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Abstract

Text-based person search has drawn increasing attention due to its wide applications in video surveillance. However, most of the existing models depend heavily on paired image-text data, which is very expensive to acquire. Moreover, they always face huge performance drop when directly exploiting them to new domains. To overcome this problem, we make the first attempt to adapt the model to new target domains in the absence of pairwise labels, which combines the challenges from both cross-modal (text-based) person search and cross-domain person search. Specially, we propose a moment alignment network (MAN) to solve the cross-modal cross-domain person search task in this paper. The idea is to learn three effective moment alignments including domain alignment (DA), cross-modal alignment (CA) and exemplar alignment (EA), which together can learn domain-invariant and semantic aligned cross-modal representations to improve model generalization. Extensive experiments are conducted on CUHK Person Description dataset (CUHK-PEDES) and Richly Annotated Pedestrian dataset (RAP). Experimental results show that our proposed model achieves the state-of-the-art performances on five transfer tasks.

1. Introduction

Person search is a fundamental task in video surveillance and has gained great attention in recent years due to its wide applications. Existing methods of person search mainly focus on image-based person search also called person re-identification (Person Re-ID) [36], which aims to predict whether two images from different cameras belong to the same person. Great successes have been achieved with the development of deep neural networks [9].

However, most of the person Re-ID models are trained in a supervised learning manner based on manually labeled pairwise datasets, which are expensive or impossible to collect in practice. This limits the applications where only unlabeled data is available. A feasible method is to transfer the learned models in labeled source domain to a new unlabeled target domain, which is called cross-domain person Re-ID [27] as shown in Fig. 1 (b). Nevertheless, due to the dataset bias, it is still difficult to generalize the model trained on labeled dataset to an unlabeled dataset. As a result, how to relieve the domain shift becomes increasingly important. To address this issue, several attempts have been proposed recently. They aim to learn a discriminative representation for target set with labeled source dataset and unlabeled target dataset. A common practice [27, 34] is to transform the source image into target domain style and thus utilizes the supervised learning.

Moreover, person Re-ID needs at least one image of
the queried person which in many cases is very difficult to obtain. Since textual descriptions are more accessible, text-based person search [15] can solve query person image missing problem, which is also a cross-modal task as shown in Fig. 1 (c). Text-based person search aims to retrieve the corresponding person images to a textual description from a large-scale person database, which faces the challenges of semantic gap between image and text. Similar to image-based person search, text-based person search still has the problem of lacking labeled pairwise data and needs domain adaptation from labeled source domain to unlabeled target domain. Furthermore, text-based person search also faces the domain shifts in images between different datasets on account of different collecting surveillance scenarios.

In this paper, we propose a new task of cross-modal cross-domain text-based person image search as shown in Fig. 1 (d), which combines the challenges from both cross-domain image-based person search and cross-modal text-based person image search. To the best of our knowledge, there is no such task proposed in previous work. Furthermore, we propose a moment alignment network (MAN) for this task, which contains three alignment modules to reduce the domain discrepancy in a complementary way: domain alignment (DA), cross-modal alignment (CA) and exemplar alignment (EA). Fig. 2 shows the architecture of MAN.

Specifically, we first learn four classifiers for source/target image/text classification. Considering the alignment and preserved semantic structure between the class-level mean of data distribution and classifier parameter introduced in [20], we take classifier parameter of a category as the mean of the data distribution of that category, also called class mean. Through measuring the similarity between sample representation in target domain and class means (classifier parameters) in source domain, we can compute pseudo labels for unlabeled target data, which are used as supervision information of the target classifiers. Particularly, we call the class means (classifier parameters) and their variance as class moments which will be used for alignments.

Next, we compute three alignments. First, to directly alleviate the domain discrepancy, we propose a domain alignment by minimizing the distance of the class moments between source and target domains. Based on the domain alignment strategy, the learned representations are constrained to be invariant across domains. Second, due to the semantic gap between person image and textual description, we propose a cross-modal alignment by minimizing the distance of the class moments between image and text in target domain. Note that the cross-modal alignment in source domain is implemented by minimizing the ranking loss function [3]. Third, considering that the representations in target domain learned from pseudo labels may be not optimally discriminative, we propose an exemplar alignment to further enhance the clustering characteristics of representations in target domain by maximizing the probability of a target exemplar belonging to its class. By modelling these three alignments jointly, we can learn domain-invariant and semantic aligned cross-modal representations.

Our proposed method is evaluated on CUHK Person Description dataset (CUHK-PEDES) [15] and Richly Annotated Pedestrian dataset (RAP) [12], and achieves the state-of-the-art results on five transfer tasks.

In summary, the main contributions are three-fold:

(1) We make the first attempt to conduct domain adaptive text-based person search, which is a challenging cross-modal cross-domain task. (2) We propose a novel cross-modal cross-domain moment alignment network, where domain alignment, cross-modal alignment, and exemplar alignment are jointly modeled to reduce the domain discrepancy and semantic gap in a complementary way. (3) The experimental results indicate that our MAN achieves the best performances. And extensive ablation studies demonstrate the effectiveness of each component in the MAN for domain adaptive text-based person search.

2. Related Work

In this section, we introduce the related works, including text-based person search, cross-domain person Re-ID and unsupervised domain adaptation.

2.1. Text-Based Person Search

Li et al. [15] propose the task of text-based person search and further employ a CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) [10]-LSTM (Long Short-Term Memory) [7] network with gated neural attention for this task. To exploit the person identification, Li et al. [14] propose an identity-aware two-stage network. PWM+ATH [1] utilizes a patch-word matching model to exploit the local similarity. Different from the above methods which all aim to learn the correspondence between image and text by attention mechanism, Dual Path [33] employs an identification loss for instance-level image-text matching. CMPM+CMPC [31] devises a cross-modal projection matching (CMPM) loss and a cross-modal projection classification (CMPC) loss for learning discriminative image-text embeddings. TIMAM [21] employs an adversarial discriminator that aims to identify whether the input is originating from the visual or textual modality to learn discriminative modality-invariant embeddings. In contrast to them, we learn the unsupervised domain adaptive text-based person search, which does not need paired image-text data in target domain.

2.2. Cross-Domain Person Re-ID

Cross-domain person Re-ID is proposed due to the expensive or impossible identity labeling, which aims to learn a generalized retrieval model by labeled source dataset and
Figure 2. The architecture of our proposed cross-modal cross-domain moment alignment network (MAN). A visual CNN and a bidirectional LSTM are utilized to encode the image and text, respectively. The classifier parameter is utilized to represent the class-level mean of the data distribution, i.e., class mean. Note that the class means and their variance are called as class moments. Subsequently, three moment alignments including domain alignment, cross-modal alignment, and exemplar alignment are proposed to relieve the domain shift. Moreover, we calculate a pseudo label for each target sample to guide the learning of target classifiers. Best viewed in color.
Note that the feature extraction networks are shared between source and target domains. Following it, four classifiers are learned for source/target image/text classification.

Due to the shift in data distributions across different domains, the performance drops a lot when directly exploiting the model trained on source dataset to target dataset. To measure the data distribution, an optional approach in UDA is to compute the prototypes [19] based on the samples in a mini-batch at each iteration, which results in the fact that the maximum number of classes the model can support is less than the batch size. Therefore, this approach cannot be applied to the cross-domain text-based person search proposed in this paper. Generally, there are more classes in person search than those in previous UDA tasks, which results in the fact that the samples in a mini-batch cannot contain all the classes. The categorical information in each mini-batch is insufficient and may have huge bias between the estimated distribution and the true distribution. Considering that there exists an alignment and preserved semantic structure between the class-level mean of data distribution and the classifier parameter, we propose to utilize the classifier parameter learned on source and target datasets to approximately represent the mean of data distribution in each class (also called class mean), which can eliminate the mini-batch constraints and obtain up-to-date class representations.

\[
\mu^s_{I_k} = \mu^s_{T_k}, \mu^t_{I_k} = \mu^t_{T_k},
\]

\[
\mu^t_{I_k} = p^t_{I_k}, \mu^t_{T_k} = p^t_{T_k},
\]

where \(\mu^s_{I_k}\) and \(p^t_{I_k}\) denote the class mean and classifier parameter of the \(k\)-th class in visual source domain, respectively. Based on class means, we can calculate the variances between them:

\[
\sigma^s_{I} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} (\mu^s_{I_k} - \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} \mu^s_{I_k})^2,
\]

\[
\sigma^t_{I} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} (\mu^t_{I_k} - \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} \mu^t_{I_k})^2,
\]

\[
\sigma^t_{T} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} (\mu^t_{T_k} - \frac{1}{C} \sum_{k=1}^{C} \mu^t_{T_k})^2,
\]

where \(C\) is the number of classes in source domain. The \(\sigma^s_{I}\) is not utilized because there is no domain shift between source texts and target texts. In addition, the cross-modal alignment in source domain is implemented by minimizing the ranking loss. Particularly, the class means (classifier parameters) and their variances are called class moments used in alignment. The mean between class means is not utilized since we find that it cannot improve the performance.

For unlabeled target samples, we utilize the self-labeling [11], where the pseudo label is assigned for target sample by class means in source domain:

\[
y^s_{I_k} = \frac{\exp(\cos(f^s(I^t), \mu^s_{I_k}))}{\sum_{r=1}^{C} \exp(\cos(f^s(I^t), \mu^s_{I_r}))},
\]

\[
y^t_{T_k} = \frac{\exp(\cos(f^t(T^t), \mu^t_{T_k}))}{\sum_{r=1}^{C} \exp(\cos(f^t(T^t), \mu^t_{T_r}))},
\]

where \(y^I_{I_k}\) is the \(k\)-th entry of pseudo label for target image \(I^t\), \(\cos\) means the cosine similarity, and \(f\) indicates the activation of the final fully-connected layer (FC in Fig. 2). Note that the learned pseudo label is a soft label since different classes across domains, and is further used as supervision information of the target classifiers.

### 3.3. Domain Alignment

In domain adaptive text-based person search, due to the fact that the vocabularies of different datasets differ little from each other, the domain shift is mainly caused by different person appearance distributions across domains. To eliminate the image distribution variations, we propose a domain alignment network by relieving the divergence of domain statistics. The basic idea is that the moments calculated on different domains are the same if the distributions of source and target domains are identical. Different from modelling the global distribution of domain representations where crucial class-level information may be lost, we enforce the class-level domain alignment to ensure the samples with similar visual features being mapped nearby in the feature space. In other words, a fine-grained alignment of domain distributions is performed on class level.

Based on the analysis above, we propose a cross-domain consistent loss:

\[
L_{CDC} = \sum_{k=1}^{C} d(\mu^s_{I_k}, \mu^t_{I_k}) + \gamma_1 d(\sigma^s_{I}, \sigma^t_{I}),
\]

where \(d(\ldots)\) indicates the distance between two class moments and \(\gamma\) is a hyperparameter to control the importance of each distance. We use the squared Euclidean distance in our experiments:

\[
d(x_1, x_2) = \|x_1 - x_2\|^2.
\]

The justification is that the cluster mean yields optimal cluster representatives when a Bregman divergence (e.g. squared Euclidean distance and Mahalanobis distance) is used [23].

Through explicitly restricting the distance between domain statistics, the feature extractor is guided to learn domain-invariant representations.

### 3.4. Cross-Modal Alignment

The domain alignment only restricts the cross-domain visual statistics but ignores the latent semantic alignmen-
The exemplar consistent loss is defined as:

\[ L_{CMC} = \sum_{k=1}^{C} d(\mu_{I_k}, \mu_{T_k}) + \gamma_2 d(\sigma^I, \sigma^T). \]  

(10)

Thus the learned representations can be independent from their data modalities.

### 3.5. Exemplar Alignment

In target domain, due to the lack of ground truth labels, the learned representations may not be optimally discriminative. Considering the fact that a target exemplar belongs to one of the target classes, we propose an exemplar alignment module by enforcing each exemplar to be close to its nearest class means to enhance the clustering characteristics of representations. We first calculate the cosine similarities between \( f(I^t) \) and target class means. Then the probability that \( I^t \) belongs to class \( k \) is calculated as follows:

\[ q(k|I^t) = \frac{\exp(\cos(f(I^t), \mu_{I_k}))}{\sum_{r=1}^{C} \exp(\cos(f(I^t), \mu_{I_r}))}. \]  

(11)

The exemplar consistent loss is defined as:

\[ L_{EC} = -\log(\max(q(k|I^t)))_{k=1}^{C}, \]  

(12)

which aims to maximize the probability of the class that target exemplar belongs to. In this way, we can further improve the robustness of our model.

### 3.6. Model training and testing

For labeled source dataset, ranking loss with the hardest negative samples \([3]\) is utilized to ensure the cross-modal alignment by making positive pair being closer than the hardest negative pair:

\[ L_r(I^s, T^s) = \max(\alpha - S(I^s, T^s) + S(I^s, T^h), 0) + \max(\alpha - S(I^s, T^s) + S(I^h, T^s), 0), \]  

(13)

where \( T^h \) is the hardest text sample in a mini-batch for the source image \( I^s \). \( S \) denotes the similarity score between image and text, i.e., cosine score, and \( \alpha \) is a margin.

Besides ranking loss, the identification loss is also adopted for the identity-level matching. The image and text identification losses \( L_{I^s} \) and \( L_{T^s} \) are defined as follows:

\[ L_{I^s} = -\frac{1}{n^s_I} \sum_{i=1}^{n^s_I} y^s_i \log(softmax(W_{id}g(I^s_i))), \]  

(14)

\[ L_{T^s} = -\frac{1}{n^s_T} \sum_{i=1}^{n^s_T} y^s_i \log(softmax(W_{id}g(T^s_i))), \]  

(15)

where \( n^s_I \) is the number of source images in a training batch, \( g \) denotes the activation of classifier, and \( W_{id} \) is the transformation matrix to categorize the visual representations.

Then the total source loss is defined as:

\[ L_S = L_r + \beta(L_{I^s} + L_{T^s}), \]  

(16)

where \( \beta \) aims to control the relative importance of each loss function.

By combining the losses defined above, the final objective of our MAN is formulated as:

\[ L = L_S + \lambda_1 L_{CDC} + \lambda_2 L_{CMC} + \lambda_3 L_{EC}. \]  

(17)

Based on this objective, we can obtain two deep embedding networks (CNN and bi-LSTM), where the learned cross-modal representations are domain-invariant and semantic aligned.

The training procedure is split into two stages. At the first stage, only the \( L_S \) is adopted and the model is trained in source domain. At the second stage, we utilize the final objective \( L \) to train our model, where both source data and target data are used. This procedure not only ensures the accuracy in source domain, but also transfers the knowledge learned in source domain to target domain.

During testing, we calculate the visual and textual representations via CNN and bi-LSTM, respectively. Then the similarity scores between them are ranked to retrieve the corresponding person images based on the query text.

### 4. Experiments

We conduct extensive evaluations of MAN on CUHK-PEDES dataset \([15]\) and RAP dataset \([12]\). Performance comparisons with the state-of-the-art methods as well as the ablation studies are presented.

The CUHK-PEDES dataset \([15]\) is currently the only dataset for text-based person search, where the images are collected from five different existing person re-identification datasets, CUHK03 \([17]\), Market-1501 \([32]\), SSM \([28]\), VIPER \([5]\), and CUHK01 \([16]\). Since these five datasets are collected from different surveillance scenarios, there are domain shifts between them.

To further verify the effectiveness of our MAN, we conduct the experiments on RAP dataset \([12]\), which is collected from 25 cameras in an indoor shopping mall. For distant cameras, due to the large changes of viewpoints, backgrounds, cloth appearances and lighting conditions, there
and consider four transfer tasks: S→M (Market-1501), S→V (VIPER) and S→C01 (CUHK01). S→C03 (CUHK03), S→M (Market-1501), S→V (VIPER) and S→C01 (CUHK01).

**RAP.** We choose two sets of images from different cameras to perform domain adaptation, where images from 10 cameras (i.e., CAM31, CAM30, CAM29, CAM28, CAM27, CAM25, CAM22, CAM21, CAM20, and CAM19) are regarded as the source dataset (RAP-1) and images from other 5 cameras (i.e., CAM01, CAM06, CAM09, CAM10 and CAM11) are regarded as the target dataset (RAP-2). As a result, there are 12,985 and 3,084 images in RAP-1 and RAP-2, respectively. To perform text-based person search, we choose 104 attributes out of 152 attributes query (AQ) retrieves the corresponding person images based on the attributes of the person. Adv-attReID is a traditional attributed-based person search method with high performance, which imposes an attribute-guided attention mechanism for images and a semantic consistent adversary strategy for attributes. (3) The labeled source data and unlabeled target data are both utilized to train the model, e.g., SPGAN [2], ADDA [24] and ECN [35]. SPGAN translates the labeled images from source domain to target domain in an unsupervised manner, and then trains Re-ID model with the translated images by supervised method. ADDA designs a domain classifier based on adversarial learning objectives. ECN investigates into the intra-domain invariance of the target domain and proposes three types of invariance, i.e., exemplar-invariance, camera-invariance and neighborhood-invariance.

It is noteworthy that since we are probably the first to conduct domain adaptive text-based person search, there is no method reporting the performance on CUHK-PEDES and RAP. As a result, we perform the experiments based

**4.2. Implementation Details**

We resize the input image to 384 × 128 and utilize ResNet-50 [6] to encode it. For the textual representation, we build a vocabulary by collecting all the words in sentences. Then the word is embedded to a 300-dimensional vector and entered into a 1024-dimensional bi-LSTM. In addition, the dimension of feature space is set to 1024.

At the first training stage, we first fix ResNet-50 and train the other parts of the model with learning rate lr = 1e−3. Then we train the whole model with learning rate lr = 2e−4. At the second training stage, we directly train the whole model with learning rate 2e−4. The Adam optimizer [8] is employed for optimization. The hyperparameters γ, β and λ are empirically set to 1. Moreover, the batch size for each domain and margin are set to 128 and 0.2, respectively.

Specially, for the S→C03 and RAP-1→RAP-2 transfer tasks, we train the model at the first training stage for 400, and 300 epochs, respectively. At the second training stage, we train the model for 80 and 60 epochs, respectively. We take about 7 hours to train the model.

**4.3. Comparison with the State-of-the-art Methods**

**Compared Methods.** To verify the merit of our MAN, we compare with the following representative approaches in various experimental settings: (1) The labeled target data is utilized to train the model, e.g., Supervised Learning (SL). (2) Only the labeled source data is utilized to train the model, e.g., Source Only (SO). CMPM+CMPC [31], Attribute query (AQ) and Adv-attReID [29]. CMPM+CMPC is a traditional text-based person search method with high performance, which utilizes a cross-modal projection matching (CMPM) loss and a cross-modal projection classification (CMPC) loss to learn discriminative image-text representations. Attribute query (AQ) retrieves the corresponding person images based on the attributes of the person. Adv-attReID is a traditional attributed-based person search method with high performance, which imposes an attribute-guided attention mechanism for images and a semantic consistent adversary strategy for attributes. (3) The labeled source data and unlabeled target data are both utilized to train the model, e.g., SPGAN [2], ADDA [24] and ECN [35]. SPGAN translates the labeled images from source domain to target domain in an unsupervised manner, and then trains Re-ID model with the translated images by supervised method. ADDA designs a domain classifier based on adversarial learning objectives. ECN investigates into the intra-domain invariance of the target domain and proposes three types of invariance, i.e., exemplar-invariance, camera-invariance and neighborhood-invariance.

Several example images are shown in Fig. 3 to illustrate the domain gap.

**Metrics.** We choose top-1, top-5 and top-10 accuracies to evaluate the performance of text-based person search. Specifically, given a query text, all test images are ranked by the similarities with the text. If the corresponding images are within the top-k images, we regard it as a successful search.
Results on the CUHK-PEDES dataset. We compare our proposed MAN with the state-of-the-art methods on four transfer tasks from CUHK-PEDES dataset. Table 1 shows the results, where $S\rightarrow C03$ means that $S$ is the source dataset and $C03$ is the target dataset. Note that Source Only and CMPM+CMPC [31] are two methods without domain adaptation. Compared with the strong baseline (CMPM+CMPC) which achieves high performances in text-based person search, our MAN still improves the experimental results. Particularly, the performances of Source Only can be regarded as a lower bound. Incorporating the domain adaptation can obviously outperform the Source Only, which demonstrates the advantage of relieving the domain discrepancy. Moreover, our proposed MAN achieves the best performances of all domain adaptation methods on every transfer task, which proves the effectiveness of our MAN. Specifically, compared with the methods (SPGAN and ADDA) which both utilize Generative Adversarial Networks to eliminate the domain shift, our MAN significantly outperforms them. The improved performances illustrate the superiorities of class-level moment alignment network in restricting the cross-domain statistics, where the features with similar semantic are constrained being mapped nearby and thus are learned more domain-invariant. Furthermore, compared with ECN which exploits intra-domain alignment, our MAN also achieves better performances, which basically indicates the advantage of jointly modeling the inter-domain, intra-domain and inter-modal moment alignments in cross-modal cross-domain person search. Note that although our MAN achieves the best performances compared with existing domain adaptation methods, which is inferior to the supervised cross-modal matching methods (Supervised Learning). This suggests that there is still room for improvement in the methods of domain adaptation.

Results on the RAP dataset. To prove the domain gap between RAP-1 and RAP-2, we perform extensive experiments as shown in Table 2. The performance gap between SL (Supervised Learning) and SO (Source Only) proves that there is indeed domain shift between the two datasets.

Table 3 shows the comparison results on RAP-1 $\rightarrow$ RAP-2 transfer task. According to the performance gap between SL (Supervised Learning) and SO (Source Only), RAP-1 $\rightarrow$ RAP-2 is a more balanced transfer task than transfer tasks in CUHK-PEDES dataset. Based on this, our model still outperforms the existing domain adaptation methods but with less improvement compared to the results on CUHK-PEDES dataset. This further demonstrates that the proposed MAN can learn domain-invariant representations. In addition, we report the results of attribute query method (AQ), which is set similar to SO except the query type. The reduced performances mean that the relationship between attributes is significant to the learning of textual representation. Moreover, our MAN also achieves better performances compared with traditional attributed-based person search method Adv-attReID [29].

4.4. Ablation Study

To systematically investigate the effectiveness of each component in MAN, we perform a set of ablation studies.
on $S \rightarrow C03$ transfer task. The results are illustrated in Table 4. We first investigate the importance of domain alignment (DA) by performing experiments on MAN (wo DA), where DA is completely missing. It can be seen that the top-1 accuracy declines 2.2%, which proves the effectiveness of domain alignment in benefitting the domain-invariant representations learning. When comparing MAN with MAN (wo CA) where the cross-modal alignment is missing, we can observe that MAN significantly outperforms the MAN (wo CA) in top-1 accuracy (48.5% / 46.8%). The improved performances indicate that cross-modal alignment can help our model learn more discriminative cross-modal representations by modelling the constraints between image and text, and thus benefits the performances. To investigate the importance of exemplar alignment, we perform experiments on MAN (wo EA). The results indicate that it is appropriate to alleviate domain discrepancy by enhancing the clustering characteristics of representations in target domain. In addition, we report the results of discarding the $\sigma$ in moment alignment. The performance is inferior to the MAN by 0.5%, which illustrates the superiorities of $\sigma$ in relieving the divergence of data distributions.

In summary, we can observe that all the components are designed reasonably and the performance degrades when any of these components is removed.

### 4.5. Visual Results

To figure out whether our model can learn the cross-modal features in target domain well, we utilize t-SNE [25] to visualize the feature distribution learned by Source Only, MAN and Supervised Learning on $S \rightarrow C03$ transfer task (randomly select 7 classes). As shown in Fig. 4 (c), we can see that the learned image-text features are distributed along radial spokes, where the corresponding visual and textual features lie in the same direction due to the usage of cosine similarity between image and text. From the comparison between Fig. 4 (a) and Fig. 4 (b), we can clearly observe that the features learned by Source Only can not be discriminated very well, where some features from different classes are mixed up in the feature space. In contrast, the features learned by our MAN are more discriminative and dispersed for different classes. This illustrates that our model can enlarge the inter-class dispersion in target domain by three moment alignments.

### 5. Conclusion

In this work, we propose a novel moment alignment network for domain adaptive text-based person search. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first attempt to investigate this problem. To achieve the goal, we propose three effective moment alignments including domain alignment, cross-modal alignment, and exemplar alignment in target domain. These three alignment mechanisms are complementary to each other to learn domain-invariant and semantic aligned cross-modal representations. We perform extensive experiments on five transfer tasks from CUHK-PEDES and RAP datasets and demonstrate the effectiveness of our model by significant performance improvements.
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