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Figure 1: Model architecture. The diagram is copied from the main text for reference. Our network consists an encoder that extracts individual frame’s features, a recurrent decoder that aggregates temporal information, and a Deep Guided Filter (DGF) module for high-resolution upsampling. When processing high-resolution frames, the input is first downsampled to pass through the encoder-decoder network.

A. Overview

We provide additional details in this supplementary. In Section [A], we describe the details of our network architecture. In Section [B], we explain the details on training. In Section [C], we show examples of our composited matting data samples. In Section [D], we show additional results from our method. We also attach video results in the supplementary. Please see our videos for better visualization.

B. Network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Backbone</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/4}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/8}$</th>
<th>$E_{1/16}$</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>$D_{1/2}$</th>
<th>$D_{1/4}$</th>
<th>$D_{1/8}$</th>
<th>$D_{1/16}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours Large</td>
<td>64 256 512 2048</td>
<td>256 256 128 64</td>
<td>32 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Feature channels at different scale. $E_k$ and $D_k$ denote encoder and decoder channels at $k$ feature scale respectively. AS denotes LR-ASPP channels.

Table [II] describes our network and its variants with feature channels. Our default network uses MobileNetV3-Large [5] backbone while the large variant uses ResNet50

*Work performed during an internship at ByteDance.

Encoder: The encoder backbone operates on individual frames and extracts feature maps of $E_k$ channels at $k \in \{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, 1/16\}$ scales. Unlike regular MobileNetV3 and ResNet backbones that continue to operate at $1/32$ scale, we modify the last block to use convolutions with a dilation rate of 2 and a stride of 1 following the design of [1, 2, 5]. The last feature map $E_{1/16}$ is given to the LR-ASPP module, which compresses it to AS channels.

Decoder: All ConvGRU layers operate on half of the channels by split and concatenation, so the recurrent hidden state has $D_k$ channels at scale $k$. For the upsampling blocks, the convolution, Batch Normalization, and ReLU stack compresses the concatenated features to $D_k$ channels before splitting to ConvGRU. For the output block, the first two convolutions have 16 filters and the final hidden features has 16 channels. The final projection convolution outputs 5 channels, including 3-channel foreground, 1-channel alpha, and 1-channel segmentation predictions. All convolutions uses $3 \times 3$ kernels except the last projection uses a $1 \times 1$ kernel. The average poolings use $2 \times 2$ kernels with a stride of 2.

[Diagram of model architecture]

[Table of feature channels]

[Video results attached]
**Deep Guided Filter:** DGF contains a few $1 \times 1$ convolutions internally. We modify it to take the predicted foreground, alpha, and the final hidden features as inputs. All internal convolutions use 16 filters. Please refer to [9] for more specifications.

Our entire network is built and trained in PyTorch [8]. We clamp the alpha and foreground prediction outputs to $[0,1]$ range without activation functions following [3, 6]. The clamp is done during both training and inference. The segmentation prediction output is sigmoid logits.

**C. Training**

**Algorithm 1:** Training Procedures

```
for stage ∈ [1, 2, 3, 4] do
    for epoch do
        for iteration do
            LowResMattingPass(B, T, h, w)
            if stage ∈ [3, 4] then
                HighResMattingPass(B, ̂T, ̂h, ̂w)
            if iteration % 2 = 0 then
                VideoSegmentationPass(B, T, h, w)
            else
                ImageSegmentationPass(B′, 1, h, w)
```

Algorithm 1 shows the training loop of our proposed training strategy. The sequence length parameters $T$, ̂$T$ are set according to the stages, which is specified in our main text; the batch size parameters are set to $B = 4$, and $B′ = B \times T$; The input resolutions are randomly sampled as $h, w \sim Uniform(256, 512)$ and ̂$h, ̂w \sim Uniform(1024, 2048)$.

Our network is trained using 4 Nvidia V100 32G GPUs. We use mixed precision training [7] to reduce the GPU memory consumption. The training takes approximately 18, 2, 8, and 14 hours in each stage respectively.

**D. Data Samples**

Figure 2 shows examples of composited training samples from the matting datasets. The clips contain natural movements when compositing with videos as well as artificial movements generated by the motion augmentation.

Figure 3 shows examples of the composited testing samples. The testing samples only apply motion augmentation on image foreground and background. The motion augmentation only consists of affine transforms. The strength of the augmentation is also weaker compared to the training augmentation to make testing samples as realistic looking as possible.

**E. Additional Results**

Figure 4 shows additional qualitative comparisons with MODNet. Our method is consistently more robust. Figure 5 compares temporal coherence with MODNet. MODNet has flicker on low-confidence regions whereas our results are coherent. Figure 6 shows additional examples of our model’s recurrent hidden state. It shows that our model has learned to store useful temporal information in its recurrent state and is capable of forgetting useless information upon shot cuts.
Figure 4: More qualitative comparison with MODNet.

Figure 5: Temporal coherence comparison. Our result is temporally coherent, whereas MODNet produces flicker around the handrail. This is because MODNet processes every frame as independent images, so its matting decision is not consistent.
Figure 6: More examples of the recurrent hidden states. The first example with the static background clearly shows our model reconstructs the occluded background region over time. The second example with a handheld camera shows that our model still attempts to reconstruct the background, and it has learned to forget useless recurrent states on shot cuts.
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