# ILSH: The Imperial Light-Stage Head Dataset for Human Head View Synthesis

Jiali Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Youngkyoon Jang<sup>1</sup>, Athanasios Papaioannou<sup>1</sup>, Christos Kampouris<sup>1</sup>, Rolandos Alexandros Potamias<sup>1</sup>, Foivos Paraperas Papantoniou<sup>1</sup>, Efstathios Galanakis<sup>1</sup>, Aleš Leonardis<sup>2</sup>, and Stefanos Zafeiriou<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Imperial College London, South Kensington, London SW7 2BX, UK <sup>2</sup>University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK

<sup>1</sup>{jiali.zheng18, youngkyoon.jang11, a.papaioannoul1, c.kampouris12, r.potamias19, f.paraperas-papantoniou22, s.galanakis21, s.zafeiriou}@imperial.ac.uk <sup>2</sup>a.leonardis@cs.bham.ac.uk

#### Abstract

This paper introduces the Imperial Light-Stage Head (ILSH) dataset, a novel light-stage-captured human head dataset designed to support view synthesis academic challenges for human heads. The ILSH dataset is intended to facilitate diverse approaches, such as scene-specific or generic neural rendering, multiple-view geometry, 3D vision, and computer graphics, to further advance the development of photo-realistic human avatars. This paper details the setup of a light-stage specifically designed to capture high-resolution (4K) human head images and describes the process of addressing challenges (preprocessing, ethical issues) in collecting high-quality data. In addition to the data collection, we address the split of the dataset into train, validation, and test sets. Our goal is to design and support a fair view synthesis challenge task for this novel dataset, such that a similar level of performance can be maintained and expected when using the test set, as when using the validation set. The ILSH dataset consists of 52 subjects captured using 24 cameras with all 82 lighting sources turned on, resulting in a total of 1,248 close-up head images, border masks, and camera pose pairs.

## 1. Introduction

Recent advances in novel view synthesis techniques and high-quality light-stage datasets have unlocked exciting new possibilities for photo-realistic human head avatars. In particular, the recent research boost leveraging the Neural Radiance Field (NeRF) model [15] exhibits its potential for future synthesis avatars. Building on two decades of research efforts since the first generation of light-stage



Figure 1. Exemplar data from the ILSH dataset.

data captures [24, 6], research communities are now focusing on finding efficient and diverse ways to solve the novel view synthesis problem while creating high-quality avatars. For example, there are various approaches being explored to tackle challenges, such as sparse view [26], various object volume sizes [4], generic models [13], model efficiency [16, 19], and bridging explicit-implicit representation [21]. However, although currently available lightstage-captured head datasets are well-designed and provide extensive data, they are limited in their ability to support potential challenges and invite diverse approaches, such as the development of generic models or the use of computer graphics or conventional 3D vision methods.

Some representative light-stage human head datasets, such as HUMBI [27], Mugsy v1 and v2 [3], and NeRsemble [12], provide images captured from dense camera viewpoints to support the novel view synthesis task. These datasets have enabled advances in generating photo-realistic human head avatars benefiting from their generous setting of densely located cameras. However, they are limited in

exploring further research challenges, such as sparse viewpoints or exploring the potential of generic models, as they only provide a small number of subject identities. The difficulty of inviting a large number of subjects is a naturally expected challenge when collecting real human head image datasets, mainly due to ethical issues. DigiFace-1M [2] addresses these ethical issues along with potential labeling noise and data bias, and it motivates the collection of comprehensive synthetic human head image datasets. However, using models trained on synthetic data for practical use cases will require further complicated engineering work, including an additional fine-tuning process, as real head images have different texture distributions from those found in synthetic head image datasets.

Recently, the RenderMe-360 dataset [17] was introduced, which exhibits a large collection of diversified real human head images. The dataset appears to provide an extensive and well-balanced distribution of demographics, including age, height, weight, gender, ethnicity, as well as head accessories and hairstyles. To achieve a balanced demographic distribution in the dataset, especially for hairstyles, artificial accessories such as wigs were used, while also allowing for face tattoos and heavy makeup. These efforts also exhibit the value of collecting diversified real human head images while keeping the balanced demographics. Although a complete and balanced dataset will ultimately be beneficial, a dataset that exhibits the natural distribution of demographics can be more meaningful in attracting diverse aspects of the real challenges of novel view synthesis, instead of artificially enforcing balanced demographics.

Through this reasoning process, we collected highquality head data (with a resolution of 3000×4096) from 52 subjects using 24 cameras in our light-stage installation, as shown in Fig. 2. We tried to invite a balanced number of demographic factors, but still left the natural biases, such as age and gender, that inherently arise from collecting data at an academic university location. Using this dataset, potential methods can tackle diverse challenges presented by the dataset, such as sparse viewpoints and the need for generic models, to advance photo-realistic human head avatars as a novel view synthesis challenge. In this paper, we introduce the first of its kind dataset allowing diverse approaches, called the Imperial Light-Stage Head (ILSH) dataset, which presents appropriate technical challenges while also providing room to attract diverse approaches, including generic and scene-specific models from both NeRF and non-NeRF models.

#### The main contributions of our work are three-fold:

1) Well-designed light-stage: We designed and installed our light-stage to collect a challenging but approachable dataset. In addition, we conducted extensive preliminary testing on data split for train, validation and test sets to guarantee a fair comparison using our dataset.

**2) High-fidelity dataset for diverse scenarios:** We intentionally invited an adequate number of subjects for the dataset collection to support not only scene-specific methods but also generic models, while naturally exhibiting the challenges of sparse viewpoints, which is one of the main challenging issues of novel view synthesis.

**3)** Easy-to-use dataset: By providing a starter kit that includes supporting scripts for tasks such as re-structuring the downloaded dataset, loading data, and evaluating results, we help researchers lower the barrier to using our datasets to develop their methods.

For the rest of this paper, we survey related datasets (Sec. 2), introduce the preparation steps for data collection (Sec. 3), and present the ILSH dataset (Sec. 4). Then, we conclude this paper by discussing ethical considerations (Sec. 5).

## 2. Related Datasets

**Light-stage human head and body datasets.** Efforts to capture high-quality human data have been aligned with the history of light-stage data capture [24, 6]. Light-stages are typically designed to capture synchronized illumination conditions and images for relighting humans. However, they have recently been used to capture ground truth data for novel view synthesis research tasks, since they provide high-quality camera calibration and controlled data capture. As traditional light-stage data capture focused on capturing the human head [24] and body data [6] separately, the current threads of human datasets continue to advance in the mainstream of collecting human head [5, 27, 20, 3, 25, 22, 17] and body [10, 18, 11, 28, 8] images and videos. In this section, we review related datasets that focus on collecting real human head data.

**Resolutions and viewpoints.** FaceWarehouse [5] is a database of 3D human faces that provides RGBD face data with a resolution of  $640 \times 480$  and estimated 3D geometry. Although it includes 150 subjects, only one view is available for each subject, which is insufficient for studying human head neural rendering from multi-view images. HUMBI [27], a large-scale multi-view human dataset aimed at facilitating high-resolution human body appearance learning, used a 107 dense camera array to capture the gaze, face, hand, body, and garment of 772 subjects. The resolution of the captured images for the entire body is  $1920 \times 1080$ , while the face images are cropped to a resolution of  $200 \times 250$ . This face data does not provide enough detail of the human head, making high-fidelity human head neural rendering challenging.

Mugsy v1 and v2 (Multiface [25]) are notable human head datasets that feature head images with  $2048 \times 1334$  resolution of 13 individuals, with the goal of creating highquality human head avatars using 150 camera viewpoints

Method	Relightable	Year	Camera Pose	Video	Public	# View	# Identity	# Expression	Resolution
FaceWarehouse [5]	N	2014	N	N	Y	1	150	20	640×480
HUMBI [27]	Ν	2020	Y	N	Y	32	772	20	200×250
Mugsy v1 [25]	Y	2021	Y	Y	Y	40	13	65	2048×1334
Mugsy v2 [25]	Y	2021	Y	Y	Y	150	13	118	2048×1334
NeRSemble [12]	Ν	2023	Y	Y	N	16	222	9 + 4	3028×2200
RenderMe-360 [17]	Ν	2023	Y	Y	N	60	500	6000	2448×2048
ILSH (ours)	Ν	2023	Y	N	Y	24	52	1	3000×4096

Table 1. Light-Stage Datasets for Human head.

for 118 diverse expressions. However, with recent advances in neural rendering for novel view synthesis, datasets that present more challenges by using relatively sparse viewpoints and a more diverse set of individuals are becoming increasingly relevant in the field of human-head neural rendering research. NeRSemble dataset [12] appears to introduce 16 sparse camera viewpoints with a large number (222) of individuals to support multi-view radiance field reconstruction. They provide high-fidelity images with  $3028 \times 2200$  resolution. However, the actual distances between these 16 cameras are as closely dense as those in the Mugsy v2 dataset [25], as the cameras are located within the frontal area of the face.

Extensive collection. As previously discussed, RenderMe-360 [17] has made significant efforts to collect a near-completely balanced demographic for various aspects, such as age, height-weight, gender, and ethnicity, for a large number (500) of individuals. The dataset also allows for some artificial accessories and face tattoos, which may partially obscure the natural texture of human heads. Although the dataset is comprehensive and near-complete in terms of human head diversity, we have decided to allow for some naturally occurring biases while doing our best to invite voluntary subjects to participate in the ILSH data collection, as human head datasets must be collected and used ethically. Additionally, these natural biases present in the dataset can attract further research questions, while inviting our originally planned potential approaches, such as handling sparse viewpoints and using generic models with NeRF or non-NeRF methods. RenderMe-360 has not been fully released and is planned to be released at the end of 2023.

## 3. Data Collection

**Overall process.** We collected high-quality images of 52 human heads over four different sessions, each corresponding to a different date (specifically, February 3rd, 10th, 16th, and 17th). Our data collection process consisted of the following steps: 1) calibration checkerboard capture, 2) color chart capture, and 3) subject capture. The first and the second steps were performed before each recording session using a  $10 \times 10$  20mm checkerboard and a color chart [1], respectively. This was done to ensure that we provided the

most accurate possible images and camera extrinsic parameters, as well as consistent colors across images captured from different cameras. These factors are important for the novel view synthesis task and to avoid issues such as camera lens looseness or physical displacement that might occur over time. We ultimately computed and provided four different sets of camera poses for the four different sets of subjects, aligned with the capture dates corresponding to each session.

After completing the first two steps in each session, we invited participants to have their head images captured using our light-stage (See details in Sec. 3.1). Once participants were informed about the research and agreed to participate by signing the consent form (See details in Sec. 5), we proceeded with capturing high-quality human head images (the third step). Individual subjects were instructed to sit on a chair located in the middle of the light-stage, with their face centered within the half-sphere where 21 cameras were located, while 3 cameras captured the back of their head. The instructor in the room advised on how to best align the subject's head by monitoring three external displays outside the light-stage that previewed images captured by orthogonally located cameras, ensuring that the subject's head was centered before capturing the images. Once the instructor confirmed the head location, the room lights are turned off to avoid external light sources, and image capturing is proceeded.

## 3.1. Multi-view Image Capture System

To collect the ILSH dataset, we used a light stage, as shown in Fig. 2. The frame of the light stage is based on a 3-frequency geodesic dome with a diameter of 2.5m, and its bottom part is cropped to accommodate a chair. When the subject sits on the chair, their head is positioned in the center of the dome at a height of 1.25m. The capture system is located in a room with matte black walls to minimize reflections.

The current version of the system consists of 82 light sources with high-power RGBW LEDs (OSRAM OSTAR) and narrow-angle lenses to concentrate the light on the subject's head. For data capture, we used only the white LEDs of the light sources with fixed intensity to create uniform



Figure 2. Example of a light-stage capture environment and multiple viewpoints of a subject in the ILSH dataset. The red rectangular outlines on multiple view images, as shown in the right-most image, represent the views that were excluded from the validation and test views selection, based on the distance between adjacent views, as shown in Fig. 4. The remaining viewpoints are being tested across subjects as both validation and test sets.

illumination.

The light stage is equipped with 24 machine vision cameras (Balser boA4112-68cc) with a resolution of  $4096 \times 3000$  and a global shutter. Among them, 21 cameras are located on the front hemisphere, looking at the subject's face, while the remaining three are looking at the back of the head. The cameras are synchronized with a bespoke hardware trigger system that ensures all images are captured simultaneously. To capture high-quality data, we used 50mm lenses for tight framing and saved all images as RAW files.

#### 3.2. Camera Calibration and Colour Correction

**Camera calibration.** For the checkerboards, we captured 10 mostly frontal images per camera, with slight 3D rotation, to detect a sufficient number of stable corner points from a single view. All cameras were synchronized during capture. In total, we captured 5,760 checkerboard images  $(10 \times 24 \text{ directions} \times 24 \text{ cameras})$ , but only used those where the checkerboard was accurately and stably detected.

For the color chart board capture process, we followed the same procedure as for capturing checkerboards. However, we only captured 2 frontal color chart images per camera, resulting in a total of 48 images  $(2 \times 24)$ . This was done to correct the colors of individual cameras using a standard color chart board as a reference, ensuring consistency in the colors captured by different cameras.

For camera calibration, we used a multi-camera calibration method [23] based on checkboard patterns. In the process, we manually selected the center camera view in the front as the reference view, which serves as a reference coordinate system. This view was selected from images captured by the first camera (View-ID: 0 in Fig. 2) located in the center of the first hemisphere. Given the intrinsics and extrinsics from the calibration process, we undistorted the images and finally released the dataset with border masks, which were produced during the undistortion process to mask black empty areas in the undistorted images. To support neural rendering-based methods, we provide camera pose information in well-known formats such as LLFF and Blender, containing camera intrinsics and extrinsics, near and far values, image resolution, and focal length.

**Colour correction.** In addition to camera calibration, we corrected the color to achieve the most realistic (natural) color using a standard color chart template. We estimated the color transform coefficients between the reference color chart and the input color from light-stage cameras using Cheung's method [9, 14]. The camera and illumination emitter (LED) settings were set to ISO 200, an exposure time of 20 ms (shutter speed 50 FPS), and 4% individual light emitter strength. These parameters were fixed for capturing the dataset for all subjects.

## 4. ILSH: Imperial Light-Stage Head Dataset

In this section, we first describe how we prepared additional masks and performed the undistortion process. We then provide an overview of our final ILSH dataset. We also discuss how we split the data into train, validation, and test sets to ensure fairness in academic Challenges. This is particularly important in an open research competition where the test set is completely hidden, allowing participants to have a fair chance to achieve the expected test results of their methods, which were developed and tested using the validation set. Finally, we describe the demographics of our dataset.

#### 4.1. Preprocessing

As discussed in Sec. 3.2, we followed four steps for the entire dataset: 1) camera calibration, 2) color correc-



Figure 3. Demographics of the data split into train, validation, and test sets.

tion, 3) dataset anonymization, and 4) data split definition. After camera and color calibration, we undistorted the final images using the distortion coefficients optimized in step 1. To simplify dataset usage, we unified the intrinsic parameters among all cameras (to the average camera matrix) and remapped the color images to correspond to the new intrinsics. This undistortion and remapping shifted pixels, often resulting in a band of empty pixels at some image borders. We therefore provide masks, referred to as border or undistortion masks, with a value of one for valid pixels and zero for empty pixels. To anonymize the data, we renamed all images using the format:  $\{: 03d\}_{-00}_{-}\{: 02d\}_{.format}(subject_{-id}, view_{-id})$ , resulting in names such as  $000_{-}00_{$ 

The data is structured as follows. Each subject-specific directory (e.g., 000\_00) contains an *images* and a *masks* folder, holding the undistorted, renamed head images and the border masks produced during the undistortion process, respectively. The masks follow the same naming format as the images but with a .npy file extension. Each subject folder also includes camera intrinsics and extrinsics. As mentioned in Sec. 3.2 we provide the camera information in two commonly used camera conventions: LLFF (*poses\_bounds\_{train, val, test}.npy*) and Blender (*transforms\_{train, val, test}.json*).

## 4.2. The ILSH Dataset

**Overview of the dataset.** After going through all the preprocessing steps, we collected and completed the ILSH dataset. The ILSH dataset includes **52 subjects**, each represented in a separate folder. A total of **24 cameras** were utilized to capture images of the individual subjects, so each folder has 24 head images captured from different viewpoints. In total, the dataset contains **1,248 4K resolution** (width: 3000 and height: 4096) images of the 52 subjects, captured using the 24 cameras. Due to calibration-based image undistortion preprocessing applied to the dataset, some



Figure 4. Distance between a camera and other camera positions, excluding the 3 backside views with IDs are 11, 21, and 23.

image pixels along the borders will remain empty (See details in Sec. 4.1). Thus, we provide **1,248 binary border masks** resulting from this calibration, with a value of 1 for non-empty pixels and 0 for empty pixels, along with the head images.

In addition to the head images and their corresponding border masks, we also provided **1,248 Camera Poses** in multiple formats. Each subject folder contains two formats of camera pose files: one in a Blender-compatible data loader format (transforms.json) and one in an LLFFcompatible data loader format (pose\_bounds.npy). Each file contains 24 camera poses that are dependent on the capture date, along with additional information such as focal length and image resolution. In total, there are 52 pairs of these files in their respective subject folders.

**Data split for train, validation, and test sets.** We split the dataset into three subsets: train, validation, and test sets, as shown in Fig. 3. This data split is designed to ensure fair comparison for a novel view synthesis challenge task given 52 subjects. We made every effort to maintain consistent performance between the validation and test sets when selecting views to assign to each subset. To achieve this, we decided to assign a minimal number (two) of views out of the 24 available views for each subject in both the validation and test sets. This guarantees a consistent number of training views for all subjects.

In addition to maintaining the same number of training samples within each subject, we were careful to assign a fairly equal number of validation and test views across subjects. This ensured that the training, validation, and test sets had an equal amount of data samples for each viewpoint. To make the assigning view process even fairer and to preserve similar performance across the validation and



Figure 5. Effect of changing the number of excluded views used in validation and test sets (left: 2, middle: 4, and right: 6 views). Increasing the number of views excluded from training results in a larger gap in performance between validation and test sets, leading to a failure to maintain performance consistency.

test sets, we also had to avoid exceptionally distant views (which are sparse viewpoints) from the pool of validation and test sets. We believed that one way to further ensure consistent performance between the validation and test sets was to remove any additional factors that could introduce random difficulties, such as varied view sparsity among the validation and test sets. To do this, we calculated the mean distance between 3, 5, 10, and all adjacent camera locations from a given camera location, as shown in Fig. 4. Then, we discarded 10 views in descending order of their mean distances. These views are depicted as red rectangular outlines on multiple view images in Fig. 2.

Upon examining the calculated mean distances, we confirmed that the discarded distant views correlated with a low density of surrounding cameras and were mainly from the back and profile side views, where one side of a camera may not be fully surrounded by other cameras. As a result, all remaining validation and test views are now fairly surrounded by other cameras, which will aid in training for a novel view synthesis task. Although we discarded exceptionally distant camera views for validation and test sets, the remaining 14 candidate views still vary in difficulty depending on the variance of their sparsity.

**Minimal number of views excluded during training.** Given this filtered pool of 14 candidate views, we tested how many excluded views would produce more challenges while still preserving the consistency of performance between the validation and test results, as shown in Fig. 5. We found that when we excluded the minimal number of views (two views) during training, the consistency of performance between the validation and test results was better preserved compared to when we excluded 4 or 6 views, while still being challenging enough. Otherwise, when the number of excluded views during training increases, the performance gap appears to immediately become larger. Thus, we decided to assign a minimal number of views to be selected

for the validation and test sets. As a baseline method, we used TensoRF [7]. As shown in Fig. 5, when excluding 2, 4, and 6 views for the validation and test sets in the training set, the average score gaps between the validation and test sets were 0.33, 0.72, and 0.87, respectively. Upon closer examination of the graph, we confirmed that the score gaps for each view, such as camera view 6, worsened as the number of excluded views increased.

**Three subject categories.** In our dataset, there are three categories of subjects: 1) those who have 1 validation and 1 test view, 2) those who have only 2 validation views, and 3) those who have only 2 test views, as shown in Fig. 3. The first category enables researchers to develop algorithms and train models that have been validated using the validation set and can be reused for the test set to maintain their performance on the same subjects. The second and third categories are useful for confirming the model's generalizability when tested across subjects with different appearances. Having these three types of subject categories, while assigning validation and test views across subjects, helps us to better evaluate the generalized performance of models, which is independent of both view sparsity and subject appearance.

Due to the long training times typically associated with conventional neural rendering models, we released two toy examples for simpler testing(all views included).

Algorithm to assign a balanced number of validation and test views for each subject. We followed these key steps to select the most distant two views out of 14 available views per subject across 50 subjects: 1. For the first subject, randomly choose one view from the 14 available views. 2. Make a list of the remaining 23 views (as pairs containing the chosen view) in order of their distance from the chosen initial view. 3. Examine the list from the top to see if any combination of two views has not been selected as a combination of validation and test views before. 4. If a combination has not been selected before, add it to the list of selected view combinations and remove it from the available list of views. **5.** Increase the subject ID and repeat the process for each subject until all subjects have been processed. **6.** If the available views run out while processing, refill the available views with the initial 14 views and continue processing.

If the last pair of views, which is the only choice among the unselected available views, already exists in the selected combinations, we avoid this exceptional case by reversing the order of the camera combination. As we assign views for the validation and test sets in order for the same subject, this permutation process is sufficient to avoid any exception cases that may occur only one or two times. After assigning balanced validation and test views across subjects, we have 50 sets of camera view tuples. We then split these into three subcategories, as previously discussed, while being careful to avoid duplicated tuples in the same categories. As a result, we have a balanced view count for each camera view for validation and test sets.

#### 4.3. The ILSH Dataset Demographics

In preparing the data collection, we aimed for diversity in subject demographics, including age, gender, and ethnicity. However, due to the nature of the high-resolution human head data collected from multiple viewpoints and the voluntary nature of participation in the study, we were unable to achieve completely balanced demographics. We made every effort to invite a diverse group of participants, such as by publicizing the study to different age and gender groups, while still allowing for the natural biases of the dataset collection process. As a result, we have compiled the following statistics on the demographics represented in the dataset.

Our dataset includes 52 subjects. Within the age groups of 20s, 30s, 40s, 60s, and 70s, we have 37, 9, 2, 3, and 1 subjects, respectively. 67% of participants are male and 33% are female. Half (50%) of the subjects are Asian or Asian British, 37% are White, 11% are European, and the remaining 2% marked themselves as Others or chose not to reveal their ethnicity (Fig. 6).

## 5. Ethical Considerations

For subjects participating in dataset collection. We have taken care to consider ethical considerations throughout the data collection process. When participants applied to take part in the data collection, the organizers shared an adult information sheet and a consent form with them before they arrived. The adult information sheet contains information about the experiment (data collection), including its purpose, what will happen, why we are doing it, eligibility, the option to change their mind, potential results of the research, legal basis, what information we collect, participants' rights, information transfers, an explanation of what



Figure 6. Demographics of subjects in the ILSH Dataset.

to do if something goes wrong, rewards, the reviewer of this study, funding body, and organizer information. The consent form contains checklists asking for approval of reading the adult sheet, having opportunities for questions and answers, its voluntary nature, human head image storage, its potential use for training models, analysis by Imperial College, anonymization of personal data, and consent to take part in the study by providing their signature. Finally, we recruited 52 participants for the data collection following the guidelines of the Imperial College London Ethics Committee, ensuring that all necessary precautions were taken to avoid any potential ethical mistakes in the process of hiring, collection, distribution, and so on.

The participants were aware that the data would be used to test and develop novel algorithms and had the option to stop participating and request the removal of their data at any time. To ensure full transparency and consent, participants were also required to sign a consent form before actual data collection was carried out. Our focus remains on advancing technology and not on any potential biases or privacy issues.

For the dataset user. In this section, we describe how we release the dataset in an ethical manner. The Imperial College London Ethics Committee and organizing team are diligently involved in preventing any potential harm, as this dataset contains personal facial information that must be used ethically. As described above, The Ethics Committee first approves the collection of this human head dataset and closely advises us to avoid any potential harm and guarantee the subjects' (dataset participants') right to stop participating. In addition, it is agreed that the dataset would only be shared within officially guaranteed research communities for research purposes only.

To follow the guideline of sharing within guaranteed re-

search institutes, we do not share the dataset directly. Instead, we first require dataset users to agree to the End User License Agreement (EULA) and disclose their identity so that we can track who is using the dataset.

## 6. Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we introduced the Imperial Light-Stage Head (ILSH) dataset, which is a valuable resource for advancing the development of photo-realistic human head avatars. The dataset, which was specifically designed to support view synthesis challenges for Human Heads, provides high-quality data captured using our custom lightstage setup. The ILSH dataset was also designed to facilitate diverse approaches, including scene-specific or generic neural rendering, multiple-view geometry, 3D vision, and computer graphics. The design of a fair view synthesis challenge task with fair data split further enhance the value of this novel dataset. We hope that the ILSH dataset will inspire further research and innovation in the field of photorealistic human head avatar generation.

#### Acknowledgement

S. Zafeiriou and part of the research were funded by the EPSRC Fellowship DEFORM(EP/S010203/1) and by the EPSRC Project GNOMON (EP/X011364/1).

#### References

- [1] datacolor Spyder Checkr. https://www.datacolor. com/spyder/welcome-to-spyder-checkr/.
  [Online; accessed 31-July-2023]. 3
- [2] Gwangbin Bae, Martin de La Gorce, Tadas Baltrušaitis, Charlie Hewitt, Dong Chen, Julien Valentin, Roberto Cipolla, and Jingjing Shen. Digiface-1m: 1 million digital face images for face recognition. In 2023 IEEE Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision (WACV). IEEE, 2023. 2
- [3] Sai Bi, Stephen Lombardi, Shunsuke Saito, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, Kevyn Mcphail, Ravi Ramamoorthi, Yaser Sheikh, and Jason Saragih. Deep relightable appearance models for animatable faces. *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 40(4), jul 2021. 1, 2
- [4] Timo Bolkart, Tianye Li, and Michael J. Black. Instant multi-view head capture through learnable registration. In *IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR*, pages 768–779, 2023. 1
- [5] Chen Cao, Yanlin Weng, Shun Zhou, Yiying Tong, and Kun Zhou. Facewarehouse: A 3d facial expression database for visual computing. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(3):413–425, mar 2014. 2, 3
- [6] Charles-Félix Chabert, Per Einarsson, Andrew Jones, Bruce Lamond, Wan-Chun Ma, Sebastian Sylwan, Tim Hawkins, and Paul Debevec. Relighting human locomotion with flowed reflectance fields. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2006

*Sketches*, SIGGRAPH '06, page 76–es, New York, NY, USA, 2006. Association for Computing Machinery. 1, 2

- [7] Anpei Chen, Zexiang Xu, Andreas Geiger, Jingyi Yu, and Hao Su. Tensorf: Tensorial radiance fields. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2022. 6
- [8] Wei Cheng, Ruixiang Chen, Wanqi Yin, Siming Fan, Keyu Chen, Honglin He, Huiwen Luo, Zhongang Cai, Jingbo Wang, Yang Gao, Zhengming Yu, Zhengyu Lin, Daxuan Ren, Lei Yang, Ziwei Liu, Chen Change Loy, Chen Qian, Wayne Wu, Dahua Lin, Bo Dai, and Kwan-Yee Lin. Dnarendering: A diverse neural actor repository for high-fidelity human-centric rendering. In *International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2023. 2
- [9] Vien Cheung, Stephen Westland, David Connah, and Caterina Ripamonti. A comparative study of the characterisation of color cameras by means of neural networks and polynomial transforms. *Coloration Technology*, 120:19 – 25, 01 2004. 4
- [10] Kaiwen Guo, Peter Lincoln, Philip Davidson, Jay Busch, Xueming Yu, Matt Whalen, Geoff Harvey, Sergio Orts-Escolano, Rohit Pandey, Jason Dourgarian, Danhang Tang, Anastasia Tkach, Adarsh Kowdle, Emily Cooper, Mingsong Dou, Sean Fanello, Graham Fyffe, Christoph Rhemann, Jonathan Taylor, Paul Debevec, and Shahram Izadi. The relightables: Volumetric performance capture of humans with realistic relighting. ACM Trans. Graph., 38(6), nov 2019. 2
- [11] Mustafa Işık, Martin Rünz, Markos Georgopoulos, Taras Khakhulin, Jonathan Starck, Lourdes Agapito, and Matthias Nießner. Humanrf: High-fidelity neural radiance fields for humans in motion. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 42(4):1–12, 2023. 2
- [12] Tobias Kirschstein, Shenhan Qian, Simon Giebenhain, Tim Walter, and Matthias Nießner. Nersemble: Multi-view radiance field reconstruction of human heads. ACM Trans. Graph., 42(4), Jul 2023. 1, 3
- [13] Haotong Lin, Sida Peng, Zhen Xu, Yunzhi Yan, Qing Shuai, Hujun Bao, and Xiaowei Zhou. Efficient neural radiance fields for interactive free-viewpoint video. In SIGGRAPH Asia Conference Proceedings, 2022. 1
- [14] Thomas Mansencal, Michael Mauderer, Michael Parsons, Nick Shaw, Kevin Wheatley, Sean Cooper, Jean D. Vandenberg, Luke Canavan, Katherine Crowson, Ofek Lev, Katrin Leinweber, Shriramana Sharma, Troy James Sobotka, Dominik Moritz, Matt Pppp, Chinmay Rane, Pavithra Eswaramoorthy, John Mertic, Ben Pearlstine, Manuel Leonhardt, Olli Niemitalo, Marek Szymanski, Maximilian Schambach, Sianyi Huang, Mike Wei, Nishant Joywardhan, Omar Wagih, Pawel Redman, Joseph Goldstone, Stephen Hill, Jedediah Smith, Frederic Savoir, Geetansh Saxena, Saransh Chopra, Ilia Sibiryakov, Tim Gates, Gajendra Pal, Nicolas Tessore, Aurélien Pierre, François-Xavier Thomas, Sabarish Srinivasan, and Tucker Downs. Colour 0.4.2, Nov. 2022. 4
- [15] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P. Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T. Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. In *ECCV*, 2020. 1

- [16] Thomas Müller, Alex Evans, Christoph Schied, and Alexander Keller. Instant neural graphics primitives with a multiresolution hash encoding. ACM Trans. Graph., 41(4):102:1– 102:15, July 2022. 1
- [17] Dongwei Pan, Long Zhuo, Jingtan Piao, Huiwen Luo, Wei Cheng, Yuxin Wang, Siming Fan, Shengqi Liu, Lei Yang, Bo Dai, Ziwei Liu, Chen Change Loy, Chen Qian, Wayne Wu, Dahua Lin, and Kwan-Yee Lin. Renderme-360: Large digital asset library and benchmark towards high-fidelity head avatars". arXiv preprint, arXiv:2305.13353, 2023. 2, 3
- [18] Edoardo Remelli, Timur Bagautdinov, Shunsuke Saito, Chenglei Wu, Tomas Simon, Shih-En Wei, Kaiwen Guo, Zhe Cao, Fabian Prada, Jason Saragih, and Yaser Sheikh. Drivable volumetric avatars using texel-aligned features. In ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings, SIG-GRAPH '22, 2022. 2
- [19] Cheng Sun, Min Sun, and Hwann-Tzong Chen. Direct voxel grid optimization: Super-fast convergence for radiance fields reconstruction. In *CVPR*, 2022. 1
- [20] Tiancheng Sun, Zexiang Xu, Xiuming Zhang, Sean Fanello, Christoph Rhemann, Paul Debevec, Yun-Ta Tsai, Jonathan T. Barron, and Ravi Ramamoorthi. Light stage superresolution: Continuous high-frequency relighting. ACM Trans. Graph., 39(6), nov 2020. 2
- [21] Prune Truong, Marie-Julie Rakotosaona, Fabian Manhardt, and Federico Tombari. Sparf: Neural radiance fields from sparse and noisy poses. IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR, 2023. 1
- [22] Daoye Wang, Prashanth Chandran, Gaspard Zoss, Derek Bradley, and Paulo Gotardo. Morf: Morphable radiance fields for multiview neural head modeling. In ACM SIG-GRAPH 2022 Conference Proceedings, SIGGRAPH '22, 2022. 2
- [23] Yifu Wang, Wenqing Jiang, Kun Huang, Sören Schwertfeger, and Laurent Kneip. Accurate calibration of multiperspective cameras from a generalization of the hand-eye constraint. In *ICRA*, 2022. 4
- [24] Andreas Wenger, Andrew Gardner, Chris Tchou, Jonas Unger, Tim Hawkins, and Paul Debevec. Performance relighting and reflectance transformation with time-multiplexed illumination. ACM Trans. Graph., 24(3):756–764, jul 2005. 1, 2
- [25] Cheng-hsin Wuu, Ningyuan Zheng, Scott Ardisson, Rohan Bali, Danielle Belko, Eric Brockmeyer, Lucas Evans, Timothy Godisart, Hyowon Ha, Alexander Hypes, Taylor Koska, Steven Krenn, Stephen Lombardi, Xiaomin Luo, Kevyn McPhail, Laura Millerschoen, Michal Perdoch, Mark Pitts, Alexander Richard, Jason Saragih, Junko Saragih, Takaaki Shiratori, Tomas Simon, Matt Stewart, Autumn Trimble, Xinshuo Weng, David Whitewolf, Chenglei Wu, Shoou-I Yu, and Yaser Sheikh. Multiface: A dataset for neural face rendering. In arXiv, 2022. 2, 3
- [26] Alex Yu, Vickie Ye, Matthew Tancik, and Angjoo Kanazawa. pixelNeRF: Neural radiance fields from one or few images. In CVPR, 2021. 1
- [27] Zhixuan Yu, Jae Shin Yoon, In Kyu Lee, Prashanth Venkatesh, Jaesik Park, Jihun Yu, and Hyun Soo Park.

Humbi: A large multiview dataset of human body expressions. In 2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 2987–2997, 2020. 1, 2, 3

[28] Taotao Zhou, Kai He, Di Wu, Teng Xu, Qixuan Zhang, Kuixiang Shao, Wenzheng Chen, Lan Xu, and Jingyi Yu. Relightable neural human assets from multi-view gradient illuminations. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4315– 4327, 2023. 2