

## Problem

Estimate two-frame optical flow using an end-to-end deep learning approach

Given two image frames  $\{I^1, I^2\}$ , estimate the motion of each pixel in  $I^1$  to  $I^2$  given by the flow field V.



Challenges:

- Convolutions over two frames does not make sense due to large motions.
- Previous attempts at solving using deep networks has poor performance on slow motions [2].
- Shallow networks can not resolve long range matching.

### Idea

- What if the motions were small?
- Use classical pyramid flow with deep learning.
- Train a deep network,  $G_k$  at each level of the pyramid.
- $G_k$  predicts a small residual flow,  $v_k$ at each level given frames  $\{I_k^1, I_k^2\}$ .
- Compute the full flow  $V_k$  at a level iteratively using

$$V_k = V_{k-1} + v_k$$



• **Warping**: Warp the second frame  $I_k^2$  input to network  $G_k$  with flow  $V_{k-1}$  of the previous level. Residual flow at level k,

$$v_k = G_k \left( I_k^1, w(I_k^2, V_{k-1}) \right)$$

where, w is the warping function.

### Network Training

- Each network is trained to estimate residual flow.
- Minimizing the End-pointerror (EPE) loss.
- Trained using Flying Chairs dataset [2].



### http://spynet.is.tue.mpg.de/

# Optical Flow Estimation using Spatial Pyramid Network

 $G_1$ 

# Spatial Pyramid Network



The network  $G_0$  computes the residual flow  $v_0$  at the lowest level of the pyramid using the low resolution images. At each pyramid level, the network  $G_k$ computes a residual flow  $v_k$  using  $\{I_k^1, w(I_k^2, V_{k-1})\}$  which propagates to the upper levels of the pyramid.



 $\leftarrow (G_0) \leftarrow$ 







### Evaluation

Vo



### References

[1] Denton, Emily L., Soumith Chintala, and Rob Fergus. "Deep Generative Image Models using a Laplacian Pyramid of Adversarial Networks."Advances in neural information processing systems. 2015. [2] Fischer, Philipp, et al. "Flownet: Learning optical flow with convolutional networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.06852 (2015).

Anurag Ranjan and Michael Black Perceiving Systems, MPI for Intelligent Systems

# Spatio-temporal Filters



Evolution of Filters across pyramid levels. The filters become sharper on the lower levels to capture higher resolution features.



 $t_1 - t_2$   $t_1$   $t_2$   $t_1 - t_2$  $t_2$ Spatial  $(t_1, t_2)$  and temporal  $(t_1 - t_2)$  filters. The temporal filters are obtained as a difference of spatial filters of frame pair.

Comparing SPyNet and FlowNet filters from the first layers. While FlowNet's filters are random looking, our filters are more Gabor-like resembling cortical areas MT and V1.

Kitti		Middlebury		Flying Chairs	Time(s)
Train	Test	Train	Test	Test	on GPU
-	-	0.22	0.32	3.93	-
8.26	-	1.09	-	2.71	0.080
9.35	-	1.15	-	2.19	0.150
9.12	-	0.33	0.58	2.63	0.069
7.52	9.1	0.98	-	3.04	0.080
8.79	-	0.93	-	2.27	0.150
8.25	10.1	0.33	0.58	3.07	0.069
3.36	4.1	-	-	-	0.069