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1. Computing Matrix

For self-supervision on eigenspace, we compute the KL divergence distance between \(P(t_\phi(x))\) and \(T_\phi(P(x))\), where \(t_\phi\) and \(T_\phi\) are corresponding transform operations on \(x\) and \(P(x)\). Though \(T_\phi(P(x))\) has a complicated form, it can be defined as the multiplication of the original \(P(x)\) with the predefined computing matrices to facilitate computation. In Fig. 1, we visualize computing matrices for horizontal flip and vertical translation when using soft eigenspace self-supervision. The formulation can be defined as,

\[
T_{\phi}(P(x)) = T_{\phi} r \cdot P(x) \cdot T_{\phi} c, \quad (1)
\]

where \(T_{\phi} r\) and \(T_{\phi} c\) are predefined computing matrices for transform \(\phi\). The detail definitions of predefined computing matrices are given below.

1.1. Horizontal Flip

Assuming that the size of \(x\) is \(M \times N\), then the size of \(P(x)\) is \(MN \times MN\).

\[
T^{i,j}_{\phi} r = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i + j = kN \text{ and } |i - j| < N, k = 1, 2,.., M. \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (2)
\]

\(T_{\phi} c\) share the same definition as \(T_{\phi} r\). \(T_{\phi} r\) and \(T_{\phi} c\) are also of the size \(MN \times MN\).

1.2. Vertical Translation

Assuming that the size of \(x\) is \(M \times N\), take the ratio of vertical translation as \(\eta\). \(T_{\phi} r\) and \(T_{\phi} c\) are defined as

\[
T^{i,j}_{\phi} r = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } j - i = \eta MN \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (3)
\]

\[
T^{i,j}_{\phi} c = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } i - j = \eta MN \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad (4)
\]

*Corresponding Author

Figure 1. Visualization of predefined computing matrices for self-supervision on eigenspace.

2. More Detailed Experimental Results

2.1. Hyper-parameters

The proposed method has 100 epochs of training, with the first 50 epochs have no self-supervised loss. For every step, sixteen images (batch size) are randomly selected to train the network with Adam [2] optimizer, Sync-BatchNorm [1] and learning rate as \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) for the first 50 epochs and \(1 \times 10^{-4}\) for the rest. The total loss in our work is defined as:

\[
L = \sum_{p \in \Omega_c} c(s(x)_p, y_p) + \omega_1 E_{\Omega_{1-\eta}} + \omega_2 \ast ss_{P}(x, \phi), \quad (5)
\]

where the weights \(\gamma\), \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) are set to be 0.01, 1 and 30, respectively. Moreover, in common with other approaches to semantic segmentation [3], data augmentation is performed during training.
Table 1. Detail mIoU scores on the validation set of scribblesup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>category</th>
<th>bkg</th>
<th>plane</th>
<th>bike</th>
<th>bird</th>
<th>boat</th>
<th>bottle</th>
<th>bus</th>
<th>car</th>
<th>cat</th>
<th>chair</th>
<th>cow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mIoU</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>86.6</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>89.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>category</th>
<th>table</th>
<th>dog</th>
<th>horse</th>
<th>mbike</th>
<th>person</th>
<th>plant</th>
<th>sheep</th>
<th>sofa</th>
<th>train</th>
<th>monitor</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mIoU</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Quantitative Results

In this part, we report the detailed mIoU score on every category on the validation set of scribblesup in Tab. 1. Our method performs well on most categories but still has room for improvement on categories with similar appearance (e.g. chair and sofa) and complex structure (e.g. bike and plant).

2.3. Qualitative Results

We show more visual comparison in Fig. 2. With the proposed uncertainty reduction (UR) and self-supervision on eigenspace (SS), the results are gradually refined, and the complete method shows significant improvement over the baseline.
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