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Figure 1: (right) Our model learns visual correspondence at the level of line-enclosed segments in the line images. (left) Using the learned segment correspondences, our model performs colorization by propagating colors from a reference image across a sequence of grayscale line images.

Abstract

Visual correspondence is a fundamental building block on the way to building assistive tools for hand-drawn animation. However, while a large body of work has focused on learning visual correspondences at the pixel-level, few approaches have emerged to learn correspondence at the level of line enclosures (segments) that naturally occur in hand-drawn animation. Exploiting this structure in animation has numerous benefits: it avoids the memory complexity of pixel attention over high resolution images and enables the use of real-world animation datasets that contain correspondence information at the level of per-segment colors. To that end, we propose the Animation Transformer (AnT) which uses a Transformer-based architecture to learn the spatial and visual relationships between segments across a sequence of images. By leveraging a forward match loss and a cycle consistency loss our approach attains excellent results compared to state-of-the-art pixel approaches on challenging datasets from real animation productions that lack ground-truth correspondence labels.

1. Introduction

Hand-drawn animation has been around for over 100 years and is one of the most popular mediums of digital entertainment today. Though the advent of drawing tablets and digital software have made the process of creating hand-drawn animation substantially easier, it is still a highly manual process that involves drawing and editing each individual frame. Many of these tasks lie in the grey area between repetitively algorithmic processes and artistic choices, opening the door for new assistive tools that augment artists’ workflows.

Existing commercial tools have applied heuristic algorithms in this domain with limited results, usually requiring artists to work in vector format or use complex character rigging that removes the hand-drawn feel of the final product. Deep learning approaches, on the other hand, can act directly on top of raw pixel input but cannot scale easily to HD resolutions and fail to properly exploit the structure of hand-drawn animation drawings – specifically, the smaller line enclosures (segments) which can be extracted by a flood-fill or morphological algorithm.

In this paper, we focus on the task of learning visual correspondence across sequences of raster animation line drawings. This is a fundamental building block for building assistive animation tools for tasks such as coloring, in-betweening, and texturing which make up a large portion of the tedious, non-creative work in the animation pipeline. With correspondence information an animator can color or texture a few frames in a sequence and propagate the colors through the rest of the images, saving hours of manual labor. New in-between frames can be generated by morph-
Correspondence Matching: Our paper builds off of a growing body of research that learns correspondence by matching features extracted from a deep neural network across images. A common approach is to extract high-level activation maps from the image and match corresponding regions in feature space. This framework has been applied to video tracking [26, 11] and exemplar-based colorization [15, 31] in the photo-realistic domain as well as exemplar-based colorization in the line image animation domain [21, 34]. However, representations learned in this way are inherently limited by the memory complexity of computing dense pixel attention maps. Even with multi-scale techniques [12] or local attention [11], it is computationally infeasible to use these techniques for HD and above resolutions. In contrast, our approach computes attention over the line-enclosed segments in the images, which makes the attention operation bounded by the number of segments rather than pixels in the input image.

Research has also explored using the feature matching framework in combination with different ways of representing image regions, such as patches [9, 2] and local descriptors [18, 13]. Of particular interest to our approach is the line of research that learns multi-view correspondence across sketch images with local descriptors [16, 30]. However, our domain necessitates we learn correspondence at the level of segments so that we can train on real-world animation datasets with segment-level color labels and use the learned correspondences as an assistive tool for coloring.

Segment-based methods: Segments offer a natural way to decompose line images into a useful representation for learning tasks such as correspondence. Relevant to our approach is the work of Zhu et al. [36] which formulates segment-level correspondence matching across a sequence of images as a network flow graph problem and solves for the global optimum using the k-shortest path algorithm with Shape Context [1] features. Other work in this direction adopt a similar graph matching approach and apply spectral matching [14] and quadratic programming [19] on top of non-learned segment features. Recent work from Dang et al. [5] proposes using a U-Net to extract local features and optimize for correspondence matches with a triplet loss that minimizes the distances between matching segments and penalizes low distances between non-corresponding segments. Similar to these approaches, AnT uses global feature aggregation across segments to learn correspondences. However, we are the first to explore using a Transformer to aggregate segment features and do not require ground truth correspondences or hard example mining as input data.

Transformers: Transformers have been shown to be highly effective at learning a wide range of tasks in domains such as language modeling [25], image recognition [6], ob-
j ect detection [3], and protein folding [20]. Transformers introduced self-attention layers, which, similarly to Non-Local Neural Networks [27], scan through each element of a sequence and update it by aggregating information from the whole sequence. Recent applications of Transformers to computer vision use image patches [6, 3, 2] to break up the image into a tractable sequence length that avoids the quadratic complexity of computing attention over every pixel. Sarlin et al. [18] propose using a Transformer-based architecture to match sets of local feature descriptors where the matching assignments are estimated by solving a differentiable optimal transport problem. We design our Transformer architecture in a similar fashion, but use a different matching and loss formulation to handle the fact that one-to-none and one-to-many correspondences can occur in our domain.

**Cycle consistency:** Cycle-consistency has been applied as a learning objective for 3D shape matching, image alignment, depth estimation, and image-to-image-translation [37]. In the context of temporal domains, it can be a rich source of learning signal because the visual world is continuous and smoothly-varying. Recent work has shown that cycle-consistency is useful for learning visual tracking in the photo-realistic domain [11, 9] by learning to propagate labels in a forward-backward fashion. Our work applies this idea in the context of segment labels which allow us to train on datasets without ground-truth correspondence labels.

**Sketch-oriented Deep Learning:** Our work is also tangentially related to the broader area of sketch-oriented deep learning. Research has investigated methods for a variety of tasks, such as single-image colorization from hints [33, 29, 4], sketch clean-up [22, 23], sketch generation [8, 7], sketch shadowing [35], and synthesis of vector graphics [17].

**Assistive Animation Tools:** Finally, we take inspiration from a variety of creative tools that aim to augment the animation pipeline. LazyBrush by Šykora et al. [24] paints hand-made cartoon drawings from imprecise color strokes. EBSynth by Jamriška et al. [10] uses patch-based synthesis to paint over photo-realistic video from exemplar images with texture coherence, contrast and high frequency details. BetweenIT by Whited et al. [28] use stroke interpolation from keyframes for smooth in-betweening of vectorized animation. Zhang et al. [34] propose a system for colorizing in-between frames from line frames and colorized keyframes using a deep neural network. This work shares a similar goal to AnT but operates on the level of pixels instead of segments.

### 3. Method

**Motivation:** Our goal is to estimate visual correspondence across a sequence of animation frames at the level of the line-enclosed segments in the line images. By using this naturally occurring structure (see Figure 2), we learn the spatial and positional relationships between segments; for example, a hand will have segments for each finger which are all connected to a segment for the palm. As the character moves throughout the sequence, we can expect the structure to hold; if we see several finger shaped segments we know we will see a round palm segment or small fingernail segments nearby (see Figure 3). However, due to occlusion and motion, a segment may completely go out of frame or be split into smaller sub-parts in the next frame that both correspond to the same segment in the earlier frame (see Figure 3). Thus, we formulate AnT as a segment matching problem where segments can match to 0, 1, or multiple segments in the other frames.

**Data:** The architecture for AnT is motivated by the structure of data it operates on as well as the availability of two types of labels: correspondence labels that assign each segment a consistent, unique ID throughout the sequence and color labels that assign each segment a consistent, but possibly non-unique color. Correspondence labels offer the cleanest, most direct form of supervision for our task; but they come at the expense of not existing in the real-world – in order to obtain these we use 3D rendering software to generate the realistic looking line images with unique segment IDs (for more details see Section 4.1). On the other hand, colorized animation is plentiful in the real-world but offers a weaker form of supervision for our task; multiple segments often share the same color across the sequence, so color labels only tell the model that a segment in one frame corresponds to something in the set of segments in the other frame that share the same color. Our architecture is able to operate on and learn effectively from both forms of supervision.

**Formulation:** Consider two line images $A \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 1}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 1}$ which have $M$ and $N$ segments and are indexed by $A := \{1, ..., M\}$ and $B := \{1, ..., N\}$, respectively. We extract segments from the line images using a trapped-ball filling algorithm where each line enclosed region is a separate segment. We divide the image into a set of smaller cropped images using the bounding box coordinates of each segment and then resize each cropped image to a smaller resolution $H_c, W_c$. Each segment has positional information $p_s = (x_s, y_s, h_s, w_s)$ in the form of its bounding box coordinates and visual information $d_s \in \mathbb{R}^{H_c \times W_c \times 2}$ in the form of the concatenated line image and binary segmentation mask crops. We refer to these features $x_s$ jointly as the local segment features.
3.1. AnT Architecture

As shown in Figure 3, our model consists of three main modules: the CNN backbone network to extract visual features for each segment, the bounding box encoder to extract positional embeddings for each segment, and a multiplex transformer which learns the global structure across segments and frames and predicts the final match matrix.

The multiplex Transformer architecture is inspired by [18] and we encourage readers to refer to the original SuperGlue paper for additional details. While the positional and visual features are an important foundation for estimating segment correspondences, there are often visual ambiguities that arise which cannot be solved by looking at local features alone. For example, in Figure 4 we see examples of cases that would make matching on local features alone impossible: an occlusion or deformation can disfigure an individual segment or there may be multiple segments such as eyes that are indistinguishable from one another if viewed in isolation. Additionally, animation line drawings often contain groups of neighboring segments that pertain to the same semantic part but are split into multiple segments because the artist has drawn an object in the foreground whose contour lines intersect with that an object behind it (see Figure 5).

These challenges motivate the need for an architecture that can aggregate global feature information across segments within the individual images as well as integrate segment information across images. We describe this in more detail in the following sections.

CNN Backbone: Starting from the cropped images $R_{H_c \times W_c \times 2}$, a conventional CNN backbone generates high-level activation maps for each segment crop. A $1 \times 1$ convolution squashes the spatial dimensions of the high-level activation maps yielding $D$ dimensional feature vectors. In our experiments we use $D = 256$ and $H_c, W_c = 32$.

Positional Encoder: We combine the visual features from the CNN backbone with positional information from the bounding box coordinates to get the final local features $x_i$ for each segment. We embed the bounding box coordinates into a $D$ dimensional vector with a multilayer perceptron.
(MLP) and add these into the visual features:

$$x_i = \text{CNN}_{\text{enc}}(d_i) + \text{MLP}_{\text{enc}}(p_i).$$

Unlike [18], we train both the CNN backbone and positional encoder end-to-end with the multiplex Transformer.

**Multiplex Transformer:** As in [18], we adopt a multiplex Transformer architecture, which has two modes of information aggregation: it connects segments to all the other segments within the same image (self-attention) and connects segments to all the segments in the other image (cross-attention). In self-attention, features are aggregated at the level of segments within each individual image yielding features $z_A^f, z_B^f$ for input images $A, B$, respectively. Cross-attention operates over the output of the last self-attention step but aggregates information across images, yielding a new set of features $z_A^{f+1}, z_B^{f+1}$.

In query, key, value notation our attention function can be described as a variant of the classic formulation:

$$\text{Attention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{D}}\right)V$$

where in the cross-attention layers, the keys and values originate from the aggregated features $z_j$ of a target image and the queries originate from the aggregates features originating from a reference image $z_i$. In the self-attention layers, the queries, keys, and values all originate from the same source features $z_i$. It is important to note that reference and target are relative terms – target just denotes the other image with respect to the reference image. In our architecture, both directions of cross-attention are happening simultaneously. From the perspective of image $A$, image $B$ is the target and from the perspective of image $B$, $A$ is the target. An overview of the self and cross-attention blocks is illustrated in Figure 6.

Similar to the original transformer implementation, the multiplex transformer is made up of stacked transformer blocks that each consists of a multi-headed attention layer followed by a point-wise fully connected layer. We alternate between self and cross-attention in the transformer blocks and add residual connections between each block. The final matching features are computed by the output of the last transformer block and a final linear projection layer, yielding final features $z_A^f \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times D}$ and $z_B^f \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$.

### 3.2. Matching

AnT learns a similarity matrix between the aggregated reference and target features from the multiplex Transformer and then predicts the target label with a weighted sum of all the labels in the reference frame. We compute the predicted target labels $\hat{c}_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ as a linear combination of the labels $c_i \in \mathbb{R}^M$ in the reference frame:

$$\hat{c}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{M} S_{ij} c_i$$

where $S_{ij}$ is a similarity matrix between the target and reference frame such that the rows sum to one. As in [32], we use inner product similarity normalized by softmax:

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\exp(f_i^T f_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \exp(f_i^T f_j)}$$

where $f_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the feature vector corresponding to the segment at index $i$ in $z_A^f$ and $f_j \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is the feature vector corresponding to the segment at index $j$ in $z_B^f$.

### 3.3. Loss

In order to be able to learn from both correspondence and color labels, AnT employs two loss functions that can be used independently or averaged together depending on the label source.

**Forward match loss:** To encourage the model to directly use the correspondence or color labels, we use categorical cross-entropy loss between the predicted target labels $\hat{c}_j$ and the $c_j$ ground truth target labels from the dataset. In cases where we have correspondence labels, both the target labels $c_j$ and the reference labels $c_i$ used as input to the weighted average calculation are unique and thus the model directly minimizes incorrect correspondences. However, in the case of color labels, $c_i$ and $c_j$ are non-unique and the model only minimizes incorrect color assignments. This leads to the model learning to shortcut and find matches that yield the correct color assignments but are incorrect correspondences (see Figure 7).
Figure 7: The cycle consistency loss allows the model to utilize real world animation data without ground truth correspondences. An example scenario is shown in which the second target segment is incorrectly matched in the forward propagation but the model is not penalized by the color matching loss because both the predicted segment color label and the ground truth color label have the same color. To solve this, we propagate unique segment IDs through the forward pass and then back again to the reference image segments, enabling our cycle consistency loss to penalize the model according to whether the propagated IDs match their original values.

**Cycle consistency loss:** In order to solve the previously mentioned issues, we employ a cycle consistency loss that prevents the model from learning to shortcut in cases where we have non-unique color labels. Instead of using the reference labels from the dataset, we initialize a random vector of unique segment IDs $r_i \in \mathbb{R}^M$ and use these in place of $c_i$ for the weighted label aggregation:

$$\hat{r}_j = \sum_{i=1}^{M} S_{ij} r_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

We then propagate the predicted target labels $\hat{r}_j$ in the backward direction:

$$\hat{r}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_{ij} \hat{r}_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $T$ is the backward correlation matrix computed by:

$$T_{ij} = \frac{\exp\left(f_j^T f_i\right)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \exp\left(f_j^T f_i\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

As with the forward match loss, we use categorical cross-entropy loss between the randomly initialized segment IDs $r_i$ and the predicted segment IDs $\hat{r}_i$ propagated over the entire cycle. Our final loss term with both losses is:

$$L = \sum_{j=1}^{N} L_{fwd}(\hat{c}_j, c_j) + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{Q} L_{cyc}(\hat{r}_i, r_i)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $L_{fwd}$ is the forward matching loss, $L_{cyc}$ is the cycle consistency loss, and $\alpha$ is a hyper-parameter that weights the cycle consistency loss. In our experiments we use both losses with $\alpha = 0.25$.

### 4. Experiments

#### 4.1. Dataset details

**Synthetic Dataset:** To train AnT with ground truth segment correspondence labels, we generate a synthetic dataset in Cinema4D using freely available 3D models. We render realistic looking line images using a toon shader and generate the segment correspondence labels by assigning unique IDs to individual meshes. The characters are rigged with different movements, deformations, and rotations to simulate actual animation. We use 11 3D character models from TurboSquid and generate 1000 frames at 1500x1500 pixel resolution for each character, yielding 11000 frames in total. During training, we apply random frame skipping and other augmentation techniques such as cropping, jittering, and shearing. The characters range in complexity from some characters with as few as 10 segments to others with as high as 50. We create our evaluation set by randomly selecting sequences for a total of 1100 frames (10% of the dataset), uniformly split across each character.

**Real Dataset:** As a medium, hand-drawn animation is much more diverse and expressive than its 3D counterpart. Since animators are not confined to the limits of a 3D program, hand-drawn animation encompasses a much broader set of animation styles and character designs. For any visual correspondence model to work in the wild on a variety of animation styles, it cannot only be trained on synthetic data from 3D programs. To solve this, we collect a dataset of high resolution hand-drawn animation from 17 different real-world animation productions, totalling 3578 frames. The animation style of each production varies greatly, although the style is closer to U.S. and European animation. The dataset is extremely diverse, with hundreds of different characters. Importantly, the real dataset does not have unique correspondence labels; we use the segment colors in the colorized images to extract labels. In contrast with the synthetic dataset, this yields non-unique numeric segment labels. We create our evaluation set by randomly selecting sequences 25 variable-length sequences uniformly across each production. For 5 sequences in the evaluation set, no training data from the originating production exists in the training set at all.
4.2. Implementation details

Training details: We train AnT using the AdamW optimizer with and a learning rate of 5e-4, weight decay of 1e-4, gradient clipping at global norm 1. We use a learning rate warmup of 1K steps, and train for 100k iterations with no learning rate decay. AnT is trained with an effective batch size of 64 using gradient accumulation over 4 batches of 16 image pairs each. The Transformer has input and attention dropout of 0.1, which we found helpful for regularization. Unless otherwise specified, we train AnT with $L = 9$ layers of alternating multi-head self- and cross-attention with 4 heads each and $D = 256$ dimensional local features.

Time and memory complexity: A single forward pass of AnT takes on average 76ms (13 FPS) on a Nvidia Tesla V100 GPU. Using $M$ and $N$ to denote the number of reference and target segments, each cross attention layer AnT has to make $O(MN)$ comparisons and each self attention layer AnT has to make $O(M^2 + N^2)$ comparisons. By comparison, a forward pass of DEVC takes on average 147ms (6 FPS). Memory-wise, DEVC has to make $O((HW)^2)$ comparisons, where $H, W$ are the spatial dimensions of the CNN features. We were limited to using a batch size of 3 for DEVC, whereas we could use a batch size of 64 for AnT, yielding much faster training. Our leak-proof filling method implemented in OpenGL takes on average 1.4s on the same hardware, yielding a total inference speed of 2.16s for AnT or 2.87s for DEVC.

4.3. Comparisons

Baselines: We compare the performance of AnT to both the vanilla implementation of Deep Exemplar Video Colorization (DEVC) [32] as well as variants of DEVC with domain specific modifications. DEVC is a state-of-the-art video colorization network that operates on the pixel-level and matches features with a deep neural network. To use DEVC in our tasks, we use only the correspondence subnet and use the categorical cross-entropy loss on the colorized warped image. We then generate a predicted segment label for each segment by a non-learned post-processing step: we take the maximally occurring color in each of the segment locations on the warped image.

Since DEVC is a pixel-based approach, we create two variants with domain specific enhancements that take advantage of the problem structure. Since small segments are the hardest to predict, we weight the loss of each pixel in the warped image output inversely proportional to the size of the segment corresponding to that pixel location. This helps prevent the network from unknowingly focusing on large segment areas while ignoring smaller ones. We refer to this network as DEVC (Weighted Loss).

We also observed that high resolution is important for performance. We introduce the local attention mechanism used in [11] in place of global attention to enable training at higher resolutions. This model is referred to as DEV (Local Attention). We train DEVC and DEVC (Weighted Loss) at 512x512 pixel resolution with batch size of 2 until convergence. We train DEVC (Local Attention) at 640x640 pixel resolution also with a batch size of 2 until convergence.

Figure 8: Starting from a reference color and line images i.e. $c_{\text{ref}}$ and $l_{\text{ref}}$, we recursively propagate the colors of each generated image $c_i$ to colorize every incoming line image $l_{i+1}$.

Metrics: To measure correspondence across sequences, we recursively propagate segment labels over 10 frames as illustrated in Figure 8, using a single ground truth reference frame to seed the colors for the rest of the predictions. We use per-segment label accuracy and mean Intersection-Over-Union averaged over the label classes as our evaluation metrics.

Results: We show qualitative results in Figure 9 and results of comparing AnT to DEVC in Table 1 on both the synthetic and real datasets. The synthetic column is evaluated on the ground truth segment correspondence labels, while the real dataset is evaluated on the non-unique color labels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Synthetic</th>
<th>Real</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Mean IoU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVC</td>
<td>66.19</td>
<td>43.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVC (Weighted Loss)</td>
<td>79.92</td>
<td>55.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVC (Local Attention)</td>
<td>84.11</td>
<td>62.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AnT (Ours)</td>
<td>92.17</td>
<td>72.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Evaluation on Correspondence (Synthetic) and Colorization (Real). AnT strictly outperforms all the baselines, even after segment-specific modifications are added. The real dataset contains chunkier motion that moves outside the field of view in DEVC (Local Attention).

4.4. Model Ablation Study

We pull apart several key components of AnT to show how performance changes when these components are removed (see Table 2). The Transformer is highly correlated with performance, which shows that the global feature aggregation helps learn effective representations. Similarly, spatial information is also necessary for AnT to reason about the segment structures effectively. When cycle-
consistency is removed in the model trained on the real dataset, the model avoids learning generalizable correspondences – it "cheats" by matching non-corresponding segments with the same color.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Synthetic</th>
<th></th>
<th>Real</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Mean IoU</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Mean IoU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Transformer</td>
<td>78.56</td>
<td>67.82</td>
<td>65.91</td>
<td>39.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No positional</td>
<td>81.88</td>
<td>67.23</td>
<td>68.23</td>
<td>40.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>embedding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No cycle</td>
<td>91.49</td>
<td>71.01</td>
<td>68.48</td>
<td>41.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consistency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smaller (3 layers)</td>
<td>88.03</td>
<td>69.90</td>
<td>76.09</td>
<td>44.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full (9 layers)</td>
<td>92.17</td>
<td>72.90</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>45.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: **Model Ablation study.** Comparison of different model variants in AnT.

### 4.5. Training Data Ablation Study

In order to assess AnT’s ability to learn without ground-truth correspondence labels, we perform an ablation study (see Table 3) across 3 different training sets: synthetic, real, and mixed (which is simply the sum of synthetic and real). As in the earlier section, the synthetic and real columns denote the evaluation set with the same metrics as before. Notably, we see that when AnT is trained on the real dataset, its performance on the synthetic correspondence dataset approaches that of when it has access to correspondences at training time. The real dataset is much more challenging and diverse, leading to a more robust model that can predict correspondences on the less challenging synthetic dataset. The inverse is not true; when the model trained on only synthetic data is evaluated on real, a bigger performance differential exists. We hypothesize this is because the synthetic dataset lacks diversity and challenge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Synthetic</th>
<th></th>
<th>Real</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Mean IoU</td>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>Mean IoU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>92.17</td>
<td>72.90</td>
<td>72.55</td>
<td>39.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real</td>
<td>89.46</td>
<td>70.20</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>45.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>77.27</td>
<td>79.84</td>
<td>51.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: **Training Data Ablation Study.** While the best results on synthetic come from the mixed training set, when AnT is only trained on the real dataset its performance approaches that of the model trained on correspondence labels.

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that segment is an effective structure for learning visual correspondence on hand-drawn images. Our results show our method’s ability to leverage real-world animation datasets that are crucial for learning accurate correspondences on a wide variety of animation styles.

We hope this work encourages more research into practical, data-driven creative tools for animation. Although we focused on flat-filled animation in this work, our method can be extended to other tasks such as propagating shadows and texture or predicting optical flow.
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