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Figure 1. Instance-aware colorization. We present an instance-aware colorization method that is capable of producing natural and colorful results on a wide range of scenes containing multiple objects with diverse context (e.g., vehicles, people, and man-made objects).

Abstract

Image colorization is inherently an ill-posed problem with multi-modal uncertainty. Previous methods leverage the deep neural network to map input grayscale images to plausible color outputs directly. Although these learning-based methods have shown impressive performance, they usually fail on the input images that contain multiple objects. The leading cause is that existing models perform learning and colorization on the entire image. In the absence of a clear figure-ground separation, these models cannot effectively locate and learn meaningful object-level semantics. In this paper, we propose a method for achieving instance-aware colorization. Our network architecture leverages an off-the-shelf object detector to obtain cropped object images and uses an instance colorization network to extract object-level features. We use a similar network to extract the full-image features and apply a fusion module to full object-level and image-level features to predict the final colors. Both colorization networks and fusion modules are learned from a large-scale dataset. Experimental results show that our work outperforms existing methods on different quality metrics and achieves state-of-the-art performance on image colorization.

1. Introduction

Automatically converting a grayscale image to a plausible color image is an exciting research topic in computer vision and graphics, which has several practical applications such as legacy photos/video restoration or image compression. However, predicting two missing channels from a given single-channel grayscale image is inherently an ill-posed problem. Moreover, the colorization task could be multi-modal [3] as there are multiple plausible choices to colorize an object (e.g., a vehicle can be white, black, red, etc.). Therefore, image colorization remains a challenging yet intriguing research problem awaiting exploration.

Traditional colorization methods rely on user intervention to provide some guidance such as color scribbles [20, 12, 35, 26, 22, 31] or reference images [34, 14, 3, 9, 21, 5] to obtain satisfactory results. With the advances of deep learning, an increasing amount of efforts has focused on leveraging deep neural network and large-scale dataset such as ImageNet [28] or COCO-Stuff [2] to learn colorization in an end-to-end fashion [4, 13, 17, 38, 41, 15, 42, 11, 8, 27, 6, 24, 1]. A variety of network architectures have been proposed to address image-level semantics [13, 17, 38, 42] at training or predict per-pixel color distributions to model multi-modality [17, 38, 42]. Although these learning-based
methods have shown remarkable results on a wide variety of images, we observe that existing colorization models do not perform well on the images with multiple objects in a cluttered background (see Figure 2).

In this paper, we address the above issues and propose a novel deep learning framework to achieve instance-aware colorization. Our key insight is that a clear figure-ground separation can dramatically improve colorization performance. Performing colorization at the instance level is effective due to the following two reasons. First, unlike existing methods that learn to colorize the entire image, learning to colorize instances is a substantially easier task because it does not need to handle complex background clutter. Second, using localized objects (e.g., from an object detector) as inputs allows the instance colorization network to learn object-level representations for accurate colorization and avoiding color confusion with the background. Specifically, our network architecture consists of three parts: (i) an off-the-shelf pre-trained model to detect object instances and produce cropped object images; (ii) two backbone networks trained end-to-end for instance and full-image colorization, respectively; and (iii) a fusion module to selectively blend features extracted from layers of the two colorization networks. We adopt a three-step training that first trains instance network and full-image network separately, followed by training the fusion module with two backbones locked.

We validate our model on three public datasets (ImageNet [28], COCO-Stuff [2], and Places205 [43]) using the network derived from Zhang et al. [41] as the backbones. Experimental results show that our work outperforms existing colorization methods in terms of quality metrics across all datasets. Figure 1 shows sample colorization results generated by our method.

Our contributions are as follows:

- A novel network architecture that leverages off-the-shelf models to detect the object and learn from large-scale data to extract image features at the instance and full-image level, and to optimize the feature fusion to obtain the smooth colorization results.
- A comprehensive evaluation of our method on comparing with baselines and achieving state-of-the-art performance.

2. Related Work

Scribble-based colorization. Due to the multi-modal nature of image colorization problem, early attempts rely on additional high-level user scribbles (e.g., color points or strokes) to guide the colorization process [20, 12, 35, 26, 22, 31]. These methods, in general, formulate the colorization as a constrained optimization problem that propagates user-specified color scribbles based on some low-level similarity metrics. For instance, Levin et al. [20] encourage assigning a similar color to adjacent pixels with similar luminance. Several follow-up approaches reduce color bleeding via edge detection [12] or improve the efficiency of color propagation with texture similarity [26, 22] or intrinsic distance [35]. These methods can generate convincing results with detailed and careful guidance hints provided by the user. The process, however, is labor-intensive. Zhang et al. [41] partially alleviate the manual efforts by combining the color hints with a deep neural network.

Example-based colorization. To reduce intensive user efforts, several works colorize the input grayscale im-
age with the color statistics transferred from a reference image specified by the user or searched from the Internet [34, 14, 3, 9, 21, 5, 11]. These methods compute the correspondences between the reference and input image based on some low-level similarity metrics measured at pixel level [34, 21], semantic segments level [14, 3], or super-pixel level [9, 5]. The performance of these methods is highly dependent on how similar the reference image is to the input grayscale image. However, finding a suitable reference image is a non-trivial task even with the aid of automatic retrieval system [5]. Consequently, such methods still rely on manual annotations of image regions [14, 5]. To address these issues, recent advances include learning the mapping and colorization from large-scale dataset [11] and the extension to video colorization [36].

**Learning-based colorization** Exploiting machine learning to automate the colorization process has received increasing attention in recent years [7, 4, 13, 17, 38, 41, 15, 42]. Among existing works, the deep convolutional neural network has become the mainstream approach to learn color prediction from a large-scale dataset (e.g., ImageNet [28]). Various network architectures have been proposed to address two key elements for convincing colorization: semantics and multi-modality [3].

To model semantics, Iizuka et al. [13] and Zhao et al. [42] present a two-branch architecture that jointly learns and fuses local image features and global priors (e.g., semantic labels). Zhang et al. [38] employ a cross-channel encoding scheme to provide semantic interpretability, which is also achieved by Larsson et al. [17] that pre-trained their network for a classification task. To handle multi-modality, some works proposed to predict per-pixel color distributions [17, 38, 42] instead of a single color. These works have achieved impressive performance on images with moderate complexity but still suffer visual artifacts when processing complex images with multiple foreground objects as shown in Figure 2.

Our observation is that learning semantics at either image-level [13, 38, 17] or pixel-level [42] cannot sufficiently model the appearance variations of objects. Our work thus learns object-level semantics by training on the cropped object images and then fusing the learned object-level and full-image features to improve the performance of any off-the-shelf colorization networks.

**Colorization for visual representation learning.** Colorization has been used as a proxy task for learning visual representation [17, 38, 18, 39] and visual tracking [32]. The learned representation through colorization has been shown to transfer well to other downstream visual recognition tasks such as image classification, object detection, and segmentation. Our work is inspired by this line of research on self-supervised representation learning. Instead of aiming to learn a representation that generalizes well to object detection/segmentation, we focus on leveraging the off-the-shelf pre-trained object detector to improve image colorization.

**Instance-aware image synthesis and manipulation.** Instance-aware processing provides a clear figure-ground separation and facilitates synthesizing and manipulating visual appearance. Such approaches have been successfully applied to image generation [30], image-to-image translation [23, 29, 25], and semantic image synthesis [33]. Our work leverages a similar high-level idea with these methods but differs in the following three aspects. First, unlike DA-GAN [23] and FineGAN [30] that focus only on one single instance, our method is capable of handling complex scenes with multiple instances via the proposed feature fusion module. Second, in contrast to InstaGAN [25] that processes non-overlapping instances sequentially, our method considers all potentially overlapping instances simultaneously and produces spatially coherent colorization. Third, compared with Pix2PixHD [33] that uses instance boundary for improving synthesis quality, our work uses learned weight maps for blending features from multiple instances.

### 3. Overview

Our system takes a grayscale image $X \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 1}$ as input and predicts its two missing color channels $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 2}$ in the CIE L*\"a\"b* color space in an end-to-end fashion. Figure 3 illustrates our network architecture. First, we leverage an off-the-shelf pre-trained object detector to obtain multiple object bounding boxes $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^N$ from the grayscale image, where $N$ is the number of instances. We then generate a set of instance images $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ by resizing the images cropped from the grayscale image using the detected bounding boxes (Section 4.1). Next, we feed each instance image $X_i$ and input grayscale image $X$ to the instance colorization network and full-image colorization network, respectively. The two networks share the same architecture (but different weights). We denote the extracted feature map of instance image $X_i$ and grayscale image $X$ at the $j$-th network layer as $f_{X_i}^j$ and $f_X^j$ (Section 4.2). Finally, we employ a **fusion module** that fuses all the instance features $\{f_{X_i}^j\}_{i=1}^N$ with the full-image feature $f_X^j$ at each layer. The fused full image feature at $j$-th layer, denoted as $f_Y^j$, is then fed forward to $j+1$-th layer. This step repeats until the last layer and obtains the predict color image $Y$ (Section 4.3). We adopt a sequential approach that first trains the full-image network, followed by the instance network, and finally trains the feature fusion module by freezing the above two networks.
4. Method

4.1. Object detection

Our method leverages detected object instances for improving image colorization. To this end, we employ an off-the-shelf pre-trained network, Mask R-CNN [10], as our object detector. After detecting each object’s bounding box $B_i$, we crop out corresponding grayscale instance image $X_i$ and color instance image $Y_{i}^{GT}$ from $X$ and $Y^{GT}$, and resize the cropped images to a resolution of $256 \times 256$.

4.2. Image colorization backbone

As shown in Figure 3, our network architecture contains two branches of colorization networks, one for colorizing the instance images and the other for colorizing the full image. We choose the architectures of these two networks so that they have the same number of layers to facilitate feature fusion (discussed in the next section). In this work, we adopt the main colorization network introduced in Zhang et al. [41] as our backbones. Although these two colorization networks alone could predict the color instance images $Y_i$ and full image $Y$, we found that a naïve blending of these results yield visible visual artifacts due to the inconsistency of the overlapping pixels. In the following section, we elaborate on how to fuse the intermediate feature maps from both instance and full-image networks to produce accurate and coherent colorization.

4.3. Fusion module

Here, we discuss how to fuse the full-image feature with multiple instance features to achieve better colorization. Figure 4 shows the architecture of our fusion module. Since the fusion takes place at multiple layers of the colorization networks, for the sake of simplicity, we only present the fusion module at $j$-th layer. Apply the module to all the other layers is straightforward.

The fusion module takes inputs: (1) a full-image feature $f_j^Y$; (2) a bunch of instance features and corresponding object bounding boxes $\{f_{j}^{X_i}, B_i\}_{i=1}^{N}$. For both kinds of features, we devise a small neural network with three convolutional layers to predict full-image weight map $W_F$ and per-instance weight map $W_{j}^{i}$. To fuse per-instance feature $f_{j}^{X_i}$ to the full-image feature $f_{j}^{Y}$, we utilize the input bounding box $B_i$, which defines the size and location of the instance. Specifically, we resize the instance feature $f_{j}^{X_i}$ as well as the weight map $W_{j}^{i}$ to match the size of full-image and do zero padding on both of them. We denote resized the instance feature and weight map as $\bar{f}_{j}^{X_i}$ and $\bar{W}_{j}^{i}$. After that, we stack all the weight maps, apply softmax on each pixel, and obtain the fused feature using a weighted sum as follows:

$$f_{j}^{\tilde{X}} = f_{j}^{Y} \circ W_F + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{f}_{j}^{X_i} \circ \bar{W}_{j}^{i},$$ (1)
where $N$ is the number of instances.

### 4.4. Loss Function and Training

Following Zhang et al. [41], we adopt the smooth-$\ell_1$ loss with $\delta = 1$ as follows:

$$
\ell_\delta(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(x - y)^2 1_{|x-y|<\delta} + \delta(|x-y| - \frac{1}{2}\delta) 1_{|x-y|\geq\delta}
$$

We train the whole network sequentially as follows. First, we train the full-image colorization and transfer the learned weights to initialize the instance colorization network. We then train the instance colorization network. Lastly, we freeze the weights in both the full-image model and instance model and move on training the fusion module.

### 5. Experiments

In this section, we present extensive experimental results to validate the proposed instance-aware colorization algorithm. We start by describing the datasets used in our experiments, performance evaluation metrics, and implementation details (Section 5.1). We then report the quantitative evaluation of three large-scale datasets and compare our results with the state-of-the-art colorization methods (Section 5.2). We show sample colorization results on several challenging images (Section 5.3). We carry out three ablation studies to validate our design choices (Section 5.4). Beyond standard performance benchmarking, we demonstrate the application of colorizing legacy black and white photographs (Section 5.6). We conclude the section with examples where our method fails (Section 5.7). Please refer to the project webpage for the dataset, source code, and additional visual comparison.

#### 5.1. Experimental setting

**Datasets.** We use three datasets for training and evaluation.

- **ImageNet** [28]: ImageNet dataset has been used by many existing colorization methods as a benchmark for performance evaluation. We use the original training split (1.3 million images) for training all the models and use the testing split (ctest10k) provided by [17] with 10,000 images for evaluation.
- **COCO-Stuff** [2]: In contrast to the object-centric images in the ImageNet dataset, the COCO-Stuff dataset contains a wide variety of natural scenes with multiple objects present in the image. There are 118K images (each image is associated with a bounding box, instance segmentation, and semantic segmentation annotations). We use the 5,000 images in the original validation set for evaluation.
- **Places205** [43]: To investigate how well a colorization method performs on images from a different dataset, we use the 20,500 testing images (from 205 categories) from the Places205 for evaluation. Note that we use the Place205 dataset only for evaluating the transferability. We do not use its training set and the scene category labels for training.

**Evaluation metrics.** Following the experimental protocol by existing colorization methods, we report the PSNR and SSIM to quantify the colorization quality. To compute the SSIM on color images, we average the SSIM values computed from individual channels. We further use the recently proposed perceptual metric LPIPS by Zhang et al. [40] (version 0.1; with VGG backbone).

**Training details.** We adopt a three-step training process on the ImageNet dataset as follows.

1. **Full-image colorization network:** We initialize the network with the pre-trained weight provided by [41]. We train the network for two epochs with a learning rate of 1e-5.
2. **Instance colorization network:** We start with the
pre-trained weight from the trained full-image colorization network above and finetune the model for five epochs with a learning rate of 5e-5 on the extracted instances from the dataset. (3) Fusion module: Once both the full-image and instance network have been trained (i.e., warmed-up), we integrate them with the proposed fusion module. We fine-tune all the trainable parameters for 2 epochs with a learning rate of 2e-5. In our implementation, the numbers of channels of full-image feature, instance feature and fused feature in all 13 layers are 64, 128, 512, 512, 512, 256, 256, 128, 128, 128 and 128.

In all the training processes, we use the ADAM optimizer [16] with $\beta_1 = 0.99$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$. For training, we resize all the images to a resolution of $256 \times 256$. Training the model on the ImageNet takes about three days on a desktop machine with one single RTX 2080Ti GPU.

5.2. Quantitative comparisons

Comparisons with the state-of-the-arts. We report the quantitative comparisons on three datasets in Table 1. The first block of the results shows models trained on the ImageNet dataset. Our instance-aware model performs favorably against several recent methods [13, 17, 38, 41, 1, 19] on all three datasets, highlighting the effectiveness of our approach. Note that we adopted the automatic version of Zhang et al. [41] (i.e., without using any color guidance) in all the experiments. In the second block, we show the results using our model finetuned on the COCO-Stuff training set (denoted by the ‘*’). As the COCO-Stuff dataset contains more diverse and challenging scenes, our results show that finetuning on the COCO-Stuff dataset further improves the performance on other two datasets as well. To highlight the effectiveness of the proposed instance-aware colorization module, we also report the results of Zhang et al. [41] finetuned on the same dataset as a strong baseline for a fair comparison. For evaluating the performance at the instance-level, we take the full-image ground-truth/prediction and crop the instances using the ground-truth bounding boxes to form instance-level ground-truth/predictions. Table 2 summarizes the performance computed by averaging over all the instances on the COCO-Stuff dataset. The results present a significant performance boost gained by our method in all metrics, which further highlights the contribution of instance-aware colorization to the improved performance.

User study. We conducted a user study to quantify the user-preference on the colorization results generated by our method and another two strong baselines, Zhang et al. [37] (finetuned on the COCO-Stuff dataset) and a popular online colorization method DeOldify [1]. We randomly select 100 images from the COCO-Stuff validation set. For each participant, we show him/her a pair of colorized results and ask for the preference (forced-choice comparison). In total, we have 24 participants casting 2400 votes in total. The results show that on average our method is preferred when compared with Zhang et al. [37] (61% v.s. 39%) and DeOldify [1] (72% v.s. 28%). Interestingly, while DeOldify does not produce accurate colorization evaluated in the benchmark experiment, the saturated colorized results are

Table 1. Quantitative comparison at the full-image level. The methods in the first block are trained using the ImageNet dataset. The symbol * denotes the methods that are finetuned on the COCO-Stuff training set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>ImageNet ctest10k</th>
<th>COCOStuff validation split</th>
<th>Places205 validation split</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lizuka et al. [13]</td>
<td>0.200 23.636 0.917</td>
<td>0.185 23.863 0.922</td>
<td>0.146 25.581 0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larsson et al. [17]</td>
<td>0.188 25.107 0.927</td>
<td>0.183 25.061 0.930</td>
<td>0.161 25.722 0.951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [38]</td>
<td>0.238 21.791 0.892</td>
<td>0.234 21.838 0.895</td>
<td>0.205 22.581 0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [41]</td>
<td>0.145 26.166 0.932</td>
<td>0.138 26.823 0.937</td>
<td>0.149 25.823 0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deoldify et al. [1]</td>
<td>0.187 23.537 0.914</td>
<td>0.180 23.692 0.920</td>
<td>0.161 23.983 0.939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lei et al. [19]</td>
<td>0.202 24.522 0.917</td>
<td>0.191 24.588 0.922</td>
<td>0.175 25.072 0.942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>0.134 26.980 0.933</td>
<td>0.125 27.777 0.940</td>
<td>0.130 27.167 0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [41]*</td>
<td>0.140 26.482 0.932</td>
<td>0.128 27.251 0.938</td>
<td>0.153 25.720 0.947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours*</td>
<td>0.125 27.562 0.937</td>
<td>0.110 28.592 0.944</td>
<td>0.120 27.800 0.957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Quantitative comparison at the instance level. The methods in the first block are trained using the ImageNet dataset. The symbol * denotes the methods that are finetuned on the COCO-Stuff training set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>COCOStuff validation split</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lizuka et al. [13]</td>
<td>0.192 23.444 0.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larsson et al. [17]</td>
<td>0.179 25.249 0.914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [38]</td>
<td>0.219 22.213 0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [41]</td>
<td>0.154 26.447 0.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deoldify et al. [1]</td>
<td>0.174 23.923 0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lei et al. [19]</td>
<td>0.177 24.914 0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours</td>
<td>0.115 28.339 0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [41]*</td>
<td>0.149 26.675 0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ours*</td>
<td>0.095 29.522 0.938</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sometimes more preferred by the users.

5.3. Visual results

Comparisons with the state-of-the-art. Figure 5 shows sample comparisons with other competing baseline methods on COCO-Stuff. In general, we observe a consistent improvement in visual quality, particularly for scenes with multiple instances.

Visualizing the fusion network. Figure 6 visualizes the learned masks for fusing instance-level and full-image level features at multiple levels. We show that the proposed instance-aware processing leads to improved visual quality for complex scenarios.

5.4. Ablation study

Here, we conduct ablation study to validate several important design choices in our model in Table 3. In all ablation study experiments, we use the COCO-Stuff validation dataset. First, we show that fusing features extracted from the instance network with the full-image network improve the performance. Fusing features for both encoder and decoder perform the best. Second, we explore different strategies of selecting object bounding boxes as inputs for our instance network. The results indicate that our default set-
Table 3. Ablations. We validate our design choices by comparing with several alternative options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fusion Part</th>
<th>COCOStuff validation split</th>
<th>(a) Different Fusion Part</th>
<th>(b) Different Bounding Box Selection</th>
<th>(c) Different Weighted Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Encoder</td>
<td>Decoder</td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
<td>Box Selection</td>
<td>Weighted Sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>×</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.128 27.251 0.938</td>
<td>Select top 8</td>
<td>LPIPS ↓ PSNR ↑ SSIM ↑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>×</td>
<td>0.120 28.146 0.942</td>
<td>Random select 8</td>
<td>Box mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>×</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>0.117 27.959 0.941</td>
<td>Select by threshold</td>
<td>G.T. mask</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>0.110 28.592 0.944</td>
<td>G.T. bounding box</td>
<td>Fusion module</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.5. Runtime analysis

Our colorization network involves two steps: (1) colorizing the individual instances and outputting the instance features; and (2) fusing the instance features into the full-image feature and producing a full-image colorization. Using a machine with Intel i9-7900X 3.30GHz CPU, 32GB memory, and NVIDIA RTX 2080ti GPU, our average inference time over all the experiments is 0.187s for an image of resolution 256 × 256. Each of two steps takes approximately 50% of the running time, while the complexity of step 1 is proportional to the number of input instances and ranges from 0.013s (one instance) to 0.1s (eight instances).

5.6. Colorizing legacy black and white photos

We apply our colorization model to colorize legacy black and white photographs. Figure 7 shows sample results along with manual colorization results by human expert.

5.7. Failure modes

We show 2 examples of failure cases in Figure 8. When the instances were not detected, our model reverts back to the full-image colorization network. As a result, our method may produce visible artifacts such as washed-out colors or bleeding across object boundaries.

6. Conclusions

We present a novel instance-aware image colorization. By leveraging an off-the-shelf object detection model to crop out the images, our architecture extracts the feature from our instance branch and full images branch, then we fuse them with our newly proposed fusion module and obtain a better feature map to predict the better results. Through extensive experiments, we show that our work compares favorably against existing methods on three benchmark datasets.
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