Appendices

A. Datasets

Video Understanding. For video action recognition, we pre-train and evaluate the model on UCF-101 [57] which contains 9.5k/3.5k train/val videos. For surgical video action detection, we pre-train and evaluate the model on OR-AR [35] which consists of 820 long videos captured in surgical operating rooms. All videos have 9 temporal workflow phase labels.

Image Understanding. For image understanding tasks, we pre-train the model on ScanNet [20] which contains 2.5M RGB-D frames from 1513 video sequences. For evaluation, we use ScanNet and NYUv2 [49]. NYUv2 contains 1449 densely labeled images from indoor scenes captured with Microsoft Kinect RGB-D camera. We use the official split of 795 images in training set and 654 images in test set.

B. Additional Implementation Details

B.1. Network Architecture

Video Understanding. We follow the network architecture presented in VideoMAE [60] for video based pre-The encoder part of the network is visiontraining. transformer base (ViT-B) while the decoder consists of 4 blocks with six multi-head attentions in each. The width of the decoder is set to half of the encoder dimension i.e. 384d. We use two fully connected layers (one for each modality) on top of the decoder for reconstruction. During finetuning, we remove the decoder and add the fully connected layer for prediction. Specifically for surgical video action detection, we follow the fine-tuning method in [35, 56]. For evaluation, we fine-tune the model in two stages. In the first stage, we add a fully connected layer on top of the encoder for predicting clip-wise phases. In the second stage, we first extract the features from the encoder and then train a temporal model (Bi-GRU) for detecting phases in a full video.

Image Understanding. We follow MAE [33] for the network design. Our modality-specific encoders are based on ViT-B while the decoder part consists of 8 blocks with 16 multi-head attentions in each block. The width is set to 512. Similarly, we use two fully-connected layers (one for each modality) on top of the decoder for reconstruction. For fine-tuning, we mostly follow MultiMAE [5] for task specific head. More specifically, we use segmentation head based on ConvNeXt architecture [46] and depth-estimation head based on DPT [55].

B.2. Pre-training and Fine-tuning Details

For video understanding, we report the pre-training setting in Table 9 and the fine-tuning setting in Table 10. Moreover, we report the pre-training setting on ScanNet in Table 12 and the transfer setting for semantic segmentation and depth estimation task in Table 13 and Table 14 respectively.

| Configuration | OR-AR [35] | UCF-101 [57] |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Optimizer | AdamW | |
| Optimizer betas | {0.9, 0.95} | |
| Base learning rate | 1e-4 | 1e-3 |
| Weight decay | | 5e-2 |
| Learning rate schedule | cosine decay | |
| gradient clipping | 0.02 | None |
| Warmup epochs | | 40 |
| Epochs | 1600 | 100 or 800 (from scratch) |
| Flip augmentation | True | True |
| Augmentation | MultiScaleCrop | |
| Num of Frames | 16 | |
| sampling rate | 4.0 | |
| α | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| β | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| γ | 0.2 | 0.01 |
| η | 0.1 | 0.01 |

Table 9. Pre-training setting on OR-AR [35] and UCF-101 [57] datasets.

| Configuration | OR-AR [35] | UCF-101 [57] |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Optimizer | AdamW | |
| Optimizer betas | $\{0.9, 0.95\}$ | |
| Base learning rate | 6e-4 | 1e-3 |
| Weight decay | 5e-2 | |
| Learning rate schedule | cosin | e decay |
| Warmup epochs | 5 | |
| Epochs | 75 | 100 |
| Flip augmentation | True | True |
| Mixup | None | 0.8 |
| CutMix | None | 1.0 |
| drop path | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| drop out | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Layer-wise lr decay | 0.65 | 0.70 |
| Temporal Model learning rate | 1e-3 | None |
| Temporal Model Epochs | 15 | None |

Table 10. Fine-tune setting on OR-AR [35], UCF-101 [57] datasets.

| Strategy and Ratio | OR-AR [35] | UCF-101 [57] | ScanNet [20] |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| RGB Masking strategy | Tube | SurgMAE [41] | Random |
| RGB Masking ratio | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Depth Masking strategy | Tube | Random | Random |
| Depth Masking ratio | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.8 |

Table 11. Masking strategies during pre-training.

B.3. Masking Strategy

Table 11 shows the different masking strategies for RGB-D modalities during pre-training.

| Configuration | ScanNet [20] |
|------------------------|----------------------------|
| Optimizer | AdamW |
| Optimizer betas | $\{0.9, 0.95\}$ |
| Base learning rate | 1e-4 |
| Weight decay | 5e-2 |
| Learning rate schedule | cosine decay |
| Stage-1 epochs | 20 |
| Stage-2 epochs | 100 |
| Augmentation | Gaussian Blur, ColorJitter |
| α | 0.1 |
| β | 1.0 |

Table 12. Pre-training setting on ScanNet [20].

| Configuration | ScanNet [20] | NYUv2 [49] |
|------------------------|------------------|------------|
| Optimizer | AdamW | |
| Optimizer betas | $\{0.9, 0.999\}$ | |
| Base learning rate | 1e-4 | |
| Layer-wise lr decay | 0.75 | |
| Weight decay | 5e-2 | |
| Learning rate schedule | cosine decay | |
| Warmup epochs | 1 | |
| Warmup learning rate | 1e-6 | |
| Drop path | 0.1 | |
| Epochs | 50 | 200 |
| Input resolution | 240 x 320 | 640 x 640 |
| Color jitter | × | 1 |
| RandomGaussianBlur | 1 | × |
| RandomHorizontalFlip | 1 | × |

Table 13. Fine-tune setting on ScanNet [20] and NYUv2 [49] for 2D semantic segmentation.

| Configuration | NYUv2 [49] |
|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Optimizer | AdamW |
| Optimizer betas | $\{0.9, 0.999\}$ |
| Base learning rate | 1e-4 |
| Weight decay | 1e-4 |
| Learning rate schedule | cosine decay |
| Warmup epochs | 100 |
| Warmup learning rate | 1e-6 |
| Epochs | 2000 |
| Batch Size | 128 |
| Layer-wise lr decay | 0.75 |
| Input resolution | 256 x 256 |
| Augmentation | RandomCrop, Color jitter |

Table 14. Fine-tune setting for NYUv2 [49] depth estimation.