

000
001
002
003
004
005
006
007
008
009
010
011
012
013
014
015
016
017
018
019
020
021
022
023
024
025
026
027
028
029
030
031
032
033
034
035
036
037
038
039
040
041
042
043
044
045
046
047
048
049
050
051
052
053054
055
056
057
058
059
060
061
062
063
064
065
066
067
068
069
070
071
072
073
074
075
076
077
078
079
080
081
082
083
084
085
086
087
088
089
090
091
092
093
094
095
096
097
098
099
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107

Supplementary: Inducing Neural Collapse to a Fixed Hierarchy-Aware Frame for Reducing Mistake Severity

Anonymous ICCV submission

Paper ID 10135

1. Prove the proposed hierarchy-aware frame satisfies the frame condition

First, we give the definition of the frame condition in Euclidean space:

Definition 1 (Frame). A set of vectors $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^M$ in \mathbb{R}^N is called a frame for \mathbb{R}^N , if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^M |\langle \mathbf{x}, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \leq B\|\mathbf{x}\|^2, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denote the dot product on \mathbb{R}^N and its corresponding norm.

Then we repeat the definition of our Hierarchy-Aware Frame (HAFrame):

Definition 2 (Hierarchy-Aware Frame). Let a set of vectors $\{\mathbf{w}_i\}_{i=1}^K$ in \mathbb{R}^K with $\|\mathbf{w}_i\|_2 = 1$, for $i = 1, \dots, K$, and their pair-wise cosine similarities satisfy the following equation:

$$\cos\angle(\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{w}_j) = \mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{w}_j = S_{ij}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq K \quad (2)$$

where S_{ij} is the cosine similarity between classes i and j given by our exponential mapping function. Next, let $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1 \ \mathbf{w}_2 \dots \mathbf{w}_K]$ be the proposed hierarchy-aware frame, \mathbf{W} is in $\mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ and satisfies

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W} \quad (3)$$

Since \mathbf{S} is guaranteed to be positive definite by our search for the proper s_{min} in the mapping function, we can construct \mathbf{W} with the following matrix factorization:

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}^T = (\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}^T)(\mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Q}^T) = \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{W} \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ and $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ are acquired from eigenvalue decomposition of \mathbf{S} , and $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ is an orthonormal matrix obtained from QR-decomposition [2] of a random matrix in $\mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ that allows an arbitrary rotation

and satisfies $\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{I}_K$. Therefore, the proposed hierarchy-aware frame is given by:

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Q}^T \quad (5)$$

Theorem 1. The proposed HAFrame \mathbf{W} satisfies the frame condition (Eqn. 1) if the associated cosine similarity matrix \mathbf{S} is positive definite.

Proof. To prove the proposed HAFrame \mathbf{W} satisfies the frame condition [1] given in Eqn. 1, we substitute the set of vectors $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^M$ in the Eqn. 1 with the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{w}_i\}_{i=1}^K$ consisting the HAFrame \mathbf{W} . It's equivalent to proving the following condition is satisfied when \mathbf{S} is positive definite:

$$A\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^K |\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|\mathbf{x}\|^2, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^K \quad (6)$$

Therefore, we need to prove the following:

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^K (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}_i)(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq B \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (7)$$

Since $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1 \ \mathbf{w}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{w}_K]$, we can further write $\sum_{i=1}^K (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}_i)(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x})$ as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^K (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}_i)(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T [\mathbf{w}_1 \ \mathbf{w}_2 \ \dots \ \mathbf{w}_K] \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}_1^T \\ \mathbf{w}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{w}_K^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \quad (8)$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^K (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{w}_i)(\mathbf{w}_i^T \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{W})(\mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (9)$$

Combining inequalities in Eqn. 7 and Eqn. 9, we have:

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T \mathbf{x} \leq B \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} \quad (10)$$

108 If $\|x\| = 0$, the *frame condition* in Eqn. 6 is met. Other-
109 wise, we have:
110

$$111 \quad A \leq \frac{x^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T x}{x^T x} \leq B \quad (11)$$

114 where $\frac{x^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T x}{x^T x}$ is the Rayleigh quotient $R(\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T, x)$
115 [3] for a real symmetric matrix $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T$, and it is bounded by
116 the minimum and maximum eigenvalues of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T$. There-
117 fore, we have:
118

$$119 \quad \lambda_{min} \leq \frac{x^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T x}{x^T x} \leq \lambda_{max} \quad (12)$$

121 where λ_{min} and λ_{max} are the minimum and maximum
122 eigenvalues of $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T$. Hence, we only need to prove that
123 $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T$ is a positive definite matrix when \mathbf{S} is positive def-
124 inite, i.e., for any $x \neq 0$, we need to prove:
125

$$126 \quad x^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T x = (\mathbf{W}^T x)^T (\mathbf{W}^T x) = \|\mathbf{W}^T x\|^2 > 0 \quad (13)$$

128 It is equivalent to prove $\mathbf{W}^T = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}^T$ is invertible (i.e.,
129 $\mathbf{W}^T x \neq 0$ when $x \neq 0$). We can find the inverse of \mathbf{W}^T :
130

$$131 \quad (\mathbf{W}^T)^{-1} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{Q}^T \quad (14)$$

133 where \mathbf{U} is orthonormal, \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{D} are acquired via eigen-
134 decomposition of real symmetric positive definite similarity
135 matrix $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{Q}^T$, therefore \mathbf{Q} is also orthonormal,
136 and $\mathbf{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a real matrix (eigenvalues of \mathbf{S} are all positive
137 real numbers). Since \mathbf{W}^T is invertible, $\mathbf{W}^T x \neq 0$ when
138 $x \neq 0$, this finish proving of Eqn. 13. Therefore, the ma-
139 trix $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^T$ is guaranteed to be positive definite when \mathbf{S} is
140 positive definite, and our HAFrame \mathbf{W} satisfies the *frame*
141 condition in Eqn. 1. The proof is completed. \square
142

143 References

- 145 [1] Peter G. Casazza, Gitta Kutyniok, and Friedrich Philipp. *In-
146 troduction to Finite Frame Theory*, pages 1–53. Birkhäuser
147 Boston, Boston, 2013. 1
- 148 [2] J. G. F. Francis. The QR Transformation A Unitary Analogue
149 to the LR Transformation - Part 1. *Comput. J.*, 4:265–271,
150 1961. 1
- 151 [3] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*.
152 Cambridge University Press, 1990. 2

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215