common and uncommon scenarios.

4.1.4 vectoria

The vectoria team proposes Temporal Consistent Automatic Video Colorization with Semantic Correspondence [60], which combines semantic correspondence network into automatic video colorization. As illustrated in Fig. 6, the proposed framework is divided into two stages. The first stage involves an automatic image colorization network, and the second stage includes a semantic correspondence network and an image colorization network. In the first stage, the first frame of each video is selected to be automatically colorized. And the resulting image is then regarded as a reference image in the second stage.

\[ I_{ref}^{lab} = C_1(I_0) \]  

(3)

In which \( C_1 \) represents the image colorization network in the first stage. \( I_i, I_{ref} \) denote the \( i^{th} \) frame and the reference image respectively. For maintaining temporal consistency, rather than only correlating to the previous few frames, the colorization of the remaining grayscale frames also depends on their semantic correspondence with the reference image, which can be denoted by:

\[ \hat{I}_{lab}^n = C_2(S(I_n, I_{ref}^{lab}), \hat{I}_{lab}^{n-1}) \]  

(4)

Where \( S \) represents the semantic correspondence network, and \( C_2 \) the image colorization network in the second stage. Thus, this approach is capable of better maintaining temporal consistency along time series. They train another model without the semantic correspondence network to represent its effectiveness, and the visual comparison is illustrated in Fig. 7. Without a semantic correspondence network, the object can have diverse colors in different frames. With the semantic correspondence network, the frames with large intervals still maintain pleasant temporal consistency.

The image colorization network in the first stage is an encoder-decoder structure with skip connections, group convolutions, and dilated convolutions [54]. The semantic correspondence network is a CNN-Transformer structure [30] with non-local operation [41]. And the image colorization network in the second stage combines the encoder-decoder structure in the first stage with a Transformer branch.

The training of the networks in two stages is independent. For the network in the first stage, the image colorization network is trained on images from ImageNet [10], REDS [29], DAVIS [31], SportMOT [1] and the official training set in the competition. The images in odd colors, low resolution, or low contrast are removed. About 1.1 million images are involved in training. Image-based objectives: L1 loss, perceptual loss, generator loss, and smoothness loss [58] are adopted. And for networks in the second stage, the training set includes DAVIS [31], Video [2], and FVI [6] dataset. 2090 videos in total are collected. Moreover, The pre-trained models in [43, 58] are used to initialize the parameters. Besides the image-based objectives, video-based objective temporal warping loss [28] is also adopted.

4.1.5 ppzz

The ppzz team proposed a method that uses two pretrained models to generate the final test results. They use a ColorFormer [16] pretrained on ImageNet to generate the exemplar images regarding each video clip. These exemplar
Figure 4. Team CUCPLUS: Test phase inference strategy. Firstly, BRT uses TLC [47] to alleviate the gap between training and testing. Secondly, different clips of the test set are predicted by different model weights.

Figure 5. Overview of the approach used by Team MiAlgo.

Images are further utilized by a Deep-Exemplar [58] pre-trained on Video and Hollywood2 datasets to produce the colorized frames.

Compared with other SOTA images colorization models [44, 45], employing ColorFormer [16] as the exemplar-generation backbone has three advantages: 1) stability to produce highly-coefficient images when given frame sequences; 2) fast inference speed; 3) low memory consumption.

Compared with other SOTA video colorization mod-
Figure 6. The overall framework used by Team vectoria

Figure 7. Team vectoria: visual comparison of colorization results with or without semantic correspondence network. The images are selected from the official test set. Each interval of the adjacent frames is 30.

eels which are based on single image colorization methods \([21, 22, 23, 28]\), employing Deep-Exemplar \([58]\) as the video colorization backbone also has three advantages: 1) astonishing high temporal consistency between generated frames especially when the exemplar image has the similar structure as the gray frames; 2) fast inference speed; 3) low
memory consumption.

4.1.6 LVGroup_HFUT

The LVGroup_HFUT team uses U-Net [32] as the backbone of the proposed method for Track 1. The skip connection [15] is applied between mirrored layers in the encoder and decoder stacks as shown in Fig. 8. They add skip connections between each layer \( i \) and layer \( n - i \), where \( n \) is the total number of layers. Each skip connection simply concatenates all channels at layer \( i \) with those at layer \( n - i \). This approach promotes the decoder to preserve low-level details and facilitates the convergence of the whole system since the gradients easily pass to encoder layers.

4.2. Track 2

4.2.1 MiAlgo

As illustrated in Fig. 5, the models used for testing Track 2 are the same as those employed in Track 1. These models are used to calculate the CDC of each test sequence. After the CDC values have been calculated, inter-frame smoothing is applied with varying strengths based on the CDC value. The purpose of this approach is to improve the performance of the method by reducing the impact of camera motion on the visual content of the test sequence.

4.2.2 CUCPLUS

The CUCPLUS team proposes the same method for both tracks, which is described in Section 4.1.2.

4.2.3 vectoria

The vectoria team proposes the same method for both tracks, which is described in Section 4.1.4.

4.2.4 NJUSTer

The NJUSTer team proposes the same method for both tracks, which is described in Section 4.1.1.

4.2.5 ppzz

The ppzz team proposes the same method for both tracks, which is described in Section 4.1.5.

4.2.6 LVGroup_HFUT

The LVGroup_HFUT team proposes a Channel Enhancement Module to enhance the performance of Deoldify [4] for Track 2. As shown in Fig. 9, the proposed Channel Enhancement Module (CEM) mainly adopts ECA [40] to enhance channel information locally. A local cross-channel interaction strategy without dimensionality reduction is used to avoid dimension reduction for channel attention learning. Additionally, the appropriate cross-channel interaction can significantly reduce model complexity while maintaining performance.
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**Affiliations:**
1. Communication University of China, Beijing 100024, China
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3. UHDTV Research and Application Laboratory, Beijing 100176, China
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**Team Leader:**
Shuai Liu\(^3\) (liushuai21@xiaomi.com)
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Temporal Consistent Automatic Video Colorization with Semantic Correspondence
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1. School of Artificial Intelligence, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, China

**ppzz**

**Title:**
Deep Exemplar Feature Propagation for Video Colorization

**Team Leader:**
Hanning Xu\(^1\) (hanningxu@zjut.edu.cn)
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1. ZJUT

**LVGroup_HFUT**

**Title of Track 1:**
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