Retina-like Visual Image Reconstruction via Spiking Neural Model
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Abstract

The high-sensitivity vision of primates, including humans, is mediated by a small retinal region called the fovea. As a novel bio-inspired vision sensor, spike camera mimics the fovea to record the nature scenes by continuous-time spikes instead of frame-based manner. However, reconstructing visual images from the spikes remains to be a challenge. In this paper, we design a retina-like visual image reconstruction framework, which is flexible in reconstructing full texture of natural scenes from the totally new spike data. Specifically, the proposed architecture consists of motion local excitation layer, spike refining layer and visual reconstruction layer motivated by bio-realistic leaky integrate and fire (LIF) neurons and synapse connection with spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) rules. This approach may represent a major shift from conventional frame-based vision to the continuous-time retina-like vision, owning to the advantages of high temporal resolution and low power consumption. To test the performance, a spike dataset is constructed which is recorded by the spike camera. The experimental results show that the proposed approach is extremely effective in reconstructing the visual image in both normal and high speed scenes, while achieving high dynamic range and high image quality.

1. Introduction

Autonomous driving, wearable computing, unmanned aerial vehicles, are typical emerging real-time applications which require rapid reaction in vision processing [19]. Conventional cameras compress the video data in the exposure time into one frame, and the temporal changes in that time will be lost [11]. When performing image analysis tasks such as detecting or tracking an object, these consecutive frames have to be compared to recover temporal changes, which is computationally expensive and is difficult to achieve satisfactory results [21].

If we turn attention to the human vision, the visual sampling is quite different from that of a digital camera. There is no concept of frames or pictures in human vision. Although the mechanism of human vision is too complicated to be fully understood, the physical structures and the signal processing in human retina give us some hints and inspirations. Among them, the dynamic vision sensor (DVS) is the most well-recognized [8, 1]. In DVS, each pixel responds independently to the changes of luminance intensity by generating asynchronous spikes. This mechanism is similar to the periphery of the retina, which is sensitive only to moving objects. The temporal redundancy of the output spikes is natively reduced, however, it is not able to reconstruct the visual images as the conventional camera does. Although there are some hybrid sensors combing DVS and conventional image sensor (DAVIS) [5], or adding an extra photo-measurement circuit (ATIS [23], CeleX [13]), there exists motion mismatch since the difference of the sampling time resolution.

To solve the problem of capturing visual texture while maintaining the continuous-time signal, researchers designed a class of time-based sensors to make each pixel mimics the behaviour of an integrate-and-fire neuron and works asynchronously [2, 6, 16]. Instead of choosing a fixed integration time for all pixels like a conventional camera, the time-based sensor ensures that each pixel selects its own optimal integration time to achieve a high dynamic range and an improved signal-to-noise ratio. This kind of sensor enables the reconstruction of visual textures in a frame-free manner. Using a time window or the inter-spike interval, the image texture can be reconstructed [6].

Recently, [28] proposed a fovea-like sampling method (FSM) which falls into the category of time-based sensors. Compared to previous time-based sensors, this sensor namely spike camera is with high spatial (250 × 400) and temporal resolutions (40000 Hz), which is suitable to deal with high-speed vision tasks [28]. However, the previous reconstruction algorithms [6, 28] will suffer the problem of low contrast or blur in complex environments. Therefore, how to flexibly use the time-continuous spike information is a key problem of high-quality image reconstruction.

In this paper, we propose a new retina-like visual im-
age reconstruction framework, as shown in Fig 1. The main contributions of this paper includes: 1) We propose a three-layer spiking neural model which relies on a combination of biologically plausible mechanisms. Using time-continuous spike data, our method can reconstruct images at any sampling moment, and retain the details of high-speed motion and static background simultaneously. 2) We propose a dynamic neuron extraction model to distinguish the neuron states (dynamic or static) in an incremental way, which is useful for reconstructing high quality high-speed motion scenes. 3) We construct a new spike dataset for evaluating the reconstruction method, and make these available to the research community.

2. Spike Data Analysis

2.1. Spike Data Representation

In FSM, the intensity of light is converted into voltage by the photoreceptor [28]. Once the voltage reaches a predefined threshold, a one-bit spike is outputted and a signal to reset the integrator is dispatched at the same time. This process is quite similar to the integrate-and-fire neuron. Different luminance stimuli \( I \) leads to a different spike firing rate, the output and the reset are triggered asynchronously among various pixels. Typically, the brighter the light, the faster the firing speed:

\[
\int I dt \geq \phi
\]  

(1)

The raw data from the spike camera is a three-dimensional spike array \( D \). The spike camera only cares about the integration of the luminance intensity and fires spikes in an ultra high frequency. At each sampling moment, if a spike is just fired, a digital signal “1” (i.e. a spike) is outputted, otherwise “0” is generated. We define \( S_{i,j}(t) \in \{0,1\} \) to represent the spike firing status of pixel \((i,j)\) at the moment \(t\). For simplicity, we use **spike plane** to represent the spike signal outputted by all pixel at a certain moment, while the time-continuous spike signals generated by a certain pixel is called a **spike train** (see Fig 5 (a)).

2.2. Spike Data Distribution

The integrator has a predefined capacity which is also known as the spike firing threshold \( \phi \). If the integrator is filled, it will be reset and fires a spike. Due to the variation of the light, the duration of filling the integrator from empty to fulfilled is not constant. Microscopically, a spike is generated means a fixed number of photons have been recorded. We define \( N(t,\delta) \) as the number of photons arrived at the photoreceptor within the time interval \([t, t + \delta]\), and \( R(t,\delta) \) as the number of photons recorded actually in the same period. However, the dead time \( \tau \) between two consecutive photon arrivals makes \( N(t,\delta) \) and \( R(t,\delta) \) are not equal. If the former arrival is recorded at time \( t \), any latter photon arrivals during \([t, t + \tau]\) will not be recorded.

In fact, the photon record process \( R(t,\delta) \) can be seemed as a renewal process, which involves recurrent patterns after each of which the process starts from scratch. The photon arrival process is usually assumed to be a homogeneous Poisson process. It is parameterized by a single scalar \( \lambda \) which gives the mean rate of the photon arrivals. If the waiting time between one renewal and the next has ensemble mean and variance, the photo recording process with dead time \( \tau \) is asymptotically Gaussian distributed [7]:

\[
E \sim \frac{\lambda \delta}{1 + \lambda \tau}, \quad Var \sim \frac{\lambda \delta}{(1 + \lambda \tau)^3}
\]  

(2)

To validate the model, we record several spike sequences using the spike camera under various light conditions. We assume that the record of \( n \) photons will reach the dispatch threshold \( \phi \) and generate a spike. If the spike firing time is denoted as \( ti \), the inter-spike interval is \( isi = ti - ti-1 \).

As shown in Fig 2, the blocks with different grayscale values represent the luminance intensities, which indicates that larger intensities lead to higher spike firing rates and shorter inter-spike intervals (ISIs). The RMSE shows that the inter-spike interval distribution histogram can be well fitted by the approximate Gaussian distribution.

Based on the above, we are able to model the ISI distribution of a certain intensity by a Gaussian distribution. In Sec 3.2, a dynamic neuron extraction model is proposed to extract the spike signal representing moving object according to the ISI distribution.

3. Spiking Neural Model

To address the challenge of visual image reconstruction from the spike data, we propose a novel spike neural mod-
el based on the inspiration from the biological neural dynamics and the adaptation. In biological neural systems, a neuron receiving the stimuli and firing a spike can be abstracted as a leaky integrate-and-fire (LIF) model [14]. In LIF model, the membrane potential $V(t)$ is governed by the following differential equation:

$$\tau_m \frac{dV}{dt} = -(V(t) - V_{\text{rest}}) + RI(t) \quad (3)$$

where $V_{\text{rest}}$ is the rest potential, $I(t)$ is the total synaptic current, $R$ is the membrane resistance and $\tau_m$ is the membrane time constant. In the absence of input, the membrane potential decays exponentially to its resting. With the input spikes, each input yields the input potential onto the membrane potential $V(t)$. Each time the membrane potential hits the threshold, the membrane potential $V(t)$ is reset to $V_{\text{rest}}$ and a spike is fired [14]. The refractory period in a neuron occurs after one output spike, which is quite different in various neurons.

In addition, there exists multiple adaptation mechanisms in biological neuron. The neuron is adaptively adjusted according to the input spike characteristics. For instance, the synapse plasticity [3, 4] modulates the efficiency of neural connections by its weight, while the membrane potential decays exponentially to its resting. With the input spikes, each input yields the input potential onto the membrane potential $V(t)$. Each time the membrane potential hits the threshold, the membrane potential $V(t)$ is reset to $V_{\text{rest}}$ and a spike is fired [14]. The refractory period in a neuron occurs after one output spike, which is quite different in various neurons.

The overall architecture of the spiking neural model is illustrated in Fig 3 and in more detail in Fig 5. The architecture of the spiking neural model is described in Sec 3.1. The dynamic neuron extraction based on graph cuts is shown in Sec 3.2. Sec 3.3 presents the synapse connection, while the visual image reconstruction is introduced in Sec 3.4.

### 3.1. Model Architecture

#### Motion Local Excitation Layer

The motion local excitation layer operates on the input spike data and outputs spike train with binary marks (dynamic or static). The purpose is to distinguish the neuron state according to the input spike data. Each neuron in this layer is connected to the input spike data in one-to-one connections. In this layer, the input spike data is modelled as a motion confidence matrix according to the historical firing distribution. Then, for current moment, the neuron states can be abstracted into the first-order Markov Random Field with binary labels [12], and a motion local extractor based on the graph cuts is performed. In this way, each output spike has a dynamic or static mark to distinguish the state of the neuron. The detail will be described in Sec 3.2.

#### Spike Refining Layer

To model neuronal dynamics, the LIF model is introduced in spike refine layer. In this layer, the input spikes are filtered to keep the fast response to the motion while removing the noise. The size of this layer is the same as the motion local excitation layer, each input is fed to one neuron in this layer. In order to rapidly respond to the motion, we set the threshold voltage to a very small value. To eliminate the noise, according to the mark given by the motion local excitation layer, a relatively long refractory period should be set if the current input spike is marked static; otherwise, if the spike comes from a dynamic neuron, a relatively short refractory period should be set. By the above mechanism, the spike refining layer can significantly eliminate the noise, and mitigate the over-exposure by reducing the firing rate. Meanwhile, this layer retains as much detail as possible to maintain the fidelity of dynamic spike while preserved high dynamic range.
3.2. Dynamic Neuron Extraction

In this section, we propose a dynamic neuron extraction model to mark input spikes as dynamic or static. As analyzed in Sec 2.2, the ISI is equal to the time of a fixed number of photons have been recorded, which is proportional to the firing threshold $\phi$ of the integrator. For a constant photon arrival rate $\lambda$, the ISI distribution has an unimodal and symmetric distribution which approaches a Gaussian distribution. The region visited by a moving object has a dissimilar ISI distribution from that driven by static region. Therefore, we associate the ISI of each neuron with a Gaussian probability model with mean $\mu$ and covariance $\sigma$:

$$\text{ISI} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma)$$

(4)

Assuming that each neuron corresponds to a coordinate $(i, j), i \in [1, m], j \in [1, n]$, where $m$ and $n$ are the resolution of spike camera. The $ij$-th neuron is denoted as $ij$, we evaluate all the moments against their corresponding ISI distribution models and obtain the confidence map $C_{ij}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 1}$, which corresponds to the confidence of the location belong to static region. Therefore, the motion confidence of each neuron at different moment is:

$$O = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{m1} & \cdots & C_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times T}$$

(5)

At the moment $t$, the mark matrix $M^t \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ is a binary matrix denoting the states of the neurons:

$$M_{ij}^t = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } ij \text{ belongs to motion region at moment } t \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(6)

We use $P_{M^t}(X)$ to represent the orthogonal projection of a matrix $X$ onto the linear space of matrices supported by $M^t$,

$$P_{M^t}(X)(i,j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M_{ij}^t = 0 \\ X_{ij} & \text{if } M_{ij}^t = 1 \end{cases}$$

(7)

and $P_{M^t\perp}(X)$ to be its complementary projection, i.e., $P_{M^t}(X) + P_{M^t\perp}(X) = X$.

The binary matrix $M^t$ can be naturally modeled by a Markov Random Field (MRF) [12]. Consider a graph $G = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the set of vertices denoting all $m \times n$ neurons and $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of edges connecting spatially neighboring neurons. According to the Ising model [17], the energy of $M$ can be represented as:

$$\sum_{ij \in \mathcal{V}} u_{ij}(M_{ij}) + \sum_{(ij, kl) \in \mathcal{E}} \lambda_{ij, kl} |M_{ij} - M_{kl}|$$

(8)

where

$$u_{ij}(M_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{ij} & \text{if } M_{ij} = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } M_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$$

(9)
where $\lambda_{ij,kl}$ controls the strength of dependency between $M_{ij}$ and $M_{kl}$, and $\lambda_{ij}$ controls the sparsity of $M_{ij} = 1$.

Since $O^t$ denotes the motion confidence, we assume that $O^t = M^t + N^t$, where $N^t$ denotes the noise. For the static neurons of $M^t_{ij} = 0$, the noise $N^t = P_{M^t \bot}(O^t)$ should be minimized. Combining the above motion model and noise model, we propose the following optimization:

$$\min_{M^t_{ij} \in [0,1]} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} P_{M^t \bot}(O^t)^2 + \sum_{ij \in V} u_{ij}(M^t_{ij}) + \sum_{(ij,kl) \in E} \lambda_{ij,kl} |M^t_{ij} - M^t_{kl}|$$

(10)

For simplicity, we set $\lambda_{ij} = \alpha$ and $\lambda_{ij,kl} = \beta$. Eq. 10 can be rewritten as follows:

$$\min_{M^t_{ij} \in [0,1]} \sum_{ij} (\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(O^t)^2)M^t_{ij} + \beta \|E\text{vec}(M^t)\|_1 + c$$

(11)

where $c = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (O^t)^2$, $E$ is the node-edge incidence matrix of $G$. Eq. 11 is the standard form of the first-order MRFs with binary labels, which can be solved exactly by graph cuts [15].

The illustration of dynamic neuron extraction is shown in Fig 4. The proposed model is sufficient to distinguish the neuron state. When a new set of spikes arrives, the confidence matrix is updated incrementally, and the graph cuts can be operated for each moment separately.

### 3.3. Synapse Connection

Synapse is a structure that permits a neuron to pass a voltage signal to another neuron according to their weights. In applications of spiking neural network (SNN), the weights can be trained following a learning rule or set to constant values. Synaptic plasticity is the basic mechanism of underlying learning in biological networks [3]. It is defined as the ability to modulate the efficiency (also known as weight) of neural connections. In the biological vision system, information coding is established in an unsupervised way. Among the SNN learning rules, spike timing dependent plasticity (STDP) [3] is the most popular one. With STDP, if the presynaptic spike to a neuron tends to shortly before it fires, then the synaptic weight is made stronger (Long-Term Potentiation, LTP); whereas if an input spike tends to occur immediately after an output spike, then that particular input is made somewhat weaker (Long-Term Depression, LTD). In this work, biological STDP [4] is used to learn the rule of spike firing of static neurons, which is defined as:

$$\Delta \omega = \sum_{t_{\text{pre}}} \sum_{t_{\text{post}}} W(t_{\text{post}} - t_{\text{pre}})$$

(12)

where $\Delta \omega$ is the weight variation, $t_{\text{pre}}$ and $t_{\text{post}}$ denote the firing time of the input neuron (presynaptic spike time) and output neuron (postsynaptic spike time), respectively. The function $W$ is defined as:

$$W(\Delta t) = \begin{cases} A_{\text{pre}} \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{pre}}}ight) & \text{if } \Delta t > 0 \\ A_{\text{post}} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{\text{post}}}ight) & \text{if } \Delta t < 0 \end{cases}$$

(13)

where the parameters $A_{\text{pre}}$ and $A_{\text{post}}$ depend on the current value of the synaptic weight $\omega$.

The neurons between two adjacent layers can be connected in different ways. As mentioned above, between the second and third layers, we use a one-to-many manner to connect their neurons. We assume that neurons are distributed in a regular grid and that the distance between adjacent neurons is a constant. A presynaptic neuron will connect to multiple postsynaptic neurons if the following conditions are met:

$$\sqrt{(x_{\text{pre}} - x_{\text{post}})^2 + (y_{\text{pre}} - y_{\text{post}})^2} < R$$

(14)

where $x$ and $y$ are the coordinates of neurons, $R$ is the connection range. And the initial weights for each synapse are obtained as following:

$$\omega = \exp\left(-k\sqrt{(x_{\text{pre}} - x_{\text{post}})^2 + (y_{\text{pre}} - y_{\text{post}})^2/R}\right)$$

(15)

where $k$ controls the weight distribution. The initial weights are adaptively updated at the arrival of each spike according to STDP learning rules.

### 3.4. Visual Image Reconstruction

In this section, we reconstruct visual information suitable for human viewing according to the state of neuron. The inhomogeneity of the input leads to different firing rates of the excitatory neuron. In order to ensure that the neurons adapt to input spike train, we hope that all neurons will have approximately equal firing rates. To this end, a common method to adapt thresholds is to use leaky adaptive thresholds [9]: when a neuron fires a spike, a dynamic adjusting is performed for threshold to adapt the firing rate to prevent it from firing too often. The more frequently a neuron fires spikes, the higher will be its threshold. In turn, the neuron needs more inputs to fire a spike in the near future. Similar to [10], we define the model of the dynamic threshold as:

$$\vartheta_{ij}(t) = \vartheta_0 + \int_0^t \vartheta_{ij}(s)S'_{ij}(t - s)ds$$

(16)

where $\vartheta_0$ is the initial threshold of neuron in the absence of spiking, and $S'_{ij}$ denotes the fired spikes of this layer. The firing threshold of the neuron is increased by an amount $\vartheta_{ij}$ and is exponentially decaying after firing a spike. The increase amount $\vartheta_{ij}$ is defined as:

$$\vartheta_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} \eta_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t-t_1}{\tau_{\text{pre}}}ight) & \text{if } M^t_{ij} = 0 \\ \eta_0 \exp\left(-\frac{t-t_2}{\tau_{\text{post}}}ight) & \text{if } M^t_{ij} = 1 \end{cases}$$

(17)
Figure 5. The microscopic analysis of spiking neural model. (a) The input spike data is converted to spike plane (black dashed box) and spike train (red dashed box). The spike plane connects to the motion local excitation layer, and the dynamic neurons at this moment are marked, while the spike train with the mark information input to the next layer. (b) The noise spikes are eliminated by the mechanism of the refractory period while the static and dynamic spikes are preserved. (c) Each input spikes yield a potential according to STDP, and if the accumulated membrane potential reaches the threshold, the model is adaptively adjusted to fit the input spikes. According to Eq. 18 and 19, the pixel intensity at each moment can be reconstructed (i.e. $t_1$: dynamic spikes, $t_2$: static spikes), as shown in (d).

where $S_{ij}(t)$ is the spike train input to this layer, $t_f$ denotes the most recent firing time, $t_w$ is a moment before $t$ that can be set as a constant, and $\tau$ is the time constant. In practice, we can set $t_w$ to a small value so as to avoid threshold instability due to dynamic spike.

Finally, the grayscale value of the visual image can be estimated from the neuron state and firing threshold: if the neuron $(i, j)$ belongs to a static neuron at moment $t$, in other words, $M_{ij} = 0$, then the grayscale value is

$$G_{i,j,t} = \vartheta_{ij}(t)$$

where $\vartheta_{ij}(t)$ denotes the convergence value of $\varphi_{ij}$ before time $t$, $\kappa(t) = t^- / \int_{t^-}^{t} S_{ij}(x) dx$ is an adjustment parameter to reconstruct the accurate gray value of dynamic region, $t_{i,j}$ denotes the inter-spike interval corresponding to time $t$, and $\gamma$ controls the contrast of reconstructed dynamic region.

4. Experiment

4.1. Spike Dataset

To test the proposed spiking neural model, we build a dataset including spike sequences captured by the spike camera. This dataset contains eight sequences including two categories of normal speed (Class A) and high speed (Class B) scenarios. Each sequence is captured by the spike camera with 40,000 Hz sampling rate. Class A contains four sequences, of which “Office” is an indoor scene, and “Gallery”, “Lake” and “Flower” are outdoor scenes. Class

---

B includes “Car”, “Train”, “Rotation1 (Ro1)” and “Rotation2 (Ro2)”. Among them, “Car” describes a car traveling at a speed of 100 km/h (kilometers per hour), while “Train” records a train with 350 km/h speed. The sequence “Rotation1” describes a disk with 2000 rpm (revolutions per minute), and the sequence “Rotation2” depicts an electric fan with 2600 rpm.

4.2. Visual Texture Reconstruction

4.2.1 Qualitative Analysis

The spiking neural model is implemented using the Brian2 neural simulator [27]. All neurons are modeled as LIF neurons. The dimension of the network is designed to fit the camera resolution of $250 \times 400$, in other words, each layer using 100,000 neurons.

To evaluate the performance of our method, we compared three methods proposed in [28], namely TFW (texture from window), TFI (texture from inter-spike interval) and TFA (adaptive texture reconstruction). The parameters of the three methods are set according to the default parameters given in original paper. Fig 6 shows the experimental results. The results of TFW and TFI have low contrasts, which makes it difficult to distinguish the details of the image. TFA improves contrast, but some regions in the image are too bright, which affects the overall visual effect of the image. Our method solves the above problems. Subjectively, the reconstructed image quality is better than the other three methods.

Class B contains four high-speed motion scenes. Since TFP and TFA have no ability to reconstruct dynamic scenes, we only compare our method to TFI on Class B. TFI is a method of image reconstruction based on instantaneous intensity, it has the ability to reconstruct high-speed motion because it conforms to the sampling principle of the camera. However, TFI only uses the information of the current moment, the historical information in temporal domain is not used. Benefit from the three-layer spiking neural model, our approach makes full use of both historical and current information. The results show that the contrast of static region is improved, while the saliency and clarity of dynamic region are enhanced.

4.2.2 Quantitative Analysis

In Table 1, we give a quantitative evaluation on the proposed dataset. Two no-reference image quality assessment metrics, two-dimensional (2-D) entropy [18] and OG-IQA [20], are employed into our experiment. 2-D entropy uses both the gray value of a pixel and its local average gray value, it measures the amount of information in the image. OG-IQA uses perceptual image features for image quality assessment and gives a score (the range is 0-1, 1 is the best). As shown in Table 1, our method achieves better than other methods in both 2-D entropy and OG-IQA metrics, this is consistent with the results of subjective observation in Fig 6.

Quantitatively, for further evaluate the high-speed scenes in Class B, we employ standard deviation (STD) and a no-reference image blur metric called CPBD [22]. The result
is shown in Table 2. The STD is related to the contrast of the image. Generally speaking, larger standard deviation (STD) means higher contrast. CPBD is used to measure the motion blur. Lower CPBD values mean more blur and vice versa. In summary, our method maintains sharpness while maintaining a higher contrast.

To better understand the effect of STDP, we performed an experiment on our dataset. STDP learning rule can adjust synaptic weight adaptively according to the input spike. If an irregular spike is input, which is usually caused by noise, the STDP mechanism can make its influence smaller and achieve the purpose of denoising. In the experiment, an image noise estimation method [24] is used, and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) is obtained by standard deviation and noise. We adjust the STDP strength from 0 to 0.50, and compare the noise and SNR of the results. As shown in Fig 7, with appropriate STDP strength (0.20 gets the highest SNR), the windows and buildings are clearer, and most of the noise is eliminated.

4.3. Comparisons with other vision sensors

Fig 8 shows the comparison of spike camera, CeleX, DAVIS240B and Huawei P30. Spike camera with the proposed reconstruction method can clearly show the detail of movement process. DVS only records the change of luminance intensity, it is very difficult to reconstruct the texture. We use two recently published DVS reconstruction methods [26] and [25] to generate visual image, but the results are unsatisfactory; while CeleX can roughly see the process of falling pages, but the shadow is serious, the edges of front and back pages can not be clearly distinguished; Huawei P30 rear camera can record videos with 60 FPS in default mode, the pages and electric fan are blurred. The video results can be found in our supplementary material.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a novel three-layer spiking neural model to reconstruct visual images for spike camera. We comprehensively discuss the spike distribution and construct a probability model to describe it. Additionally, a dynamic neuron extraction model is proposed to distinguish the dynamic and static neurons. A combination of biologically plausible mechanisms in introduced to process the continuous-time spikes. Finally, the visual image can be reconstructed according to the state of the neuron and the firing threshold. To test our method, we build a dataset including normal-speed and high-speed scenes. The results show that our method can reconstruct high quality visual images in both high-speed motion and static scenes.
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