Achieving Robustness in the Wild via Adversarial Mixing with Disentangled Representations (Supplementary Material)

A. Additional examples

Figure 9 shows additional examples of perturbations obtained on Color-MNIST by (*a*) mixup, (*b*) adversarial attacks on ℓ_{∞} -bounded perturbations of size $\epsilon = 0.1$, and (*c*) our method AdvMix. Figure 10 shows examples on CELEBA. The underlying classifier is the nominally trained convolutional network. We observe that the perturbations generated by AdvMix are semantically meaningful and result in plausible image variants – to the contrary of the other two methods.



(a) *mixup*



(b) Adversarial Training ($\epsilon = 0.1$)



(c) AdvMix or RandMix

Figure 9. Example of perturbations obtained by different techniques on our Color-MNIST dataset. The image on the far left is the original image. On the same row are variations of that image. Even rows show the rescaled difference between the original image and its variants.



(a) mixup



(b) Adversarial Training ($\epsilon = 8/255$)



(c) AdvMix or RandMix

Figure 10. Example of perturbations obtained by different techniques on CELEBA. The image on the far left is the original image. On the same row are variations of that image. Even rows show the rescaled difference between the original image and its variants.

Figure 11 shows image variants generated by *AdvMix*. For four out of five images, *AdvMix* is able to change the decision of a "smile" detector (nominally trained on CELEBA). We can qualitatively observe that brighter skin-tone and rosy checks tends to produce images that are more easily classified as "smiling". Our interpretation is that pictures on the second row appear to be taken using flash photography (where it is more common for people to smile). The second picture from the left (on the second row) also seem to be taken at night during an event.



Figure 11. Example of perturbations obtained by *AdvMix* on randomly generated images. The top row consists of images generated by a *StyleGAN* model – all these images are classified as "not smiling" by the nominal classifier (the numbers indicate the classifier output probability for "smiling"). The second row consists of adversarial perturbations obtained by *AdvMix*. The last row shows the rescaled differences between the original images and their variant.

B. Additional results on CELEBA

For completeness, Table 4 shows the performance of *mixup*, *Cutout* and *CutMix* on the CELEBA attributes used in Table 3. In addition to the evaluation of the unmodified clean test set, we also evaluate all methods by executing Algorithm 2 with N_r set to 10. In other words, for each trained classifier, we try to find a misclassified variant for each example of the test set. When a misclassified variant is found, we count the corresponding example as misclassified "under perturbation".

We observe that while data augmentation schemes such as *mixup*, *Cutout* or *CutMix* sometimes improve over nominal training, they do not provide consistent improvements. With the exception of "Attribute 3" (where *CutMix* is particularly efficient), *AdvMix* achieves the highest accuracy on the clean test set. Additionally, as is expected, *AdvMix* systematically achieves the highest accuracy on perturbed images.

Table 4. Clean accuracy and accuracy under perturbations on different classification tasks of the CELEBA dataset.

	Accuracy on Attribute 1	
Method	Clean	Under perturbation
Nominal	96.49%	40.35%
mixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)	97.22%	50.48%
Cutout	96.92%	61.58%
CutMix	97.18%	32.86%
AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$	95.34%	48.91%
AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$	95.22%	45.01%
RandMix	96.70%	39.41%
AdvMix	97.56%	84.29%
	Accuracy on Attribute 2 (smiling)	
Nominal	90.22%	18.60%
mixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)	90.95%	30.49%
Cutout	90.44%	17.55%
CutMix	90.88%	15.46%
AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$	91.11%	60.93%
AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$	89.29%	56.19%
RandMix	90.36%	23.51%
AdvMix	92.29%	74.55%
	Accu	racy on Attribute 3
Nominal	Accu 83.52%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31%
Nominal mixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)	Accu 83.52% 85.16%	acy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51%
Nominal mixup ($\alpha = 0.2$) Cutout	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ Cutout CutMix	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67%	3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ Cutout CutMix AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43%	3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92%
Nominalmixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)CutoutCutMixAT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46%	3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71%
Nominalmixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)CutoutCutMixAT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMix	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49%	3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19%
Nominalmixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)CutoutCutMixAT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMixAdvMix	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65%	stribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ $AT \ell_{\infty}$ with $\epsilon = 4/255$ $AT \ell_{\infty}$ with $\epsilon = 8/255$ $RandMix$ $AdvMix$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMixAdvMixNominal	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMix $AdvMix$ Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMixAdvMixNominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ Cutout	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80% 76.59%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03% 0.14%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMix $AdvMix$ Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80% 78.50%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03% 0.14% 0.19%
Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMix $AdvMix$ Nominal $mixup (\alpha = 0.2)$ $Cutout$ $CutMix$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80% 78.50% 76.61%	string string 3.31% 3.51% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03% 0.14% 0.19% 9.74%
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c }\hline Nominal & \\ \hline mixup (\alpha = 0.2) & \\ \hline Cutout & \\ \hline CutMix & \\ AT \ell_{\infty} \ with \ \epsilon = 4/255 & \\ AT \ell_{\infty} \ with \ \epsilon = 8/255 & \\ \hline RandMix & \\ \hline AdvMix & \\ \hline \\ \hline Nominal & \\ \hline mixup (\alpha = 0.2) & \\ \hline Cutout & \\ \hline CutMix & \\ AT \ \ell_{\infty} \ with \ \epsilon = 4/255 & \\ AT \ \ell_{\infty} \ with \ \epsilon = 8/255 & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80% 76.59% 78.50% 76.61% 74.39%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03% 0.14% 0.19% 9.74% 5.68%
Nominalmixup ($\alpha = 0.2$)CutoutCutoutCutMixAT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 4/255$ AT ℓ_{∞} with $\epsilon = 8/255$ RandMixAdvMixImage: the state of the state	Accu 83.52% 85.16% 84.94% 85.67% 81.43% 79.46% 84.49% 85.65% Accu 78.05% 76.80% 76.59% 78.50% 76.61% 74.39% 76.41%	racy on Attribute 3 3.31% 3.51% 2.91% 1.47% 52.92% 62.71% 3.19% 69.55% racy on Attribute 4 0.23% 0.03% 0.14% 9.74% 5.68% 0.42%

C. Code snippets

This section shows how to implement Algorithms 1 and 2 in TensorFlow 2 [57]. Below is Algorithm 1.

```
1 import numpy as np
2 import tensorflow as tf
4 import imagenet # Custom package which contains 'VGG16'.
s import stylegan # Custom package which contains 'Generator'.
8 # Hyper-parameters.
9 num_steps = 2000
10 def learning_rate_schedule(t):
     if t < 1500:
11
          return .05
12
      return .01
13
14 mixing_level = 8
15
16 # Optimizer.
17 learning_rate = tf.Variable(0., trainable=False, name='learning_rate')
18 optimizer = tf.keras.optimizers.Adam(learning_rate)
19
20 # Create the StyleGAN generator.
21 # 'generator' has a the following properties:
22 # - latent_size: Number of latent coordinates (for the FFHQ model, this is equal to 512)
23 # - average_disentangled_latents: Average disentangled latents.
24 # It also has the following functions:
25 # - map(z) which runs the mapping operation that transforms latents into disentangled latents.
26 # - synthesize(z_disentangled) which generates an image from disentangled latents.
27 generator = stylegan.Generator()
28
29 # Create a VGG network.
30 # 'vgg' has the following function:
31 # - get_activations(x) which computes the VGG activations at its 1st block 2nd convolution,
32 # 3rd block 2nd convolution, 4th block 2nd convolution.
33 vgg = imagenet.VGG16()
34
35 # Target image.
36 target_image = load_target_image()
37 activations_of_target_image = [target_image] + vgg(tf.image.resize(target_image, 225, 225))
38
39 # 'disentangled_latents' will be optimized to match 'target_image'.
40 # For the FFHQ model, 'disentangled_latents' has shape [18, 512].
41 disentangled_latents = tf.Variable(average_disentangled_latents, name=' disentangled_latents')
42
43
44 def feature_loss(a, b):
      s = float(np.prod(a.shape.as_list()))
45
      return tf.reduce_sum(tf.square(a - b)) / s
46
47
48
49 def compute_loss(z):
      """Computes the loss."""
50
      generated_image = generator.synthesize(z)
51
      activations_of_generated_image = (
52
53
          [generated_image] + vgg(tf.image.resize(generated_image, 225, 225)))
54
      # Reconstruction and perceptual loss.
55
      zipped_activations = zip(activations_of_generated_image, activations_of_target_image)
56
      loss = sum([feature_loss(g, t) for g, t in zipped_activations])
57
58
59
      # Generated a randomly mixed image.
      random_latents = tf.random.normal(shape=(generator.latent_size,))
60
61
      random_disentangled_latents = generator.map(random_latents)
      mixed_disentangled_latents = tf.concat([
62
          z[:mixing_level, :],
63
          random_disentangled_latents[mixing_level:, :]
64
```

```
], axis=0)
65
      mixed_image = generator.synthesize(mixed_disentangled_latents)
66
67
68
      # Perceptual loss on mixed image.
      activations_of_mixed_image = vgg(tf.image.resize(mixed_image, 225, 225))
69
      zipped_activations = zip(activations_of_mixed_image, activations_of_target_image[1:])
70
71
      loss += sum([.2 * feature_loss(g, t) for g, t in zipped_activations])
72
      return loss
73
74
75
76 # Run the optimization procedure.
77 for step in range(num_steps):
      with tf.GradientTape() as tape:
78
          tape.watch(disentangled_latents)
79
          loss = compute_loss(disentangled_latents)
80
81
      grads = tape.gradient(loss, [disentangled_latents])
      learning_rate.assign(learning_rate_schedule(step))
82
83 optimizer.apply(grads, [disentangled_latents])
```

Below is Algorithm 2.

```
import tensorflow as tf
3 import stylegan # Custom package which contains 'Generator'.
6 # Hyper-parameters.
7 mixing_level = 8
s = 0.03
9 num_restarts = 5 # Or 10 for evaluation (the higher the better).
num_steps = 7 # Or 20 for evaluation.
m \text{ step}_{size} = .005
12
13 # Create the StyleGAN generator.
14 # 'generator' has a the following properties:
15 # - latent_size: Number of latent coordinates (for the FFHQ model, this is equal to 512)
16 # - average_disentangled_latents: Average disentangled latents.
17 # It also has the following functions:
18 # - map(z) which runs the mapping operation that transforms latents into disentangled latents.
19 # - synthesize(z_disentangled) which generates an image from disentangled latents.
20 generator = stylegan.Generator()
_{\rm 22} # 'classifier' is the current classification model we are training or evaluating.
23 # 'classifier' has the following function:
24 # - get_logits(x) which returns the logits of x.
25 classifier = load_current_model()
26
27 # 'z' are precomputed latents obtained through Algorithm 1.
28 # For the FFHQ model, 'z' has shape [18, 512].
_{29} # 'y' is the class of the original image that can be synthesized through z.
30 z = load_disentangled_latents()
31 z_parallel = z[:mixing_level, :]
32 y = get_class_of(z)
34
35 def project(x, x0, eps):
     return x0 + tf.clip_by_value(x - x0, -eps, eps)
36
37
38
39 # Initialization.
40 x = \text{generator.synthesize}(z)
41 logits = classifier.get_logits(x)
42 highest_loss = tf.nn.sparse_softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(y, logits)
43 adversarial_x = x
44
45 for _ in range(num_restarts):
46 # Pick a random set of disentangled latents.
```

```
z = tf.random.normal(shape=(generator.latent_size,))
47
48
      z_perp = z_perp_original = generator.map(z)[mixing_level:, :]
49
50
      for _ in range(num_steps):
         with tf.GradientTape() as tape:
51
             tape.watch(z_perp)
52
53
              # Mix latents.
             mixed_z = tf.concat([z_parallel, z_perp], axis=0)
54
55
              # Generate and classify.
              x = generator.synthesize(mixed_z)
56
              logits = classifier.get_logits(x)
57
              # Cross-entropy loss.
58
              loss = tf.nn.sparse_softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(y, logits)
59
60
         if loss > highest_loss:
61
              adversarial_x = x
              highest_loss = loss
62
63
         grad = tape.gradient(loss, [z_perp])[0]
64
65
         z_perp += step_size * tf.math.sign(grad) # Gradient ascent.
          z_perp = project(z_perp, z_perp_original, epsilon)
66
67
      # Last step.
68
      mixed_z = tf.concat([z_parallel, z_perp], axis=0)
69
70
      x = generator.synthesize(mixed_z)
71
      logits = classifier.get_logits(x)
72
      loss = tf.nn.sparse_softmax_cross_entropy_with_logits(y, logits)
      if loss > highest_loss:
73
74
          adversarial_x = x
         highest_loss = loss
75
```