## MaskGaussian: Adaptive 3D Gaussian Representation from Probabilistic Masks

Supplementary Material

#### 6. Computing Gradients of Masks

For a pixel  $\mathbf{x}$ , we compute the gradient of the loss  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the mask  $\mathcal{M}_i$  by backpropagating the gradient of  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the output pixel color  $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$ .

We split  $\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})$  in two parts, the part colored by N Gaussian  $\mathbf{c}_g(\mathbf{x})$  and the part colored by background  $\mathbf{c}_b(\mathbf{x})$ 

$$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}_q(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{c}_b(\mathbf{x}),\tag{9}$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_b(\mathbf{x})$  is contributed by the final transmittance  $T_{N+1}$ and background color  $\mathbf{c}_{bq}$ 

$$\mathbf{c}_b(\mathbf{x}) = T_{N+1} \cdot \mathbf{c}_{bq}.\tag{10}$$

Now we compute the gradient of the first part with respect to  $\mathcal{M}_i$ . Recall that the definition of  $\mathbf{b}_i$  is the color rendered from the i-th Gaussian to the last Gaussian, so we have the following equation from alpha blending:

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \mathcal{M}_i \cdot \alpha_i \cdot \mathbf{c}_i + (1 - \mathcal{M}_i \cdot \alpha_i) \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i+1}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_i$  is the color of the i-th Gaussian.

According to the definition of  $\mathbf{b}_1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_1} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}_q(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})}.$$
 (12)

We can use (11) and (12) to compute the gradient with respect to  $\mathbf{b}_i$  with standard chain rule

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{i}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{j}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{j+1}} \\
= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - \mathcal{M}_{j} \cdot \alpha_{j}) \mathbb{I}_{3} \qquad (13) \\
= T_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})}.$$

From (11) we have the gradient of  $\mathbf{b}_i$  with respect to the mask

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_i}{\partial \mathcal{M}_i} = \alpha_i \cdot (\mathbf{c}_i - \mathbf{b}_{i+1}). \tag{14}$$

Therefore we write the gradient with respect to the mask as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{M}_{i}} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{i}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{i}}{\partial \mathcal{M}_{i}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_{b}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathcal{M}_{i}} = \alpha_{i} T_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} (\mathbf{c}_{i} - \mathbf{b}_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_{b}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathcal{M}_{i}}.$$
(15)

For the gradient of the second part, notice that

$$T_{N+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1 - \alpha_i \cdot \mathcal{M}_i).$$
(16)

We can thus compute

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_b(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathcal{M}_i} = \frac{-\alpha_i \cdot T_{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_i \cdot \mathcal{M}_i} \, \mathbf{c}_{bg}.$$
 (17)

Combining the first and second part, we get

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{M}_{i}} = \alpha_{i} T_{i} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} (\mathbf{c}_{i} - \mathbf{b}_{i+1}) \\ + \frac{-\alpha_{i} \cdot T_{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_{i} \cdot \mathcal{M}_{i}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{c}_{bg}.$$
(18)

For brevity, the second part was omitted from the main manuscript in Eq 5.

# 7. Comparison with LightGaussian (training from scratch)

LightGaussian [5] is a method developed to compress an already trained 3D Gaussian Splatting (3DGS) model through additional training steps. Besides the post-training setting, we compare with LightGaussian by training from scratch and apply Gaussian pruning at the 20,000th iteration<sup>1</sup>. Our model apples  $\lambda_m = 0.1$  during 19,000 to 20,000 iterations.

Table 9. Comparison with LightGaussian on Mip-NeRF360.

Dataset	Mip-NeRF360								
Metrics	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	$\text{LPIPS}{\downarrow}$	#GS (M)↓					
3DGS	27.45	0.811	0.223	3.204					
LightGaussian	27.10	0.800	0.246	1.090					
Ours	27.44	0.811	0.227	1.205					

Table 10. Comparison with LightGaussian on Tanks & Temples.

Dataset	Tanks &Temples								
Metrics	PSNR↑	$\text{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS↓	#GS (M)↓					
3DGS	23.74	0.848	0.176	1.825					
LightGaussian	23.04	0.822	0.222	0.625					
Ours	23.72	0.847	0.181	0.590					

Table 11. Comparison with LightGaussian on Deep Blending.

Dataset Metrics	Deep Blending PSNR↑ SSIM↑ LPIPS↓ #GS (M)							
3DGS	29.53	0.903	0.243	2.815				
LightGaussian	27.29	0.877	0.294	0.752				
Ours	29.69	0.907	0.244	0.694				

<sup>1</sup>This configuration follows the setup used in LightGaussian's official implementation.

Table 8. Ablation study for masking loss.

Dataset		Mip-	NeRF360		Tanks&Temples				Deep Blending			
Metrics	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$\#GS\;(M){\downarrow}$	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	$\#GS\;(M){\downarrow}$	PSNR↑	SSIM↑	LPIPS↓	#GS (M)↓
Compact3DGS-L1	27.32	0.805	0.233	1.533	23.61	0.846	0.180	0.960	29.58	0.903	0.248	1.310
Compact3DGS-L2	27.33	0.805	0.231	1.745	23.69	0.846	0.180	1.066	29.58	0.904	0.246	1.660
Ours-L1	27.42	0.811	0.225	1.811	23.56	0.845	0.179	0.900	29.71	0.905	0.243	1.208
Ours-L2	27.44	0.811	0.226	1.520	23.66	0.846	0.180	0.740	29.76	0.907	0.244	0.913

#### 8. Ablation for the Masking Loss

Through experiments, we observe that the L2 masking loss Eq. 7 outperforms the L1 loss for our proposed method. However, when applied to Compact3DGS [26], the L2 masking loss does not demonstrate a performance advantage over the L1 loss. The results are shown in Tab. 8.

### 9. Spatial Distribution of Gaussians

We visualize the Gaussian centers in Fig. 5. While 3DGS occasionally exhibits dense clusters of Gaussians in some regions and sparse distributions in others, leading to spatial inefficiency, Compact3DGS[26] attempts to prune Gaussian points but still suffers from clustering issues. In contrast, our method achieves a more uniform spatial distribution of Gaussians, effectively mitigating this problem.

#### **10. Per-Scene Results**

We provide the per-scene results of Tab. 1 in Mip-NeRF360 (Tab. 12), Tanks & Temples and Deep Blending (Tab. 13).



Figure 5. Gaussian centers visualized as blue points. Best viewed zoomed in.

Scene	e	bicycle	bonsai	counter	flowers	garden	kitchen	room	stump	treehill	Avg.
	PSNR	25.09	32.29	29.09	21.35	27.38	31.3	31.44	26.59	22.53	27.45
	SSIM	0.745	0.946	0.915	0.587	0.857	0.931	0.919	0.767	0.633	0.811
3DGS	LPIPS	0.244	0.179	0.183	0.358	0.122	0.116	0.217	0.244	0.347	0.223
3003	#GS (M)	5.70	1.25	1.16	3.47	5.81	1.75	1.56	4.65	3.49	3.204
	FPS	89.7	332.6	244.1	182.8	100.9	195.1	231.3	148.8	164.8	187.7
	PSNR	24.8	32.23	29.02	21.31	27.06	31.13	31.45	26.43	22.45	27.32
	SSIM	0.729	0.946	0.913	0.578	0.846	0.93	0.917	0.758	0.628	0.805
Compact3DGS	LPIPS	0.264	0.181	0.185	0.371	0.139	0.119	0.223	0.26	0.354	0.232
Compact5D05	#GS (M)	2.66	0.66	0.56	1.69	2.46	1.07	0.58	1.97	2.15	1.533
	FPS	146.8	449.2	337.4	301.9	178.4	253.1	383.3	259.0	220.6	281.1
	PSNR	25.07	32.3	29.08	21.38	27.31	31.49	31.44	26.58	22.43	27.45
	SSIM	0.745	0.946	0.915	0.588	0.856	0.932	0.919	0.768	0.632	0.811
RadSplat	LPIPS	0.244	0.179	0.183	0.359	0.123	0.116	0.218	0.244	0.348	0.223
Radopiat	#GS (M)	3.85	0.868	0.778	2.56	4.01	1.26	0.874	2.94	2.52	2.184
	FPS	132.6	411.0	318.2	228.6	139.3	244.8	347.3	199.1	209.8	247.8
	PSNR	25.08	31.9	29.01	21.33	27.34	31.54	31.42	26.67	22.6	27.43
	SSIM	0.746	0.944	0.913	0.587	0.856	0.931	0.918	0.77	0.634	0.811
Ours	LPIPS	0.248	0.184	0.188	0.361	0.125	0.119	0.222	0.244	0.352	0.227
Ours	#GS (M)	2.34	0.353	0.328	1.41	2.13	0.516	0.366	1.90	1.51	1.205
	FPS	200.5	619.3	492.1	347.7	227.2	435.0	542.0	289.2	309.3	384.7

Table 12. Per Scene Results on Mip-NeRF 360 dataset.

Table 13. Per Scene Results on Tanks & Temple and Deep Blending dataset.

Dataset		Tanl	ks & Te	mples	Deep Blending			
Scene		train	truck	Avg.	drjohnson	playroom	Avg.	
	PSNR	22.06	25.43	23.74	29.05	29.99	29.52	
	SSIM	0.815	0.882	0.848	0.900	0.906	0.903	
2005	LPIPS	0.206	0.146	0.176	0.244	0.242	0.243	
3003	#GS(M)	1.08	2.57	1.825	3.30	2.33	2.815	
	FPS	296.6	212.5	254.5	163.0	239.5	201.2	
	PSNR	21.89	25.33	23.61	29.14	30.02	29.58	
	SSIM	0.812	0.880	0.846	0.900	0.906	0.903	
Commont2DCS	LPIPS	0.210	0.150	0.180	0.248	0.248	0.248	
CompactSDGS	#GS (M)	0.81	1.11	0.960	1.62	1.00	1.310	
	FPS	358.6	359.3	358.9	293.3	439.1	366.2	
	PSNR	21.81	25.4	23.605	29.06	30.04	29.55	
	SSIM	0.813	0.882	0.847	0.900	0.907	0.903	
DadSplat	LPIPS	0.208	0.147	0.177	0.244	0.243	0.243	
RauSpiat	#GS (M)	0.737	1.37	1.053	1.75	1.28	1.515	
	FPS	433.5	359.4	396.4	296.9	393.7	345.3	
	PSNR	22.01	25.43	23.72	29.21	30.18	29.695	
0	SSIM	0.812	0.882	0.847	0.904	0.910	0.907	
	LPIPS	0.214	0.148	0.181	0.244	0.245	0.244	
Ours	#GS (M)	0.402	0.779	0.590	0.880	0.508	0.694	
	FPS	607.0	509.7	558.3	466.1	598.7	532.4	