Appendix for CFLOW-AD: Real-Time Unsupervised Anomaly Detection with Localization via Conditional Normalizing Flows

A. Relationship with the flow framework

The loss function for the *reverse* $D_{KL} [\hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) || p_Z(\boldsymbol{z})]$ objective [1], where $\hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is the model prediction and $p_Z(\boldsymbol{z})$ is a target density, is defined as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})} \left[\log \hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \log p_Z(\boldsymbol{z}) \right].$$
(5)

The first term in (5) can be written using (4) definition for a standard MVG prior $(\boldsymbol{u} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{I}))$ as

$$\log \hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log(2\pi)^{-D/2} - E^2(\boldsymbol{u})/2 + \log |\det \boldsymbol{J}|,$$
(5.1)

where $E^2(u) = ||u||_2^2$ is a squared Euclidean distance of u. Similarly, the second term in (5) can be written for MVG

density (2) using a square of Mahalanobis distance as

$$\log p_Z(z) = \log(2\pi)^{-D/2} + \log \det \Sigma^{-1/2} - M^2(z)/2.$$
(5.2)

By substituting (5.1-5.2) into (5), the constants $\log(2\pi)^{-D/2}$ are eliminated and the loss is

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{p}_{Z}(\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{\theta})} \left[\frac{M^{2}(\boldsymbol{z}) - E^{2}(\boldsymbol{u})}{2} + \log \frac{|\det \boldsymbol{J}|}{\det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}} \right].$$
(6)

B. CFLOW decoders for likelihood estimation

We train CFLOW-AD using a maximum likelihood objective, which is equivalent to minimizing the *forward* D_{KL} objective [1] with the loss defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = D_{KL} \left[p_Z(\boldsymbol{z}) \| \, \hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right], \tag{7}$$

where $\hat{p}_Z(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$ is a conditional normalizing flow (CFLOW) model with a condition vector $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^C$.

The target density $p_Z(z)$ is usually replaced by a constant because the parameters θ do not depend on this density during gradient-based optimization. Then by analogy with unconditional flow (4), the loss (7) for $\hat{p}_Z(z, c, \theta)$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\mathbb{E}_{p_{Z}(\boldsymbol{z})} \left[\log p_{U}(\boldsymbol{u}) + \log |\det \boldsymbol{J}| \right] + \text{const.} \quad (7.1)$$

In practice, the expectation operation in (7.1) is replaced by an empirical train dataset $\mathcal{D}_{\text{train}}$ of size N. Using the definition of base distribution with $p_U(u)$, the final form of (7) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}_i\|_2^2}{2} - \log |\det \boldsymbol{J}_i| \right] + \text{const}, \quad (7.2)$$

where the random variable $u_i = g^{-1}(z_i, c_i, \theta)$ and the Jacobian $J_i = \nabla_z g^{-1}(z_i, c_i, \theta)$ depend both on input features z_i and conditional vector c_i for CFLOW model.

References

 George Papamakarios, Eric Nalisnick, Danilo Jimenez Rezende, Shakir Mohamed, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 2021.