Parametric Noise Injection: Trainable Randomness to Improve Deep Neural Network Robustness against Adversarial Attack

Supplementary Materials

A MNIST Result:

White-Box attack summary for MNIST data set is provided in Table 1. We report clean Lenet5 architecture training, adversarial training, and results from different version of PNI.

Model	Clean				
	Test	PGD	FGSM		
	Accuracy	(%)	(%)		
	(%)				
Clean	99.22	0.6	5.22		
Adv. Training	98.84	94.75	95.50		
PNI during inference					
PNI-W+A-a	98.83	94.75	96.02		
PNI-W	98.59	94.99	95.57		
PNI-A-a	98.67	94.65	95.83		
Without PNI during the inference					
PNI-W+A-a	98.53	94.15	95.52		
PNI-W	98.60	93.81	95.23		
PNI-A-a	98.83	94.55	96.28		

Table 1. White-Box attack summary for MNIST

The simulations for MNIST is less significant as it is a small gray-scale dataset. The results do not show significant improvement as adversarial training already achieved a higher accuracy in defending MNIST. However, PNI-W still manages to improve the accuracy close to 95 %. Since the Lenet5 is a small architecture the effect of PNI will be small as well.

B Substitute Model Attack:

We conduct the first black box attack using substitute model. We first train a substitute model using Alexnet which performs the exact same classification task as the target model. As a result the clean test accuracy of both the target and substitute model is almost similar in Table 2.

Target Model	Target Clean Accuracy (%)	Substitute Clean Accuracy (%)	Attack Accuracy (%)
Adv. Training	87.49	86.49	84.89
PNI-W+A-a	84.79	84.90	83.54
PNI-W	85.02	85.07	83.12
PNI-A-a	85.50	85.75	83.57

Table 2. Black-Box attack results using Alexnet as the substitute model

In case of substitute model for all the cases of PNI we had the noise at the inference. Our black box attack accuracy is similar as our baseline 83 %. However, the little degradation we observed is mainly due to the sacrifice in clean test data accuracy of the target model.



C More Results:

Figure 1: Convergence of the trainable parameter is almost Identical for both PNI-W and PNI-W+A-a.



Figure 2: Change in weight distribution for Resnet-20 in first convolution layer. Indicating that PNI performs regularization by changing the weight distribution.



Figure 3: Change in weight distribution for Resnet-20 in first convolution layer. Indicating that PNI-W performs regularization more effectively by shrinking the weight distribution. While the weight distribution is relatively scattered for PNI-W+A-a





Figure 4: FGSM, PGD and Test accuracy log for Vanilla-Resnet and PNI-W.