

A. Appendix

In this appendix, we present additional details and results that were excluded from the main content due to space limitations.

A.1. Comparison with baselines

In main text Tab. 1 and 2, we reported results only for the baselines that ranked in the top five in at least one experiment. Here, we report the complete results for all 33 baselines for near-OOD detection in Tab. 5 and far-OOD detection in Tab. 6. The key insights for each table are provided in the caption.

A.2. Per-dataset results

In Sec. 5.1, we reported the average AUROC and FPR95 performance for near-OOD (i.e., Tab. 1) and far-OOD (i.e., Tab. 2) detection for each ID dataset, averaged across all OOD datasets corresponding to that ID dataset. Here, we provide the per-dataset results for each OOD benchmark corresponding to each ID dataset. Specifically, for CIFAR-10, we present the FPR95 and AUROC performances in Tab. 7 and 8, respectively. Similarly, per-dataset results for CIFAR-100 are reported in Tab. 9 and 10, and for ImageNet-200, in Tab. 11 and 12. Finally, Tab. 13 reports the per-dataset results for the experiments conducted for outlier exposure (OE) [14] method using different auxiliary outliers for training (**cf.** Sec. 5.4 in the main text).

Table 5. Performance comparison in *near-OOD detection*. For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**.

Note that N/A indicates that results are not reported in OpenOOD.

OE achieves the best performance with an average FPR95 of 34.29, while CRAFT ranks second with an average FPR95 of 46.76. However, the high performance of OE is later shown to be influenced by a bias toward seen outliers during training, making its results less reliable (cf. Sec. 5.4 in the main text). CRAFT shows an average improvement of at least 3% over all other baselines that do not rely on outliers in the more challenging near-OOD detection setting.

Method	CIFAR-10		CIFAR-100		ImageNet-200		Average	
	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow
Post-hoc inference methods								
OpenMax [1]	87.62 \pm 0.29	43.62 \pm 2.27	76.41 \pm 0.25	56.58 \pm 0.73	80.27 \pm 0.10	63.48 \pm 0.25	81.43	54.56
MSP [13]	88.03 \pm 0.25	48.17 \pm 3.92	80.27 \pm 0.11	54.80 \pm 0.33	83.34 \pm 0.06	54.82 \pm 0.35	83.88	52.60
TempScale [10]	88.09 \pm 0.31	50.96 \pm 4.32	80.90 \pm 0.07	54.49 \pm 0.48	83.69 \pm 0.04	54.82 \pm 0.23	84.23	53.42
ODIN [23]	82.87 \pm 1.85	76.19 \pm 6.08	79.90 \pm 0.11	57.91 \pm 0.51	80.27 \pm 0.08	66.76 \pm 0.26	81.01	66.95
MDS [22]	84.20 \pm 2.40	49.90 \pm 3.98	58.69 \pm 0.09	83.53 \pm 0.60	61.93 \pm 0.51	79.11 \pm 0.31	68.27	70.85
MDSEn [22]	60.43 \pm 0.26	92.26 \pm 0.20	46.31 \pm 0.24	95.88 \pm 0.04	54.32 \pm 0.24	91.75 \pm 0.10	53.69	93.30
RMDS [29]	89.80 \pm 0.28	38.89 \pm 2.39	80.15 \pm 0.11	55.46 \pm 0.41	82.57 \pm 0.25	54.02 \pm 0.58	84.17	49.46
Gram [31]	58.66 \pm 4.83	90.87 \pm 1.91	51.66 \pm 0.77	92.28 \pm 0.29	67.67 \pm 1.07	86.40 \pm 1.21	59.33	89.85
EBO [24]	87.58 \pm 0.46	61.34 \pm 4.63	80.91 \pm 0.08	55.62 \pm 0.61	82.50 \pm 0.05	60.24 \pm 0.57	83.66	59.07
OpenGAN [19]	53.71 \pm 7.68	94.48 \pm 4.01	65.98 \pm 1.26	76.52 \pm 2.59	59.79 \pm 3.39	84.15 \pm 3.85	59.83	85.05
GradNorm [17]	54.90 \pm 0.98	94.72 \pm 0.82	70.13 \pm 0.47	85.58 \pm 0.46	72.75 \pm 0.48	82.67 \pm 0.30	65.93	87.66
ReAct [33]	87.11 \pm 0.61	63.56 \pm 7.33	80.77 \pm 0.05	56.39 \pm 0.34	81.87 \pm 0.98	62.49 \pm 2.19	83.25	60.81
MLS [12]	87.52 \pm 0.47	61.32 \pm 4.62	81.05 \pm 0.07	55.47 \pm 0.66	82.90 \pm 0.04	59.76 \pm 0.59	83.82	58.85
KLM [12]	79.19 \pm 0.80	87.86 \pm 6.37	76.56 \pm 0.25	77.92 \pm 1.31	80.76 \pm 0.08	70.26 \pm 0.64	78.84	78.68
VIM [39]	88.68 \pm 0.28	44.84 \pm 2.31	74.98 \pm 0.13	62.63 \pm 0.27	78.68 \pm 0.24	59.19 \pm 0.71	80.78	55.55
KNN [35]	90.64 \pm 0.20	34.01 \pm 0.38	80.18 \pm 0.15	61.22 \pm 0.14	81.57 \pm 0.17	60.18 \pm 0.52	84.13	51.80
DICE [34]	78.34 \pm 0.79	70.04 \pm 7.64	79.38 \pm 0.23	57.95 \pm 0.53	81.78 \pm 0.14	61.88 \pm 0.67	79.83	63.29
RankFeat [32]	79.46 \pm 2.52	60.88 \pm 4.60	61.88 \pm 1.28	80.59 \pm 1.10	56.92 \pm 1.59	92.06 \pm 0.23	66.09	77.84
ASH [8]	75.27 \pm 1.04	86.78 \pm 1.82	78.20 \pm 0.15	65.71 \pm 0.24	82.38 \pm 0.19	64.89 \pm 0.90	78.62	72.46
SHE [44]	81.54 \pm 0.51	79.65 \pm 3.47	78.95 \pm 0.18	59.07 \pm 0.25	80.18 \pm 0.25	66.80 \pm 0.74	80.22	68.51
GEN [25]	88.20 \pm 0.30	53.67 \pm 3.14	81.31 \pm 0.08	54.42 \pm 0.33	83.68 \pm 0.06	55.20 \pm 0.20	84.40	54.43
ExCeL [18]	86.89 \pm 0.23	66.55 \pm 0.43	80.70 \pm 0.06	55.21 \pm 0.56	82.40 \pm 0.04	57.90 \pm 0.40	83.33	59.89
Training methods without outliers								
CRAFT (Ours)	91.11 \pm 0.04	31.94 \pm 1.41	80.90 \pm 0.33	53.73 \pm 0.62	83.65 \pm 0.41	54.62 \pm 0.57	85.22	46.76
ConfBranch [7]	89.84 \pm 0.24	31.28 \pm 0.66	71.60 \pm 0.62	70.21 \pm 0.83	79.10 \pm 0.24	61.44 \pm 0.34	80.18	54.31
G-ODIN [15]	89.12 \pm 0.57	45.54 \pm 2.52	77.15 \pm 0.28	67.58 \pm 0.98	77.28 \pm 0.10	69.87 \pm 0.46	81.18	61.00
CSI [36]	89.51 \pm 0.19	33.66 \pm 0.64	71.45 \pm 0.27	70.26 \pm 0.56	N/A	N/A	80.48	51.96
ARPL [4]	87.44 \pm 0.15	40.33 \pm 0.70	74.94 \pm 0.93	61.56 \pm 1.81	82.02 \pm 0.10	55.74 \pm 0.70	81.47	52.54
MOS [16]	71.45 \pm 3.09	78.72 \pm 5.86	80.40 \pm 0.18	56.05 \pm 1.01	69.84 \pm 0.46	71.60 \pm 0.48	73.90	68.79
LogitNorm [40]	92.33 \pm 0.08	29.34 \pm 0.81	78.47 \pm 0.31	62.89 \pm 0.57	82.66 \pm 0.15	56.46 \pm 0.37	84.49	49.56
CIDER [27]	90.71 \pm 0.16	32.11 \pm 0.94	73.10 \pm 0.39	72.02 \pm 0.31	80.58 \pm 1.75	60.10 \pm 0.73	81.46	54.74
Training methods with outliers								
OE [14]	94.82 \pm 0.21	19.84 \pm 0.95	88.30 \pm 0.10	30.73 \pm 0.11	84.84 \pm 0.16	52.30 \pm 0.67	89.32	34.29
MCD [43]	91.03 \pm 0.12	30.17 \pm 0.06	77.07 \pm 0.32	55.88 \pm 0.85	83.62 \pm 0.09	54.71 \pm 0.83	83.91	46.92
UDG [42]	89.91 \pm 0.25	35.34 \pm 0.95	78.02 \pm 0.10	61.42 \pm 0.48	74.30 \pm 1.63	68.89 \pm 1.72	80.74	55.22
MixOE [45]	88.73 \pm 0.82	51.45 \pm 7.78	80.95 \pm 0.20	55.22 \pm 0.49	82.62 \pm 0.03	57.97 \pm 0.40	84.10	54.88

Table 6. Performance comparison in *far-OOD detection*. For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**.

Note that N/A indicates that results are not reported in OpenOOD.

CRAFT ranks fourth in AUROC with an average of 88.95 and fifth in FPR95 with an average of 34.64 in *far-OOD detection*. *LogitNorm* and *G-ODIN* exhibit the best performance, surpassing *CRAFT* by approximately 3% in terms of FPR95. Despite this, *CRAFT* outperforms *LogitNorm* on CIFAR-100 and *G-ODIN* on CIFAR-10. Notably, on CIFAR-100 and ImageNet-200, *CRAFT* outperforms *OE*, which uses outliers for training.

Method	CIFAR-10		CIFAR-100		ImageNet-200		Average	
	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow	AUROC \uparrow	FPR95 \downarrow
Post-hoc inference methods								
OpenMax [1]	89.62 \pm 0.19	29.69 \pm 1.21	79.48 \pm 0.41	54.50 \pm 0.68	90.20 \pm 0.17	33.12 \pm 0.66	86.43	39.10
MSP [13]	90.73 \pm 0.43	31.72 \pm 1.84	77.76 \pm 0.44	58.70 \pm 1.06	90.13 \pm 0.09	35.43 \pm 0.38	86.21	41.95
TempScale [10]	90.97 \pm 0.52	33.48 \pm 2.39	78.74 \pm 0.51	57.94 \pm 1.14	90.82 \pm 0.09	34.00 \pm 0.37	86.84	41.81
ODIN [23]	87.96 \pm 0.61	57.62 \pm 4.24	79.28 \pm 0.21	58.86 \pm 0.79	91.71 \pm 0.19	34.23 \pm 1.05	86.32	50.24
MDS [22]	89.72 \pm 1.36	32.22 \pm 3.40	69.39 \pm 1.39	72.26 \pm 1.56	74.72 \pm 0.26	61.66 \pm 0.27	77.94	55.38
MDSEns [22]	73.90 \pm 0.27	61.47 \pm 0.48	66.00 \pm 0.69	66.74 \pm 1.04	69.27 \pm 0.57	80.96 \pm 0.38	69.72	69.72
RMDS [29]	92.20 \pm 0.21	25.35 \pm 0.73	82.92 \pm 0.42	52.81 \pm 0.63	88.06 \pm 0.34	32.45 \pm 0.79	87.73	36.87
Gram [29]	71.73 \pm 3.20	72.34 \pm 6.73	73.36 \pm 1.08	64.44 \pm 2.37	71.19 \pm 0.24	84.36 \pm 0.78	72.09	73.71
EBO [24]	91.21 \pm 0.92	41.69 \pm 5.32	79.77 \pm 0.61	56.59 \pm 1.38	90.86 \pm 0.21	34.86 \pm 1.30	87.28	44.38
OpenGAN [19]	54.61 \pm 15.5	83.52 \pm 11.63	67.88 \pm 7.16	70.49 \pm 7.38	73.15 \pm 4.07	64.16 \pm 9.33	65.21	72.72
GradNorm [17]	57.55 \pm 3.22	91.90 \pm 2.23	69.14 \pm 1.05	83.68 \pm 1.92	84.26 \pm 0.87	66.45 \pm 0.22	70.32	80.68
ReAct [33]	90.42 \pm 1.41	44.90 \pm 8.37	80.39 \pm 0.49	54.20 \pm 1.56	92.31 \pm 0.56	28.50 \pm 0.95	87.71	42.53
MLS [12]	91.10 \pm 0.89	41.68 \pm 5.27	79.67 \pm 0.57	56.73 \pm 1.33	91.11 \pm 0.19	34.03 \pm 1.21	87.29	44.15
KLM [12]	82.68 \pm 0.21	78.31 \pm 4.84	76.24 \pm 0.52	71.65 \pm 2.01	88.53 \pm 0.11	40.90 \pm 1.08	82.48	63.62
VIM [39]	93.48 \pm 0.24	25.05 \pm 0.52	81.70 \pm 0.62	50.74 \pm 1.00	91.26 \pm 0.19	27.20 \pm 0.30	88.81	34.33
KNN [35]	92.96 \pm 0.14	24.27 \pm 0.40	82.40 \pm 0.17	53.65 \pm 0.28	93.16 \pm 0.22	27.27 \pm 0.75	89.51	35.06
DICE [34]	84.23 \pm 1.89	51.76 \pm 4.42	80.01 \pm 0.18	56.25 \pm 0.60	90.80 \pm 0.31	36.51 \pm 1.18	85.01	48.17
RankFeat [32]	75.87 \pm 5.06	57.44 \pm 7.99	67.10 \pm 1.42	69.45 \pm 1.01	38.22 \pm 3.85	97.72 \pm 0.75	60.40	74.87
ASH [8]	78.49 \pm 2.58	79.03 \pm 4.22	80.58 \pm 0.66	59.20 \pm 2.46	93.90 \pm 0.27	27.29 \pm 1.12	84.32	55.17
SHE [44]	85.32 \pm 1.43	66.48 \pm 5.98	76.92 \pm 1.16	64.12 \pm 2.70	89.81 \pm 0.61	42.17 \pm 1.24	84.02	57.59
GEN [25]	91.35 \pm 0.69	34.73 \pm 1.58	79.68 \pm 0.75	56.71 \pm 1.59	91.36 \pm 0.10	32.10 \pm 0.59	87.46	41.18
ExCeL [18]	91.69 \pm 0.18	40.03 \pm 0.84	82.04 \pm 0.90	52.24 \pm 1.90	91.97 \pm 0.27	28.45 \pm 0.80	88.57	40.24
Training methods without outliers								
CRAFT (Ours)	93.94 \pm 0.20	19.40 \pm 0.88	82.03 \pm 0.34	51.86 \pm 0.49	90.88 \pm 0.89	32.67 \pm 1.13	88.95	34.64
ConfBranch [7]	92.85 \pm 0.29	21.48 \pm 0.94	68.90 \pm 1.83	71.82 \pm 3.39	90.43 \pm 0.18	34.75 \pm 0.63	84.06	42.68
G-ODIN [15]	95.51 \pm 0.31	21.45 \pm 1.91	85.67 \pm 1.58	42.68 \pm 3.19	92.33 \pm 0.11	30.18 \pm 0.49	91.17	31.44
CSI [36]	92.00 \pm 0.30	26.42 \pm 0.29	66.31 \pm 1.21	76.92 \pm 1.29	N/A	N/A	79.16	51.67
ARPL [4]	89.31 \pm 0.32	32.39 \pm 0.74	73.69 \pm 1.80	63.14 \pm 2.53	89.23 \pm 0.11	36.46 \pm 0.08	84.08	44.00
MOS [16]	76.41 \pm 5.93	62.90 \pm 6.62	80.17 \pm 1.21	57.28 \pm 3.29	80.46 \pm 0.92	51.56 \pm 0.42	79.01	57.25
LogitNorm [40]	96.74 \pm 0.06	13.81 \pm 0.20	81.53 \pm 1.26	53.61 \pm 3.45	93.04 \pm 0.21	26.11 \pm 0.52	90.44	31.18
CIDER [27]	94.71 \pm 0.36	20.72 \pm 0.85	80.49 \pm 0.68	54.22 \pm 1.24	90.66 \pm 1.68	30.17 \pm 2.75	88.62	35.04
Training methods with outliers								
OE [14]	96.00 \pm 0.13	13.13 \pm 0.53	81.41 \pm 1.49	54.82 \pm 2.79	89.02 \pm 0.18	34.17 \pm 0.56	88.81	34.04
MCD [43]	91.00 \pm 1.10	32.03 \pm 4.21	74.72 \pm 0.78	54.39 \pm 1.34	88.94 \pm 0.10	29.93 \pm 0.30	84.89	38.78
UDG [42]	94.06 \pm 0.90	20.35 \pm 2.41	79.59 \pm 1.77	59.00 \pm 3.35	82.09 \pm 2.78	62.04 \pm 5.99	85.25	47.13
MixOE [45]	91.93 \pm 0.69	33.84 \pm 4.77	76.40 \pm 1.44	63.88 \pm 2.48	88.27 \pm 0.41	40.93 \pm 0.29	85.53	46.22

Table 7. FPR95 (%) ↓ of various methods for different OOD datasets when CIFAR-10 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. *CRAFT ranks within the top five methods in all three near-OOD detection scenarios and in three out of five far-OOD detection scenarios.*

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			Places365	Average
	CIFAR-100	TIN	Average	MNIST	SVHN	Textures		
Post-hoc inference methods								
OpenMax [1]	48.06 ± 3.25	39.18 ± 1.44	43.62 ± 2.27	23.33 ± 4.67	25.40 ± 1.47	31.50 ± 4.05	38.52 ± 2.27	29.69 ± 1.21
MSP [13]	53.08 ± 4.86	43.27 ± 3.00	48.17 ± 3.92	23.64 ± 5.81	25.82 ± 1.64	34.96 ± 4.64	42.47 ± 3.81	31.72 ± 1.84
TempScale [10]	55.81 ± 5.07	46.11 ± 3.63	50.96 ± 4.32	23.53 ± 7.05	26.97 ± 2.65	38.16 ± 5.89	45.27 ± 4.50	33.48 ± 2.39
ODIN [23]	77.00 ± 5.74	75.38 ± 6.42	76.19 ± 6.08	23.83 ± 12.3	68.61 ± 0.52	67.70 ± 11.1	70.36 ± 6.96	57.62 ± 4.24
MDS [22]	52.81 ± 3.62	46.99 ± 4.36	49.90 ± 3.98	27.30 ± 3.55	25.96 ± 2.52	27.94 ± 4.20	47.67 ± 4.54	32.22 ± 3.40
MDSEns [22]	91.87 ± 0.10	92.66 ± 0.42	92.26 ± 0.20	1.30 ± 0.51	74.34 ± 1.04	76.07 ± 0.17	94.16 ± 0.33	61.47 ± 0.48
RMDS [29]	43.86 ± 3.49	33.91 ± 1.39	38.89 ± 2.39	21.49 ± 2.32	23.46 ± 1.48	25.25 ± 0.53	31.20 ± 0.28	25.35 ± 0.73
Gram [31]	91.68 ± 2.24	90.06 ± 1.59	90.87 ± 1.91	70.30 ± 8.96	33.91 ± 17.4	94.64 ± 2.71	90.49 ± 1.93	72.34 ± 6.73
EBO [24]	66.60 ± 4.46	56.08 ± 4.83	61.34 ± 4.63	24.99 ± 12.9	35.12 ± 6.11	51.82 ± 6.11	54.85 ± 6.52	41.69 ± 5.32
OpenGAN [19]	94.84 ± 3.83	94.11 ± 4.21	94.48 ± 4.01	79.54 ± 19.7	75.27 ± 26.9	83.95 ± 14.9	95.32 ± 4.45	83.52 ± 11.6
GradNorm [17]	94.54 ± 1.11	94.89 ± 0.60	94.72 ± 0.82	85.41 ± 4.85	91.65 ± 2.42	98.09 ± 0.49	92.46 ± 2.28	91.90 ± 2.23
ReAct [33]	67.40 ± 7.34	59.71 ± 7.31	63.56 ± 7.33	33.77 ± 18.0	50.23 ± 15.9	51.42 ± 11.4	44.20 ± 3.35	44.90 ± 8.37
MLS [12]	66.59 ± 4.44	56.06 ± 4.82	61.32 ± 4.62	25.06 ± 12.9	35.09 ± 6.09	51.73 ± 6.13	54.84 ± 6.51	41.68 ± 5.27
KLM [12]	90.55 ± 5.83	85.18 ± 7.60	87.86 ± 6.37	76.22 ± 12.1	59.47 ± 7.06	81.95 ± 9.95	95.58 ± 2.12	78.31 ± 4.84
VIM [39]	49.19 ± 3.15	40.49 ± 1.55	44.84 ± 2.31	18.36 ± 1.42	19.29 ± 0.41	21.14 ± 1.83	41.43 ± 2.17	25.05 ± 0.52
KNN [35]	37.64 ± 0.31	30.37 ± 0.65	34.01 ± 0.38	20.05 ± 1.36	22.60 ± 1.26	24.06 ± 0.55	30.38 ± 0.63	24.27 ± 0.40
DICE [34]	73.71 ± 7.67	66.37 ± 7.68	70.04 ± 7.64	30.83 ± 10.5	36.61 ± 4.74	62.42 ± 4.79	77.19 ± 12.6	51.76 ± 4.42
RankFeat [32]	65.32 ± 3.48	56.44 ± 5.76	60.88 ± 4.60	61.86 ± 12.8	64.49 ± 7.38	59.71 ± 9.79	43.70 ± 7.39	57.44 ± 7.99
ASH [8]	87.31 ± 2.06	86.25 ± 1.58	86.78 ± 1.82	70.00 ± 10.6	83.64 ± 6.48	84.59 ± 1.74	77.89 ± 7.28	79.03 ± 4.22
SHE [44]	81.00 ± 3.42	78.30 ± 3.52	79.65 ± 3.47	42.22 ± 20.6	62.74 ± 4.01	84.60 ± 5.30	76.36 ± 5.32	66.48 ± 5.98
GEN [25]	58.75 ± 3.97	48.59 ± 2.34	53.67 ± 3.14	23.00 ± 7.75	28.14 ± 2.59	40.74 ± 6.61	47.03 ± 3.22	34.73 ± 1.58
ExCeL [18]	71.16 ± 1.34	61.42 ± 0.26	66.55 ± 0.43	15.46 ± 1.89	31.78 ± 3.65	53.67 ± 2.19	55.09 ± 1.12	40.03 ± 0.84
Training methods without outliers								
CRAFT (Ours)	36.61 ± 2.93	27.28 ± 0.09	31.94 ± 1.41	17.13 ± 0.99	14.58 ± 4.62	20.78 ± 0.04	25.12 ± 0.15	19.40 ± 0.88
ConfBranch [7]	34.44 ± 0.81	28.11 ± 0.61	31.28 ± 0.66	15.79 ± 2.00	14.06 ± 0.84	27.24 ± 1.32	28.85 ± 1.03	21.48 ± 0.94
G-ODIN [15]	48.86 ± 2.91	42.21 ± 2.18	45.54 ± 2.52	4.53 ± 2.08	10.72 ± 0.88	27.27 ± 6.73	43.30 ± 3.57	21.45 ± 1.91
CSI [36]	37.57 ± 0.89	29.74 ± 0.42	33.66 ± 0.64	24.41 ± 1.57	17.56 ± 0.12	28.95 ± 1.33	34.76 ± 1.52	26.42 ± 0.29
ARPL [4]	43.38 ± 0.37	37.28 ± 1.21	40.33 ± 0.70	21.49 ± 2.03	35.68 ± 3.48	35.19 ± 1.79	37.21 ± 0.80	32.39 ± 0.74
MOS [16]	79.38 ± 5.06	78.05 ± 6.69	78.72 ± 5.86	65.95 ± 17.5	57.79 ± 5.79	76.78 ± 3.86	51.09 ± 1.33	62.90 ± 6.62
LogitNorm [40]	34.37 ± 1.30	24.30 ± 0.54	29.34 ± 0.81	3.93 ± 1.99	8.33 ± 1.78	21.94 ± 0.85	21.04 ± 0.71	13.81 ± 0.20
CIDER [27]	35.60 ± 0.78	28.61 ± 1.10	32.11 ± 0.94	24.76 ± 2.82	8.04 ± 0.43	25.05 ± 3.29	25.03 ± 1.36	20.72 ± 0.85
Training methods with outliers								
OE [14]	36.71 ± 2.06	2.97 ± 1.17	19.84 ± 0.95	24.67 ± 2.55	1.25 ± 0.36	12.07 ± 2.14	14.53 ± 2.80	13.13 ± 0.53
MCD [43]	34.36 ± 0.37	25.98 ± 0.44	30.17 ± 0.06	62.11 ± 11.8	19.43 ± 5.93	22.51 ± 5.16	24.10 ± 1.58	32.03 ± 4.21
UDG [42]	40.75 ± 0.69	29.93 ± 1.27	35.34 ± 0.95	16.61 ± 5.14	17.39 ± 7.87	19.70 ± 1.89	27.70 ± 1.80	20.35 ± 2.41
MixOE [45]	58.29 ± 8.25	44.62 ± 7.57	51.45 ± 7.78	38.28 ± 13.4	20.36 ± 3.99	33.19 ± 4.28	43.54 ± 4.95	33.84 ± 4.77

Table 8. AUROC (%) ↑ of various methods for different OOD datasets when CIFAR-10 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. *CRAFT ranks within the top five methods in all three near-OOD detection scenarios and in one out of five far-OOD detection scenarios.*

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			Average
	CIFAR-100	TIN	Average	MNIST	SVHN	Textures	
Post-hoc inference methods							
OpenMax [1]	86.91 ± 0.31	88.32 ± 0.28	87.62 ± 0.29	90.50 ± 0.44	89.77 ± 0.45	89.58 ± 0.60	88.63 ± 0.28
MSP [13]	87.19 ± 0.33	88.87 ± 0.19	88.03 ± 0.25	92.63 ± 1.57	91.46 ± 0.40	89.89 ± 0.71	88.92 ± 0.47
TempScale [10]	87.17 ± 0.40	89.00 ± 0.23	88.09 ± 0.31	93.11 ± 1.77	91.66 ± 0.52	90.01 ± 0.74	89.11 ± 0.52
ODIN [23]	82.18 ± 1.87	83.55 ± 1.84	82.87 ± 1.85	95.24 ± 1.96	84.58 ± 0.77	86.94 ± 2.26	85.07 ± 1.24
MDS [22]	83.59 ± 2.27	84.81 ± 2.53	84.20 ± 2.40	90.10 ± 2.41	91.18 ± 0.47	92.69 ± 1.06	84.90 ± 2.54
MDSEns [22]	61.29 ± 0.23	59.57 ± 0.53	60.43 ± 0.26	99.17 ± 0.41	66.56 ± 0.58	77.40 ± 0.28	52.47 ± 0.15
RMDS [29]	88.83 ± 0.35	90.76 ± 0.27	89.80 ± 0.28	93.22 ± 0.80	91.84 ± 0.26	92.23 ± 0.23	91.51 ± 0.11
Gram [31]	58.33 ± 4.49	58.98 ± 5.19	58.66 ± 4.83	72.64 ± 2.34	91.52 ± 4.45	62.34 ± 8.27	60.44 ± 3.41
EBO [24]	86.36 ± 0.58	88.80 ± 0.36	87.58 ± 0.46	94.32 ± 2.53	91.79 ± 0.98	89.47 ± 0.70	89.25 ± 0.78
OpenGAN [19]	52.81 ± 7.69	54.62 ± 7.68	53.71 ± 7.68	56.14 ± 24.1	52.81 ± 27.6	56.14 ± 18.3	53.34 ± 5.79
GradNorm [17]	54.43 ± 1.59	55.37 ± 0.41	54.90 ± 0.98	63.72 ± 7.37	53.91 ± 6.36	52.07 ± 4.09	60.50 ± 5.33
ReAct [33]	85.93 ± 0.83	88.29 ± 0.44	87.11 ± 0.61	92.81 ± 3.03	89.12 ± 3.19	89.38 ± 1.49	90.35 ± 0.78
MLS [12]	86.31 ± 0.59	88.72 ± 0.36	87.52 ± 0.47	94.15 ± 2.48	91.69 ± 0.94	89.41 ± 0.71	89.14 ± 0.76
KLM [12]	77.89 ± 0.75	80.49 ± 0.85	79.19 ± 0.80	85.00 ± 2.04	84.99 ± 1.18	82.35 ± 0.33	78.37 ± 0.33
VIM [39]	87.75 ± 0.28	89.62 ± 0.33	88.68 ± 0.28	94.76 ± 0.38	94.50 ± 0.48	95.15 ± 0.34	89.49 ± 0.39
KNN [35]	89.73 ± 0.14	91.56 ± 0.26	90.64 ± 0.20	94.26 ± 0.38	92.67 ± 0.30	93.16 ± 0.24	91.77 ± 0.23
DICE [34]	77.01 ± 0.88	79.67 ± 0.87	78.34 ± 0.79	90.37 ± 5.97	90.02 ± 1.77	81.86 ± 2.35	74.67 ± 4.98
RankFeat [32]	77.98 ± 2.24	80.94 ± 2.80	79.46 ± 2.52	75.87 ± 5.22	68.15 ± 7.44	73.46 ± 6.49	85.99 ± 3.04
ASH [8]	74.11 ± 1.55	76.44 ± 0.61	75.27 ± 1.04	83.16 ± 4.66	73.46 ± 6.41	77.45 ± 2.39	79.89 ± 3.69
SHE [44]	80.31 ± 0.69	82.76 ± 0.43	81.54 ± 0.51	90.43 ± 4.76	86.38 ± 1.32	81.57 ± 1.21	82.89 ± 1.22
GEN [25]	87.21 ± 0.36	89.20 ± 0.25	88.20 ± 0.30	93.83 ± 2.14	91.97 ± 0.66	90.14 ± 0.76	89.46 ± 0.65
ExCeL [18]	85.31 ± 0.26	88.48 ± 0.19	86.89 ± 0.23	95.87 ± 0.45	91.40 ± 1.43	89.66 ± 0.64	89.84 ± 0.41
Training methods without outliers							
CRAFT (Ours)	90.18 ± 0.14	92.04 ± 0.06	91.11 ± 0.04	94.59 ± 0.02	94.94 ± 1.10	93.46 ± 0.29	92.77 ± 0.10
ConfBranch [7]	88.91 ± 0.25	90.77 ± 0.25	89.84 ± 0.24	94.49 ± 0.77	95.42 ± 0.35	91.10 ± 0.41	90.39 ± 0.40
G-ODIN [15]	88.14 ± 0.60	90.09 ± 0.54	89.12 ± 0.57	98.95 ± 0.53	97.76 ± 0.14	95.02 ± 1.10	90.31 ± 0.65
CSI [36]	88.16 ± 0.16	90.87 ± 0.23	89.51 ± 0.19	92.55 ± 1.15	95.18 ± 0.45	90.71 ± 0.44	89.56 ± 0.51
ARPL [4]	86.76 ± 0.16	88.12 ± 0.14	87.44 ± 0.15	92.62 ± 0.88	87.69 ± 0.97	88.57 ± 0.43	88.39 ± 0.16
MOS [16]	70.57 ± 3.04	72.34 ± 3.16	71.45 ± 3.09	74.81 ± 10.1	73.66 ± 9.14	70.35 ± 3.11	86.81 ± 1.85
LogitNorm [40]	90.95 ± 0.22	93.70 ± 0.06	92.33 ± 0.08	99.14 ± 0.45	98.25 ± 0.41	94.77 ± 0.43	94.79 ± 0.16
CIDER [27]	89.47 ± 0.19	91.94 ± 0.19	90.71 ± 0.16	93.30 ± 1.08	98.06 ± 0.07	93.71 ± 0.39	93.77 ± 0.68
Training methods with outliers							
OE [14]	90.54 ± 0.53	99.11 ± 0.34	94.82 ± 0.21	90.22 ± 1.31	99.60 ± 0.14	97.58 ± 0.27	96.58 ± 0.70
MCD [43]	89.88 ± 0.07	92.18 ± 0.18	91.03 ± 0.12	84.22 ± 2.10	93.76 ± 2.30	93.35 ± 1.30	92.66 ± 0.36
UDG [42]	88.62 ± 0.32	91.20 ± 0.20	89.91 ± 0.25	95.81 ± 1.52	94.55 ± 2.27	93.92 ± 0.44	91.97 ± 0.41
MixOE [45]	87.47 ± 0.97	90.00 ± 0.73	88.73 ± 0.82	91.66 ± 2.21	93.82 ± 1.27	91.84 ± 0.51	90.38 ± 0.55

Table 9. FPR95 (% ↓) of various methods for different OOD datasets when CIFAR-100 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. *CRAFT ranks within the top five methods in all three near-OOD detection scenarios and in two out of five far-OOD detection scenarios.*

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			Average
	CIFAR-10	TIN	Average	MNIST	SVHN	Textures	
Post-hoc inference methods							
OpenMax [1]	60.17 ± 0.97	52.99 ± 0.51	56.58 ± 0.73	53.82 ± 4.74	53.20 ± 1.78	56.12 ± 1.91	54.85 ± 1.42
MSP [13]	58.91 ± 0.93	50.70 ± 0.34	54.80 ± 0.33	57.23 ± 4.68	59.07 ± 2.53	61.88 ± 1.28	56.62 ± 0.87
TempScale [10]	58.72 ± 0.81	50.26 ± 0.16	54.49 ± 0.48	56.05 ± 4.61	57.71 ± 2.68	61.56 ± 1.43	56.46 ± 0.94
ODIN [23]	60.64 ± 0.56	55.19 ± 0.57	57.91 ± 0.51	45.94 ± 3.29	67.41 ± 3.88	62.37 ± 2.96	59.71 ± 0.92
MDS [22]	88.00 ± 0.49	79.05 ± 1.22	83.53 ± 0.60	71.72 ± 2.94	67.21 ± 6.09	70.49 ± 2.48	79.61 ± 0.34
MDSEns [22]	95.94 ± 0.16	95.82 ± 0.12	95.88 ± 0.04	2.83 ± 0.86	82.57 ± 2.58	84.94 ± 0.83	96.61 ± 0.17
RMDS [29]	61.37 ± 0.24	49.56 ± 0.90	55.46 ± 0.41	52.05 ± 6.28	51.65 ± 3.68	53.99 ± 1.06	53.57 ± 0.43
Gram [31]	92.71 ± 0.64	91.85 ± 0.86	92.28 ± 0.29	53.53 ± 7.45	20.06 ± 1.96	89.51 ± 2.54	94.67 ± 0.60
EBO [24]	59.21 ± 0.75	52.03 ± 0.50	55.62 ± 0.61	52.62 ± 3.83	53.62 ± 3.14	62.35 ± 2.06	57.75 ± 0.86
OpenGAN [19]	78.83 ± 3.94	74.21 ± 1.25	76.52 ± 2.59	63.09 ± 23.3	70.35 ± 2.06	74.77 ± 1.78	73.75 ± 8.32
GradNorm [17]	84.30 ± 0.36	86.85 ± 0.62	85.58 ± 0.46	86.97 ± 1.44	69.90 ± 7.94	92.51 ± 0.61	85.32 ± 0.44
ReAct [33]	61.30 ± 0.43	51.47 ± 0.47	56.39 ± 0.34	56.04 ± 5.66	50.41 ± 2.02	55.04 ± 0.82	55.30 ± 0.41
MLS [12]	59.11 ± 0.64	51.83 ± 0.70	55.47 ± 0.66	52.95 ± 3.82	53.90 ± 3.04	62.39 ± 2.13	57.68 ± 0.91
KLM [12]	84.77 ± 2.95	71.07 ± 0.59	77.92 ± 1.31	73.09 ± 6.67	50.30 ± 7.04	81.80 ± 5.80	81.40 ± 1.58
VIM [39]	70.59 ± 0.43	54.66 ± 0.42	62.63 ± 0.27	48.32 ± 1.07	46.22 ± 5.46	46.86 ± 2.29	61.57 ± 0.77
KNN [35]	72.80 ± 0.44	49.65 ± 0.37	61.22 ± 0.14	48.58 ± 4.67	51.75 ± 3.12	53.56 ± 2.32	60.70 ± 1.03
DICE [34]	60.98 ± 1.10	54.93 ± 0.53	57.95 ± 0.53	51.79 ± 3.67	49.58 ± 3.32	64.23 ± 1.65	59.39 ± 1.25
RankFeat [32]	82.78 ± 1.56	78.40 ± 0.95	80.59 ± 1.10	75.01 ± 5.83	58.49 ± 2.30	66.87 ± 3.80	77.42 ± 1.96
ASH [8]	68.06 ± 0.44	63.35 ± 0.90	65.71 ± 0.24	66.58 ± 3.88	46.00 ± 2.67	61.27 ± 2.74	62.95 ± 0.99
SHE [44]	60.41 ± 0.51	57.74 ± 0.73	59.07 ± 0.25	58.78 ± 2.70	59.15 ± 7.61	73.29 ± 3.22	65.24 ± 0.98
GEN [25]	58.87 ± 0.69	49.98 ± 0.05	54.42 ± 0.33	53.92 ± 5.71	55.45 ± 2.76	61.23 ± 1.40	56.25 ± 1.01
ExCeL [18]	61.07 ± 0.81	49.35 ± 0.31	55.21 ± 0.56	54.67 ± 5.86	45.13 ± 0.33	51.14 ± 0.14	58.02 ± 1.28
Training methods without outliers							
CRAFT (Ours)	59.19 ± 0.64	48.26 ± 1.21	53.73 ± 0.62	48.95 ± 1.90	47.50 ± 5.22	56.97 ± 1.77	54.02 ± 0.30
ConfBranch [7]	74.56 ± 1.22	65.86 ± 0.56	70.21 ± 0.83	55.95 ± 6.15	76.01 ± 12.3	85.43 ± 1.17	69.90 ± 0.28
G-ODIN [15]	78.82 ± 1.86	56.34 ± 0.45	67.58 ± 0.98	27.19 ± 6.24	42.68 ± 5.74	35.83 ± 1.15	65.03 ± 1.16
CSI [36]	72.62 ± 0.49	67.90 ± 0.64	70.26 ± 0.56	80.54 ± 4.87	67.21 ± 3.35	90.51 ± 1.47	69.41 ± 0.58
ARPL [4]	64.84 ± 1.25	58.27 ± 2.40	61.56 ± 1.81	59.12 ± 8.04	59.76 ± 1.58	71.66 ± 1.81	62.01 ± 0.89
MOS [16]	60.60 ± 1.47	51.49 ± 0.69	56.05 ± 1.01	52.70 ± 3.81	56.33 ± 8.46	61.24 ± 2.06	58.86 ± 0.41
LogitNorm [40]	73.88 ± 1.21	51.89 ± 0.10	62.89 ± 0.57	34.12 ± 8.32	47.52 ± 8.02	77.38 ± 2.99	55.44 ± 1.45
CIDER [27]	82.71 ± 1.25	61.33 ± 0.64	72.02 ± 0.31	75.32 ± 4.21	17.82 ± 2.80	54.43 ± 2.56	69.30 ± 1.81
Training methods with outliers							
OE [14]	61.26 ± 0.22	0.21 ± 0.01	30.73 ± 0.11	53.31 ± 9.91	51.84 ± 3.45	55.83 ± 1.82	58.30 ± 0.72
MCD [43]	62.65 ± 0.54	49.10 ± 1.29	55.88 ± 0.85	62.78 ± 2.91	43.71 ± 3.73	56.89 ± 0.64	54.17 ± 1.13
UDG [42]	66.40 ± 0.51	56.43 ± 0.68	61.42 ± 0.48	45.14 ± 12.8	59.67 ± 5.62	71.33 ± 3.59	59.85 ± 0.57
MixOE [45]	61.12 ± 1.08	49.32 ± 0.36	55.22 ± 0.49	59.49 ± 7.74	73.09 ± 4.00	66.04 ± 0.98	56.93 ± 0.78

Table 10. AUROC (%) ↑ of various methods for different OOD datasets when CIFAR-100 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. *CRAFT ranks within the top five methods in one out of three near-OOD detection scenarios and in three out of five far-OOD detection scenarios.*

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			Average
	CIFAR-10	TIN	Average	MNIST	SVHN	Textures	
Post-hoc inference methods							
OpenMax [1]	74.38 ± 0.37	78.44 ± 0.14	76.41 ± 0.25	76.01 ± 1.39	82.07 ± 1.53	80.56 ± 0.09	79.29 ± 0.40
MSP [13]	78.47 ± 0.07	82.07 ± 0.17	80.27 ± 0.11	76.08 ± 1.86	78.42 ± 0.89	77.32 ± 0.71	79.22 ± 0.29
TempScale [10]	79.02 ± 0.06	82.79 ± 0.09	80.90 ± 0.07	77.27 ± 1.85	79.79 ± 1.05	78.11 ± 0.72	79.80 ± 0.25
ODIN [23]	78.18 ± 0.14	81.63 ± 0.08	79.90 ± 0.11	83.79 ± 1.31	74.54 ± 0.76	79.33 ± 1.08	79.45 ± 0.26
MDS [22]	55.87 ± 0.22	61.50 ± 0.28	58.69 ± 0.09	67.47 ± 0.81	70.68 ± 6.40	76.26 ± 0.69	63.15 ± 0.49
MDSEns [22]	43.85 ± 0.31	48.78 ± 0.19	46.31 ± 0.24	98.21 ± 0.78	53.76 ± 1.63	69.75 ± 1.14	42.27 ± 0.73
RMDS [29]	77.75 ± 0.19	82.55 ± 0.02	80.15 ± 0.11	79.74 ± 2.49	84.89 ± 1.10	83.65 ± 0.51	83.40 ± 0.46
Gram [31]	49.41 ± 0.58	53.91 ± 1.58	51.66 ± 0.77	80.71 ± 4.15	95.55 ± 0.60	70.79 ± 1.32	46.38 ± 1.21
EBO [24]	79.05 ± 0.11	82.76 ± 0.08	80.91 ± 0.08	79.18 ± 1.37	82.03 ± 1.74	78.35 ± 0.83	79.52 ± 0.23
OpenGAN [19]	63.23 ± 2.44	68.74 ± 2.29	65.98 ± 1.26	68.14 ± 18.8	68.40 ± 2.15	65.84 ± 3.43	69.13 ± 7.08
GradNorm [17]	70.32 ± 0.20	69.95 ± 0.79	70.13 ± 0.47	65.35 ± 1.12	76.95 ± 4.73	64.58 ± 0.13	69.69 ± 0.17
ReAct [33]	78.65 ± 0.05	82.88 ± 0.08	80.77 ± 0.05	78.37 ± 1.59	83.01 ± 0.97	80.15 ± 0.46	80.03 ± 0.11
MLS [12]	79.21 ± 0.10	82.90 ± 0.05	81.05 ± 0.07	78.91 ± 1.47	81.65 ± 1.49	78.39 ± 0.84	79.75 ± 0.24
KLM [12]	73.91 ± 0.25	79.22 ± 0.28	76.56 ± 0.25	74.15 ± 2.59	79.34 ± 0.44	75.77 ± 0.45	75.70 ± 0.24
VIM [39]	72.21 ± 0.41	77.76 ± 0.16	74.98 ± 0.13	81.89 ± 1.02	83.14 ± 3.71	85.91 ± 0.78	75.85 ± 0.37
KNN [35]	77.02 ± 0.25	83.34 ± 0.16	80.18 ± 0.15	82.36 ± 1.52	84.15 ± 1.09	83.66 ± 0.83	79.43 ± 0.47
DICE [34]	78.04 ± 0.32	80.72 ± 0.30	79.38 ± 0.23	79.86 ± 1.89	84.22 ± 2.00	77.63 ± 0.34	78.33 ± 0.66
RankFeat [32]	58.04 ± 2.36	65.72 ± 0.22	61.88 ± 1.28	63.03 ± 3.86	72.14 ± 1.39	69.40 ± 3.08	63.82 ± 1.83
ASH [8]	76.48 ± 0.30	79.92 ± 0.20	78.20 ± 0.15	77.23 ± 0.46	85.60 ± 1.40	80.72 ± 0.70	78.76 ± 0.16
SHE [44]	78.15 ± 0.03	79.74 ± 0.36	78.95 ± 0.18	76.76 ± 1.07	80.97 ± 3.98	73.64 ± 1.28	76.30 ± 0.51
GEN [25]	79.38 ± 0.04	83.25 ± 0.13	81.31 ± 0.08	78.29 ± 2.05	81.41 ± 1.50	78.74 ± 0.81	80.28 ± 0.27
ExCeL [18]	78.14 ± 0.09	83.26 ± 0.03	80.70 ± 0.06	78.99 ± 1.73	85.91 ± 0.73	83.28 ± 0.58	79.98 ± 0.57
Training methods without outliers							
CRAFT (Ours)	78.67 ± 0.21	83.14 ± 0.73	80.90 ± 0.33	80.34 ± 1.84	85.16 ± 1.15	80.91 ± 0.45	81.71 ± 0.12
ConfBranch [7]	68.80 ± 0.73	74.41 ± 0.54	71.60 ± 0.62	74.29 ± 4.44	65.51 ± 8.07	65.39 ± 0.16	70.42 ± 0.26
G-ODIN [15]	73.04 ± 0.39	81.26 ± 0.29	77.15 ± 0.28	91.15 ± 2.86	83.74 ± 3.10	89.62 ± 0.36	78.17 ± 0.62
CSI [36]	69.50 ± 0.43	73.40 ± 0.13	71.45 ± 0.27	51.79 ± 6.77	80.24 ± 1.80	62.22 ± 0.98	70.99 ± 0.54
ARPL [4]	73.38 ± 0.78	76.50 ± 1.11	74.94 ± 0.93	73.77 ± 5.89	76.45 ± 1.00	69.93 ± 1.33	74.62 ± 0.57
MOS [16]	78.54 ± 0.13	82.26 ± 0.25	80.40 ± 0.18	80.68 ± 1.65	81.59 ± 3.81	79.92 ± 0.57	78.50 ± 0.34
LogitNorm [40]	74.57 ± 0.39	82.37 ± 0.24	78.47 ± 0.31	90.69 ± 1.38	82.80 ± 4.57	72.37 ± 0.67	80.25 ± 0.61
CIDER [27]	67.55 ± 0.60	78.65 ± 0.35	73.10 ± 0.39	68.14 ± 3.98	97.17 ± 0.34	82.21 ± 1.93	74.43 ± 0.64
Training methods with outliers							
OE [14]	76.70 ± 0.19	99.89 ± 0.02	88.30 ± 0.10	80.68 ± 5.82	84.37 ± 1.34	82.18 ± 0.68	78.39 ± 0.41
MCD [43]	75.40 ± 0.46	78.75 ± 0.21	77.07 ± 0.32	68.25 ± 1.99	75.92 ± 0.37	77.07 ± 0.76	77.65 ± 0.09
UDG [42]	75.15 ± 0.15	80.90 ± 0.21	78.02 ± 0.10	83.88 ± 5.98	79.80 ± 1.61	75.57 ± 0.80	79.11 ± 0.17
MixOE [45]	78.17 ± 0.29	83.73 ± 0.12	80.95 ± 0.20	76.06 ± 5.52	72.28 ± 0.81	77.34 ± 0.91	79.92 ± 0.30

Table 11. FPR95 (% \downarrow) of various methods for different OOD datasets when ImageNet-200 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. Note that N/A indicates that results are not reported in OpenOOD. *CRAFT* ranks within the top five methods in two out of three near-OOD detection scenarios.

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			
	SSB-hard	NINCO	Average	iNaturalist	Textures	OpenImage-O	Average
Post-hoc inference methods							
OpenMax [1]	72.37 \pm 0.11	54.59 \pm 0.54	63.48 \pm 0.25	24.53 \pm 0.96	36.80 \pm 0.55	38.03 \pm 0.49	33.12 \pm 0.66
MSP [13]	66.00 \pm 0.10	43.65 \pm 0.75	54.82 \pm 0.35	26.48 \pm 0.73	44.58 \pm 0.68	35.23 \pm 0.18	35.43 \pm 0.38
TempScale [10]	66.43 \pm 0.26	43.21 \pm 0.70	54.82 \pm 0.23	24.39 \pm 0.79	43.57 \pm 0.77	34.04 \pm 0.31	34.00 \pm 0.37
ODIN [23]	73.51 \pm 0.38	60.00 \pm 0.80	66.76 \pm 0.26	22.39 \pm 1.87	42.99 \pm 1.56	37.30 \pm 0.59	34.23 \pm 1.05
MDS [22]	83.65 \pm 0.47	74.57 \pm 0.15	79.11 \pm 0.31	58.53 \pm 0.75	58.16 \pm 0.84	68.29 \pm 0.28	61.66 \pm 0.27
MDSEns [22]	92.13 \pm 0.05	91.36 \pm 0.16	91.75 \pm 0.10	83.37 \pm 0.70	72.27 \pm 0.48	87.26 \pm 0.10	80.96 \pm 0.38
RMDS [29]	65.91 \pm 0.27	42.13 \pm 1.04	54.02 \pm 0.58	24.70 \pm 0.90	37.80 \pm 1.32	34.85 \pm 0.31	32.45 \pm 0.79
Gram [31]	85.68 \pm 0.85	87.13 \pm 1.89	86.40 \pm 1.21	85.54 \pm 0.40	80.87 \pm 1.20	86.66 \pm 1.27	84.36 \pm 0.78
EBO [24]	69.77 \pm 0.32	50.70 \pm 0.89	60.24 \pm 0.57	26.41 \pm 2.29	41.43 \pm 1.85	36.74 \pm 1.14	34.86 \pm 1.30
OpenGAN [19]	88.07 \pm 0.23	80.23 \pm 5.71	84.15 \pm 3.85	60.13 \pm 9.79	66.00 \pm 9.97	66.34 \pm 8.44	64.16 \pm 9.33
GradNorm [17]	82.17 \pm 0.62	83.17 \pm 0.21	82.67 \pm 0.30	61.31 \pm 2.86	66.88 \pm 3.59	71.16 \pm 0.23	66.45 \pm 0.22
ReAct [33]	71.51 \pm 1.92	53.47 \pm 2.46	62.49 \pm 2.19	22.97 \pm 2.25	29.67 \pm 1.35	32.86 \pm 0.74	28.50 \pm 0.95
MLS [12]	69.64 \pm 0.37	49.87 \pm 0.94	59.76 \pm 0.59	25.09 \pm 2.04	41.25 \pm 1.86	35.76 \pm 0.74	34.03 \pm 1.21
KLM [12]	78.19 \pm 2.30	62.33 \pm 2.66	70.26 \pm 0.64	26.66 \pm 1.61	50.24 \pm 1.26	45.81 \pm 0.59	40.90 \pm 1.08
VIM [39]	71.28 \pm 0.49	47.10 \pm 1.10	59.19 \pm 0.71	27.34 \pm 0.38	20.39 \pm 0.17	33.86 \pm 0.63	27.20 \pm 0.30
KNN [35]	73.71 \pm 0.31	46.64 \pm 0.73	60.18 \pm 0.52	24.46 \pm 1.06	24.45 \pm 0.29	32.90 \pm 1.12	27.27 \pm 0.75
DICE [34]	70.84 \pm 0.30	52.91 \pm 1.20	61.88 \pm 0.67	29.66 \pm 2.62	40.96 \pm 1.87	38.91 \pm 1.16	36.51 \pm 1.18
RankFeat [32]	90.79 \pm 0.37	93.32 \pm 0.11	92.06 \pm 0.23	98.00 \pm 0.80	99.40 \pm 0.68	95.77 \pm 0.85	97.72 \pm 0.75
ASH [8]	72.14 \pm 0.97	57.63 \pm 0.98	64.89 \pm 0.90	22.49 \pm 2.24	25.65 \pm 0.80	33.72 \pm 0.97	27.29 \pm 1.12
SHE [44]	72.64 \pm 0.30	60.96 \pm 1.33	66.80 \pm 0.74	34.38 \pm 3.48	45.58 \pm 2.42	46.54 \pm 1.34	42.17 \pm 1.24
GEN [25]	66.79 \pm 0.26	43.61 \pm 0.61	55.20 \pm 0.20	22.03 \pm 0.98	42.01 \pm 0.92	32.25 \pm 0.31	32.10 \pm 0.59
ExCeL [18]	69.28 \pm 0.60	46.51 \pm 0.20	57.90 \pm 0.40	22.29 \pm 1.00	30.14 \pm 0.64	32.91 \pm 0.76	28.45 \pm 0.80
Training methods without outliers							
CRAFT (Ours)	69.07 \pm 0.39	40.40 \pm 1.13	54.62 \pm 0.57	24.89 \pm 1.33	38.73 \pm 4.55	33.48 \pm 1.74	32.67 \pm 1.13
ConfBranch [7]	72.24 \pm 0.37	50.63 \pm 0.60	61.44 \pm 0.34	23.84 \pm 0.40	42.42 \pm 2.27	37.99 \pm 0.09	34.75 \pm 0.63
G-ODIN [15]	78.23 \pm 0.70	61.52 \pm 0.64	69.87 \pm 0.46	26.13 \pm 0.77	28.98 \pm 1.15	35.43 \pm 0.43	30.18 \pm 0.49
CSI [36]	N/A						
ARPL [4]	65.73 \pm 0.51	45.75 \pm 0.89	55.74 \pm 0.70	29.32 \pm 0.64	42.87 \pm 1.09	37.20 \pm 0.69	36.46 \pm 0.08
MOS [16]	74.35 \pm 0.32	68.85 \pm 0.68	71.60 \pm 0.48	49.55 \pm 0.73	51.27 \pm 1.02	53.86 \pm 0.30	51.56 \pm 0.42
LogitNorm [40]	67.46 \pm 0.21	45.46 \pm 0.69	56.46 \pm 0.37	15.70 \pm 0.61	32.13 \pm 0.67	30.49 \pm 0.62	26.11 \pm 0.52
CIDER [27]	75.50 \pm 0.68	44.69 \pm 0.88	60.10 \pm 0.73	26.54 \pm 2.27	31.51 \pm 3.68	32.47 \pm 2.40	30.17 \pm 2.75
Training methods with outliers							
OE [14]	64.67 \pm 0.25	39.93 \pm 1.13	52.30 \pm 0.67	27.03 \pm 0.47	41.92 \pm 1.69	33.56 \pm 0.46	34.17 \pm 0.56
MCD [43]	65.69 \pm 0.36	43.74 \pm 1.32	54.71 \pm 0.83	21.74 \pm 0.31	38.11 \pm 0.93	29.93 \pm 0.19	29.93 \pm 0.30
UDG [42]	75.84 \pm 1.86	61.94 \pm 1.61	68.89 \pm 1.72	49.26 \pm 7.88	71.94 \pm 5.25	64.92 \pm 5.07	62.04 \pm 5.99
MixOE [45]	68.26 \pm 0.48	47.69 \pm 0.95	57.97 \pm 0.40	30.84 \pm 0.45	51.44 \pm 0.61	40.51 \pm 1.06	40.93 \pm 0.29

Table 12. AUROC (%) ↑ of various methods for different OOD datasets when ImageNet-200 is ID.

For each column, the top five methods are marked in **bold**. Note that N/A indicates that results are not reported in OpenOOD. *CRAFT* ranks within the top five methods in all three near-OOD detection scenarios.

Method	Near OOD			Far OOD			
	SSB-hard	NINCO	Average	iNaturalist	Textures	OpenImage-O	Average
Post-hoc inference methods							
OpenMax [1]	77.53 ± 0.08	83.01 ± 0.17	80.27 ± 0.10	92.32 ± 0.32	90.21 ± 0.07	88.07 ± 0.14	90.20 ± 0.17
MSP [13]	80.38 ± 0.03	86.29 ± 0.11	83.34 ± 0.06	92.80 ± 0.25	88.36 ± 0.13	89.24 ± 0.02	90.13 ± 0.09
TempScale [10]	80.71 ± 0.02	86.67 ± 0.08	83.69 ± 0.04	93.39 ± 0.25	89.24 ± 0.11	89.84 ± 0.02	90.82 ± 0.09
ODIN [23]	77.19 ± 0.06	83.34 ± 0.12	80.27 ± 0.08	94.37 ± 0.41	90.65 ± 0.20	90.11 ± 0.15	91.71 ± 0.19
MDS [22]	58.38 ± 0.58	65.48 ± 0.46	61.93 ± 0.51	75.03 ± 0.76	79.25 ± 0.33	69.87 ± 0.14	74.72 ± 0.26
MDSEn [22]	50.46 ± 0.36	58.18 ± 0.42	54.32 ± 0.24	62.16 ± 0.73	80.70 ± 0.48	64.96 ± 0.51	69.27 ± 0.57
RMDS [29]	80.20 ± 0.23	84.94 ± 0.28	82.57 ± 0.25	90.64 ± 0.46	86.77 ± 0.38	86.77 ± 0.22	88.06 ± 0.34
Gram [31]	65.95 ± 1.08	69.40 ± 1.07	67.67 ± 1.07	65.30 ± 0.20	80.53 ± 0.37	67.72 ± 0.58	71.19 ± 0.24
EBO [24]	79.83 ± 0.02	85.17 ± 0.11	82.50 ± 0.05	92.55 ± 0.50	90.79 ± 0.16	89.23 ± 0.26	90.86 ± 0.21
OpenGAN [19]	55.08 ± 1.84	64.49 ± 4.98	59.79 ± 3.39	75.32 ± 3.32	70.58 ± 4.66	73.54 ± 4.48	73.15 ± 4.07
GradNorm [17]	72.12 ± 0.43	73.39 ± 0.63	72.75 ± 0.48	86.06 ± 1.90	86.07 ± 0.36	80.66 ± 1.09	84.26 ± 0.87
ReAct [33]	78.97 ± 1.33	84.76 ± 0.64	81.87 ± 0.98	93.65 ± 0.88	92.86 ± 0.47	90.40 ± 0.35	92.31 ± 0.56
MLS [12]	80.15 ± 0.01	85.65 ± 0.09	82.90 ± 0.04	93.12 ± 0.45	90.60 ± 0.16	89.62 ± 0.21	91.11 ± 0.19
KLM [12]	77.56 ± 0.18	83.96 ± 0.12	80.76 ± 0.08	91.80 ± 0.21	86.13 ± 0.12	87.66 ± 0.17	88.53 ± 0.11
VIM [39]	74.04 ± 0.31	83.32 ± 0.19	78.68 ± 0.24	90.96 ± 0.36	94.61 ± 0.12	88.20 ± 0.18	91.26 ± 0.19
KNN [35]	77.03 ± 0.23	86.10 ± 0.12	81.57 ± 0.17	93.99 ± 0.36	95.29 ± 0.22	90.19 ± 0.32	93.16 ± 0.22
DICE [34]	79.06 ± 0.05	84.49 ± 0.24	81.78 ± 0.14	91.81 ± 0.79	91.53 ± 0.21	89.06 ± 0.34	90.80 ± 0.31
RankFeat [32]	58.74 ± 0.94	55.10 ± 2.52	56.92 ± 1.59	33.08 ± 4.68	29.10 ± 2.57	52.48 ± 4.44	38.22 ± 3.85
ASH [8]	79.52 ± 0.37	85.24 ± 0.08	82.38 ± 0.19	95.10 ± 0.47	94.77 ± 0.19	91.82 ± 0.25	93.90 ± 0.27
SHE [44]	78.30 ± 0.20	82.07 ± 0.33	80.18 ± 0.25	91.43 ± 1.28	90.51 ± 0.19	87.49 ± 0.70	89.81 ± 0.61
GEN [25]	80.75 ± 0.03	86.60 ± 0.08	83.68 ± 0.06	93.70 ± 0.18	90.25 ± 0.10	90.13 ± 0.06	91.36 ± 0.10
ExCeL [18]	79.39 ± 0.03	85.40 ± 0.04	82.40 ± 0.04	93.76 ± 0.43	92.40 ± 0.05	89.75 ± 0.32	91.97 ± 0.27
Training methods without outliers							
CRAFT (Ours)	80.70 ± 0.18	86.74 ± 0.84	83.65 ± 0.41	92.85 ± 0.66	89.94 ± 0.49	89.85 ± 0.46	90.88 ± 0.89
ConfBranch [7]	75.01 ± 0.35	83.19 ± 0.14	79.10 ± 0.24	93.40 ± 0.09	89.64 ± 0.52	88.26 ± 0.07	90.43 ± 0.18
G-ODIN [15]	72.94 ± 0.05	81.63 ± 0.21	77.28 ± 0.10	93.12 ± 0.21	93.67 ± 0.21	90.18 ± 0.15	92.33 ± 0.11
CSI [36]	N/A						
ARPL [4]	79.24 ± 0.14	84.81 ± 0.07	82.02 ± 0.10	91.54 ± 0.05	88.11 ± 0.34	88.04 ± 0.20	89.23 ± 0.11
MOS [16]	66.54 ± 0.49	73.14 ± 0.47	69.84 ± 0.46	79.69 ± 1.38	81.38 ± 0.75	80.29 ± 0.68	80.46 ± 0.92
LogitNorm [40]	78.42 ± 0.23	86.90 ± 0.07	82.66 ± 0.15	96.26 ± 0.20	91.85 ± 0.21	91.01 ± 0.27	93.04 ± 0.21
CIDER [27]	76.04 ± 2.37	85.13 ± 1.13	80.58 ± 1.75	90.69 ± 2.13	92.38 ± 1.35	88.92 ± 1.58	90.66 ± 1.68
Training methods with outliers							
OE [14]	82.34 ± 0.16	87.35 ± 0.23	84.84 ± 0.16	90.30 ± 0.16	87.76 ± 0.32	89.01 ± 0.24	89.02 ± 0.18
MCD [43]	81.51 ± 0.14	85.74 ± 0.07	83.62 ± 0.09	90.83 ± 0.10	86.87 ± 0.12	89.12 ± 0.18	88.94 ± 0.10
UDG [42]	70.73 ± 1.74	77.88 ± 1.56	74.30 ± 1.63	85.95 ± 2.97	81.79 ± 2.57	78.54 ± 2.98	82.09 ± 2.78
MixOE [45]	80.23 ± 0.15	85.01 ± 0.10	82.62 ± 0.03	90.64 ± 0.36	86.80 ± 0.45	87.36 ± 0.49	88.27 ± 0.41

Table 13. Performance (FPR95 (% ↓)) variation of Outlier Exposure (OE) method across various auxiliary outlier training data.

The results demonstrate a notable bias towards outliers that are similar to those seen during training. For example, when tin597 is used for training, the performance on TinyImageNet is significantly better. Similarly, when FashionMNIST or notMNIST are used for training, the FPR95 on the MNIST test set is unusually low. This implies that the OE method tends to perform better on OOD datasets that resemble the outliers seen during training, indicating a bias towards seen outliers.

ID Dataset	Auxiliary Outlier Training Data	Near OOD			Far OOD				
		CIFAR100	TinyImageNet	Average	MNIST	SVHN	Textures	Places 365	Average
CIFAR10	tin597 [46]	36.71	2.97	19.84	24.67	1.25	12.07	14.53	13.13
	NINCO [2]	42.57	18.54	30.56	32.91	19.67	7.69	19.50	19.94
	FashionMNIST [41]	41.89	35.26	38.57	0.33	24.32	31.31	35.53	22.88
	notMNIST [3]	52.71	44.67	48.69	0.00	19.07	41.01	48.81	27.22
CIFAR100	tin597 [46]	61.26	0.21	30.73	53.31	51.84	55.83	58.30	54.82
	NINCO [2]	63.92	35.56	49.74	60.36	35.13	29.93	38.10	40.88
	FashionMNIST [41]	59.84	52.63	56.24	0.00	44.60	63.09	57.83	41.38
	notMNIST [3]	60.20	52.51	56.36	0.00	49.16	62.70	57.46	42.33