Bring Your Rear Cameras for Egocentric 3D Human Pose Estimation

Supplementary Material

This supplementary material provides more details about our work. Please also watch our supplementary video for dynamic visualizations, including the proposed datasets, qualitative results, and character animations as a future application of our method.

A. Per-Action Evaluation

Table 6 presents the 3D error evaluation for each action category on Ego4View-RW. Our refinement method consistently brings substantial improvement across all action types. Notably, our proposed module demonstrates superior effectiveness, particularly for motions involving lower-body movements, achieving a 15.5% improvement in "stretching legs". This enhancement is likely attributed to the frequent self-occlusion of the legs in either front or rear views, which often leads to misrepresentation in joint detection by the current state-of-the-art method [4] even with four-view inputs. In contrast, our module effectively mitigates these challenges, resulting in significant advancements in egocentric 3D human pose estimation with rear-view integration.

B. Joint Visibility Calculation

As described in Sec. 3, we obtain the visibility of end-effector joints (hands and feet) in our synthetic setup. We first generate 2D egocentric fisheye views using SMPL models with the predefined body part segmentation mesh [3]. Next, we project ground-truth 3D joints onto these images to obtain reference points, querying the nearest 2D points within a 10×10 pixel region around each reference. We classify a 3D joint as visible if any queried 2D point corresponds to its respective body part; otherwise, it is considered occluded.

C. Additional Details of Network Architecture

As mentioned in Sec. 4, we use several shallow networks, *i.e.*, \mathcal{F}_{O} , \mathcal{F}_{R} , \mathcal{F}_{HM} , \mathcal{P}_{HM} , \mathcal{P}_{RGB} , and \mathcal{P}_{Q} . \mathcal{F}_{O} and \mathcal{F}_{R} consist of two linear layers with a bilinear up-sample operation as well as with an intermediate dimension size of 64 and 128, respectively. \mathcal{F}_{HM} uses one convolutional layer with a kernel size of 3, a stride of 2, and a padding size of 1, followed by two linear layers with an intermediate dimension size of 256, a bilinear up-sample operation, and a linear layer to generate heatmaps. \mathcal{P}_{HM} is composed of two linear layers with intermediate and output dimension sizes of 256 whereas \mathcal{P}_{RGB} and \mathcal{P}_{Q} are a single liner layer with output dimension size of 256.

Method	walking	kicking	boxing	crouching
EPF [4]	45.16	74.50	71.22	50.89
+ Ours	42.10	68.85	60.81	46.20
Method	kneeing	crawling	dancing	twisting body
EPF [4]	87.14	82.35	52.99	61.64
+ Ours	73.69	76.92	48.75	56.03
Method	stretching	stretching	rotating	raising
	arms	legs	shoulders	legs
EPF [4]	50.03	58.14	53.56	75.62
+ Ours	46.88	49.09	51.94	68.91
Method	balancing	sitting		all
	legs up behind	on the ground		
EPF [4]	82.82	77.19		63.38
+ Ours	74.24	66.79		56.94

Table 6. **Per-action evaluation on Ego4View-RW** (MPJPE) with 2 front and 2 rear views. EPF represents EgoPoseFormer [4].

D. Rear-View Integration for Existing Method

As mentioned in Secs. 4 and 5, the refined heatmap features \mathbf{R}_k and heatmaps \mathbf{H}_k can be utilised with existing 2D-to-3D lifting modules to estimate 3D poses. In this work, we integrate our module into the current state-of-theart methods, EgoPoseFormer [4] and EgoTAP [2]. However, unlike the 3D module of EgoTAP [2] that directly uses heatmaps as inputs, EgoPoseFormer [4] uses the heatmap features (before the final heatmap output layer) instead of the heatmaps in their 2D-to-3D lifting module. To account for their methodology, we first input the refined features \mathbf{R}_k from all views into a simple network consisting of four convolutional layers followed by a linear layer, yielding an initial 3D pose $\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{16 \times 3}$, which represents 16 joints including the head. This initial 3D pose is subsequently fed into the 3D updating module of EgoPoseFormer [4] to produce an updated 3D pose P_{final} as the final output.

E. Model Size

Here, we provide the details of our model size and inference speed with 2 front and 2 rear views. As mentioned in Sec. 4 and 5, we adopt the current state-of-the-art methods as a baseline, *i.e.*, EgoPoseFormer [1] (27M parameters) and EgoTAP [2] (242M parameters), with our refinement module (25M \times the number of views). Note that EgoPoseFormer [1] consists of a simple UNet-based architecture and three transformer-based layers; therefore, they tend to have

fewer trainable parameters than EgoTAP [2].

Regarding the inference speed on our setup with a single NVIDIA Quadro RTX 8000 and PyTorch, while the original EgoPoseFormer [1] runs at 67 fps, EgoPoseFormer with our refinement module can run at 30 fps with 2 front and 2 rear views. Therefore, our method can be utilized with real-time applications. Note that our focus lies in making the best use of rear views and prioritizes tracking accuracy; future work will improve inference speed with our proposed setup and novel large-scale datasets, *i.e.*, Ego4View-Syn and Ego4View-RW.

References

- [1] Jiaxi Jiang, Paul Streli, Manuel Meier, and Christian Holz. Egoposer: Robust real-time egocentric pose estimation from sparse and intermittent observations everywhere. In *European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2024. 1, 2
- [2] Taeho Kang and Youngki Lee. Attention-propagation network for egocentric heatmap to 3d pose lifting. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2024. 1, 2
- [3] Matthew Loper, Naureen Mahmood, Javier Romero, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Michael J. Black. SMPL: A skinned multi-person linear model. ACM Trans. Graphics (Proc. SIG-GRAPH Asia), 34(6):248:1–248:16, 2015.
- [4] Chenhongyi Yang, Anastasia Tkach, Shreyas Hampali, Linguang Zhang, Elliot J Crowley, and Cem Keskin. Egopose-former: A simple baseline for stereo egocentric 3d human pose estimation. In *European conference on computer vision*, 2024. 1