Best Linear Unbiased Estimation for 2D and 3D Flow with Event-based Cameras

Supplementary Material

A. BLUE Theorem

Definition. Let y_1, \ldots, y_n be n i.i.d. data points drawn from a distribution that depends on a parameter θ . A point estimator θ_n of θ is a function of the data, i.e., $\theta_n = g(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$. We say that the estimator θ_n is unbiased if $\mathbb{E}[\theta_n] = \theta$, and consistent if $\theta_n \to \theta$ in probability as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem. A linear estimator for the event function $y(x,t;\theta) = \varphi(x) + t\theta$ is unbiased if the coefficients α_i satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i = 1.$$

These conditions are necessary if the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \mu & \theta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2}$ has full rank, where $\mu = E[\varphi(x)]$. Furthermore, the best linear unbiased estimator is given by

$$\alpha_i = \frac{t_i - \bar{t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - \bar{t})^2}, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{t} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n t_j.$$

It is consistent with convergence rate $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}\right)$ if there exists a constant c > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(t_i-\bar{t})^2 \ge c$$

for sufficiently large n.

Proof. For n events y_i , the expected value of a linear estimator for the j-th component is

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{(j)}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} E[y_{i}^{(j)}] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} E[\varphi^{(j)}(x_{i})] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} t_{i} \theta^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} E[\varepsilon_{i}^{(j)}].$$

Rearranging,

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i^{(j)}\right] = \mu^{(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \theta^{(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i.$$

For unbiasedness, we require

$$\mu^{(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i + \theta^{(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i = \theta^{(j)}.$$

Setting $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i$ and $b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i - 1$, it reduces to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu & \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

This system has a = 0, b = 0 as solution and is unique if $\begin{bmatrix} \mu & \theta \end{bmatrix}$ is full rank, yielding

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i t_i = 1.$$

On the other hand, using the independence between the variables, the variance for the j-th component is

$$Var\bigg(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i}^{(j)}\bigg) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{2} Var(y_{i}^{(j)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}^{2} \Big(Var(\varphi^{(j)}(x_{i})) + Var(\varepsilon_{i}^{(j)}) \Big).$$

Assigning $c_j = Var(\varphi^{(j)}(x_i)) + Var(\varepsilon_i^{(j)})$, we obtain

$$Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i y_i^{(j)}\right) = c_j \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2$$

To minimize the variance under the unbiasedness constraints, we use Lagrange multipliers

$$L(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n,\lambda_0,\lambda_1) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^2 + \lambda_0 \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j + \lambda_1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j t_j - 1 \right).$$

Setting derivatives to zero

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha_i} = 2\alpha_i + \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 t_i = 0,$$

summing over all i, and using the constraints, we derive

$$\lambda_0 = -\lambda_1 \overline{t}, \quad \lambda_1 = -\frac{2}{\sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - \overline{t})^2}.$$

Substituting these into the derivatives gives

$$\alpha_i = \frac{t_i - \bar{t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n (t_j - \bar{t})^2}.$$

To analyze consistency, consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_i - \bar{t})^2}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_j - \bar{t})^2\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (t_j - \bar{t})^2}.$$

Applying the given condition

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(t_{i}-\bar{t})^{2} \ge c,$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i^2 \le \frac{c}{n}$$

By denoting $c' = \max_i c_i$ and using Jensen's inequality we obtain

$$E\left[\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}y_{i}-\theta\right\|\right] \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{d} Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}y_{i}^{(j)}\right)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_{i}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{d}c_{j}} \leq \sqrt{\frac{cc'd}{n}}.$$

Thus, the convergence rate is $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{d}{n}}\right)$.

The consistency condition in the theorem ensures that the variance of the timestamps is not close to zero, preventing events from being overly concentrated around a specific time. A high concentration at a single point in time results in limited motion information.