Abstract

iCaRL can learn classifiers/representation incrementally over a long period of time where other methods quickly fail. Catastrophic forgetting is avoided thanks to a combination of a nearest-mean-of-exemplars classifier, herding for adaptive exemplar selection and distillation for representation learning.

1) Motivation

Situation: class-incremental learning

Problem:
- classes appear sequentially
- train on new classes without forgetting the old ones
- no retraining from scratch: too heavy
- can’t keep all the data around

2) Class-Incremental Learning

We want to/we can:
- for any number of observed classes, \( t \), learn a multi-class classifier
- store a certain number, \( K \), of images (a few hundreds or thousands)

We do not want to/we cannot:
- add more and more resources: fixed sized network
- store all training examples (could be millions)

The dilemma:
- fixing the data representation: suboptimal results on new classes.
- continuously improving the representation: classifiers for earlier classes deteriorate over time (“catastrophic forgetting/interference”) [McCloskey, Cohen. 1989]

3) Existing Approaches

Fixed data representation:
- represent classes by mean feature vectors [Platt et al. 2015], [Matsukawa et al. 2015]

Learning the data representation:
- grow neural network incrementally, fixing parts that are responsible for earlier class decisions [Mandziuk, Shastri. 1998], ... [Rusu et al. 2016]
- multi-task setting: preserve network activations by distillation [Li, Hoiem. 2016]

4) iCaRL

iCaRL component 1: exemplar-based classification.
- nearest-mean-of-exemplars classifier
- automatic adjustment to representation change
- more robust than network outputs

iCaRL component 2: representation learning.
- add a distillation term to loss function
- stabilizes outputs
- limited overhead, just need one copy of the network

iCaRL component 3: exemplar selection.
- greedy selection procedure by herding
- number of exemplars per class decrease as the number of class increases
- ability to remove exemplars on-the-fly through ranking of exemplars

5) Experiments

CIFAR-100:
- 100 classes, in batches of 10
- 32-layer ResNet [He et al. 2015]
- evaluated by top-1 accuracy
- number of exemplars: 2000

ImageNet ILSVRC 2012:
- 1000 classes, in batches of 10
- 18-layer ResNet [He et al. 2015]
- evaluated by top-5 accuracy
- number of exemplars: 20000

Baselines:
- fixed representation: freeze representation after first batch of classes
- finetuning: ordinary NN learning, no freezing

LwF: “Learning without Forgetting” [Li, Hoiem. 2016], Use network itself to classify

Discussion:
- as expected: fixed representation and finetuning do not work well.
- iCaRL is able to keep good classification accuracy for many iterations.
- “Learning without Forgetting” starts to forget earlier

6) Results

CIFAR-100 (2, 5 and 10 classes per batch)

Discussion:
- iCaRL: predictions spread homogeneously
- LwF/MC: prefer recently seen classes: long-term memory loss
- fixed representation: prefer first batch of classes
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Confusion matrices for CIFAR-100
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Discussion:
- iCaRL: predictions spread homogeneously
- LwF/MC: prefer recently seen classes
- fixed representation: prefer first batch of classes
- lack of neural plasticity
- finetuning: predict only classes among the last batch
- catastrophic forgetting