## Supplementary Material for CTRL-C: Camera calibration TRansformer with Line-Classification

Jinwoo Lee	Hyunsung Go	Hyunjoon Lee	Sunghyun Cho	Minhyuk Sung	Junho Kim
Kakao Brain	Kookmin University	Kakao Brain	POSTECH	KAIST	Kookmin University
		https://github.cor	n/jwlee-vcl/CTRI	L-C	

## **S.1.** Generalization Tests

We compare the generalization capacity of our network with those of three network-based approaches, DeepHorizon [8], Perceptual [2] and GPNet [4]. Specifically, we train our CTRL-C and other networks with either the Google Street View (GSV) [1] or SUN360 [9] datasets and then test them with the other datasets, including HoliCity [10] dataset, Horizon Lines in the Wild (HLW) [8] dataset, and Eurasian Cities (ECD) [6] dataset. For the HoliCity [10] dataset, we evaluate networks trained with the SUN360 [9] dataset for comparison, since the sampling range of FoV in the SUN360 [9] dataset covers 90°, which is the FoV used in the entire HoliCity [10] dataset. For HLW [8] and ECD [6] datasets, we only measure the horizon line prediction accuracy since these datasets do not provide GT for the other camera parameters.

HoliCity [10] dataset. Table S1 shows the comparison results evaluated on the HoliCity [10] test set. Compared with the other methods, our CTRL-C provides better accuracy overall except for pitch. The AUC of the horizon line errors is improved from 81.72% of the previous SotA to 84.16% of ours, which has a 2.44% gap. Fig. S1 shows experimental results on the HoliCity [10] test set, as in Fig. 5 in the paper, visualizing qualitative evaluations on horizon line predictions. Fig. S2 shows further examples illustrating the inputs and outputs of our network, as in Fig. 6 in the paper.

**HLW [8] dataset**. Table S2 shows the comparison results evaluated on the HLW [8] test set. Our CTRL-C provides better overall accuracy and less sensitivity to the choice of the training datasets compared to the other network-based approaches. For instance, the difference of AUCs with the different training datasets (GSV [1] and SUN360 [9]) is 5.15% for our CTRL-C while 8.37% for GPNet [4].

**ECD** [6] dataset. Table S3 shows the comparison results evaluated on the ECD [6] dataset. Our CTRL-C shows better generalization performance than those of the other neural approaches, in both cases of training with the GSV [1] and SUN360 [9] datasets.

Table S1. Quantitative evaluation results on the HoliCity [10] dataset. All the networks are trained with the SUN360 [9] dataset.

Method	Up D	ir (°)↓	Pitch	(°)↓	Roll	(°)↓	FoV	(°)↓	AUC
	Mean	Med.	Mean	Med.	Mean	Med.	Mean	Med.	(%) ↑
DeepHorizon [8]	7.82	3.99	6.10	2.73	3.97	2.67	-	-	70.13
Perceptual [2]	7.37	3.29	6.32	2.86	3.10	1.82	5.48	2.80	70.80
GPNet [4]	4.17	<b>1.73</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>0.74</b>	3.65	1.36	10.03	4.29	81.72
CTRL-C	2.90	1.99	2.43	1.50	1.36	0.95	2.68	1.56	84.16

Table S2. Horizon line prediction results on the HLW [8] dataset.

Method	AUC	(%) ↑
Training Sets	GSV	SUN360
DeepHorizon [8]	45.63	40.63
Perceptual [2]	38.29	46.70
GPNet [4]	48.90	40.55
CTRL-C	44.93	50.08

Table S3. Horizon line prediction results on the ECD dataset [6].

Method	AUG	℃(%)↑
Training Sets	GSV	SUN360
DeepHorizon [8]	74.26	74.60
Perceptual [2]	67.97	76.53
GPNet [4]	//.61	75.04
CTRL-C	77.66	79.83

## S.2. Additional Results

**Google Street View [1] dataset.** Fig. S3 shows additional results on the Google Street View [1] test set, as in Fig. 5 in the paper, visualizing qualitative evaluations on horizon line predictions. Fig. S4 shows additional results with the Google Street View [1] test set, as in Fig. 6 in the paper, illustrating the inputs and outputs of our network.

**SUN360** [9] **dataset**. Fig. S5 shows additional results on the SUN360 [9] test set, as in Fig. 5 in the paper, visualizing qualitative evaluations on horizon line predictions. Fig. S6 shows additional results with the SUN360 [9] test set, as in Fig. 6 in the paper, illustrating the inputs and outputs of our network.



Ground Truth DeepHorizon [8] Perceptual [2] GPNet [4] CTRL-C (Ours) Figure S1. Examples of horizon line prediction on the HoliCity [10] test set.



Figure S2. More results with HoliCity [10] test set: (a) input image, (b) estimated horizon line (green) and vertical direction along with the zenith VP (red), (c) detected lines with LSD [7], (d) estimated vertical (red) and horizontal (green) convergence line segments of (c).



Ground Truth Ground Truth Ground Truth Ground Truth Ground [5] Ground Truth Ground [6] Ground Truth Ground [7] Ground [7]



Figure S4. More results with Google Street View [1] test set: (a) input image, (b) estimated horizon line (green) and vertical direction along with the zenith VP (red), (c) detected lines with LSD [7], (d) estimated vertical (red) and horizontal (green) convergence line segments of (c).



Ground Truth Upright [3] A-Contario [5] DeepHorizon [8] Perceptual [2] GPNet [4] ResNet CTRL-C (Ours) Figure S5. Examples of horizon line prediction on the SUN360 [9] test set.



Figure S6. More results with SUN360 [9] test set: (a) input image, (b) estimated horizon line (green) and vertical direction along with the zenith VP (red), (c) detected lines with LSD [7], (d) estimated vertical (red) and horizontal (green) convergence line segments of (c).

## References

- [1] Google Street View Images API. https: //developers.google.com/maps/.
- [2] Yannick Hold-Geoffroy, Kalyan Sunkavalli, Jonathan Eisenmann, Matthew Fisher, Emiliano Gambaretto, Sunil Hadap, and Jean-François Lalonde. A Perceptual Measure for Deep Single Image Camera Calibration. In *Proc. CVPR*, pages 2354–2363, 2018.
- [3] Hyunjoon Lee, Eli Shechtman, Jue Wang, and Seungyong Lee. Automatic Upright Adjustment of Photographs with Robust Camera Calibration. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence*, 36(5):833–844, 2014.
- [4] Jinwoo Lee, Minhyuk Sung, Hyunjoon Lee, and Junho Kim. Neural Geometric Parser for Single Image Camera Calibration. In *Proc. ECCV*, pages 541–557, 2020.
- [5] Gilles Simon, Antoine Fond, and Marie-Odile Berger. A-Contrario Horizon-First Vanishing Point Detection Using Second-Order Grouping Laws. In *Proc. ECCV*, pages 318– 333, 2018.
- [6] Elena Tretyak, Olga Barinova, Pushmeet Kohli, and Victor Lempitsky. Geometric Image Parsing in Man-Made Environments. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 97:305– 321, 2012.
- [7] Rafael Grompone von Gioi, Jérémie Jakubowicz, Jean-Michel Morel, and Gregory Randall. LSD: A Fast Line Segment Detector with a False Detection Control. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis Machine Intelligence*, 32(4):722– 732, 2010.
- [8] Scott Workman, Menghua Zhai, and Nathan Jacobs. Horizon Lines in the Wild. In *Proc. BMVC*, pages 20.1–20.12, 2016.
- [9] Jianxiong Xiao, Krista A. Ehinger, Aude Oliva, and Antonio Torralba. Recognizing Scene Viewpoint using Panoramic Place Representation. In *Proc. CVPR*, pages 2695–2702, 2012.
- [10] Yichao Zhou, Jingwei Huang, Xili Dai, Linjie Luo, Zhili Chen, and Yi Ma. HoliCity: A City-Scale Data Platform for Learning Holistic 3D Structures. arXiv, 2020.