Abstract

The distance transform of a binary image is a classic tool in computer vision and it has been widely used in the field of Topological Data Analysis (TDA) to study porous media. A common practice is to convert grayscale images to binary ones to apply the distance transform. In this work, by considering the threshold decomposition of a grayscale image, we prove that threshold decomposition and distance transform together to formulate a two-parameter filtration. This would offer the TDA community a concrete example to apply multi-parameter persistence on digital image analysis. We demonstrate our method on the firn dataset.

1. Introduction

Topological data analysis (TDA) is a rising field at the intersection of Mathematics, Statistics, and Machine Learning [9, 3, 33, 5]. Tools from TDA have proven successful in analyzing a variety of scientific problems and datasets (see e.g. [25] for a list of application areas). The development of persistent homology is the main driving force in TDA (see e.g. introductory texts [6, 35]). In classical persistent homology, we track changes in homology over a one-parameter filtration, or a single sequence of spaces satisfying a nested subset relation ordered by inclusion. Recently, a more general form of persistent homology, multiparameter persistent homology has been studied [11, 19, 4, 27, 20]. Similar to the classic filtration, multiparameter persistence uses a multi-parameter filtration. However, to the best of our knowledge, multi-parameter filtration in the computer vision literature is limited. In this paper, we provide a concrete two-parameter filtration for 2D or 3D digital images.

Our motivation for this study is the analysis of firn data. Firn is a type of porous media that is formed at the top of glaciers and ice sheets where snow melting rarely occurs. This area of firn, or old snow layers, comprises metamorphosing ice particles and interconnected pore space. Understanding how the underlying microstructure of the firn changes with depth is a difficult, yet important, task that is required for better interpretation of satellite remote sensing signals and ice core paleoclimatic records. A non-destructive method for retrieving firn microstructural information is x-ray micro-computed tomography (micro-CT). Micro-CT imaging of firn sample produces a stack of 2-dimensional cross-sectional images in greyscale, that represent the 3-dimensional volume of the sample.

There have been several studies that analyze porous media with tools in TDA. For instance, in [21], authors develop statistical inference of persistent homology over 3D rock images to predict fluid flow; in [15], authors develop a new index based on persistent homology to characterize the degree of rock heterogeneity; in [13], authors use persistent homology to relate microstructure and fluid trapping in sandstones; in [30], authors study pore space and fluid phase characterization in sands; in [26], authors study percolating length scales; in [17], authors study evolution of a cell aluminium foam. The common pipeline of these work is to (1) convert grayscale images to binary ones, (2) apply distance transform (defined in Section 2.2) to those binary images, and (3) use persistent homology to study transformed images.

In this paper, we extend the above pipeline by expanding (1); we consider all possible binary images obtained from threshold decomposition. For each resulting binary image, we proceed down the pipeline. Most importantly, we prove that the thresholding decomposition and distance transform formulate a two-parameter filtration. Thus, our main contribution to the field results in a new tool for practitioners to use in applications and many concrete examples for those researching multiparameter persistence to use while testing and exploring theories.
Our paper is outlined as follows. We introduce necessary mathematical backgrounds for this work, including notations, distance transform, persistent homology, and two-parameter filtration in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove our main result – the combination of distance transform and thresholding operation forms a two-parameter filtration. Finally, in Section 4, we extract useful information from the two-parameter filtration and apply it to the firn data.

2. Background and Notation

This section is split into three parts. First, we review notations and basic properties of digital images used in this paper. Next, we introduce the distance transform of digital images and its connection to the filtration of images. We follow notations in the work [29, 28, 22]. Finally, we give a brief introduction to persistent homology.

2.1. Digital Images

We use $\mathbb{R}$ to denote the set of all real numbers. The set of all non-negative real numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : a \geq 0\}$. Similarly, $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is the set of all non-negative integers.

An image domain in $\mathbb{Z}^2$ means a non-empty and finite subset of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $P$ be an image domain in $\mathbb{Z}^2$. An (digital) image is referred as a function $f : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The set of all images on $P$ is denoted by $\mathcal{I}_P$. An image $f \in \mathcal{I}_P$ is called binary if it has range $\{0, 1\}$. Typically, 0 and 1 represent black and white color, respectively. The set of all binary images on $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P$. An image $f \in \mathcal{I}_P$ which is not binary is called a grayscale image. Based on this setting, we have $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P \subseteq \mathcal{I}_P$. One can also define an order in $\mathcal{I}_P$. For two functions $f, g : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $f \leq g$ if and only if $f(p) \leq g(p)$ whenever $p \in P$.

For illustration, we also use the following convention to represent an image $f$ on the grid $P$:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b \\ c & 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. In this case, $P$ is a 2-by-2 grid. and the corresponding pixel values are $a, b, c, d$, respectively.

Let $f \in \mathcal{I}_P$. The support of $f$ is defined by

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{p \in P \mid f(p) \neq 0\}.$$  

In particular, the support of a binary image is the set of all white pixels.

Given a grayscale image $f \in \mathcal{I}_P$, the binary image thresholded at $t$ is defined as

$$f_t(p) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f(p) \leq t, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every $p \in P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$. Let $f$ be an 8-bit grayscale image, the threshold decomposition of $f$ is the collection of all possible binary images, $f_0, f_1, \cdots, f_{255}$. One may verify the following relation

$$f_t \leq f_s, \quad \text{if } s \leq t. \quad (4)$$

The following properties are used in the paper.

**Proposition 1** ([29], Property 1.11). Let $f, g \in \mathcal{I}_P$ be images. If $f \leq g$, then $g^{-1}(0) \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$. In addition, if $f, g \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P$ are binary images, then $f \leq g$ if and only if $g^{-1}(0) \subseteq f^{-1}(0)$.

The following proposition is an alternate form of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 2.** For $f, g \in \mathcal{I}_P$. If $f \leq g$, then $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$. In addition, if $f, g \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P$ are binary images, then $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$ if and only if $f \leq g$.

**Proposition 3** ([14], Lemma 1). For images $f, g \in \mathcal{I}_P$, $f \leq g$ if and only if $f_t \leq g_t$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

For example, the image $f$ in Figure 1 contains two balls with different pixel values, where parts near the center of a ball have darker colors (i.e., lower pixel values). As a topographic map, different thresholds capture objects in different depths. In Figure 1-(b), the threshold 79 detects the darkest parts of two balls. On the other hand, the threshold 194 identifies all gray regions of the left ball as a single one and erodes the pixels of the value 227 in the right ball.

Figure 1 shows that different thresholds detect different objects. In this case, the sizes of the two balls lead to different distances between them. The distance transform in image processing provides a way to describe this relationship between local objects in digital images. In this work, we would combine the thresholding and distance transform techniques to study the firn data.

2.2. Distance Transform

In this subsection, we will review the notations for the distance transform which we follow [29, 28, 22] and discuss its properties.

![Figure 1. An example of the thresholding operator. (a) A greyscale image $f$ which has size $800 \times 600$ pixels. The range of the pixel values of $f$ is $\{0, 80, 195, 227, 255\}$. Figures (b) and (c) are images created by applying thresholds 79 and 194 on the image $f$.](image-url)
Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an image domain. Let $d$ be a metric on $P$. The common choices of $d$ are $\infty$-norm defined by
\[
d_\infty((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = \max\{|x_1 - x_2|, |y_1 - y_2|\},
\]
or $q$-norm defined by
\[
d_q((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) := (|x_1 - x_2|^q + |y_1 - y_2|^q)^{1/q}.
\]
In particular, $d_\infty$ is also known as Chebyshev distance [2], $d_1$ is known as the taxicab metric [18], and $d_2$ is known as the Euclidean distance.

The definition of the distance transform is as following.

**Definition.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an image domain, and $d : P \times P \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a distance function. A distance transform with respect to metric $d$ is a function $T_d : \mathcal{I}_P \to \mathcal{I}_P$ which is defined by
\[
T_d(f)(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \text{supp}(f)\}, \forall x \in P.
\]

By switching the support of an image, the anti-distance transform can be defined.

**Definition.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an image domain, and $d : P \times P \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a distance function. An anti-distance transform with respect to metric $d$ is a function $\overline{T}_d : \mathcal{I}_P \to \mathcal{I}_P$ defined by
\[
\overline{T}_d(f)(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in P \setminus \text{supp}(f)\}
\]
\[
= \min\{d(x, y) : y \in P, f(y) = 0\}, \forall x \in P.
\]

**Remark.** We note that $T_d(f)$ (resp. $\overline{T}_d(f)$) is not defined here if $\text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$ (resp. $P \setminus \text{supp}(f) = \emptyset$). We omit these cases of images. However, one may consider the extended real number system to solve this logic issue [24].

Distance and anti-distance transforms are tools for investigating the distribution of pixels. In this paper, we mainly consider distance and anti-distance transforms applying on binary images. In this case, as functions, these transforms would send each binary image to a grayscale one, i.e.
\[
f \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P \implies T_d(f) \in \mathcal{I}_P.
\]

**Example 1.** Consider image $f : P \to \{0, 1\}$ with $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ defined by
\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\
1 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & & & \\
\end{array}
\]
and $d_2$. Then
\[
T_{d_2}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \overline{T}_{d_2}(f) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

As shown in Example 1, each entry in $T_{d_2}(f)$ (resp. $\overline{T}_{d_2}(f)$) is the minimal distance of current pixel to a white (resp. black) component in image $f$. Combining two distance transforms $T_d(f)$ and $\overline{T}_d(f)$ leads to the Signed Euclidean Distance Transform (SEDT) [34]. Specifically, let $f \in \mathcal{B} \mathcal{I}_P$, the SEDT is $T_d(f) := T_d(f) - \overline{T}_d(f)$. Since we consider $T_d(f)$ and $\overline{T}_d(f)$ separately, our main result will also hold for SEDT.

Filtration of objects (or sets) is crucial for constructing persistent homology in TDA [23, 8]. We call a sequence of images $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{I}_P$ a filtration of images if
\[
f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \cdots \leq f_n.
\]

There several methods for obtaining a filtration of sets from a sequence of images. For instance, one may consider the preimages of zeros of images in (12):
\[
f_1^{-1}(0) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f_2^{-1}(0) \subseteq f_1^{-1}(0).
\]

On the other hand, one can construct a filtration of sets by considering their supports:
\[
\text{supp}(f_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(f_2) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{supp}(f_n).
\]

The following proposition is useful for constructing filtration of images from distance transform.

**Proposition 4.** Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2$ be an image domain, $d$ a metric on $P$, and $f, g \in \mathcal{I}_P$. Then the following hold:

(a) $T_d(g) \leq T_d(f)$ if $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$;
(b) $\overline{T}_d(f) \leq \overline{T}_d(g)$ if $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$.

Hence $T_d(g) \leq T_d(f)$ if and only if $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$.

**Proof.** (a) If $x \in P$, then $T_d(g)(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \text{supp}(g)\}$. Because $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$, $T_d(g)(x) = \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \text{supp}(g)\} \leq \min\{d(x, y) : y \in \text{supp}(f)\} = T_d(f)(x)$. Because $P \setminus \text{supp}(g) \subseteq P \setminus \text{supp}(f)$, (b) follows by the same arguments as in (a).

Conversely, if $\text{supp}(f) \not\subseteq \text{supp}(g)$, then there is an $x \in P$ such that $f(x) > 0$ and $g(x) = 0$. This shows that $T_d(f)(x) = 0$ and $T_d(g)(x) > 0$. Hence $T_d(g) \not\leq T_d(f)$.

**Remark.** We note that the filtrations in (13) and (14) only work for the case of $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in \mathcal{I}_P$. Because $T_d(f_1)$ may contains negative entries, (13) and (14) may not hold. However, by Proposition 4, we may obtain a filtration of sets $\text{supp}(f_n) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \text{supp}(f_2) \subseteq \text{supp}(f_1)$ if $T_d(f_1) \leq T_d(f_2) \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_n)$.

Figure 2 illustrates how the distance transform captures the distance information in digital images. In this figure, images in (c) and (d) are the heat maps of binary images in
2.3. Persistent Homology

Homology is a tool from Algebraic Topology that allows topologists to assign abstract topological spaces to computable vector spaces [10, 12, 32]. We will not define it here, but for our purposes, homology helps us count the number of holes in a topological space. For example, if $X$ is a topological space, $H_0(X)$ helps us count the number of 0-dimensional holes, or connected components, of $X$. To be more precise, $H_0(X)$ produces a vector space whose dimension, denoted $\beta_0$, is called the zeroth Betti number. In a similar way, $H_1(X)$ produces $\beta_1$, a count of the one-dimensional holes in $X$, and $H_2(X)$ produces $\beta_2$, which counts the number of “air pockets” in $X$. The index and heuristic continue for $H_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. In TDA, we typically concern ourselves only with $H_0, H_1, \text{ and } H_2.$

On the other hand, persistent homology [7, 3] lets us compute and track homology over related spaces. A filtration of topological spaces is an increasing sequence of topological spaces

$$X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_m.$$  \hfill (15)

For a given non-negative integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we can compute $H_n(X_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots , m$. Due to the subset relations, we are guaranteed linear maps $f_{ij} : X_i \to X_j, i \leq j$ between the resulting spaces.

We say a homology class $\alpha$ is born at $b$ if we have $\alpha \in H_k(X_b)$ and $\alpha \notin \text{im} f_{b-1,b}$. We say that $\alpha$ born at $b$ dies at $d$, $d \geq b$ if $f_{b,d-1}(\alpha) \notin \text{im} f_{b-1,d-1}$, but $f_{b,d}(\alpha) \in \text{im} f_{b-1,d}$, i.e. if it merges with a previous class. In the case where a class does not die, we assign it a death value of $\infty$.

We collect the birth-death pairs $(b, d)$ for each class appearing in the filtration into a summary called a persistence diagram, or just diagram associated to the filtration, \{ $X_i$ \}$_{i=1}^m$, which we will denote by $D(\{ X_i \}$_{i=1}^m$).

To apply persistent homology to images, we note that we can assign topological spaces, called cubical sets to binary images. Moreover, we can use a homology theory called cubical homology to compute homology on such spaces [16]. Thus for a given grayscale image, our filtration is exactly the threshold decomposition across all threshold values. From here, the persistent homology discussion above applies.

We use images in Figure 3 to explain the geometric meaning of the persistence diagrams of a filtration. For the image $f_7$ in Figure 1, the collections of black pixels in images $\tilde{T}_d(f_7)_j$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, 255$ form a filtration of cubes. The barcode (1, 78) in the 0th persistence diagram of this filtration is an approximation for the (half of) distance between the balls in $f_{70}$.

In this paper, we focus on filtrations induced by $f_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 255$. We will prove in the next section that filtrations associated with different binary images $f_i$'s also...
satisfy the set-inclusion relations, and hence form a bi-filtration of cubes.

3. Two-parameter Persistence via Distance Transform

In this section, we introduce how to construct a bi-filtration by applying distance transforms and thresholding on a digital image.

Before defining bi-filtration of sets, we first define an partial ordered \( \leq \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). Given two vectors \( u = (x_1, y_1) \) and \( v = (x_2, y_2) \), we define \( u \leq v \) if and only if \( x_1 \leq x_2 \) and \( y_1 \leq y_2 \).

Definition (4). Let \( N \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), a bi-filtration of sets is a collection \( \{ S_u \}_{u \in N} \) of sets indexed by \( N \) which satisfies \( S_u \subseteq S_v \) whenever \( u \leq v \).

Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 \) be an image domain and \( f \in I_P \). For \( s \leq t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), we have \( f_t \leq f_s \) where \( f_t \) and \( f_s \) are binary images. By Proposition 2, \( \text{supp}(f_t) \subseteq \text{supp}(f_s) \). Therefore, for a distance function \( d : P \times P \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), if \( t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \cdots \geq t_i \geq 0 \), we can consider images \( T_d(f_{t_1}) \leq T_d(f_{t_2}) \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_{t_i}) \). On the other hand, if \( a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_k \geq 0 \), then \( T_d(f_{a_1}) \leq T_d(f_{a_2}) \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_{a_k}) \) for each \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\} \) by Proposition 3.

Finally, we obtain the net

\[
\begin{align*}
T_d(f_{t_1})_{a_1} & \leq T_d(f_{t_1})_{a_2} \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_{t_1})_{a_k} \\
\vdots & \vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots \\
T_d(f_{t_i})_{a_1} & \leq T_d(f_{t_i})_{a_2} \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_{t_i})_{a_k} \\
\vdots & \vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots \\
T_d(f_{t_l})_{a_1} & \leq T_d(f_{t_l})_{a_2} \leq \cdots \leq T_d(f_{t_l})_{a_k}
\end{align*}
\]

of binary images. Alternatively, if we define

\[
\tilde{U}_{(t_i, a_j)} = \left( T_d(f_{t_i})_{a_j} \right)^{-1} (0)
\]

we have the bi-filtration

\[
\tilde{U}_{(t_1, a_1)} \supseteq \tilde{U}_{(t_1, a_2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \tilde{U}_{(t_1, a_k)}
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\tilde{U}_{(t_l, a_1)} \supseteq \tilde{U}_{(t_l, a_2)} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \tilde{U}_{(t_l, a_k)}
\]

of black pixels in binary images. This defines a bi-filtration over the index set

\[
N = \{(t_i, a_j) : i = 1, \ldots, l, j = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}
\]

where \((t, a) \leq (s, b)\) in \( N \) is defined by \( t \leq s \) and \( a \leq b \).

We summarize the main result as the following proposition by computing filtrations for \( T_d \) and \( T_d^\top \):

Proposition 5. Let \( P \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2 \) be an image domain, \( d \) a distance function on \( P \), and \( f \in I_P \). For \( s \leq t \) and \( a \leq b \) in \( \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \), the following order relations hold:

\[
T_d(f_{t b}) \leq T_d(f_{s a}^\top), \quad (20)
\]

\[
T_d(f_{s b}) \leq T_d(f_{t a}^\top), \quad (21)
\]

and

\[
T_d(f_{t b}) \leq T_d(f_{s a}) \quad (22)
\]

In particular, if \( \tilde{U}_{(a, b)} = T_d(f_{a b})^{-1} (0) \), then (21) defines a bi-filtration of sets:

\[
\tilde{U}_{(b, a)} \supseteq \tilde{U}_{(a, b)} \quad (23)
\]
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The row relations in (20), (21), and (22) follow from Proposition 2. Hence

\[ d(s, f) = \supp(d(f)) \quad \text{for} \quad s \leq t. \]

Figure 4. A toy example of the distance transform bi-filtration (18) of images of the size 800 \times 600 pixels. In this bi-filtration, we consider the sets of black pixels. The darker the image, the larger the set. The based image \( f \) is defined as in Figure 1.

**Proof.** Because \( s \leq t, f_1 \leq f_s \). By Proposition 2, \( \supp(f_1) \subseteq \supp(f_s) \). Therefore, \( T_d(f_s) \leq T_d(f_t) \) and \( T_d(f_1) \leq T_d(f_s) \) by Proposition 4. Hence \( T_d(f_s) \leq T_d(f_t) \). The row relations in (20), (21), and (22) follow from Proposition 2.

**Example 2.** Consider grayscale image

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
3 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\cdot \\
\cdot
\end{array}
\] (24)

Let \( d_2 \) be the 2-norm distance function on \( P \). For \( s = 1 \) and \( t = 2 \), we have

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
\] (25)

Hence

\[
T_{d_2}(f_1) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{5} \\
2 & \sqrt{5} & \sqrt{8}
\end{bmatrix},
\quad T_{d_2}(f_s) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (26)

For \( a = 0.5 \) and \( b = 1.4 \),

\[
T_{d_2}(f_1) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\quad T_{d_2}(f_s) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\] (27)

Similarly, for the anti-distance transform:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},
\quad \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\] (28)

This induces the bi-filtration (23).

Note that the nets of preimages of zero induced by (20) and (22) are not bi-filtration since the index orders between index set \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) and sets of pixels are not consistent. However, by re-defining the partial orders on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \), one may obtain different bi-filtrations. For example, if we define \( U_{(a,b)} = \supp(T_d(f_{a,b})) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus (T_d(f_{a,b}))^{-1}(0) \) and \( (x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \) if and only if \( x_1 \leq x_2 \) and \( y_1 \geq y_2 \), then for \( s \leq t \) and \( a \leq b \),

\[
U_{(t,b)} \subseteq U_{(t,a)} \cup U_{(s,b)} 
\] (29)

is a bi-filtration for the new partial order \( \leq' \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \). In other words, the index order on \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) could be freely modified for different tasks. By choosing suitable indexes, homology of bi-filtrations induced by equations (20), (21), and (22) provide concrete examples of multi-persistence. As we showed in Example 1, except the topological barcodes from thresholding, the persistent homology on the bi-filtration may reveal additional geometric information for images.

**4. Application to Firn**

Understanding the number and average size of pores within a firn layer is important for modeling air flow through the firm column. As firm layers are buried, they undergo densification with depth due to the overburden pressure of the accumulating snow at the surface. This causes the number of pores, as well as the volume of those pores, to shrink with depth. Ultimately, the firm layers reach the density of glacial ice at the bottom of the firm column, and the interconnected pore space is transformed into individual closed-off bubbles. These bubbles trap a direct sample of atmospheric air, and make up an important paleoclimate record of past
4.1. Description of the dataset

The firn dataset used in this study comes from a firn core drilled at the NEEM Drilling Camp, Greenland in 2009. From the main core, 1x1x1.5 cm samples were cut at selected depths and scanned with the micro-CT. Reconstructions of the micro-CT data resulted in a stack of approximately 900 cross-sectional images representing the volume of the sample. Each cross-sectional image is approximately 500x500 pixels with a pixel resolution of 15 µm. Here we analyze the samples from 7, 23, 47, 59, and 78 m depth, which span the full range of depths in the NEEM firn column.

4.2. Results

The firn images are grayscale images whose pixel values are integers between 0 and 255. Given a grayscale image, for each integer $t \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 255\}$, we can threshold the image to extract a binary image. On each of these threshold images, we apply the distance transform. Next, we compute persistent homology on these images, and extract the relevant statistics from the resulting diagrams. Figure 6 illustrates the common practice, where (a) is a firn image, (b) is a thresholded binary image, (c) the distance transform of atmospheric samples within those bubbles.

Figure 5. Illustration of the distance transform bi-filtration (18). In this bi-filtration, we consider the sets of black pixels. The darker the image, the larger the set.

Figure 6. The workflow for extracting a distance transform persistence diagram

atmospheric composition within the glacial ice. A better understanding of the size and number of pores with depth in the firn will help to improve the age estimates of the trapped atmospheric samples within those bubbles.
the (b), and (d) is the persistence diagram of (c).

In this work, we consider the bi-filtration \( \{ \tilde{U}_{(t,a)} \} \) in (18). Figure 5 shows an example of this bi-filtration. For each \( t \), we consider the persistence diagram along the vertical path, i.e. \( D(\{ \tilde{U}_{(t,a)} \}^M_{a=1}) \). For each persistence diagram along the vertical path, we use the number of points in the diagram and the total lifespan, \( \sum_{(b,d) \in D} d - b \), i.e.

\[
\begin{align*}
    n_t &= \# D(\{ \tilde{U}_{(t,a)} \}^M_{a=1}); \\
    L_t &= \sum_{(b,d) \in D(\{ \tilde{U}_{(t,a)} \}^M_{a=1})} d - b.
\end{align*}
\]

We consider \( t_i = 4i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 64 \). Hence, for each image, both \( n_t \) and \( L_t \) are of 64-dimensional vector. Both of \( n_t \) and \( L_t \) reveal something about the underlying image. The \( n_t \) vector reveals how many generators there were and can help us count the number of contiguous ice or air regions. The \( L_t \) vector gives us an idea of how big these contiguous regions are. Figure 7 shows a plot of the \( n_t \) vector for 10 sample images of five different depths of ice-core samples. We note the image shows a nice separation of these values by depth. Similarly, Figure 8 shows a plot of the \( L_t \) vector for the same 10 sample images of five different depths of ice-core samples. We note the image shows a nice separation of these values by depth. We also note that it takes about 120 seconds to process one slice and produce a vector. In the demonstrations and experiments, the persistence diagrams were computed by the software GUDHI [31]. The distance transforms were computed with OpenCV [1].

4.3. Discussion

From Figure 7, we observe that the shapes of the point-count curves vary with respect to depth. At each depth, the ten curves from the ten randomly selected images in the sample stack are very similar, yet not the same. The differences between the ten curves for each sample are due to heterogeneity within the firn samples themselves. The curves suggest that images at one sample depth have similar characteristics, and that samples from different depths are distinct.

Additionally, The group of point-count curves for samples 23, 47, 59, and 78m are unimodal while the curves for sample 7m is bimodal. At very shallow depths in the firn column, such as in sample 7m, the amount of ice- and pore-space is relatively equal within the sample. On the other hand, the ice-space dominates the firn microstructure for the samples at greater depths because of their much larger densities. Therefore, as we scan through the threshold values for shallow firn samples, we can expect a bimodal distribution of the point count. The transition between bimodal and unimodal point-count curves likely corresponds to a consequential shift in firn density.

In Figure 8, we observe the maximum values of the curves, which reveal the size and complexity of the ice space for each firn sample. In general, as images become darker and darker, as the threshold value increases, more white holes will be formed. If these images contain a large portion of white pixels, it will take a lot of effort to fill in all the white regions, and therefore, the total lifespan would be larger. Looking at the firn samples, the maximum count values are approximately 4000 for 7m (blue curves), 5000 for 23m (orange curves), 6000 for 47m (green curves), 6500 for 59m (red curves), and 8000 for 78m (purple curves). As we go deeper in the firn column, the firn layers contain more ice space and have increasingly simple structures. Therefore, we expect the deeper samples to have larger point counts than shallower samples, as we’ve seen here, because the complexity decreases with depth and the portion of white space (ice space) increases with depth.
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