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Abstract

We present a data-driven approach for 4D space-time visualization of dynamic events from videos captured by hand-held multiple cameras. Key to our approach is the use of self-supervised neural networks specific to the scene to compose static and dynamic aspects of an event. Though captured from discrete viewpoints, this model enables us to move around the space-time of the event continuously. This model allows us to create virtual cameras that facilitate: (1) freezing the time and exploring views; (2) freezing a view and moving through time; and (3) simultaneously changing both time and view. We can also edit the videos and reveal occluded objects for a given view if it is visible in any of the other views. We validate our approach on challenging in-the-wild events captured using up to 15 mobile cameras.

1. Introduction

Imagine going back in time and revisiting crucial moments of your lives, such as your wedding ceremony, your graduation ceremony, or the first birthday of your child, immersively from any viewpoint. The prospect of building such a virtual time machine [40] have become increasingly realizable with the advent of affordable and high-quality smartphone cameras producing extensive collections of social video data. Unfortunately, people do not benefit from this broader set of captures of their social events. When it comes to look back, we are likely to only look at one video or two even when hundreds were captured. We present a data-driven approach that leverages all perspectives to enable a more complete exploration of the event. With our approach, the benefits from each extra perspective that is captured leads to a more complete experience. We seek to automatically organize the disparate visual data into a comprehensive four-dimensional environment (3D space and time). The complete control of spatiotemporal aspects not only enables us to see a dynamic event from any perspective but also allows geometrically consistent content editing. This functionality unlocks many potential applications in the movie industry and consumer devices, especially as virtual reality headsets are becoming popular by the day. Figure 1 show examples of virtual camera views synthesized using our approach for an event captured from multi-view videos.

Prior work on virtualized reality [28, 30, 32] has primarily been restricted to studio setups with tens or even hundreds of synchronized cameras. Four hundred hours of video data is uploaded on YouTube every minute. This feat has become possible because of the commercial success of high qual-
Figure 2. **Comparison to existing work:** Given a dynamic event captured using 10 phones, we freeze time and explore views for two time instances. We use a standard Structure-from-Motion (SfM) [42, 43] to reconstruct the camera trajectory. As shown in first-column, SfM treats dynamic information as outliers for rigid reconstruction. We use additional cues such as 2D keypoints [4], statistical human body model [36], and human association [51] along-with the outputs of SfM to generate dynamic information for these two time instances (Frame-450 and Frame-1200 in second and third columns respectively). We call this SfM+humans. These three outputs lack realism. Additionally, the reconstruction fails for non-Lambertian surfaces (see glass windows), non-textured regions (see umbrellas), and shadows (around humans). Our approach, on the other hand, can densely synthesize the various static and dynamic components, as shown in fourth and fifth columns for the same moments.

There is a long history of 4D capture systems [30] to experience immersive virtualized reality [14], especially being able to see from any viewpoint that a viewer wants irrespective of the physical capture systems. The ability to capture depth maps from a small baseline stereo pair via 3D geometry techniques [20] led to the development of video-rate stereo machines [32] mounting six cameras with small baselines. This ability to capture dense depth maps motivated a generation of researchers to develop close studios [28, 31, 39, 58] that can precisely capture the dynamic events happening within it.
Additional cues such as visual hulls inspired a large body of work on multi-view videos. Recent approaches on billboards. However, these methods assume a single actor and are missing in the first and third column, and parts of the human body are missing in the second row.

A crucial requirement in these studies is the use of synchronized video cameras [31]. This line of research is restricted to a few places in the world with access to proper studios and camera systems.

**Beyond Studios:** The onset of mobile phones have revolutionized the capture scenario. Each one of us possesses high-definition smartphone cameras. Usually, there are more cameras at a place than there are people around. Many public events are captured by different people from various perspectives. This feat motivated researchers to use in-the-wild data for 3D reconstruction [23, 46] and 4D visualization [2, 5]. A hybrid of geometry [20] and image-based rendering [44] approaches have been used to reconstruct 3D scenes from pictures [7]. Photo tourism [46] and the works following it [1, 15, 16, 24, 45] use internet-scale images to reconstruct architectural sites. These approaches have led to the development of immersive 3D visualization of static scenes.

The work on 3D reconstruction treats dynamic information as outliers and reconstructs the static components alone. Additional cues such as visual hulls [13, 18, 37], or 3D body scans [5, 6], or combination of both [3, 49] are used to capture dynamic aspects (esp. human performances) from multi-view videos. Hasler et al. [21] use markerless methods by combining pose estimation and segmentation. Vedula et al. [48] compute scene shape and scene flow for 4D modeling. Ballan et al. [2] model foreground subjects as video-sprites on billboards. However, these methods assume a single actor in multi-view videos. Recent approaches [10, 50] are not restricted by this assumption but does sparse reconstruction.

**CNN-based Image Synthesis:** Data-driven approaches [9, 17, 27, 54] using convolutional neural networks [35] have led to impressive results in image synthesis. These results inspired a large body of work [11, 12, 29, 38, 47, 57] on continuous view synthesis for small baseline shifts. Hedman et al. [22] extended this line of work to free-viewpoint capture. However, these methods are currently applicable to static scenes only. We combine the insights from CNN-based image synthesis and earlier work on 4D visualization to build a data-driven 4D Browsing Engine that makes minimal assumption about the content of multi-view videos.

### 3. 4D Browsing Engine

We are given $N$ camera views with extrinsic parameters $\{C_1, C_2, ..., C_N\}$, and intrinsic parameters $\{M_1, M_2, ..., M_N\}$. Our goal is to generate virtual camera view $C$ that does not exist in any of these $N$ cameras.

In this work, we accumulate the long-term multi-view spatiotemporal information to densely reconstruct static background, and combine it with instantaneous information. We pose this problem as a self-supervised composition of static background and instantaneous foreground. Figure 3 shows an overview of our approach via a virtual camera that freezes time and explores views. We begin by describing the overall fusion architecture in Section 3.1, then describe the modules for computing the foreground and background components in Section 3.2, and finally discuss the model in Section 3.3.

#### 3.1. Self-Supervised Composition

We use a data-driven approach to learn the fusion of a static background, $B$, and a dynamic foreground, $F$, to generate the required target view for given camera parameters. Since there exists no ground truth or manual annotations, we train a convolutional neural network (CNN) in a self-supervised manner by reconstructing a known held-out camera view, $C$, from the remaining $N-1$ views, thereby learning a mapping $G : (B, F) \rightarrow C$. We use three losses to learn this mapping: (1) Reconstruction loss; (2) Adversarial loss; and (3) Frequency loss.

**Reconstruction Loss:** We use standard $l_1$ reconstruction loss to minimize reconstruction error on the content with paired data samples $\{(b_i, f_i, c_i)\}$ where $b_i \in B$, $f_i \in F$, and $c_i \in C$:

$$\min_G L_r = \sum_i ||c_i - G(b_i, f_i)||_1$$ (1)

**Adversarial Loss:** Recent work [17] has shown that learned mapping can be improved by tuning it with a discriminator $D$ that is adversarially trained to distinguish between real samples of $c_i$ from generated samples $G(b_i, f_i)$:

$$\min_G \max_D L_{adv}(G, D) = \sum_i \log D(c_i) + \sum_i \log(1 - D(G(b_i, f_i)))$$ (2)
Figure 4. **Instantaneous Foreground Estimation:** We begin with estimating disparity for a stereo pair using an off-the-shelf disparity estimation approach [52]. We use $\binom{N}{2}$ stereo pairs, and reproject them to the target view using standard 3D geometry [20]. We use a dynamic event consistency to select appropriate reprojected views from $\binom{N}{2}$ views (marked with green in middle). The dynamic event consistency is computed using the output of SfM+humans (marked with yellow in bottom-row). Finally, we compute a per-pixel max, weighted-mean, and median of selected views. Collectively, these represent instantaneous foreground information along with SfM+humans (shown in the bottom-row).

**Frequency Loss:** We enforce a frequency-based loss function via Fast-Fourier Transform to learn appropriate frequency content and avoid generating spurious high-frequencies when ambiguities arise (inconsistent foreground and background inputs):

$$\min_{G} L_{fr} = \sum_{i} ||\mathcal{F}(c_i) - \mathcal{F}(G(b_i, f_i))||_{1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mathcal{F}$ is fast-Fourier transform. The overall optimization combines Eq. 1, Eq. 2, and Eq. 3:

$$L = \lambda_{r}L_{r} + \lambda_{adv}L_{adv} + \lambda_{fr}L_{fr}$$

where, $\lambda_{r} = \lambda_{fr} = 100$, and $\lambda_{adv} = 1$. Explicitly using background and foreground for target view makes the model independent of explicit camera parameters.

3.2. **Intermediate Data Generation**

We now describe our approach to estimate information about dynamic foreground and static background that are used as an input to the neural network (Section 3.3). We start with pre-processing of multiple camera views, and then use it to compute background and foreground information.

**Temporal Alignment & Correspondences:** We establish the frame-level temporal alignment for the all cameras using spatiotemporal bundle adjustment [50]. We estimate pixel-level correspondences between a stereo pair using an off-the-shelf disparity estimation [52]. While these correspondences can be noisy, multiple views constraints a better selection of points across $\binom{N}{2}$ stereo pairs.

**Instantaneous Foreground Estimation:** We build foreground estimates at a given time using stereo pairs. We use estimated disparity [52] to warp to the target view. Figure 4 shows different reprojected views from various stereo pairs. Since we have no control over camera placements, the disparity from various $\binom{N}{2}$ stereo pairs are often noisy and cannot be naively used to synthesize the target view in all conditions. Sparse cameras, large stereo baseline, bad stereo-pairs, or errors in camera-poses may result in misaligned frames (shown in Figure 4-middle) for the target camera pose. Therefore we enforce dynamic event consistency via 3D reconstruction to select five best reprojected views to compose instantaneous foreground information.

**Dynamic Event Consistency:** We use a previous approach to perform long-term 3D human tracking across multiple views [51]. The 3D tracking provides a rough 3D estimate of humans from different views. Collectively, with the output of 3D background reconstruction from SfM and MVS [42, 43], we call this SfM+humans. While not a realistic and precise
output by itself, such 3D reconstruction is sufficient to rank the various stereo pairs that are required to generate a target camera view. This is the main purpose of SfM+Human. We compute the distance between various reprojections and SfM+humans. This distance is computed using the Conv-5 features of an ImageNet [8] pre-trained AlexNet model [34]. We use top-5 scoring views for composing an instantaneous foreground image. As shown in Figure 4, we find good stereo-pairs using SfM+humans (marked yellow). We compute a per-pixel max, weighted-mean, and median using the top-5 ranked stereo pairs (marked green). These images, along with SfM+humans, collectively represent instantaneous information (Figure 4-bottom).

**Static Background Estimation:** We accumulate long-term spatiotemporal information to compute static background for a target camera view. The intrinsic and extrinsic parameters from N physical cameras enable us to create the views over a large temporal window of \([0, t]\) for a target camera position. Figure 5 shows creation of virtual cameras for various poses and time instants. We estimate a static background by computing a median of different views for a given camera pose. Computing the median over large temporal window for a given camera position enables us to capture non-textured and non-Lambertian stationary surfaces in a scene (see Figure 5).

### 3.3. Stacked Multi-Stage CNN

We now describe the neural network architecture that composes the static background and instantaneous fore-
Figure 7. Many people and their unrestricted movement: We captured a Jiu-Jitsu retreat event that was witnessed by more than 30 people. We show 4 frames of two virtual cameras (freeze a view and move in time) and contrast it with the held-out camera sequences (ground truth). We also show close-ups at various locations and compare it with the ground-truth. We capture various nuance despite people in different clothing, poses, and involved in unchoreographed activities.

ground. We use a modified U-Net [41] architecture that inputs background and foreground information, and outputs the image. Most consumer phones enable to capture 1080p videos at 60fps. Training a neural network combining the various background and foreground information with hi-res images require the use of high capacity models. These models need prohibitive memory and hence we use a stacked multi-stage CNN for an effective composition. We use a high capacity model for low-res image generation that learns overall structure, and improve the resolution with multiple stages.

We train three models for three different resolutions, namely: (1) low-res (270×480); (2) mid-res (540×960); and (3) hi-res (1080×1960). These models are trained independently and form multiple stages of our formulation. At test time, we use them sequentially, starting from low-res to mid-res to hi-res outputs. The median channel of foreground information for mid-res model is replaced by a 2× upsampled output of low-res model. Similarly, the median channel of foreground information for hi-res model is replaced by a 2× upsampled output of mid-res model. We artificially create
3.4. User-Controlled Manipulation

We have complete control of the 3D space and time information of the event. This 4D control allows us to browse the dynamic events. A user can see behind the occlusions, edit, add, or remove objects. To accomplish this, a user only needs to mark the required portion in a video. Our approach automatically edits the content, i.e., update the background and foreground, via multi-view information — the modified inputs to stacked multi-stage composition results in desirable outputs. Importantly, marking on a single frame in the video is sufficient, as we can effortlessly propagate the mask to the rest of the video (4D control of foreground). We show two examples of user-controlled manipulation in Figure 8. In the first example, we enable a user to see occluded person without changing the view. Our system takes input of mask from the user, and disocclude the blue-shirt person (Figure 8-top-row). We also explore viewing behind a second-order occlusion. Figure 8-middle shows a very challenging example of viewing behind the blue-shirt person. Despite farther away from the camera, we see grass, white table, and a person moving in the output. Finally, we show an example of editing where a user can mark region in a frame of video (Figure 8-bottom-row). Our system generates full video sequence without the masked person.

4. Experiments

Datasets: We collected a large number of highly diverse sequences of unrestricted dynamic events having a wide variety of human motion, human-human interaction, human-object interaction, clothing, both indoor and outdoor, under varying environmental and illumination conditions. These sequences are captured using up to 15 mobile phones. We refer the reader to our project page for all the results. Here we describe a few prominent sequences used for evaluation.

Western Folk Dance: We captured sequences of western folk dance performances. Figure 6 shows the example of one of the sequences from this capture. This sequence is challenging due to flowing dresses worn by performers, self-occlusions, and illumination conditions. Such a sequence paves the path for explicit parametrization of illumination condition in 4D modeling.

Jiu-Jitsu Retreat: Jiu-Jitsu is a type of Brazilian Martial
We compute a peak signal-to-noise ratio of the generated sequences and Figure 2 contrasts our approach with various baselines. Lower it is, better it is. Note that all the above four criteria are computed using held-out camera sequences; and finally (3) we contrast it against the held out sequences; (3). SSIM: We also compute a SSIM in similar manner.; (4). We also use LPIPS \cite{8} to study the quality of generations when a ground-truth is not available for comparisons. Lower it is, better it is. Note that all the above four criteria are computed using held-out camera sequences; and finally (3) we contrast it against the held out sequences; (3).

### Table 1: Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>M.S.E</th>
<th>PSNR</th>
<th>SSIM</th>
<th>LPIPS \cite{55}</th>
<th>FID \cite{25}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N.N</td>
<td>1595.78</td>
<td>15.50</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±294.53</td>
<td>±2.72</td>
<td>±0.086</td>
<td>±0.027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SfM</td>
<td>6494.47</td>
<td>9.66</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.422</td>
<td>184.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Humans</td>
<td>±1721.17</td>
<td>±1.88</td>
<td>±0.079</td>
<td>±0.022</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inst.</td>
<td>2886.11</td>
<td>13.57</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>122.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±1654.34</td>
<td>±3.23</td>
<td>±0.113</td>
<td>±0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>591.92</td>
<td>20.06</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>47.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>±286.86</td>
<td>±3.95</td>
<td>±0.130</td>
<td>±0.025</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Art.** We captured sequences of this sporting event during a summer retreat of the Jiu-Jitsu group. This sequence is an extreme example of unchoreographed dynamic motion from more than 30 people who participated in it. Figure 1 and Figure 7 show examples from this capture.

**Performance Dance:** We captured many short performance dances including Ballet, Tango, and renactments of plays. The illumination, clothing, and motions change drastically in these sequences.

**Sequences from Prior Work:** We also used sequences from Vo et al. \cite{50} to properly compare our results with their 3D reconstruction (SfM+humans). Figure 2 and Figure 3 shows the results of freezing the time and exploring the views for these sequences.

**Evaluation:** We use a mean-squared error (MSE), PSNR, SSIM, and LPIPS \cite{55} to study the quality of virtual camera views created using our approach. MSE: Lower is better. PSNR: Higher is better. SSIM: Higher is better. LPIPS: Lower is better. We use held-out cameras for proper evaluation. We also compute a FID score \cite{25}, lower the better, to study the quality of sequences where we do not have any ground truth (e.g., freezing the time and exploring views). This criterion contrast the distribution of virtual cameras against the physical cameras.

**Baselines:** To the best of our knowledge, there does not exist a work that has demonstrated dense 4D visualization for in-the-wild dynamic events captured from unconstrained multi-view videos. We, however, study the performance of our approach with: (1) a simple nearest neighbor baseline N.N.: We find nearest neighbors of generated sequences using conv-5 features of an ImageNet pre-trained AlexNet model. This feature space helps in finding the images closer in structure.; (2) SfM+humans: We use work from Vo et al. \cite{50, 51} for these results.; and finally (3) we contrast it with median channel of instantaneous image (Inst).

Table 1 contrasts our approach with various baselines on held-out cameras for different sequences. In total, we generated data for 12 minutes long sequences for evaluation against held-out sequences, and another 12 minutes of random movements. We observe significantly better outputs under all the criteria. We provide more qualitative and quantitative ablation studies on our project page.

## 5. Discussion & Future Work

The world is our studio. The ability to do 4D visualization of dynamic events captured from unconstrained multi-view videos opens up avenue for future research to capture events with a combination of drones, robots, and hand-held cameras. The use of self-supervised scene-specific CNNs allows one to browse the 4D space-time of dynamic events captured from unconstrained multi-view videos. We extensively captured various in-the-wild events to study this problem. We show different qualitative and quantitative analysis in our study. A real-time user guided system that allows a user to upload videos and browse will enable a better understanding of 4D visualization systems. The proposed formulation and the captured sequences, however, open a number of opportunities for future research such as incorporating illumination and shadows in 4D spatiotemporal representation, and modeling low-level high frequency details. One drawback of our method is that the video streams are treated as perfectly synchronized. This introduces motion artifacts for fast actions \cite{50}. Future work will incorporate sub-frame modeling between different video streams in depth estimation and view synthesis modules for more appealing 4D slow motion browsing.
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