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This supplementary material contains the following additional information. We expand Table 6 in the paper and provide more experiments regarding the impact of the proposed DLF module (see Table 1-2). Moreover, we provide additional information regarding the optimality of DLF module and the intuitions behind fusion of features in different levels and feature consistency.

A. Impact and justification of the DLF Module

A.1. Model Design Motivation

The deficiency of transformers in capturing local features, lack of data in the medical domain, and proven usage of CNN-produced features as an input to transformers and their success in vision tasks [2] led us to use a rich CNN backbone before the transformer. Subsequently, we used a successive Swin Transformer to capture multi-scale global dependencies. With respect to the deformation of body organs and tissues and diverse sizes and scales of neighboring organs, we proposed a representative token by applying GAP to form a representative token or messenger token-like [3, 4] to exchange information between scales to prevent the globality bias to a specific region and implicitly remembers its previous stage attention regions. Our expansion of ablation study for DLF module presence is depicted in Table 1 and Table 2.

In addition, the inspiration behind presenting variable HiFormer designs is to develop a general model with different scales and exhibit the stability of the model. Considering the accuracy-speed trade-off, one can exploit the S, L, or B HiFormer and investigate the whole network performance.

A.2. Hyper-parameter Optimization

S, L, r: We have considered the effect of network deepening and model scaling on the network performance similar to [2, 1]. In our experiments, we deduced that increasing the (S, L, r) pairs would lead to a substantial computational cost which is in contradiction to our main contribution of designing a stable model with low parameters. Specifically, we hypothesized that considering $S, L, r > 3$ (see row D in table 8) can result in the model overparameterization along with the extraction of redundant features. Hence, we adopted $(S, L, r) <= 3$.

Number of heads. Considering the number of heads of the transformer, we performed cross-validation using the synapse dataset and attained 6 heads as the ideal choice. For the sake of demonstrating the effect of the number of transformer heads, two more configurations besides 6, its half, and double (3 and 12) were considered in our ablation study.

B. Clarification on CNN backbones

Our study considered different backbones typically used in the literature [2], such as ResNet and DenseNet. Benefiting from the skip-connection criteria, the ResNet architecture can facilitate multi-level representation. Although a DenseNet or ResNet with more layers might bring a stronger
Table 1. Impact of the DLF module on the skin lesion segmentation datasets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>DLF</th>
<th>DSC</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>SP</th>
<th>ACC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISIC 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>0.9167</td>
<td>0.8814</td>
<td>0.9895</td>
<td>0.9678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>0.9253</td>
<td>0.9155</td>
<td>0.9840</td>
<td>0.9702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISIC 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>0.8986</td>
<td>0.8559</td>
<td>0.9870</td>
<td>0.9595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>0.9102</td>
<td>0.9119</td>
<td>0.9755</td>
<td>0.9621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Impact of the DLF module on the SegPC dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>DLF</th>
<th>mIoU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>0.9317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiFormer-B</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>0.9406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

representation, it can be a more high-level representation so that its amalgamation with the transformer in subsequent layers would prevent the transformer from extracting better features. Moreover, the features attained from a ResNet with 50 layers can be considered as more general ones compared to 18, 34 layered shallow ResNets aiding the transformer in more optimal performance.

C. Feature Consistency

We provide two experiments to demystify the feature consistency. First, we present the feature visualization of each level before and after involving the DLF module (see Fig. [12]). As illustrated, before the DLF module is applied, the attention location is more diffused, therefore the organ is not clearly emphasized. However, after applying the DLF module, attention is drawn to the desired organ and is more highlighted surrounding the organ, demonstrating that the DLF module makes features more consistent. Furthermore, both levels serve a complimentary function, with the larger level providing fine-grained features and the smaller level attempting to give extra information. As a result, both levels are required for the model to function effectively.

In the second experiment, we take the HiFormer-B and remove modules in a hierarchical order to observe how the features become consistent. As shown in Table [3] using only ResNet50 as the CNN module and dismissing others achieves a 77.40 dice score and 26.71 HD. Having involved the Swin Transformer, HD witnesses a 9.93 drop, indicating that our predictions become closer to their corresponding labels or more similar. Subsequently, applying the DLF module not only increases the dice score but also decreases HD, exhibiting that the module dramatically assists in making the features consistent.
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Figure 1. Feature visualization of HiFormer-B using Grad-CAM [5].
Figure 2. Feature visualization of HiFormer-B using Grad-CAM [5].