047

Empowering Resampling Operation for Ultra-High-Definition Image Enhancement with Model-Aware Guidance Supplementary Material

Anonymous CVPR submission

Paper ID 3204

1. Dataset Details

In this work, we validate the effectiveness of our methods using two datasets for UHD image enhancement. The UHD-LOL4K [7] dataset consists of 5999 paired training images and another 2100 paired test images. The 4KIL [4] dataset contains 1040 paired images, where we randomly select 940 images as the training dataset and the remaining 100 images are used for testing.

2. More Implementation Details

010 2.1. Pre-training Details

Our object is to establish the collaborative interplay be-011 tween the resizers and existing enhancement models. We 012 begin by training baseline models on the above two datasets. 013 014 For all the baselines, we utilize the commonly adopted encoder-decoder architecture with skip connections, incor-015 016 porating two times downsampling and upsampling within the backbone, as shown in Figure 1. The downsampling is 017 018 achieved using a convolution layer with a stride of 2, while 019 the upsampling is implemented using a transposed convolu-020 tion layer. The pre-training process is based on the PyTorch 021 framework with one NVIDIA 3090 GPU. To demonstrate 022 the scalability and robustness of our methods, we pre-train 023 three different backbones, including the CNN-I model on the UHD-LOL4K dataset, the CNN-H model on the 4KIL 024 025 dataset, and the Restormer model on the 4KIL dataset. For the CNN-I model, the inner processing module is the in-026 027 vertible block proposed by [5]. For the CNN-H model, the inner processing module is the half instance normalization 028 block proposed by [1]. The channel number is set to 64 029 for all the processing modules. For the Restormer model, 030 031 we follow the default modules as proposed by [8] but with small channel numbers. Specifically, the number of trans-032 former blocks is [2,3,3], the number of heads is [1,2,4], 033 the number of channels is [16, 32, 64]. During pre-training, 034 we adopted the Adam [3] optimizer with $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and 035 $\beta_2 = 0.999$ for parameter optimization. For these two CNN 036 037 models, we crop patch size of 1024×1024 for training and the batch size is set to 4. The training epochs are 100 for 038 CNN-I and 30 for CNN-H. The initial learning rate is set 039 to $1e^{-3}$ and $6e^{-4}$ for the CNN-I and CNN-H respectively, 040 which decays by a factor value of 0.75 every 20 epochs and 041 10 epochs correspondingly. With regard to the Restormer 042 backbone, we use a patch size of 768×768 and a batch size 043 of 1 for training. The total training epoch is set to 30, and 044 the initial learning rate is $5e^{-4}$ with a decay of 0.75 every 045 10 epochs. The mean absolute error is used for pre-training. 046



Figure 1. The architecture of the CNN backbone. The inside processing unit can be any module, where we utilize the invertible block and half instance block in our implementations.

2.2. Collaborative Training Details

In this stage, we aim to facilitate the collaboration between 048 the resizer and enhancer via customizing resampling under 049 the guidance of model knowledge. The overview of this 050 process is depicted in Figure 2. It is important to note 051 that the trainable parameters only consist of two convolu-052 tion layers and one MLP, where the MLP is accelerated by 053 tiny-cuda-nn [6]. The convolution layers are equipped with 054 1×1 kernels, a stride of 1, and no padding. For the CNN-I 055 model, the MLP contains five hidden layers, each contain-056 ing 128 neurons, and employing LeakyRelu as the activa-057 tion function. For the CNN-H model, the MLP contains six 058 hidden layers, each containing 128 neurons, and employing 059 LeakyRelu as the activation function. For the Restormer, 060 the MLP contains five hidden layers, each containing 128 061 neurons, and employing Tanh as the activation function. For 062 the discriminator, the inner basic processing unit is the con-063 volution layers followed by the LeakyRelu activation func-064 tion. The convolution layers are equipped with 4×4 kernels, 065 a stride of 2, and a padding size of 1. 066

091

096



Figure 2. Overview of the proposed LMAR. The sub-graph (a) depicts the training phase of LMAR, which encourages the compensated low-resolution input to maintain representation consistency with the full-resolution UHD input as perceived by the enhancer. The sub-graph (b) demonstrates the inference pipeline of our LMAR, where the compensated low-resolution input is directly fed into the enhancer and then upsampled to the UHD result. The sub-graph (c) illustrates how LMAR works, where the core lies in estimating compensatory kernels under the guidance of model knowledge to make up for the resampling process.

3. Extension on Low-resolution Dataset

In addition to the previously mentioned two UHD image 068 enhancement datasets, we also assessed the effectiveness 069 of our method using the low-resolution LOL [2] dataset. 070 We utilize the synthetic dataset from the LOLv2 version for 071 072 training and testing, where 900 paired images are used for 073 training and 100 images for testing. Similarly, we first train 074 an enhancer and then correlate the enhancer with the resizer. 075 We retrain the CNN-I on this dataset for 45 epochs with a patch size of 256×256 and batch size of 4. The initial learn-076 ing rate is $5e^{-4}$, which decays by a factor value of 0.75 ev-077 ery 15 epochs. For the collaborative training phase, we also 078 employ the random scale training strategy on a patch size 079 080 of 256×256 . The training epoch is set to 90 and a batch size of 1. The initial learning rate is $2e^{-4}$, which decays 081 by a factor value of 0.75 every 30 epochs. Since the test 082 image size is 384×384 , we make the test on these three 083 084 downsampling scales, including 192×192 , 160×240 , and 128×128 . We employ two types of resizers to demon-085 strate the results, including the bicubic and the lanczos3. 086 As shown in Table 1, our method consistently achieved per-087 formance improvements across different resampling scales 088 on this low-resolution dataset. It verifies the robustness and 089 090 scalability of our designs.

Table 1. Quantitative results on the LOL datasets with *CNN-I* as the backbone. The results with LMAR are shown in gray with better results highlighted in **bold**.

Scales	(384, 384)	(192, 192)	(160, 240)	(128, 128)
cubic	21.95 / 0.8907	20.48 / 0.8536	20.42 / 0.8340	19.42 / 0.7552
	21.95 / 0.8906	20.54 / 0.8583	20.50 / 0.8344	19.59 / 0.7582
lanczos3	21.95 / 0.8907	20.40 / 0.8563	20.34 / 0.8313	19.35 / 0.7489
	21.94 / 0.8907	25.49 / 0.8582	20.46 / 0.8335	19.53 / 0.7564

4. Additional Visual Comparison

In this section, we will present more visual comparison results on the UHD-LOL4K dataset and the 4KIL dataset, including the downscaled representations comparison and the final enhanced UHD results comparison.

5. Further Investigations

Our method encounters limitations in handling extremely
dark conditions, which could be explored in the future. Ad-
ditionally, while our method focuses on customizing resam-
pling by spatial domain compensation, the exploration of
correlating interpolation resampler and enhancement mod-
els in the frequency domain remains unexplored, which
presents an intriguing avenue for further investigation.097
098

CVPR

#3204

- [1] Liangyu Chen, Xin Lu, Jie Zhang, Xiaojie Chu, and Chengpeng Chen. Hinet: Half instance normalization network for image restoration. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*Workshops, pages 182–192, 2021. 1
- [2] Wenhan Yang Jiaying Liu Chen Wei, Wenjing Wang. Deep retinex decomposition for low-light enhancement. In *British Machine Vision Conference*, 2018. 2
- [3] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for
 stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*,
 2014. 1
- [4] Qiaowanni Lin, Zhuoran Zheng, and Xiuyi Jia. Uhd low-light
 image enhancement via interpretable bilateral learning. *Information Sciences*, 2022.
- [5] Yang Liu, Zhenyue Qin, Saeed Anwar, Pan Ji, Dongwoo Kim,
 Sabrina Caldwell, and Tom Gedeon. Invertible denoising network: A light solution for real noise removal. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10546*, 2021. 1
- 123 [6] Thomas Müller. tiny-cuda-nn, 2021. 1
- [7] Tao Wang, Kaihao Zhang, Tianrun Shen, Wenhan Luo, Bjorn
 Stenger, and Tong Lu. Ultra-high-definition low-light image
 enhancement: A benchmark and transformer-based method. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.11548*, 2022. 1
- [8] Syed Waqas Zamir, Aditya Arora, Salman Khan, Munawar Hayat, Fahad Shahbaz Khan, and Ming-Hsuan Yang.
 Restormer: Efficient transformer for high-resolution image restoration. In *CVPR*, 2022. 1



Figure 3. Downscaled representation comparison on the UHD-LOL4K dataset over different scales. The difference is magnified by four times. Please zoom in for details.



Figure 4. Qualitative results on the UHD-LOL4k dataset of different scales. The top one is obtained from the cubic operator without LMAR, while the bottom one is with LMAR. Please zoom in for details.



(c) (720, 1280)

(f) (2160, 3840)

Figure 5. Downscaled representation comparison on the UHD-LOL4K dataset over different scales. The difference is magnified by four times. Please zoom in for details.



Figure 6. Qualitative results on the UHD-LOL4k dataset of different scales. The top one is obtained from the cubic operator without LMAR, while the bottom one is with LMAR. Please zoom in for details.



(c) (720, 1280)

(f) (2160, 3840)

Figure 7. Downscaled representation comparison on the UHD-LOL4K dataset over different scales. The difference is magnified by four times. Please zoom in for details.



Figure 8. Qualitative results on the UHD-LOL4k dataset of different scales. The top one is obtained from the cubic operator without LMAR, while the bottom one is with LMAR. Please zoom in for details.



Figure 9. Downscaled representation comparison on the 4KIL dataset over different scales. The difference is magnified by four times. Please zoom in for details.



Figure 10. Qualitative results on the 4KIL dataset of different scales. The top one is obtained from the cubic operator without LMAR, while the bottom one is with LMAR. Please zoom in for details.