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Abstract

This paper presents a novel attention-based algorithm for achieving adaptive computation called DACT, which, unlike existing ones, is end-to-end differentiable. Our method can be used in conjunction with many networks; in particular, we study its application to the widely known MAC architecture, obtaining a significant reduction in the number of recurrent steps needed to achieve similar accuracies, therefore improving its performance to computation ratio. Furthermore, we show that by increasing the maximum number of steps used, we surpass the accuracy of even our best non-adaptive MAC in the CLEVR dataset, demonstrating that our approach is able to control the number of steps without significant loss of performance. Additional advantages provided by our approach include considerably improving interpretability by discarding useless steps and providing more insights into the underlying reasoning process. Finally, we present adaptive computation as an equivalent to an ensemble of models, similar to a mixture of expert formulation. Both the code and the configuration files for our experiments are made available to support further research in this area.

1. Introduction

In the past few years, deep learning (DL) techniques have achieved state-of-the-art performance in most, if not all, computer vision tasks [17, 21, 8, 10]. While these methods are powerful in terms of representational capacity, they lack a suitable mechanism to allocate computational resources according to the complexity of each particular inference. In effect, most popular DL based models used in computer vision applications, such as CNN [18], RNN [18], Transformer [24], and MAC [10] have a fixed processing pipeline whose depth is independent of the complexity of the current input/output relation.

The drawbacks associated with the use of a fixed processing pipeline can be illustrated by considering tasks that require a complex sequential inference. This is the case of new Visual Question Answering (VQA) scenarios that have been recently proposed to support research in the area of visual reasoning, such as the CLEVR and GQA datasets [15, 11]. These datasets pose challenging natural language questions about images whose solution requires the use of perceptual abilities, such as recognizing objects or attributes, identifying spatial relations, or implementing high-level capabilities like counting. As an example, Figure 1 shows two instances from the CLEVR dataset [15]. In this case, each visual question entails a different level of complexity to discover the correct answer. Specifically, while the first question involves just the identification of a specific attribute from a specific object, the second question requires the identification and comparative analysis of several attributes from several objects. Despite this significant difference, current visual reasoning models use the same processing pipeline to answer both questions.

From the previous example, it is possible to foresee that computational efficiency, at training and inference time, is a relevant disadvantage of using a fixed processing pipeline. In effect, the usual goal of a DL model is to maximize accuracy, and as a consequence, the model is forced to calibrate its processing structure according to the most com-
plex cases, overestimating the computational load needed to solve easier ones. This lack of computational efficiency not only causes longer processing times, but it also has major implications in terms of the environmental impact of AI technologies, a problem that is gaining considerable attention [23, 22]. As an example, [23] provides an estimation of the carbon footprint of several NLP models, concluding that current AI models are becoming environmentally unfriendly. This stresses the need to provide current DL models with a suitable adaptive mechanism to control the computational effort needed to generate each inference. Furthermore, besides computational efficiency, as we show in this work, the use of an adaptive processing pipeline might also play an important role in improving the overall accuracy of a model and to improve its interpretability.

The previous argument highlights the need for suitable mechanisms to control computational complexity in DL models; however, so far, research in this area has been limited. Soft attention [2] and skip connection mechanisms [9] appear as possible options to improve the efficiency of current DL architectures. These strategies, however, do not allow to save computation, as they still require executing the full processing pipelines to select attention areas or skip connections. Modular approaches are also an option [1, 16]. In this case, from a collection of specialized processing modules, a controller or program generator adaptively selects on the fly a suitable configuration to handle each query. Unfortunately, this strategy does not scale appropriately with the number and diversity of modules needed to solve a task, which are usually limited to a fixed predefined collection. As an alternative, instead of using specialized modules, recent approaches use a general-purpose neural module that is applied sequentially to the input [10, 12]. In this case, each step in the sequence is expected to execute an operation necessary to arrive at the correct answer. The specification of the number of steps needed to answer each question, however, is non-trivial, so these models usually fix this value using a hyper-parameter for the whole model.

In a seminal paper [7], Graves introduces Adaptive Computation Time, ACT, an algorithm to adaptively control computational complexity for Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN). The key idea behind ACT is to add a sigmoidal halting unit to an RNN that, at each inference step, determines if the RNN should stop or continue its processing. As an extra role, the activation values of the halting unit are also used to ensemble the final output of the model as a weighted sum of intermediate states. [7] demonstrates the advantages of ACT by showing its impact on improving the computational efficiency of RNN models on several synthetic tasks. Posterior works have also shown the advantages of ACT when it is applied in real-world scenarios, in the context of language modeling [5, 19] and image understanding [19].

Despite this success, as we show in this work, ACT suffers from instability problems to find a suitable number of steps to stop processing. We believe that this is due to its non-differentiable nature as ACT achieves halting by forcing the sum of the weights used to ensemble the final output be equal one by using a non-differentiable piecewise function.

Motivated by the evident advantages that a mechanism such as ACT might provide to modern module networks, we propose a new approach to adaptive computation based on a novel attention-based formulation. As key insights, this mechanism addresses two main problems of ACT: i) Its non-differentiability and ii) The assumption that the hidden states of recurrent architectures can be linearly combined. Our approach overcomes the non-differentiable operation in ACT by using a halting unit to calculate, at each step, a running approximation of the final output of the model. This approximation leads to a monotonically decreasing probability distribution over all the outputs that implicitly includes a residual estimation of the benefits of continuing the processing steps. This allows us to calculate an upper bound for the future gain provided by subsequent outputs of the model. The result is a fully differentiable model that can be trained using gradient descent whose computation can be reduced by mathematically determining when an interruption of the processing pipeline does not harm its final performance. Finally, in our formulation, we also formalize adaptive computation as a gate-controlled bucket of models that resembles the operation of a mixture of experts model [13].

As a testbed for our formulation, we choose the MAC network [10], an architecture that is gaining considerable attention for solving tasks that require visual reasoning. We highlight, however, that our mathematical formulation is highly general, and that it can also be applied to other learning architectures. Our main results indicate that using the proposed method for adaptive computing achieves better performance than the same architecture with a comparable fixed computational cost. Remarkably, the resulting models learn subjacent patterns in the data, as shown by the strong correlation between the number of steps executed on inferences and the template used to generate it, correlations which we then exploit to improve the interpretability of the model. All this stands in stark contrast to the results obtained from the use of ACT, which fails to improve results or even meaningfully adapt the number of steps.

In summary, the main contributions of this work are: (i) A novel formulation of an adaptive computational mechanism that is fully differentiable and it can be incorporated to current DL based models, such as MAC network; (ii) A comparative analysis of the performance of our proposed method and ACT, demonstrating the superior performance of the first; (iii) An extensive experimental evaluation demonstrating that the use of our adaptive computa-
tional mechanism on top of the MAC network can increase not only computational efficiency but also performance and interpretability of the resulting model.

2. Related work

Recent works have pointed out the need to improve the computational efficiency of DL models [23, 22]. As an example, [23] shows a surprising estimation related to the high carbon footprint of current DL techniques. Similarly, [22] argues about the relevance of including computational efficiency as an evaluation criterion for research and applications related to artificial intelligence. In spite of this increasing need, research to improve computational efficiency of DL models is still limited.

In terms of deep convolutional models, there have been works that attempt to control the depth of these models, however, the main focus has been on improving accuracy but not computational efficiency. As an example, approaches such as skip connections [9] still require to compute a full model. Compact CNN models have also been explored in the context of visual recognition [26, 25, 4]. As an example, sparsity constraints have been used to control the total number of active parameters in a network [4]. This is an effective strategy to reduce the computational complexity of a model, however, it consists of a global constraint that does not adapt dynamically to each input. Attention mechanisms appear as an attractive option to focus computation in relevant information areas of the input, however, current attention techniques, such as soft-attention [2] or self-attention [24], focus also on performance, requiring to execute the full processing pipeline.

In the context of recurrent networks, Graves proposes ACT [7], an algorithm designed to provide a RNN with a mechanism to dynamically adapt computational complexity. Specifically, ACT attempts to dynamically allocate the proper amount of computation for each particular input sample. The main challenge is that the complexity of each input is unknown before attempting to build a suitable output. ACT handles this issue by adding a halting unit whose activation determines if the RNN should stop or continue with another processing step. These activation values are then used to construct the models final output, as a weighted sum of intermediate states of all previous recurrent steps. This is performed through a series of non-differentiable operations mainly used to enforce a hard limit so that no subsequent iteration changes the model output. As we show in this work, this results in noisy gradients that do not handle properly the information about the number of processing steps being used.

In spite of its limitations, ACT has been applied to multiple tasks beyond the synthetic cases reported in the original work [7]. It has been used to improve results on the LAMBADA language modeling dataset using a Universal Transformer architecture [5], achieving a new state-of-the-art performance. Also, on the challenging task of character level language modeling, it has been used to dynamically increase the attention span of a Transformer model, achieving state-of-the-art performance on the text8 and enwiki8 datasets. Furthermore, on the natural language reasoning corpus SNLI dataset, it has been reported to boost performance and interpretability [19]. In terms of visual recognition, [6] proposes a DL architecture based on residual networks that uses ACT to dynamically choose the number of executed layers for different pre-defined regions in the input image. [6] applies this strategy to the case of visual classification, reporting improving performance in terms of computational efficiency and model interpretability.

Our approach to adaptive computation has substantial differences with respect to ACT. ACT achieves halting by forcing that the weights used to combine each step’s output into the final answer sum exactly one. To attain this behavior a non-differentiable piecewise function is used, namely: if the sum of the weights is more than one, then change the last weight so that the sum is exactly one. In contrast, our approach maintains the full gradient by only halting during evaluation (and not during training). The weights used to combine all the step output’s are described by a monotonically decreasing probability distribution that implicitly includes future steps yet to be computed. The result is a fully differentiable model for training with gradient descent whose computation can be reduced during inference by mathematically determining when the interruption cannot change the output.

In terms of modular networks, existing approaches can be divided into those that combine multiple specialized modules [1, 16], and those that use a single general purpose module [10, 12]. In the case of specialized modules, the generation of the sequences required costly supervision or elaborate reinforcement learning training schemes. In the case of a general purpose module, the selection of the module to execute is trivial (only one), however, the number of steps to apply this module cannot be determined for each sample, instead its value is fixed as a hyper-parameter. In this work, we build upon these networks by replacing this fixed hyper-parameter by an adaptive approach to select the horizon of the computational pipeline.

3. Differentiable Adaptive Computation Time

In this section, we present our method to implement a Differentiable Adaptive Computation Time strategy (DACT). Our formulation can be applied to any model or ensemble that can be decomposed as a series of modules or submodels $m_n$, $n \in [1, \ldots, N]$ that can be ordered by complexity. For example, recurrent networks are composed by iterative steps, CNNs by residual blocks, and ensembles by smaller models. We refer to the composition as our final
model or ensemble $M$, and to its output as $Y$.

In the context of VQA, $m_n$ receives as an input question $Q$ and image $I$, as well as any relevant representation from a previous submodel $m_r$, $r < n$. Each submodel $m_i$ should produce its own prediction $y_n$ about the correct answer to $Q$. Additionally, each submodel $m_n$ should also produce a sigmoidal output $h_n \in [0, 1]$ that represents how uncertain is $m_n$ about the correctness of its output $y_n$, where we define the initial value $h_0 = 1$.

The use of scalars $h_n$ is the main mechanism to provide $M$ with an adaptive computation mechanism. The key idea is to restrict models with higher index $s > n$ from altering the final answer of $M$ once the current uncertainty about the correct answer is below a target level. With this goal in mind, let define:

$$p_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n} h_i = h_n p_{n-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The value of $p_n$ can be interpreted as the probability that a subsequent submodel $m_s$, $s > n$ might change the value of the final answer $Y$ of the ensemble $M$. Consequently, we define the initial value $p_0 = 1$.

According to the previous formulation, $h_n$ represents the uncertainty of submodel $m_n$, while $p_n$ represents the uncertainty of the full ensemble considering the first $n$ models. From Eq. (1), it is easy to see that the values of $p_n$ are monotonically decreasing with respect to index $n$. Also, notice that a small value of $h_n$ forces the future values of $p_n$ to be close to 0.

We still need to describe how to combine all intermediate outputs $y_n$ ($n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$) to form $Y$. We achieve this by defining auxiliary accumulator variables $a_n$ which contain the ensemble’s answer up to step $n$. By using Eq. 1, we can construct $a_n$ in such a manner that for some step $n$ with a low associated $p_n$ then $a_n \approx Y$:

$$a_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ y_n p_{n-1} + a_{n-1} (1 - p_{n-1}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

It follows from this definition that $Y$ can always be rewritten as a weighted sum of intermediate outputs $y_n$. Additionally, the sum of the weights is always equal to 1, thus describing a valid probability distribution over the intermediate outputs $y_n$. Both proofs are included in supplementary material.

Therefore, by describing what is effectively a pair-wise linear interpolation, we obtain a method for implicitly attending the outputs of each model in the ensemble, including succeeding ones. In this manner, what we propose is essentially a mixture of experts type ensemble [14] where we remove the controller and replace the gating model for the implicit distribution described above. As a main result, by adding probabilities instead of hidden values as in ACT, we remove the assumption of ACT that the hidden states of the underlying RNN are approximately linear.

No restriction is placed on whether two models in the ensemble can communicate so long as the origin of the exchange is always before in the ordered model sequence as is always the case with recurrent architectures.

### 3.1. Penalizing complexity

Following the principle of Ockham’s razor, we wish to reduce complexity when it is not needed by choosing simpler models in lieu of more complex ones when both provide similar results. To achieve this, we define the ponder cost $\rho$ as:

$$\rho = \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

By adding the ponder cost to the loss function $L$ we encourage the network to minimize the contribution of more complex models. This is used in the next section 3.2 to reduce computation.

$$\tilde{L}(x, y) = L(x, y) + \tau \rho(x)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\tau$ is the time penalty, a hyper-parameter used to moderate the trade-off between complexity and error.
3.2. Reducing computation time

The previous formulation allows us to train a model incorporating the DACT methodology. In other words, we modify the training process of the model to allow simpler models to cap the maximum impact of all subsequent ones (equations 1 and 2). As a consequence, we can avoid running more complex models when they cannot significantly change the final output $Y$. In this Section, we show that at test time we can use a halting criterion to ensure that the subsequent steps of the sequence do not change the current prediction.

The choice of the criteria for halting (and therefore reducing computation) depends greatly on the task and how close of an approximation is required. In this work, our goal is to achieve the same top-1 accuracy with and without using DACT. This is equivalent to establish a halting criterion such that the class with highest probability in $a_n$, i.e. using $n$ sub-models, will be the same as that in $Y$.

We know that $y_n$ (the intermediate output of the $n$th classification model) is restricted to $0 \leq y_n \leq 1$ as a result of using either Softmax or Sigmoid functions. Since the maximum change of the accumulated answer $a_n$ in the remaining $d = N - n$ iterations is limited by $p_n$, we can calculate the maximum difference between the predicted probabilities for the top most class and the runner-up. Consequently, we can achieve reduced computation by halting once this difference is insurmountable.

Without loss of generality consider the case where, for some step $n$, the class with the highest probability in the accumulated answer $a_n$, corresponds to class $c^*$ with probability $P(c^*, n)$, and the runner-up (second best) class is $c'^u$ with probability $P(c'^u, n)$. The minimum value for the probability of the class $c^*$ after the $d$ remaining steps is obtained when all the future steps assign a minimum probability (0) to this class. We can use this result to obtain a lower bound to the probability:

$$\min(c^*, N) \geq P(c^*, n) \prod_{i=n}^{n+d-1} (1 - p_i) \quad (5)$$

Leveraging that $p_n \geq p_{n'}$ (for any $n'$ greater than $n$) in conjunction with Eq. 2, we can establish that the minimum value for the class at $c^*$ after another $d$ steps is always:

$$\min(c^*, N) \geq P(c^*, n)(1 - p_n)^d \quad (6)$$

Likewise, the maximum value that the probability for the runner-up class $c'^u$ can take after all unused $d$ steps ($\max(c'^u, N)$) is achieved when the maximum probability (1) has been assigned to this class at every remaining step. Replacing this value into Eq. 2 yields an upper bound to the value that the probability for the class $c'^u$ can take:

$$\max(c'^u, N) \leq P(c'^u, n) \prod_{i=n}^{n+d-1} (1 - p_i) + \sum_{i=n}^{n+d-1} p_i \prod_{j=i+1}^{n+d-1} (1 - p_j) \quad (7)$$

Then, since $0 \leq p_n \leq 1$ and $p_n \geq p_{n'}$ ($\forall n' \geq n$), we obtain that the maximum value for the class $c'^u$ is:

$$\max(c'^u, N) \leq P(c'^u, n) + p_n d \quad (8)$$

We say that the difference between the top class and the runner up is insurmountable once we prove that $\min(c^*, N) \geq \max(c'^u, N)$, and thus we can cut computation since the remaining steps cannot change the final answer of the model. Mathematically, this means the halting condition is achieved when:

$$P(c^*, n)(1 - p_n)^d \geq P(c'^u, n) + p_n d$$

which is the criterion used in this work to stop processing.

4. Experiments

The MAC network is a state-of-the-art recurrent architecture that decomposes problems into reasoning steps. This network iterates for a fixed number of times (usually 12) where each step first attends the question, then the image, and finally, it updates an internal memory representation. When applied to the CLEVR [15] dataset, the MAC sets state-of-the-art performance with 98.9% accuracy.

We start from a PyTorch [20] port of MAC available online, which we trained without self-attention to achieve a maximum accuracy score of 98.6% on CLEVR overall. To help convergence and speed up training, we first pre-train a variant of the model on CLEVR without gating or self-attention for ten epochs (with all hyper-parameters set to their defaults). We then reset all optimizers and train three main variants starting from the saved weights. First, we add the gate to the MAC, slightly improving the results. Second, we train several ACT versions using different ponder costs. Finally, we do the same with DACT. All variants are trained for another additional 30 epochs, saving the weights with the highest associated accuracy on the validation set.

As one of the main goals of adaptive computation is to maximize performance at lower computational cost, we evaluate each model’s accuracy with respect to the average number of steps taken to reach the best score. As illustrated in Figure 4, models resulting from the application of DACT

2This ACT variant was cherry-picked as it achieved the highest accuracy while also doing the maximum amount of steps observed for ACT.

3https://github.com/ceyzaquiere/mac-network-pytorch
Figure 3. Questions in CLEVR are synthetically generated following templates, for example, by replacing $<C>$ and $<M>$ with a color and material in the template “How many $<C> <M>$ things are there?”. Accordingly, adding adaptability to the model does not increase performance but rather, similar complexity to solve. The figure shows the average amount of computation used by three models for each question family, sorted by the average number of steps used by the respective model. The first image (a) illustrates how ACT fails to learn how to answer the most straightforward questions in less than three steps, or the hardest in more than five. Below it, b) shows the results for a variant of DACT that averages approximately the same number of steps but uses more of the available spectrum, significantly improving model performance. The last image shows a variant of DACT, which uses 50% more reasoning steps on average and thus achieves even better performance.

Figure 4. Scatterplot showing the relationships between computation (measured in average steps, horizontal), and precision (measured in accuracy, vertical) for each model, where every experiment was repeated three times. The results obtained with DACT are shown in color, with individual runs represented as small circles while the averages for each penalty are shown as larger ones. The averaged results for ACT are shown as gray Xes. No color is used as the value for the ponder cost did not impact the number of steps. The diamonds show the average accuracy obtained by MAC at different network lengths, while the dotted line represents the accuracy of the best performing 12 step MAC.

Figure 5. Learning curves of DACT enabled MACs with different ponder costs. For reference, we include the maximum accuracy achieved by any non-adaptive MAC as a dotted line. The black marks show the average accuracy obtained by this model when restricted to 12, 9, 5, 3, and 2 steps from top to bottom, respectively. Recall that these models are first pre-trained for ten epochs without any gating or adaptive algorithms.

to MAC substantially outperform non-adaptive versions of MAC with similar computation cost in the CLEVR dataset (achieved by training a MAC with the number of steps fixed to the closest integer). Additionally, in our experiments, DACT trained with a ponder cost of $\lambda = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ repeatedly obtains an accuracy comparable to the best achieved by any MAC and, on average, surpasses all tested alternatives. This apparent contradiction (obtaining better results with less computation) can be explained by consider-
ing that DACT-augmented-MACs have the same representational capacity as regular MACs, but can choose to reduce computation when needed.

The same results also show that, when provided with sufficient resources, MAC increases its performance reducing its gap with respect to DACT versions. This tendency, however, does not hold beyond 12 iterations, as shown also in [10]. We train a 15 step MAC with gating using the same training scheme, and the results are worse than those from its 12 step counterpart, revealing the inadequacy of the gating mechanism. In contrast, DACT-enabled-MACs with the maximum amount of steps set to 15 can be fine-tuned from existing 12 step models to obtain the best results of any model tested at 98.72% accuracy. In addition to improving performance, these results prove that using our algorithm on MACs makes them more robust to increase the value of the maximum number of steps.

On the other hand, models trained with the existing algorithm (ACT) are unsuccessful in surpassing the accuracy of computationally equivalent MACs. In particular, DACT responds as expected to variations in the ponder cost, adapting its computation accordingly, however, ACT proves to be insensitive to the ponder cost. As an example, a variant of ACT without ponder cost ($\lambda = 0.0$) performs 3.2 steps on average and obtains an accuracy of 95.8%.

We also evaluate how well the model adapts to variations in question complexity, since the rationale behind adapting the number of steps is to enable the models to allocate more computation to more complex questions. As expected, DACT iterates fewer times for easy questions and more when the input question is more complex, improving model performance at no additional computational cost. As an example, questions are clustered by family type which translates to groups that require similar step sequences to solve and therefore are of similar complexity (the figure is further explained in supplementary material, where we include examples for each family). This figure shows a remarkable correlation between computation and question complexity, despite not including any type of supervision about these factors.

Finally, in order to evaluate the generality of the suggested approach to real data, we evaluate the combined DACT-MAC architecture on the more diverse images and questions in the GQA dataset [11]. We start by again pretraining a non-gated MAC (4 steps, 5 epochs) and then fine-tuning ACT, DACT and gated MAC variants for another 15 epochs. The results shown in Table 1 of the supplementary material show that DACT is effective in reducing the number of steps needed while maintaining most of the performance of the architecture that always iterates the maximum number of times (four steps). However, we found in our experiments that for GQA the chosen architecture (MAC) doesn’t benefit from iterating more than two steps, and even then the advantage gained over its non-recurrent single-step version is marginal. Accordingly, adding adaptability to the model does not increase accuracy but rather results in a small but measurable reduction in performance.

Regardless of the above, the experimental results highlight the advantages of our algorithm with respect to ACT, showing that DACT once again obtains better results for the same number of steps. Additionally, while our method continues to adapt computation in a coherent manner to the time penalties, ACT remains mostly irresponsible to the values these take. Furthermore, the high correlations between computation and question type are also present for the GQA dataset as Figure 2 of the supplementary material shows, revealing once more that DACT learnt to meaningfully adapt complexity without supervision.

5. Discussion

As in previous works [16, 10], we also analyze the attention maps provided by the model. In particular, we examine both the linguistic and visual attentions generated at each step. Also, we raise the question of whether the proposed architecture can indeed improve interpretability. Figure 7 shows examples of the attention maps generated by the 12
step MAC. Since the MAC architecture only considers the last state in memory for the final classification, the final controls tend to be significant. Indeed, our test indicates that the last few execution steps generate similar attention maps to those produced by our adaptive variant. However, as Figure 3 shows, very few queries need all 12 steps of computation, so most of the steps execute either, repetitions of other operations, or are just padding (e.g. attending punctuation).

The above stands in contrast to our DACT enabled variant, which in practice provides a free lunch by maintaining the performance while increasing interpretability without (in the case of MAC) adding additional parameters. We achieve this by adding the differentiable approximation to the number of steps taken, the ponder cost (Eq. 3), to the loss function. Consequently, since the model is coerced into only using significant steps, we find that those taken are more likely to be semantically meaningful.

In addition, the formation of the final output of the model from the sub-outputs enables us to check what the model would answer at each timestep. When analyzed in conjunction with the halting probabilities both yield valuable insights on the internal representation of the model. For instance, in Figure 6, the first step has minimal information from the question and image and consequently is very uncertain of the given answer. However, this limited information is enough for the model to identify that the question involves the color of some object, and therefore the answer is the color of the only object it has seen. We expect the increased transparency of the model will assist future studies on explainability and the detection of biases in datasets.

The difference in steps for distinct queries allows us to obtain an estimation of the complexity of each encoded question as shown in 3. These can then be combined to obtain an estimator for subsets of the dataset. Estimators such as the average complexities of questions can be useful for curriculum learning [3], providing a novel way of separating data that does not require supervision.

Finally, due to its non-differentiable inclusion of the number of steps used, ACT effectively provides the same penalty to each step. On the other hand, DACT is not limited in this respect, since probabilities $p_n$ are entirely differentiable. This allows for the inclusion of functions of the amount of steps in the loss, opening another line for future work. We expect that the inclusion of non-linear functions of the ponder cost (such as the square of its value) can also be a interesting avenue for future research.

### 6. Conclusion

This paper introduces a new algorithm for adaptive computation called DACT, which, unlike to existing ones, is end-to-end differentiable. By combining our method with the MAC architecture, we manage to significantly improve its performance to computation ratio by reducing the number of recurrent steps needed to achieve a certain accuracy threshold. Furthermore, we show that the inclusion of DACT improves the robustness of the resulting model to an increase in the number of processing steps, improving performance with respect to previous state-of-the-art results in CLEVR. Additionally, our results also show that DACT improves interpretability by providing additional insights into the internal operation of the MAC architecture, showing how its prediction and uncertainty change at the different steps of the estimation. As future work, we believe that our formulation of adaptive computation as an ensemble of models can motivate further research in this area.
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