

# Learning to Cluster Faces via Confidence and Connectivity Estimation

## Supplementary Material

Lei Yang<sup>1</sup>, Dapeng Chen<sup>2</sup>, Xiaohang Zhan<sup>1</sup>, Rui Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Chen Change Loy<sup>3</sup>, Dahua Lin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Chinese University of Hong Kong

<sup>2</sup>SenseTime Group Limited, <sup>3</sup>Nanyang Technological University

{yl016, zx017, dhlin}@ie.cuhk.edu.hk, {chendapeng, zhaorui}@sensetime.com, ccloy@ntu.edu.sg

### 1. Pseudo-code of the proposed algorithm

We provide a pseudo-code to illustrate the steps of the proposed method.

---

**Algorithm 1** Clustering via Confidence and Connectivity Estimation

---

**Input:** Graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , portion of vertices using GCN-E  $\rho$ , number of connections  $M$ , cut-off threshold  $\tau$

**Output:** Clusters  $\mathcal{C}$

```
1: Vertex confidence  $\mathbf{V} = \text{GCN-V}(\mathcal{G})$ 
2:  $\mathcal{S} = \text{GETCANDIDATESET}(\mathbf{V})$ 
3:  $\mathcal{H} = \text{GETHIGHCONFIDENCEVERTEXSET}(\mathbf{V}, \rho)$ 
4: for  $i \in \mathcal{H}$  do
5:   Edge connectivity  $\mathbf{E}_i = \text{GCN-E}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_i), M)$ 
6: end for
7: for  $i \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{H}$  do
8:   Edge connectivity  $\mathbf{E}_i = \text{MAX}(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S}_i), M)$ 
9: end for
10: Clusters  $\mathcal{C} = \text{CONNECTTOCLUSTERS}(\mathbf{E}, \tau)$ 
11: return  $\mathcal{C}$ 
```

---

### 2. Detailed settings of compared methods

(1) **K-means** [4], minimizes the total intra-cluster variance with a given number of clusters. For  $N = 584K$  of MS-Celeb-1M or DeepFashion, we employ K-means by adopting the *ground-truth* number of clusters. For  $N \geq 1.74M$ , we use mini-batch K-means with batch size 1,000.

(2) **HAC** [6], adopts *single* strategy for bottom-up merging in our experiments. The distance threshold is set to 0.72 for different scale of MS-Celeb-1M. For DeepFashion, we tune the distance threshold from 0.1 to 0.9 with a step 0.1 and find 0.4 gives the best result.

(3) **DBSCAN** [3], has two important hyper-parameters, namely, *radius* and *minPts*. For higher efficiency, we apply *KNN* DBSCAN, which only considers its  $K$  nearest neighbors for density computation. We set  $K = 80$ , *radius* = 0.25, *minPts* = 1 for 584K, 1.74M and 2.89M of MS-

Celeb-1M. When the number of unlabeled images is larger than 4.05M, we have to decrease the distance threshold  $\tau$  from 0.25 to 0.2, otherwise the pairwise precision will go down to 1.46%. For DeepFashion, we set  $K = 4$ , *radius* = 0.1, *minPts* = 2.

(4) **MeanShift** [2], fails to yield results in a reasonable time even on 584K of MS-Celeb-1M. Therefore, we only apply the approach in DeepFashion. We tune the *bandwidth* from 0.1 to 0.9 and find 0.5 gives the best result.

(5) **Spectral** [5], has  $N \times N$  space complexity, incurring excessive memory demands even on the smallest setting of MS-Celeb-1M (584K). We employ spectral clustering on DeepFashion by setting the number of clusters to 3,991, which is the ground-truth number of clusters.

(6) **ARO** [1], depends on the number of nearest neighbors  $K$ . For the reported results of MS-Celeb-1M, we use  $K = 80$  for all scales. When increasing  $K$  to 500, it takes 21h to yield  $F_P = 54.47$  on 584K of MS-Celeb-1M. For DeepFashion, we vary  $K$  from 5 to 30 and the best result appears when  $K = 10$ .

(7) **CDP** [9], adopts a dynamic threshold algorithm to partition the affinity graph efficiently, which relies on an initial threshold  $\tau$ , a threshold step  $\Delta\tau$ , maximum size of clusters  $s_{max}$  and  $K$  for constructing *KNN* affinity graph. For all scales of MS-Celeb-1M, we set  $\tau = 0.7$ ,  $\Delta\tau = 0.05$ ,  $s_{max} = 300$  and  $K = 80$ . For DeepFashion, we set  $\tau = 0.5$ ,  $\Delta\tau = 0.05$ ,  $s_{max} = 200$  and  $K = 2$ .

(8) **L-GCN** [7], adopts the pseudo label propagation algorithm of CDP. In addition to  $\tau$ ,  $\Delta\tau$  and  $s_{max}$ , it requires  $K$  at each hop  $K_h$  to construct instance pivot graph and active connections  $c$  for aggregating the predictions. For 584K and 1.74M of MS-Celeb-1M, we set  $K_0 = 80$ ,  $K_1 = 10$ ,  $c = 10$ ,  $\tau = 0.6$ ,  $\Delta\tau = 0.05$  and  $s_{max} = 300$ . For  $N \geq 2.89M$ , we increase  $\tau$  to 0.7 and  $s_{max}$  to 900, while keeping other hyper-parameters the same. For DeepFashion, we set  $K_0 = 5$ ,  $K_1 = 5$ ,  $c = 5$ ,  $\tau = 0.5$ ,  $\Delta\tau = 0.05$  and  $s_{max} = 300$ .

(9) **LTC** [8], For  $N = 584K$  of MS-Celeb-1M, we adopt the same strategy of LTC, which sets different  $K$  and  $\tau$ ,

generating a large number of proposals iteratively. For  $N \geq 1.74M$ , to control the computational budget, we set  $K = 80$ ,  $s_{max} = 300$ ,  $\Delta\tau = 0.05$  and generate cluster proposals using 5 thresholds ranging from 0.55 to 0.75 with a step of 0.05, without resorting to the iterative scheme. For DeepFashion, we set  $K = 5$ ,  $s_{max} = 100$ ,  $\tau = [0.55, 0.6]$ . Adding proposals generated with  $\tau = [0.65, 0.7]$  only increases the  $F_P$  from 29.14 to 29.5, while increasing the runtime from 13s to 27s.

**(10) Ours (V)**, the proposed method mainly relies on two hyper-parameters, namely  $K$  and cut off threshold  $\tau_c$ . For all settings, we set  $\tau_c = 0.8$ . To construct the  $K$ NN graph, we set  $K = 80$  for MS-Celeb-1M and  $K = 5$  for DeepFashion, respectively. For GCN-V, one hidden layer is adopted with a hidden dimension of 512.

**(11) Ours (V + E)**, introduces GCN-E module to select top  $\rho$  vertices for connectivity estimation and top- $M$  prediction for connection. For both MS-Celeb-1M and DeepFashion, we set  $\rho = 0.7$  for training and  $\rho = 0.8$  for inference.  $M$  is set to 1 for all settings. To better evaluate the neighborhood of each vertex, we can use different  $K$  nearest neighbors for GCN-V and GCN-E. For MS-Celeb-1M, we use  $K = 80$  for both GCN-V and GCN-E. For DeepFashion, we use  $K = 5$  for GCN-V and  $K = 80$  for GCN-E.

## References

- [1] Clustering millions of faces by identity. *TPAMI*, 40(2):289–303, 2018. [1](#)
- [2] Dorin Comaniciu and Peter Meer. Mean shift analysis and applications. In *Proceedings of the Seventh IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, volume 2, pages 1197–1203. IEEE, 1999. [1](#)
- [3] Martin Ester, Hans-Peter Kriegel, Jörg Sander, Xiaowei Xu, et al. A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In *KDD*, 1996. [1](#)
- [4] Stuart Lloyd. Least squares quantization in pcm. *IEEE transactions on information theory*, 28(2):129–137, 1982. [1](#)
- [5] Andrew Y Ng, Michael I Jordan, and Yair Weiss. On spectral clustering: Analysis and an algorithm. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 849–856, 2002. [1](#)
- [6] Robin Sibson. Slink: an optimally efficient algorithm for the single-link cluster method. *The computer journal*, 16(1):30–34, 1973. [1](#)
- [7] Zhongdao Wang, Liang Zheng, Yali Li, and Shengjin Wang. Linkage based face clustering via graph convolution network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1117–1125, 2019. [1](#)
- [8] Lei Yang, Xiaohang Zhan, Dapeng Chen, Junjie Yan, Chen Change Loy, and Dahua Lin. Learning to cluster faces on an affinity graph. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2298–2306, 2019. [1](#)
- [9] Xiaohang Zhan, Ziwei Liu, Junjie Yan, Dahua Lin, and Chen Change Loy. Consensus-driven propagation in massive unlabeled data for face recognition. In *ECCV*, 2018. [1](#)